ABSTRACT. The text proposes the examination of school and educational policy choices made in the territories of Trentino and Alto Adige, for German and Ladin speaking minorities in the time period between the end of the first major world conflict and the beginning of the second. During the historical period under consideration, the territories of the new provinces were headquarters and object of multiple political, economic and cultural operations, marked by different and opposite strategies: referrals of implicit nationalist trend which attributed extensive legislative powers to central authorities, were replaced by more democratic guidelines, that embraced local autonomies and recognized the rights of the minorities. Search for a political balance between the two instances, which was initially pursued, left the field with the arrival of the first fascist government to a new scenario with the aim of Italianize, even with the use of violence, the kind and organization of the new provinces. The same contradictory scenario will mark the evolution of educational policies in the new territories; the attempt to extend the Italian scholastic regulations to new provinces, first gradually and respectfully on the linguistic minorities, their schools and traditions, with the fascist government will suffer a significant change in behavior and reasoning. The theme of this research, which is little studied and analyzed in detail, assumes its relevance, whether in terms of historical and educational research linked to particular historic moment and geographical context, whether in terms of the consequences that it had in the construction of the Italian Republic.
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Introduction

The present paper assesses the selection of educational policies and practices in the territories of Trentino and Alto Adige, in the period between the first and the second world wars.

During the historical period under consideration, the territories of the new provinces were the seats and the object of multiple and complex political, economic and social operations, marked by different and contrasting strategies.

The first, up until the formation of the Nitti Cabinet, but not for this less harmful, latent Nationalistic tendency; the second, characterized by the new criteria that enlivened the European and national vision of Nitti; the third, resting upon latent Nationalism with the return of the Giolitti government, of compromise and unstable equilibrium in the tendency to reattribute vast legislative powers to the central authorities, without adequate consideration of the local needs (Alatri, 1961, p. 67),

and a fourth, with the Fascist government, declared with the intent to Italianize the nature and the organization of Education.

With respect to such initiatives and interventions, historiographic reflection has produced, and continues to produce, interesting works that broaden the knowledge of the historic reconstruction of phenomena that are dedicated to particular and specific themes (relative to the new redefinition of the administrative structure, the problem of the local and provincial autonomies and the policies adopted towards the German-speaking South-Tyrolean minorities).

In spite of the abundant production of research and essays in related areas, the topic, the object of this study, has not enjoyed the same editorial fortune. Historiographic production records – still today – a scarce number of research and publications on this subject. There are analyses and comparative studies of the linguistic policies adopted by Fascism that cross with the themes of the topic of this paper, such as the history of education and a more general character concerning the reconstruction of the historical events of the ethnic and linguistic minorities of Trentino and Alto Adige1. However, in every case, given the particular emphasis of the specific thesis of the research, the most relevant questions, nuances and details to our theme, although present, are merely mentioned or outlined.

The absence of publications on the present topic has inspired the elaboration of this subject in the present paper, which is mainly organized and constructed upon research and analyses of contemporary documents.

Luigi Credaro: General Civil Commissioner (1919-1922).

In August 1919, Senator Luigi Credaro was appointed president of the Nitti Council and General Civil Commissioner for the territories of Trentino and Alto Adige2. This appointment was the beginning of a period for these territories that was often referred to as “[...] the Credaro era” (Corsini, 1986, p. 71).

During his appointment (August 1, 1919 – October 22, 1922) Credaro was engaged with diverse and multiple questions. These concerned new definitions of relationships between the Italian State and the new provinces. These new definitions were not only in terms of an administrative reorganization of the attached zones, in relation to the broad provincial and communal autonomies enjoyed by these populations during the Hapsburg Empire3, but also in relation to the ethnic and

---

1 For a first analysis confront footnote n. 2.
2 The General Civil Commissariat for the territories of Trentino and Alto Adige established by Nitti in August 1919, after the dissolution of the Military Governorate.
3 “[...] il legislatore Italiano apparve chiaramente orientato a favore della concessione alle popolazioni dei territori annessi di forme di autonomia le quali risultassero almeno equivalenti a quelle di cui esse fruivano sotto la monarchia asburgica” (Pizzorusso, 1976, p. 100).
linguistic liberty of the minority populations. This last aspect included the problem of the new scholastic organization of the schools of these territories, that imposed the urgent need for a body of legislation with a strictly political nature (regarding the changeover of the Hapsburg regulations to those in force in the rest of the kingdom, the problem of minority language schools etc.) and of practical character (such as, for example, research on adequate housing and didactic material).

For every complex aspect of his appointment, Credaro consistently demonstrated attention and respect towards the new territories. This was because he considered that

\[ ... \text{the natural right, the moral necessity, the national dignity and the same political interests suggest the necessity for a benevolent examination of all the votes of the people of Alto Adige (Pizzorusso, 1975, p. 81)} \]

since

\[ ... \text{the human conscience rebels against the idea of a compression of people upon people; wherever people search and attempt to form new social and administrative systems, they place the minorities in a position to enjoy the incomparable benefits of liberty (Pizzorusso, 1975, p. 81).} \]

His orientation perfectly reflected the climate and the political spirit of the liberal Italy of Nitti, and of the Italian and Trentino political parties, convinced of the need to insure and guarantee the ample administrative and linguistic freedom enjoyed by the German population during the years of the Hapsburg Empire.

First the Military Governor Pecori Giraldi and then the General Civil Commissioner, Hon. Luigi Credaro, believed in no hasty modifications or introduction of changes without careful reflection and knowledge, and believed in resisting the pressure of Italian Nationalism that wanted the mark of Latinity and of the new sovereignty immediately impressed in Alto Adige (Corsini & Lill, 1988, p. 47).4

Luigi Credaro and the scholastic-educational policies

The scholastic questions here are extremely political, in fact four fifths of the politics happen in the school (Benvenuti, 1976, p. 251)5, and “[...] without education of the people, without serious, universal culture, a democratic government cannot exist” (Credaro, 1910, p. 3). These brief sentences clearly expressed Credaro’s ideas regarding scholastic policies that were adopted during the years of his commissionership. Convinced that the task of gradual Italianization of the new populations could only take place through the education of the people, he followed with particular interest the problem of the primary schools6. From the beginning, he strived for the creation of new Italian schools, the teaching of the Italian language and the change of the teaching language in minority language schools. In respect to German culture and schooling7, he was actively engaged in the defense of the Italian and Ladin groups in Alto Adige. Since the beginning of his commissary period, he maintained stable and inflexible positions on the principle of the defense of the nationality of the Italian language, to the point of subordination of every agreement with the Southern-Tyrolean to stop their cultural and economic assimilation of the Italians:

If the people of Alto Adige do not admit that the children of Italian families in Alto Adige must be instructed in Italian schools and that the Communes have the same duties as the Germans (to provide the premises, pay the teachers, etc.) we cannot speak with them about autonomy. Do the Italian minorities of Alto Adige have their own cultural rights because of the peace treaty or not? (Corsini, 1986, p. 96).

The development of the Italian culture and of the new national sentiment was persecuted also through the institution of specialization courses for primary school teachers. These courses were for current teachers and for the benefit of future generations. They were conducted for the first time from August to September of 19198:

\[ ... \text{it was necessary to provide for the people for whom the nature of their own job was particularly} \]

---


5Lettera di L. Credaro al Ministro della Pubblica Istruzione A. Anile del 20 aprile 1920, cito da S. Benvenuti (Benvenuti, 1976, p. 251). "Intanto è necessario che ciascun popolo rispetti l’altro e questo può avvenire soltanto se ciascun abbia la scuola della propria lingua ed educa la nuova generazione come vogliono la scienza dell’educazione e il rispetto del santo principio di nazionalità" (Credaro, 1920, p. 54)

6Ma il provvedimento più significativo tra quanti furono presi che concernessero la formazione dei maestri fu quello di istituire corsi di istruzione per i maestri. […] I corsi nei mesi di agosto e settembre […] furono istituiti sei corsi estivi di istruzione per i maestri delle scuole italiane e ladin, a Trieste, a Grado, a Belluno, a Riva di Trento, a Cavalese, a Cles, e uno per i maestri delle scuole allodolate a Firenze" (Ferretti, 1923, p. 149-151).
intended to exercise a notable influence on the new generations: the teachers. To instill devotion, admiration, and love for Italy in them (Della Valle, 1920, p. 5).

The study program was organized in lessons and lectures delivered by university lecturers and was intense and very varied. As well as the necessary notions relevant to the Italian language, the courses covered teaching material in the areas of history, geography, literature, pedagogy, scholastic legislation, art history, scholastic hygiene and the Italian rights. The Commissioner, and more generally the Italian government, believed that these moderate and non-invasive initiatives would convince the new teachers, especially those in the provinces of linguistic minorities, to adopt softer and more open positions towards the new political situation, and that these would have eventually brought about a peaceful linguistic and cultural assimilation.

The trends of scholastic and educational policies pursued by Credaro were often opposed, for diverse reasons, not only by the Government of Rome, but also by the populations of the new territories. Above all, Italians would accuse him, on more than one occasion, of having German-loving and anti-Italian behavior. In spite of such concrete adversity, Credaro continued his project and presented, in July 1921, a bill intended to regulate in a definitive way the precarious situation of the schools of Trentino and Alto Adige. In spite of a first opposition from the government, firm and seemingly inflexible, Credaro, threatening government discharges, attained the approval of his project. However, although it was approved on September 28, 1921, it was only published in the Official Gazette on December 2nd. The new body of legislation, known as Corbino law, sanctioned in Article 1 the obligation for Italian families from Alto Adige of regularly sending their children to Italian schools in the Communes where they could be taught. This obligation was tied to the objective criterion of the language spoken at home (for the purposes of the present decree those who mainly speak the Italian language at home are considered Italian families). The language spoken home, which is used in daily relations, therefore became, according to Credaro and more generally according to the nineteenth century romantic-Risorgimento tradition, the only criterion defining the nationality of the people, and therefore valid towards every minority. For this reason, convinced that it was “ [...] a disgraceful denationalization, to substitute the language spoken at home with another language [...]” (Corsini, 1986, p. 97), the institution of new Italian and German primary schools strived to employ the home language.

The decree was applied during the school year of 1921-22 and such delay [...].

Parents refused to take their children away from German schools to send them to Italian schools after three months of lessons; and were not completely wrong from a pedagogical point of view... The hostility of the Pan-Germanists, few in number, but powerful compared to the Italian farmers and workers who were their employees, were more audacious and found justification in the inappropriateness of the reform. Hence, there was an obstinate resistance from many families (Credaro, 1923, p. 66).

The major hostility occurred in the schools of Salorno, Egna and Bolzano, where student attendance was low with respect to the number of students obliged to attend. However, average the response of the Italian families was, according to Credaro, satisfying. Convinced, therefore, that this legislation would not incite any violence towards the South-Tyrolean group, but only sanction a right for the Italian minority and oppose a strong and firm refusal to any modifications, Credaro threatened further discharges. The dispute concluded in his favor and the law followed unmodified; but the position of Credaro became even more difficult. In fact, the question opened by the Corbino law had not only intensified the conflict that was already very difficult between the General Civil Commissioner and the central office of the new province, regarding the jurisdiction of the respective offices, but had above all renewed the hostility of the Nationalist movement towards his actions. The
Nationalist movement insistently demanded a more vigorous politics for Alto Adige to complete the assimilation of German language and culture by the population, and conveniently, via violent means, by the Italian legislation.

Another critic and staunch opponent of every action and political intervention of Credaro - accused of cowardice and errors - was Ettore Tolomei. Tolomei was committed to history, geography and glottology studies, and to demonstrating the Latin origin of the attached territories. He was therefore the first champion of a swift and complete annexation. Tolomei, who was named “[…] the apostle of the Italianity of Alto Adige” prior to the annexation, through the columns of the weekly ‘The Italian Nation’ and above all in his magazine ‘Archive for Alto Adige’, which he founded in 1906. During the period of the military government of Pecori Giraldi (November 3, 1918 - July 31, 1919), Tolomei was nominated president of the Commissioner’s Office for the language and culture of Alto Adige, an appointment he held until September 10, 1919, when further to obvious discordance and irreconcilability between his positions and those of Credaro – he became Civil Commissioner – and decided to dismantle the office. Free of official appointments, he continued to carry his personal ‘cross’ against the politics of Credaro through the articles of his magazine, and his cultural and political action was before long used by Fascism as a pretext and justification for the task of violent oppression that was, by that time, conducted in the region. In fact, Fascism aspired a rapid absorption by the new populations, which was accomplished through the enforcement of the Italian language in the toponomy, schools and public offices via the complete elimination of every provincial autonomy.

The union of the diverse and, among themselves, heterogeneous, opposition forces to the policies of Credaro, supported by the violence of the Fascist organization and the conduct of Fascist action squads, in the end compelled Credaro to resign and to escape from Trento in the October of 1922.

The new political climate and the debate about Trentino and Alto Adige

A long period characterized by a complete and radical overthrowing of the previous political, social and cultural trends began for these territories after the violent end of Credaro’s era. Fascism, which was already in power, eliminated every autonomous concession of the Trentino and Alto Adige populations and “[…] the minorities found themselves in a situation characterized by a total refusal to recognize the need to value their own ethnic and social characteristics” (Pizzorusso, 1975, p. 200).

The scholastic and educational policies, in primis the questions of minorities’ language schools and linguistic education, underwent significant changes:

Fascism came to power with the clear conviction that the minority language schools in a national state signify the strengthening of the contrasting State forces, and that it is therefore absurd for the State to nourish irrelevance. Thus, Fascism began an assimilation project in Alto Adige which interrupted the anachronistic term of the German school (Gasparri, 1929, p. 53-115)
During a discussion on the balance of public education and approval by Tolomei, who declared in the Senate that minority languages were considered and treated as shared the same destiny, where already since 1924 the gradual Italianization of these schools that had to be completed by the end of the school year of 1927-28.

It is interesting to note the observation of Klein that brings to light how this formal request ended in exposing parents and students to the "[...] danger of being labelled as ‘anti-nationals’ and ‘anti-fascist’ with the expected consequences" (Klein, 1984, p. 72).

Article 17, which stated that "[...] at the beginning of the school year of 1923-24, lessons will be given in the Italian language for minority language students in all the first classes of the primary schools [...]", clearly expressed the project of gradual Italianization of these schools that had to come to a close with the scholastic year of 1927-28.

Article 24 furthermore established:

In the schools in which the instructional language is not Italian, and until it will not be Italian, a regulation of the present decree, it is obligatory for students in all classes to commence learning the Italian language from the second grade of primary school. Students cannot be admitted to higher grades if they do not pass the test of the Italian language. There are five fixed hours of lessons per week for the teaching of the language for the first three years, and six hours per week for the following years.

The junior-middle and senior secondary schools shared the same destiny, where already since 1924 the minority languages were considered and treated as second languages.

Such measures were welcomed with great favor and approval by Tolomei, who declared in the Senate during a discussion on the balance of public education:

The scholastic reform follows precise policies, the aim of the whole plan of teaching is not just for the new Italian generation to learn Italian as a language of communication, but to form true Italians, with national sentiments. The schools now have, and must have, lessons in the Italian language. It is intended that the schools shall have hours for German language lessons, but in the end, they are Italian schools. The population is convinced that Italian membership is a necessity, an interest, an advantage, and that it is the duty of everyone to speak Italian, the language of the State (Tolomei, 1928, p. 514-516).26

Successively, with the R. D. November 22, 1925 n. 2191 "Dispositions regarding the instructional language in primary schools [...]", definitely came to abolish both the teaching of all the minority languages and the possibility of the additional hours for teaching these. With the R.D. of January 24, 1926, five obligatory hours of Italian language lessons would be introduced in the classes that were still not transformed. Because of these regulations, in Alto Adige, in 1928, there were 760 classes with Italian as the only teaching language, while in another 30 German was tolerated as supplementary teaching matter. The German classes would be later abolished at the beginning of the school year of 1929 to 1930.

The same kind of measures also affected the teachers. The R.D. of October 1, 1923 n. 218527 expected the minority language teachers to have a qualification to teach the Italian language which was invalid if it was issued before the annexation. However, in most cases teachers were invited to choose the path of early retirement or to transfer to other Italian provinces rather than sit the qualification exams. The successive R. D. of November 22, 1925 (art. 2) recorded the obligation of the qualification and prescribed a two year term for its attainment, suffering the removal of the teaching appointment. To facilitate the attainment of this qualification, several courses for teachers were instituted in the province of Bolzano. At the end of 192828 the transfer of many minority language teachers to other Italian regions was arranged, to accelerate the process of Italianization because [... many minority language teachers, highly praised from a didactic point of view and for their assiduity, speak Italian just like Germans, with Italian sentences and words. Furthermore, it is clear they

26L'articolo ripropone interamente il Discorso del Senatore Tolomei pronunciato il 4 febbraio del 1925 in occasione della discussione in Senato del bilancio della Pubblica istruzione. Tolomei divenne senatore per volere e dello stesso Mussolini il 3 marzo 1923 e venne anche 'assunto' dal governo fascista quale consigliere per la questione attinente. In questa nuova veste elaborò un progetto subito approvato dal Gran Consiglio del Fascismo: "Sulla base posta dal governo fascista –provincia unica di Trento – i tedeschi dell’Alto Adige devono intendere che il Governo fascista, pur con rispetto delle credenze e dei costumi e col proposito della pacifica convivenza delle due stirpi, non intende affatto di dare quelle garanzie di perpetuità del germanismo nella regione attesina che sono state richieste, per opera del Deutscher Verband ai Governi passati. Anzi spalanca la porta all’opera di italianità che sale e s’afferma naturalmente, e favorisce ogni forma di penetrazione per l’assimilazione di questa terra di lingua italiana se non possiede la prescritta abilitazione..."

27Art.18 “Nessun maestro, munito di diploma o abilitazione rilasciato sotto il regime anteriore all’annessione delle province di cui trattasi, può insegnare in lingua italiana se non possiede la prescritta abilitazione...”

28R.D. 5 febbraio 1928, n. 577.
are not of sure political faith, and are therefore certainly not the most adapted teachers in which to trust the very delicate school of Alto Adige (Gasparri, 1929, p. 80).

As an evident result

[...] these linguistic-legislative measures had obviously not only a linguistic-instrumental function, that is to use the Italian language rather than another, but had a precise aim of national integration (Klein, 1984, p. 78).

In this sense, the broad range of concessions promised by the State to students of all ages must be noted: tax exemptions for senior secondary school and university for students of Italian origin, scholarships and other types of encouragement and economic bonuses to promote the enrolment of German speaking students of German origin in Italian schools.

The forced march of assimilation proceeded with governmental and provincial decrees. The new form of school, the task of which was now largely declared as being “[...] above the technical preparation, is developing... an Italian spirit [...]” (Lingua e Cultura, 1930, p. 373)29 was becoming increasingly concrete and operative. Enthusiastic Italian comments and reflections of Tolomei, such as,

The Italian school is born everywhere. In this part, the work of the government had readily superseded the first questions. Not only in the multilingual communes, but also in those of all or almost all of minority language Communes, the school was made Italian, parting from the principle that every citizen must know the language of the State... The schools now have and must have, lessons in Italian. They are Italian schools. The population is convinced that, forever belonging to the country of Italy, it is a necessity, an advantage, and a duty that everyone must know how to speak Italian, the language of the State (Tolomei, 1928, p. 34).

In the face of this incessant process of obliged Italianization in education introduced by the new political course, the survival response of minority language populations concentrated upon trying to find other places, times and spaces, far from official places, during which their anthropological, cultural and linguistic patrimony and traditions could be safeguarded and passed on. Their first attempt occurred via private lessons. However, by the end of 1923, a decree of the scholastic authority of Bolzano banned private teaching of German, except by teachers possessing a special permit from the State. This permit was obtainable via an Italian language examination that was extremely complex, to discourage each possible attempt at it30. Successively, teachers who intended to teach German privately were obliged to communicate this intention to their students’ Italian language teaching staff and to the inspector. The teaching staff and inspector could evaluate the associated risks of such lessons and ban them. Only in 1934, following the approaching politics of Italy with Germany and Austria was the province of Bolzano given the possibility to institute private German language schools. Fifteen German language courses were opened in the major centers of Trento; however, teaching at these courses was limited to just four hours a week. These renewed political trends met with the opposition of the strongest nationalist currents, such as that of the group reunited around the magazine ‘Archive for Alto Adige’. Although this group disapproved of this concession for teaching German,

[...] since all the world know that in the Reign of Italy the school of the people is totally and solely Italian...nobody expected the sudden announcement, of the past May, of news that nobody wanted, the news that German language courses would be instituted in all the Communes of the province of Bolzano (Lingua e Cultura, 1935, p. 443)31,

they tried to evaluate the possible positive outcomes.

In effect that concession which permits private German language schools in the province of Bolzano is surrounded by several precautions. The concession limits teaching to four hours a week, which cannot create any damage and gives Italian public school teachers the right to withdraw the special teaching permit if the children demonstrate scarce profit due to the overload of attending two schools. It is likely that this will be the case everywhere. In fact it is likely that the experiment will end in the complete withdrawal of the concession or in reducing it to a dead letter, so as the fruit of the hard work of our good teachers will not be compromised (Lingua e Cultura, 1934, p. 471)32.

Above all, the reunited group around Tolomei hoped that this concession would be finally reduced, if not definitely eliminated, “[...] the intolerable existence of foreign clandestine schools” (Lingua e Cultura,
The clandestine schools, significantly called *katakomben schulen* (catacomb schools), represented the extreme form of *boycott* and resistance to the work conducted by the central government. These schools were already present, once private teaching was forbidden after the Gentile’s reform became widely diffused. These schools were born thanks to both the support of the Communities that supplied and equipped rooms for the local clergy that organized schools in the rectories and to the German press that urged people to open a school in every private home. In spite of the many – sometimes violent – abolition attempts, such activity registered constant growth. The difficulty in containing the proliferation of these schools is documented in the frequency of concerned statements and opinions in articles published in the magazine *Archive for Alto Adige* (Lingua e Cultura, 1934, p. 470)36.

The local clergy, in addition to organizing and managing the catacomb schools in the rectories, carried out other clandestine activity. This was done to maintain teaching in the German language in the seminaries and religious institutions and the use of German for the ecclesiastical rites, which according to the law should have been conducted in Italian. The major frictions between the clergy and the government concerned the teaching of religion in the primary schools. Following the protests of the Bishop of Bressanone, the ministerial order of January 10, 1924 permitted religious teachings in the 'locally used' language for Grade 1 of minority language primary schools and the use of the mother tongue, therefore also German, in the primary schools with mixed language students. This measure, however, was not uniformly adopted in all the provinces. The individual scholastic authorities evaluated and legislated it uniformly adopted in all the provinces. The individual scholastic authorities evaluated and legislated it autonomously, and in most cases they prohibited the use of German language, consequently dismissing priests who opposed this regulation and substituting them with Italian priests. On August 31, 1926, the scholastic authority of Bolzano prescribed the mandatory use of Italian, also for religious teachings. In order to find a solution to the proliferation of continuous and different regulations, in 1929 the Fedele ministry sanctioned the obligatory use of the Italian language for the teaching of Catholicism in all primary schools (including minority language schools) of the new provinces, in spite of the contract of the agreement37.

A further attempt to obstruct the politics of ‘denationalization’ of the government was attempted through the publication of German newspapers for children. The Nationalist exponents harshly denounced such publications and also sternly denounced the government who they accused of not knowing how – or wanting – to suppress the spread of such publications.

German newspapers continue to proliferate, which the head of the Government indicates that he wants to eliminate, but instead these have continued to be tolerated, and however these continue to stream underhandedly (Lingua e Cultura, 1929, p. 524)37.
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35Nella rubrica di Gioria.
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38La clausola del ‘katakombe schulen’ (catacomb schools) 
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