

# Evaluation of the performance of female rabbits fed on canola meal in partial and total substitution of crude protein by soybean meal

Cláudio Scapinello, Ligia Vieira Lage and Ivanor Nunes do Prado\*

Departamento de Zootecnia, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Av. Colombo, 5790, 87020-900, Maringá, Paraná, Brasil.

\*Author for correspondence. e-mail: [inprado@uem.br](mailto:inprado@uem.br)

**ABSTRACT.** The effect of partial and total substitution of soybean meal crude protein (SBM) by canola meal (CM) on live weight (LW), daily weight gain (DWG), daily feed intake (DFI) and feed conversion (FC) in female rabbits are provided. Rabbits were evaluated from weaning period (43 days of age) to adult life when they are capable of reproduction (150 days of age). Forty-eight White New Zealand females were used and distributed in four treatments. SBM was substituted at 00%, 33%, 66% and 100% levels by CM. The partial or total substitution of SBM by CM did not change ( $P>0.05$ ) the LW after 70 days old. Dunnett's test showed that, independently of substitution level, FC of animals fed on meal with 33% SBM substitution by CM from age 43 to 90 days and 43 to 150 days was worse than that of animals fed on reference meal. LW120, DWG of 43 – 120-day-old animals receiving 33% SBM substitution by CM and LW150 and DWG of 43 – 150-day-old animals receiving meal with SBM substitution by 33% and 66% of CM were lower than those for animals that received reference meal. Excluding the reference meal, SBM substitution by CM during the periods in which animals were 43 – 120 days old and 43 – 150 days old caused an increase of DFI. Independently of level of inclusion as a substitute for SBM, results show that CM worsened the animals' performance.

**Key words:** feed conversion, feed intake, rabbits, reproduction.

**RESUMO.** Avaliação do desempenho de coelhas alimentadas com farelo de canola em substituição parcial ou total ao farelo de soja. Objetivando-se avaliar os efeitos da substituição parcial e total do farelo de soja pelo farelo de canola sobre o peso, ganho médio diário (GMD), ingestão de alimentos (IA) e conversão alimentar (CA) em coelhas do desmame (43 dias) até a idade de reprodução (150 dias), quarente e oito coelhas da raça Nova Zelândia, foram distribuídas, inteiramente ao acaso, em um experimento com quatro tratamentos e doze repetições. O farelo de soja foi substituído em 00, 33, 66 e 100% pelo farelo de canola. A substituição parcial ou total do farelo de soja não alterou o peso após os 70 dias de idade. Por meio do uso do teste de Dunnett's, verificou-se que, independentemente do nível de substituição, a CA dos animais que receberam o farelo de canola dos 43 aos 150 dias de idade foi pior em relação às dos animais que receberam farelo de soja. O peso aos 120 dias e GMD, dos 43 aos 120 dias de idade dos animais que receberam 33% de farelo de soja em substituição ao farelo de canola, e o peso aos 150 dias e o GMD, dos 43 aos 150 dias de idade dos animais que receberam farelo de soja nos níveis de 33 e 66% ao farelo de canola, foram menores do que o dos animais que receberam apenas farelo de soja. O farelo de canola incluído das dietas das coelhas no período, compreendido entre os 43 e 120 dias de idade e 43 e 150 dias de idade, foi responsável pelo aumento apenas na IA. O farelo de canola afeta piorando os parâmetros de desempenho de coelhas, independentemente do seu nível de inclusão.

**Palavras-chave:** conversão alimentar, consumo, coelha, reprodução.

Recently Brazil became a producer country and manufacturer of canola (*Brassica napus* and *Brassica campestris*), an oleagineous plant that has been used as a protein source in animal nutrition and as a substitute for soybean meal because of its lower commercial cost.

Compared to soybean meal, canola meal has less concentration of crude protein and lysine, even though it contains more concentration of cystine and methionine (Aherne *et al.*, 1986, *apud* Matras *et al.*, 1990). Canola meal has a lower rate of digestible energy probability owing to its higher content of

crude fiber and better development of tegument (Bell and Keith, 1987).

With regard to nutrients, canola meal has 70% - 75% of the nutritional value of soybean meal, with 44% of crude protein for fowls and approximately 75% - 80% for swines and ruminants (Baudet *et al.*, 1988). Consequently, the recommendation for rates of canola meal inclusion would not go beyond 18% of the dry matter for diets given to monogastric animals.

Initial available information in literature shows that rabbits in the growing and finishing periods fed on canola meal in partial or total substitution of soybean meal had no performance problem (Baudet *et al.*, 1988). Similarly, Scapinello *et al.* (1994) studied increasing levels of soybean meal protein substitution by canola meal on rabbits' nutrition until slaughter (92 days of age). Results showed that substitution of soybean by canola meal was viable up to 60% level. In a more recent trial, Scapinello *et al.* (1996) showed that the total substitution of soybean meal by canola meal at the finishing period (40-90 days of age) of rabbits reserved for slaughter is possible.

However, the effects of partial or total substitution of the soybean meal by canola meal on the nutrition of female rabbits over 90 days of age, reserved for reproduction, are unknown.

It is thus necessary to evaluate the effect of partial and total substitution of soybean meal by canola meal on weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion of female rabbits from weaning (43 days of age) until adult life when they are capable of reproduction (150 days of age).

## Material and methods

This study was carried out at the rabbit sector of the Iguatemi Experimental Farm (IEF) of the Universidade Estadual de Maringá (UEM), State of Paraná, Brazil, with forty-eight 43 to 150-day-old White New Zealand female rabbits, distributed in individual cages in a completely randomized design, with four treatments and 12 replications.

Four experimental diets were formulated in which the crude protein (CP) of soybean meal (SBM) was gradually substituted (33.0, 66.0 and 100.0%) by canola meal (CM) with reference diet at 0.0%. Besides SBM and CM, the diets were formulated with corn, wheat meal, coast cross, minerals and vitamins. The dietaries were iso-energetic and iso-proteic. Percentage and chemical compositions of experimental diets are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Percentual and clinical composition of experimental diets

| Ingredients   | Replacing of SBM crude protein by CM (%) |        |        |        |
|---|--|--------|--------|--------|
|   | 00                                       | 33     | 66     | 100    |
| Soybean meal  | 14.00                                    | 9.333  | 4.667  | -      |
| Canola meal   | -  | 5.392  | 10.675 | 15.986 |
| Corn  | 23.432                                   | 23.432 | 23.432 | 23.432 |
| Bran wheat  | 24.00                                    | 24.00  | 24.00  | 24.00  |
| Hay coast cross                                       | 5.16                                     | 5.16   | 5.16   | 5.16   |
| Alfalfa hay   | 28.00                                    | 28.00  | 28.00  | 28.00  |
| Salt  | 0.40                                     | 0.40   | 0.40   | 0.40   |
| Dicalcium phosphat                                    | 0.80                                     | 0.684  | 0.567  | 0.45   |
| Limestone   | 1.00                                     | 1.014  | 1.027  | 1.04   |
| Vit. + min. mix <sup>1</sup>                          | 1.00                                     | 1.00   | 1.00   | 1.00   |
| Coccidiostat  | 0.08                                     | 0.08   | 0.08   | 0.08   |
| Zinc bacitracin                                       | 0.05                                     | 0.05   | 0.05   | 0.05   |
| DL Methionine 99                                      | 0.11                                     | 0.074  | 0.038  | 0.002  |
| Vegetable oil   | 0.468                                    | 0.312  | 0.156  | -      |
| Lysine  | -  | 0.0162 | 0.0324 | 0.0486 |
| Rice hull   | 1.50                                     | 1.00   | 0.50   | -      |
| Sand  | -  | 0.0528 | 0.216  | 0.3514 |
| Total   | 100.00                                   | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Calculated chemical composition of experimental diets |  |        |        |        |
| Crude protein   | 16.00                                    | 16.00  | 16.00  | 16.00  |
| Crude fiber   | 13.00                                    | 13.00  | 13.00  | 13.00  |
| Digestible Energy (Kcal/Kg of DM)                     | 2.600                                    | 2.600  | 2.600  | 2.600  |
| Calcium   | 1.00                                     | 1.00   | 1.00   | 1.00   |
| Phosphorus  | 0.50                                     | 0.50   | 0.50   | 0.50   |
| Methionine + cystine                                  | 0.60                                     | 0.60   | 0.60   | 0.60   |
| Lysine  | 0.80                                     | 0.80   | 0.80   | 0.80   |

<sup>1</sup>1-Vitamin-mineral premix. Composition per Kg: vit. A, 300000 IU; vit.D, 50000 IU; vit.E, 500 mg; vit.K, 100 mg; vit.B<sub>1</sub>, 200 mg; vit.B<sub>2</sub>, 300 mg; vit. B<sub>6</sub>, 100 mg; vit. B<sub>12</sub>, 1000 mcg; nicot. ac., 1500 mg; pantothenic ac., 980 mg; choline, 35000 mg; iron, 4000 mg; cooper, 600 mg; cobalt, 100 mg; zinc, 6000 mg; manganese, 4300 mg; iodine, 32 mg; selenium, 8 mg; methionine, 30000 mg; growing prom., 3000 mg; coccidiostat, 12500 mg; sinox, 10000 mg

The period of data collection of current study was 107 days, with weight determination at 43, 70, 90 and 150 days of age. At the same period feed intake for calculation of daily average intake and dry matter conversion were registered.

Feed samples collected for laboratory analyses were homogenized, samples were put in aluminum packing and taken to a stove of forced ventilation from 50°C to 55°C during a 72-hour period. After drying, content of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), organic matter (OM), crude fiber (CF), calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P), methionine + cystine and lysine were determined. The DM was obtained in a 105°C stove during 16 hours. The CP was determined by Silva (1990) methodology. Ashes were obtained in oven of 550°C during 6 hours. OM was calculated by difference.

Statistical analysis was undertaken using SAEG (System for Statistical and Genetical Analyses) program, developed by Euclides (1983), according to the following model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + b_1 (N_i - N) + b_2 (N_i - N)^2 + b_3 (LW_{43} - LW) + e_{ij}$$

$Y_{ij}$  = registered data of studied variables, relative to each animal  $j$ , receiving  $i$  level of CM on dietaries;

$\mu$  = general constant;

$b_1$  = linear coefficient of regression of  $Y$  variable in function of  $i$  levels of CM inclusion on dietaries, substituting the CP of SBM.

$b_2$  = quadratic coefficient of regression of  $Y$  variable in function of  $i$  levels of CM inclusion on dietaries, substituting the CP of SBM.

$N_i$  = substitution levels of CP of SBM by CM  $i$ , and  $i = 1, 2$  and  $3$ , and  $i_1 = 33\%$ ,  $i_2 = 66\%$  and  $i_3 = 100\%$ ;

$N$  = average of substitution level of CP from SBM by CM;

$LW_{43}$  = individuals life weight at 43 days of age;

$LW$  = average life weight at 43 days of age;

$e_{ij}$  = randomized error mixed to each observation.

Dunnett's test was used for control comparison with each inclusion level of CM.

## Results and discussion

Weight average ( $W$ ) of 70-day old females and averages of daily weight gain ( $DWG$ ), daily feed intake ( $DFI$ ) and feed conversion ( $FC$ ) in the period in which animals were 43 days - 70 days old are shown in Table 2. Females were fed on canola meal ( $CM$ ) with an increasing substitution of crude protein of soybean meal ( $SBM$ ). Dunnett's test was applied and no difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) was observed in animals receiving reference diet and those receiving meals with increasing levels of  $CM$  with regard to items studied during the period. Excluding the reference diet, no difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) was found in their performance when crude protein of  $SBM$  was gradually substituted by  $CM$  inclusion. Results suggest that  $CM$  substituted efficiently the crude protein of  $SBM$  in rabbit diet during the period in which animals were 43 days - 70 days old. Baudet *et al.* (1988) did not find any negative effect on performance of rabbits fed on  $CM$  which substituted partially or totally  $SBM$  during growth-finishing and reproduction periods. Scapinello *et al.* (1996) had the best results with rabbits fed on  $CM$ . They substituted 60% of soya meal protein during the periods in which animals were 40 days - 70 days old. However, the same authors verified that substitution may even be total in the period in which animals were 70 days - 90 days old without any negative influence on animal performance.

Averages of  $W$  of 90-day old females and averages of  $DWG$ ,  $DFI$  and  $FC$  of 43 day- 90-day old females are given in Table 3. Dunnett's test show that only animals which received  $CM$  with a 66% substitution of crude protein of  $SBM$  had the worst ( $P > 0.05$ )  $FC$

when compared to animals receiving reference diet. Excluding reference diet, during this period only  $DFI$  increased linearly ( $P < 0.07$ ) with increasing inclusion of  $CM$ . These results are in contrast to those found by Castell and Spurr (1984) and Bell and Keith (1987) in swines fed of canola during growth-finishing period. These studies showed a decrease in feed intake proportionately to the level increase of canola inclusion. The authors attribute this fact to  $CM$ 's lesser palatableness compared to that of  $SBM$ .

**Table 2.** Average of live weight at 70 ( $W_{70}$ ) days of age and daily weight gain ( $DWG$ ), daily feed intake ( $DFI$ ) and female rabbits feed conversion ( $FC$ ) from 43 to 70 days of age, according to the substitution levels of soybean meal crude protein ( $SBM$ ) by canola meal ( $CM$ )

| Characteristics | Reference | Replacing of SBM crude protein by CM (%) |       |       | average | VC <sup>1</sup> |
|-----------------|-----------|--|-------|-------|---------|-----------------|
|                 |           | 33                                       | 66    | 100   |         |                 |
| $W_{70}$ (g)    | 1713      | 1613                                     | 1704  | 1653  | 1671    | 11.74           |
| $DWG$ (g)       | 23.54     | 19.81                                    | 23.21 | 21.30 | 21.96   | 32.93           |
| $DFI$ (g)       | 70.12     | 70.35                                    | 80.02 | 78.11 | 74.65   | 22.12           |
| $FC^2$          | 3.06      | 3.65                                     | 3.80  | 3.93  | 3.61    | 28.39           |

<sup>1</sup>Variation coefficient

**Table 3.** Average of live weight at 90 ( $LW_{90}$ ) days of age and daily weight gain ( $DWG$ ), daily feed intake ( $DFI$ ) and female rabbits feed conversion ( $FC$ ) from 43 to 90 days of age, according to the substitution levels of soybean meal crude protein ( $SBM$ ) by canola meal ( $CM$ )

| Characteristic         | Reference | Replacing of SBM crude protein by CM (%) |                   |        | Average | VC <sup>1</sup> |
|------------------------|-----------|--|-------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|
|                        |           | 33                                       | 66                | 100    |         |                 |
| $W_{90}$ (g)           | 2517      | 2372                                     | 2419              | 2437   | 2436    | 7.00            |
| $DWG$ (g)              | 30.62     | 27.54                                    | 28.54             | 28.91  | 28.90   | 12.15           |
| $DFI$ (g) <sup>2</sup> | 99.97     | 91.50                                    | 103.93            | 104.70 | 100.02  | 15.62           |
| $FC$                   | 3.27      | 3.35                                     | 3.66 <sup>+</sup> | 3.61   | 3.47    | 11.92           |

<sup>1</sup>Variation coefficient; <sup>2</sup>Linear effect ( $P < 0.07$ ) -  $Y = 87.808 + 0.18458 (X)$  ( $R^2 = 0.85$ );

<sup>+</sup>Reference difference by Dunnett's Test at 7%

Weight averages of 120-day old females and averages of  $DWG$ ,  $DFI$  and  $FC$  of 43-day - 120-day-old females are provided in Table 4. During this period  $LW_{120}$  and  $DWG$  were worse ( $P < 0.05$ ) when  $CM$  substituted 33% of  $SBM$ , as compared to values of females receiving reference diet. There was a linear increase ( $P < 0.05$ ) in  $DFI$  proportionately to increase in  $CM$  inclusion levels.

Table 5 shows that in the period in which animals were 43 days - 150 days old,  $LW_{150}$  and  $DWG$  of female rabbits receiving 33% to 66% of  $CM$  were worse ( $P < 0.05$ ) than those of animals receiving reference diet. During this period  $DFI$  increased linearly ( $P < 0.05$ ) with the increasing substitution of  $SBM$  crude protein by  $CM$ .

Trials with swines during growing and finishing periods showed that animals performance was lowest

for those fed with 75% or more of CM as substitute for SBM (Baidoo and Aherne, 1987). Similarly, Moreira *et al.* (1996a) observed a linear reduction of weight gain in growing swines when levels of CM changed from 00% to 18%. Similar results were observed by Marangoni *et al.* (1996) when substitution levels of SBM by CM changed from 7% to 28% for growing swines (from 61 days to 107 days old). Moreira *et al.* (1996b), also observed a negative linear effect with increase of CM levels substituting SBM for swines during finishing period. Various authors attribute reduction in swine performance during growing and finishing periods to CM's lower digestible energy and availability of aminoacids when compared to those of SBM. This may explain the low performance of female rabbits in the period in which animals were 43 days - 150 days old and shown by quadratic changes in LW, DGW and DFI. However, with regard to pregnant female swines, CM was administrated with success in total substitution for SBM (Sorrel and Shurson, 1990).

**Table 4.** Average of live weight at 120 (LW120) days of age and the daily weight gain (DWG), daily feed intake (DFI) and female rabbits feed conversion (FC) from 43 to 120 days of age, according to the substitution levels of soybean meal crude protein (SBM) by canola meal (CM)

| Characteristic       | Reference | Replacing of SBM crude protein by CM (%) |        |        |         | VC <sup>1</sup> |
|----------------------|-----------|--|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
|                      |           | 33                                       | 66     | 100    | average |                 |
| W120 (g)             | 3478      | 3233 <sup>+</sup>                        | 3396   | 3330   | 3361    | 6.65            |
| DWG (g)              | 31.30     | 28.00 <sup>+</sup>                       | 30.10  | 29.25  | 29.66   | 9.19            |
| DFI (g) <sup>2</sup> | 131.00    | 127.00                                   | 133.27 | 135.84 | 131.17  | 6.24            |
| FC                   | 4.20      | 4.57                                     | 4.46   | 4.70   | 4.48    | 10.01           |

<sup>1</sup>Variation coefficient; <sup>2</sup>Linear effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) -  $Y = 123.2357 + 0.132091 (X)$  ( $R^2 = 0.91$ );

<sup>+</sup>Reference difference by Dunnett's Test at 5%

**Table 5.** Average of live weight at 150 (LW150) days of age and daily weight gain (DWG), daily feed intake (DFI) and female rabbits' food conversion (FC) from 43 to 150 days of age, according to the substitution levels of soybean meal crude protein (SBM) by canola meal (CM)

| Characteristic       | Reference | Replacing of SBM crude protein by CM (%) |                    |                   |         | VC <sup>1</sup> |
|----------------------|-----------|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|
|                      |           | 33                                       | 66                 | 100               | Average |                 |
| W150 (g)             | 3937      | 3655 <sup>+</sup>                        | 3766 <sup>+</sup>  | 3781              | 3785    | 5.06            |
| DGW (g)              | 26.72     | 24.08 <sup>+</sup>                       | 25.12 <sup>+</sup> | 25.26             | 25.30   | 7.08            |
| DFI (g) <sup>2</sup> | 144.10    | 140.43                                   | 143.02             | 147.23            | 143.70  | 4.51            |
| FC                   | 5.40      | 5.84 <sup>+</sup>                        | 5.72 <sup>+</sup>  | 5.83 <sup>+</sup> | 5.71    | 5.68            |

<sup>1</sup>Variation coefficient; <sup>2</sup>Linear effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) -  $Y = 136.6806 + 0.1032999 (X)$  ( $R^2 = 0.97$ ); <sup>+</sup>Reference difference by Dunnett's Test at 5%

We may conclude that, independently of CM inclusion levels as a substitute for SBM, results

showed that CM worsened the performance of the rabbits.

## References

- BAIDOO, S.K.; AHERNE, F.X. Canola meal as a protein supplement for growing finishing pigs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, Amsterdam, v. 18, p. 37-44, 1987.
- BAUDET, J.J. *et al.* Cahier technique colza - Tourteau et basse teneur en glucosinolates (BTG). Cetion, Paris, 52p. 1988.
- BELL, J.M.; KEITH, M.O. Feeding value for pigs of canola meal derived from Westar and trazine-tolerant cultivars. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, Ottawa, v. 67, n. 3, p. 811-819, 1987.
- CASTELL, A.G.; SPURR, D.T. Responses of growing-finishing pigs to canola-supplemented diets based spring or winter wheats. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, Ottawa, v. 64, n. 2, p. 459-469, 1984.
- EUCLYDES, R.F. *Manual de utilização do programa SAEG (Sistema para Análises Estatísticas e Genéticas)*, Viçosa: Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 1983.
- MARANGONI, I. *et al.* Estudo da viabilidade da utilização do farelo de canola na alimentação de suínos na fase de crescimento (61-107 dias). *Rev. Soc. Bras. Zootec.*, Viçosa, v. 25, n. 4, p. 683-696, 1996.
- MATRAS, J. *et al.* Effects of ruminal escape proteins and canola meal on nitrogen utilization by growing lambs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, Savoy, v. 68, p. 2546-2554, 1990.
- MOREIRA, I. *et al.* Utilização do farelo de canola na alimentação de suínos na fase de crescimento. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Zootec.*, Viçosa, v. 25, n. 1, p. 102-112, 1996a.
- MOREIRA, I. *et al.* Utilização do farelo de canola na alimentação de suínos na fase total de crescimento e terminação (61-141 dias). *Rev. Soc. Bras. Zootec.*, Viçosa, v. 25, n. 4, p. 697-712, 1996b.
- SCAPINELLO, C. *et al.* Substituição parcial e total da proteína bruta do farelo de soja pelo farelo de canola, para coelhos em crescimento. *Revista Unimar*, Maringá, v. 16 (Suplemento 1), p. 49-58, 1994.
- SCAPINELLO, C. *et al.* Utilização do farelo de canola em substituição parcial e total da proteína bruta do farelo de soja em ração para coelhos em crescimento. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Zootec.*, Viçosa, v. 25, n. 6, p. 1002-1004, 1996.
- SILVA, D.J. *Análise de alimentos* (métodos químicos e biológicos). Viçosa: Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 1990.
- SORREL, E.R.; SHURSON, G.C. Use of canola and canola meal in swine diets reviewed. *Feedstuffs*, Minneapolis, v. 62, n. 14, p. 13-14, 1990.

Received on June 06, 2001.

Accepted on July 31, 2001.