Inclusion of leucaena leaf hay in the diet of laying hens during the growing phase
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ABSTRACT. Current experiment evaluated the effects of inclusion of leucaena leaf hay (LLH) on the performance and nutrient digestibility of diets for laying hens during the growth phase (14-18 weeks). Ninety pullets (Rhode Island Red and New Hampshire) were distributed in a completely randomized design with three treatments (0%, 5% and 10% inclusion of LLH) and five replicates, with six birds. Feed intake (g bird⁻¹ day⁻¹), weight gain (g bird⁻¹ day⁻¹), feed conversion (kg kg⁻¹), metabolizable energy intake (kcal bird⁻¹ day⁻¹), intake of crude protein (g bird⁻¹ day⁻¹), coefficients of dry matter (CDDM) and gross energy (CDCE), nitrogen digestibility (CDN), apparent metabolizable energy (AME) and apparent metabolizable energy corrected for nitrogen (AMEn) were evaluated. The inclusion of LLH did not statistically influence CDN, AME and AMEn of diet. However, this inclusion significantly affected CDDM and CDCE, resulting in lower CDDM and CDCE with inclusion of 10%. Whereas the use of nutrients by chicks fed on diets with the inclusion of LLH allowed the same amount of metabolizable energy, inclusion of up to 10% of LLH diet during the growth phase (14-19 weeks) of laying hens (Rhode Island Red and New Hampshire) may be recommended.
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Inclusão de feno da folha de leucena na ração de poedeiras na fase de crescimento

RESUMO. O experimento avaliou os efeitos da inclusão do feno da folha de leucena (FFL) sobre o desempenho e digestibilidade dos nutrientes das rações para poedeiras em crescimento (14 a 18 semanas de idade). Utilizaram-se 90 frangas (Rhode Island Red e New Hampshire), distribuídas em delineamento inteiramente casualizado com três tratamentos (0%, 5% e 10% de inclusão do FFL) e cinco repetições de seis aves. Avaliou-se o consumo de ração (g ave⁻¹ dia⁻¹), o ganho de peso (g ave⁻¹ dia⁻¹), a conversão alimentar (kg kg⁻¹), a ingestão de energia metabolizável (kcal ave⁻¹ dia⁻¹), a ingestão de proteína bruta (g ave⁻¹ dia⁻¹) e os coeficientes de digestibilidade da matéria seca (CDMS), da energia bruta (CDEB), do nitrogênio (CDN), energia metabolizável aparente (EMA) e energia metabolizável aparente corrigida para nitrogênio (EMAn). A inclusão de FFL não influenciou estatisticamente CDN, EMA e EMAn da ração. Entretanto, influenciou significativamente os CDMS e CDEB, resultando em menor CDMS e CDEB com inclusão de 10%. Considerando que o aproveitamento dos nutrientes da ração pelas aves alimentadas com a inclusão do FFL possibilitou o mesmo valor de energia metabolizável pode-se recomendar a inclusão de até 10% do FFL na ração de crescimento (14 a 19 semanas de idade) para poedeiras (Rhode Island Red e New Hampshire).
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Introduction

A feed program with three diets differentiated by decrease of nutrition levels in proportion to growth is highly common in the feed management of laying hens during the growing phase. This is especially true for recommendations with regard to metabolizable energy and protein.

The northeastern region of Brazil is characterized by rich vegetation that may be used in animal feed. However, several plants may not be included in animal diets mainly due to their fibers and anti-nutritional factors that impair digestibility of proteins and decrease the absorption of minerals and vitamins, besides increasing energy requirements (COSTA et al., 2007).

According to Hetland et al. (2005), fibrous feed stimulates activity and its retention time in the gizzard. Further, Roberts et al. (2007) reports that fiber has negative effects on the better use of nutrients due to production increase of endogenous matter and to decrease in digestive enzyme activity, coupled to morphological and physiological changes in the digestive tract. On the other hand, González-Alvarado...
et al. (2007) reported that the traditional idea that poultry should receive diets with scanty fiber to avoid decrease in feed digestibility and performance must change. They insisted that moderate inclusion of fiber in the diet may be an asset in the performance of poultry.

The leucaena (Leucaena leucocephala) is a perennial leguminous plant featuring high protein levels in the hay derived from its leaves. It has also an excellent potential of pigments due to its high xanthophile contents (D’MELLO; ACAMOVIC, 1989; LOPES et al., 2014). However, tannin, tripsin inhibitors, toxic factors such as a non protein amino acid, β-[N-(3-hydroxy-4-oxopyridyl)-α-amino ropionic (mimosine) (HUSSAIN et al., 1991) and fiber level may restrict the use of the hay in poultry feed. According to Dilger et al. (2004), the use of ingredients with high fiber level in poultry diets may reduce the digestibility of nutrients and increase nitrogen excretion. Meulen et al. (1984) reported that the concentration of 5% mimosine in broilers’ diet decreased intake and affected the performance of the fowls, even though the effects of mimosine on the animals’ performance are still unclear.

The inclusion of hay from leucaena leaves in the diet of domestic laying hens may be an alternative to decrease feed costs and a source of pigment of the egg yolk of laying hens treated within a semi-intensive regime (BHATNAGAR et al., 1996), together with products that do not contain carotenoid hues in their composition, such as sorghum.

Current research evaluates the effects of the inclusion of leucaena leaves hay (LLH) on performance, nutrient digestibility and metabolizable energy rates of diets for laying hens during the growth phase (between the 14th and 19th week).

Material and methods

The assay was performed at the Poultry Sector of the Department of Animal Science of the Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará State, Brazil, on the littoral zone, altitude 15.49 m above sea level, at 3°43’02” S and 38°32’35” W. Ninety (Rhodes Island Red e New Hampshire) laying hens, 14 weeks old, were distributed in an entirely randomized design with three treatments and five replications, with 6 hens, during 42 days. Factors under analysis comprised three types of leucaena leaves hay (LLH) at 0%, 5% and 10% levels.

The birds were first weighed and selected with uniform mean weight, for experimental parcels, following Sakomura and Rostagno (2007), and placed in galvanized wire cages (50 x 50 x 45 cm) with a galvanized feeder and a drinking trough with nipples.

Temperature and relative air humidity in the shed were measured daily throughout the experimental period respectively with a maximum-minimum thermometer and psychrometer. Data were registered daily and readings taken at 8:00 am and 4:00 pm. During the entire experimental phase (14th to 19th week) the laying hens were given diet ad libitum and kept under a natural light from September to October.

Diets were formulated according to nutrition recommendations for semiweighed poultry by Rostagno et al. (2005) and to feed composition rates proposed by the same authors, except LLH, and the amino acid composition according to D’Mello and Acamovic (1989). During the experimental phase the diets were deliberately iso-nutrients with 2,800 kcal EMAn kg⁻¹ and 15% crude protein in natural matter (Table 1).

Table 1. Percentage and nutritional composition for experimental diet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>LLH level (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>61.23 60.87 60.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal (45%)</td>
<td>15.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat meal</td>
<td>20.00 13.35 6.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monobicalcium phosphate</td>
<td>0.91 0.98 1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>1.92 1.65 1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common salt</td>
<td>0.31 0.31 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin and Mineral Supplement¹</td>
<td>0.40 0.40 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucaena leaf hay</td>
<td>0.00 5.00 10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00 100.00 100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materials and methods

The assay was performed at the Poultry Sector of the Department of Animal Science of the Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará State, Brazil, on the littoral zone, altitude 15.49 m above sea level, at 3°43’02” S and 38°32’35” W. Ninety (Rhodes Island Red e New Hampshire) laying hens, 14 weeks old, were distributed in an entirely randomized design with three treatments and five replications, with 6 hens, during 42 days. Factors under analysis comprised three types of leucaena leaves hay (LLH) at 0%, 5% and 10% levels.

The birds were first weighed and selected with uniform mean weight, for experimental parcels, following Sakomura and Rostagno (2007), and placed in galvanized wire cages (50 x 50 x 45 cm) with a galvanized feeder and a drinking trough with nipples.

Diet intake was measured by weighing diet given at the start and at the final period of the experiment, minus the surplus at the end. Diet intake (g hen⁻¹ day⁻¹) for each experimental unit was obtained.

Since hens were weighed at the start and at the end of the experimental period, weight gain of the laying hens (g hen⁻¹ day⁻¹) was calculated by previous data and the duration of the experiment.

Total excreta collection lasted four consecutive days, undertaken after 28 days in which hens were fed on experimental diets. Markings with 1% ferrous oxide placed in the diet at the first and last day of the collection showed their origin from experimental...
diets. The non-marked excreta were collected during the first day and the marked one on the last day. Collections, undertaken twice a day in the early morning and in the late afternoon, were conditioned in plastic bags and frozen at -20°C.

After thawing at room temperature the excreta of each replication were homogenized for sampling. Samples were taken to the Animal Nutrition Laboratory (LANA) of the Animal Science Department of the Universidade Federal do Ceará for pre-drying in an air-forced buffer at 55°C for 72 hours. They were then ground in a knife mill with a 16 mesh sieve with 1 mm and conditioned in labeled plastic bags for determination of dry matter (DM), nitrogen (N) and crude energy (CE), following methodology by Silva and Queiroz (2002).

Based on laboratory results, the digestibility coefficients of dry matter (CDDM), nitrogen (CDN), crude energy (CDCE) and the rates of apparent metabolizable energy (AME) and nitrogen-corrected apparent metabolizable energy (AMEn) were calculated.

Performance results were determined by intake of diet (g hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)), weight gain (g hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)), feed conversion (kg kg\(^{-1}\)), ingested AMEn (kcal hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) and CP (g hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) were calculated from data of diet composition, diet intake by laying hens during the experiment and AMEn rates determined in the metabolism assay.

Performance and digestibility data were analyzed by ANOVA of SAS (2002) and means compared by SNK test at 5% probability.

**Results and discussion**

The performance of laying hens during growth phase (Table 2) revealed that the inclusion of leucaena leaf hay in the diet did not affect significantly (p > 0.05) the variables evaluated diet intake (g hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)), weight gain (g hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)), feed conversion (kg kg\(^{-1}\)), ingested AMEn (kcal hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) and CP (g hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) were calculated from data of diet composition, diet intake by laying hens during the experiment and AMEn rates determined in the metabolism assay.

Performance and digestibility data were analyzed by ANOVA of SAS (2002) and means compared by SNK test at 5% probability.

**Table 2. Performance of laying hens in the growth phase fed on diets with different levels of leucaena leaf hay (LLH).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>0% LLH</th>
<th>5% LLH</th>
<th>10% LLH</th>
<th>Mean CV(^{1}) (%)</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet intake (g hen(^{-1}) day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>68.80</td>
<td>68.80</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>68.13</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>NS(^{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain (g hen(^{-1}) day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>NS (^{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed conversion (kg kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>NS (^{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingested AMEn (kcal hen(^{-1}) day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>196.77</td>
<td>192.92</td>
<td>184.23</td>
<td>190.90</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>NS (^{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingested CP (g hen(^{-1}) day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>NS (^{2})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1}\)CV = Coefficient of variation; \(^{2}\)NS = not significant statistical effect (p > 0.05).

Reports in the literature on harmful effects by increasing LLH inclusion in diets for the performance of laying hens have been associated to the negative effects of an increase in fiber and antinutritional factors, such as mimosine and tannins occurring in the plant. Hussain et al. (1991) evaluated LLH inclusion at 5, 10, 15 and 20% levels in diets for broilers from 1 to 35 days of age and reported that only the highest inclusion level decreased intake, weight gain and feed conversion.

Bhatnagar et al. (1996) tested LLH inclusion levels 5, 10 and 20% in the diets of commercial laying hens and registered that a significant decrease in egg production, weight and mass of eggs and high diet intake occurred only at 20% inclusion level. On the other hand, Oliveira et al. (2000) evaluated two species of leucaena (L. leucocephala and L. cunningham) at level up to 6% inclusion in the diet and reported a significant decrease in weight gain in 1 to 21-day-old fowls.

Consequently, the feasibility of employing up to 10% LLH verified in current research agreed with evaluations by Hussain et al. (1991) and Bhatnagar et al. (1996) respectively for broilers and laying hens. However, the above differed from reports by Oliveira et al. (2000) that 6% of leucaena hay impaired the performance of broilers. It should be underscored that variations may occur in the chemical composition and in the amount of nutritional factors in leucaena due to type of cultivar, soil, climate of the cultivated area (D’MELLO; ACAMOVIC, 1989). Differences may exist at LLH inclusion levels recommended for this plant in poultry diets.

As a rule, the performance of the laying hens in current research may be considered adequate since it is similar to the performance expected of commercial laying hen strains within the same phase. Hy-line do Brasil management handbook shows that Hy-line Brown hens at this phase have, at an average, an intake of 75.00 (g hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)), gain weight of 10.86 (g hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)), feed conversion of 6.96 and metabolizable energy of 204.20 (kcal hen\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)).

Analysis of data on the coefficients of digestibility and metabolizable energy of growing laying hens were analyzed (Table 3) showed that there was no significant effect of LLH inclusion on CDN, AME and AMEn rates. However, significant decrease in CDDM and CDCE rates was verified, with the lowest rates on the increase of 10% LLH inclusion in the diet.

Decrease of CDDM and CDCE rates caused by adding 10% LLH in the diet may be attributed to an increase in excretion of dry matter by laying hens fed on diets with a higher proportion of fibers. The
above occurs because the fibrous fraction is only scantily digested in the digestion tract and consequently its proportion in the excretion increases.

Table 3. Coefficients of digestibility and metabolizable energy of laying hens in the growth phase fed on diets with LLH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables1</th>
<th>Treatments2</th>
<th>0% LLH</th>
<th>5% LLH</th>
<th>10% LLH</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>CV3 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDDM (%)</td>
<td>72.96</td>
<td>71.60b</td>
<td>68.78b</td>
<td>70.66b</td>
<td>71.55</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDN (%)</td>
<td>51.88</td>
<td>47.22a</td>
<td>45.86a</td>
<td>48.18a</td>
<td>49.71</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCE (%)</td>
<td>76.32</td>
<td>74.81ab</td>
<td>73.28b</td>
<td>74.70b</td>
<td>74.36</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AME (kcal kg−1 DM)</td>
<td>3.341a</td>
<td>3.280a</td>
<td>3.255a</td>
<td>3.293a</td>
<td>3.287</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMEn (kcal kg−1 DM)</td>
<td>3.204a</td>
<td>3.166a</td>
<td>3.116a</td>
<td>3.163a</td>
<td>3.181</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1CDDM = Coefficient of digestibility of dry matter; CDN = Coefficient of digestibility of nitrogen; CDCE = Coefficient of digestibility of Crude Energy; AME = Apparent metabolizable energy; AMEn = Apparent metabolizable energy corrected for Nitrogen. Means followed by same small letters in the line do not differ statistically by SNK test (p < 0.05); CV = Coefficient of variation.

Results show that an increase in fiber levels and antinutritional factors with up to 10% LLH inclusion in growth diet was not enough to impair the use of diet nutrients of laying hens to the point of significantly affecting the metabolizable energy rates of the diets.

Conclusion

Since a better use of diet nutrients by laying hens fed on diets with LLH provided the same metabolizable energy rate, the inclusion of up to 10% LLH in growth diet (14 to 19 weeks old) may be recommended for (Rhodes Island Red e New Hampshire) laying hens.
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