



## Odd-order quasilinear evolution equations posed on a bounded interval

Andrei V. Faminskii\* & Nikolai A. Larkin†

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>2 Notations. Statement of main results</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>3 Decay of small solutions</b>	<b>72</b>

### 1. Introduction

We study in a rectangle  $Q_T = (0, T) \times (0, 1)$  global well-posedness of nonhomogeneous initial-boundary value problems for general odd-order quasilinear partial differential equations

$$u_t + (-1)^{l+1} \partial_x^{2l+1} u + \sum_{j=0}^{2l} a_j \partial_x^j u + uu_x = f(t, x) \quad (1.1)$$

with initial data

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in (0, 1) \quad (1.2)$$

and boundary data

$$\partial_x^j u(t, 0) = \mu_j(t), \quad j = 0, \dots, l-1, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\partial_x^j u(t, 1) = \nu_j(t), \quad j = 0, \dots, l, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_j$  are real constants. This class of equations includes well-known Korteweg–de Vries and Kawahara equations which model the dynamics of long small-amplitude waves in various media.

Our study is motivated by physics and numerics and our main goal is to formulate a correct nonhomogeneous initial-boundary value problem for (1.1) in a bounded interval and to prove the existence and uniqueness of global in time weak and regular solutions in a large scale of Sobolev spaces as well as to study decay of solutions while  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

For reasonable initial and boundary conditions we prove existence and uniqueness of global weak and regular solutions as well as the exponential decay while  $t \rightarrow \infty$  of the obtained solution with small boundary conditions, the right-hand side and initial data.

\* Supported by RFBR grant 10–01–00196

† Supported by Grant of Fundação Araucária, Paraná State, Brazil — Corresponding author

Dispersive equations such as KdV and Kawahara equations have been developed for unbounded regions of wave propagations, however, if one is interested in implementing numerical schemes to calculate solutions in these regions, there arises the issue of cutting off a spatial domain approximating unbounded domains by bounded ones. In this occasion some boundary conditions are needed to specify the solution. Therefore, precise mathematical analysis of boundary value problems in bounded domains for general dispersive equations is welcome and attracts attention of specialists in the area of dispersive equations, especially KdV and BBM equations, [3,5,6,7,8,9,12,15,16,17,18,21,25,26,27,28,31,37,38]. Cauchy problem for dispersive equations of high orders was successfully explored by various authors, [2,10,11,15,23,33,36]. On the other hand, we know few published results on initial-boundary value problems posed on a finite interval for general nonlinear odd-order dispersive equations, such as the Kawahara equation, see [13,14,29,20].

Well-posedness of such a problem for a linearized version of (1.1) with homogeneous initial and boundary data (1.2)–(1.4) was established in [32]. It should be noted that imposed boundary conditions are reasonable at least from mathematical point of view, see comments in [13].

The theory of global solvability of dispersive equations is based on conservation laws, the first one — in  $L^2$ . Let  $u(t, x)$  be a sufficiently smooth and decaying while  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  solution of an initial value problem for (1.1) (where  $a_{2j} = 0, j = 1, \dots, l, f \equiv 0$ ), then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 dx = \text{const.}$$

The analogous equality can be written for problem (1.1)–(1.4) in the case of zero boundary data. In the general case one has to make this data zero with the help of a certain auxiliary function. In our paper [20] we constructed a solution of an initial-boundary value problem for the linear homogeneous equation

$$u_t + (-1)^{l+1} \partial_x^{2l+1} u = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

with the same initial and boundary data (1.2)–(1.4) and used it as such an auxiliary function. This idea gives us an opportunity to establish our existence results for (1.1) under natural assumptions on boundary data (see Remark 2.2 below).

Another important fact is extra smoothing of solutions in comparison with initial data. In a finite domain it was first established for the KdV equation in [25,7] based on multiplication of the equation by  $(1+x)u$  and consequent integration. In our case, we also have an extra smoothing effect. Roughly speaking, if  $u_0 \in H^{(2l+1)k}(0,1)$ , then  $u \in L^2(0,T; H^{(2l+1)k+l}(0,1))$ .

It has been shown in [27,28] that the KdV equation is implicitly dissipative. This means that for small initial data the energy decays exponentially as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  without any additional damping terms in the equation. Moreover, the energy decays even for the modified KdV equation with a linear source term, [28]. In [20] we proved that this phenomenon takes place for general dispersive equations of odd-orders for homogeneous boundary data and the right-hand side. Here we generalize this result proving the exponential stability for small nonhomogeneous boundary data and the right-hand side.

## 2. Notations. Statement of main results

For any space of functions, defined on the interval  $(0, 1)$ , we omit the symbol  $(0, 1)$ , for example,  $L^p = L^p(0, 1)$ ,  $H^k = H^k(0, 1)$ ,  $C_0^\infty = C_0^\infty(0, 1)$  etc.

Define linear differential operators in  $L^2$  with constant coefficients

$$P_0 \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{2l} a_j \partial_x^j, \quad P \equiv (-1)^{l+1} \partial_x^{2l+1} + P_0.$$

The main assumption on  $P_0$  is the following.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that the operator  $P_0$  satisfies Assumption A if either

$$(-1)^j a_{2j} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, l,$$

or there is a natural number  $m \leq l$  such, that

$$(-1)^m a_{2m} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{2j} = 0, \quad j = m+1, \dots, l.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assumption A is equivalent to the following property: there exists a constant  $c_0 \geq 0$  such that for any function  $\varphi \in H^{2l+1}$ ,  $\varphi(0) = \dots = \varphi^{(l-1)}(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(1) = \dots = \varphi^{(l-1)}(1) = 0$ ,*

$$(P_0 \varphi, \varphi) \geq -c_0 \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \tag{2.1}$$

(here and further  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the scalar product in  $L^2$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  be respectively the direct and inverse Fourier transforms of a function  $f$ . For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  define the fractional order Sobolev space

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \widehat{f}(\xi)] \in L_2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

and for a certain interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  let  $H^s(I)$  be a space of restrictions on  $I$  of functions from  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . Define also

$$H_0^s(I) = \{f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) : \text{supp } f \subset \bar{I}\}.$$

If  $\partial I$  is a finite part of the boundary of the interval  $I$ , then for  $s \in (k+1/2, k+3/2)$ , where  $k \geq 0$  - integer,

$$H_0^s(I) = \{f \in H^s(I) : f^{(j)}|_{\partial I} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, k\}.$$

Note, that  $H_0^s(I) = H^s(I)$  for  $s \in [0, 1/2)$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a certain Banach (or full countable-normed) space, define by  $C_b(\bar{I}; \mathcal{X})$  a space of continuous bounded mappings from  $\bar{I}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_b^k(\bar{I}; \mathcal{X}) &= \{f(t) : \partial_t^j f \in C_b(\bar{I}; \mathcal{X}), \quad j = 0, \dots, k\}, \\ C_b^\infty(\bar{I}; \mathcal{X}) &= \{f(t) : \partial_t^j f \in C_b(\bar{I}; \mathcal{X}), \quad \forall j \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $I$  is a bounded interval, the index  $b$  is omitted.

The symbol  $L^p(I; \mathcal{X})$  is used in the usual sense for the space of Bochner measurable mappings from  $I$  to  $\mathcal{X}$ , summable with order  $p$  (essentially bounded if  $p = +\infty$ ).

Next we introduce some special functional spaces.

**Definition 2.2.** For integer  $k \geq 0$ ,  $T > 0$  and an interval (bounded or unbounded)  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  define

$$\begin{aligned} X_k((0, T) \times I) &= \{u(t, x) : \\ \partial_t^n u &\in C([0, T]; H^{(2l+1)(k-n)}(I)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{(2l+1)(k-n)+l}(I)), \quad n = 0, \dots, k\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_k((0, T) \times I) &= \{f(t, x) : \partial_t^k f \in L^2(0, T; H^{-l}(I)), \\ \partial_t^n f &\in C([0, T]; H^{(2l+1)(k-n-1)}(I)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{(2l+1)(k-n)-l-1}(I)), \\ & \quad n = 0, \dots, k-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\|P_0 u\|_{M_k((0, T) \times I)} \leq c \|u\|_{X_k((0, T) \times I)}. \quad (2.2)$$

In fact, we construct solutions to problem (1.1)–(1.4) in the spaces  $X_k(Q_T)$  for the right parts of equation (1.1) in the spaces  $M_k(Q_T)$ .

To describe properties of boundary functions  $\mu_j, \nu_j$  we use the following functional spaces.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $s \geq 0$ ,  $m = l - 1$  or  $m = l$ , define

$$\mathcal{B}_s^m(0, T) = \prod_{j=0}^m H^{s+(l-j)/(2l+1)}(0, T).$$

We also use auxiliary subsets of  $\mathcal{B}_s^m(0, T)$ :

$$\mathcal{B}_{s_0}^m(0, T) = \prod_{j=0}^m H_0^{s+(l-j)/(2l+1)}(\mathbb{R}_+) \Big|_{(0, T)}, \quad \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, +\infty).$$

For the study of properties of equation (1.5) we need more sophisticated spaces than  $X_k$ .

**Definition 2.4.** For  $s \geq 0$ ,  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  define

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s((0, T) \times I) &= \{u(t, x) : \partial_t^n u \in C([0, T]; H^{(2l+1)(s-n)}(I)), \quad n = 0, \dots, [s], \\ \partial_x^j u &\in C_b(\bar{I}; H^{s+(l-j)/(2l+1)}(0, T)), \quad j = 0, \dots, [(2l+1)s + l]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $Y_k(Q_T) \subset X_k(Q_T)$ .

The spaces  $Y_s$  originate from internal properties of the linear operator  $\partial_t + (-1)^{l+1} \partial_x^{2l+1}$ . In fact, consider an initial value problem in a strip  $\Pi_T = (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$  for (1.5) with the initial data (1.2). This problem was studied in [23]. In particular, if  $u_0 \in H^{(2l+1)s}(\mathbb{R})$ , then for any  $T > 0$  there exists a solution of (1.5), (1.2),  $S(t, x; u_0)$ , given by the formula

$$S(t, x; u_0) = \mathcal{F}_x^{-1} \left[ e^{i\xi^{2l+1}t} \widehat{u}_0(\xi) \right] (x). \quad (2.3)$$

For this solution for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and integer  $0 \leq n \leq s$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq (2l+1)(s-n)$

$$\|\partial_t^n \partial_x^j S(t, \cdot; u_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|u_0^{((2l+1)n+j)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad (2.4)$$

and for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and integer  $0 \leq j \leq (2l+1)s+l$

$$\|D_t^{s+(l-j)/(2l+1)} \partial_x^j S(\cdot, x; u_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = c(l) \|D_x^{(2l+1)s} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad (2.5)$$

where the symbol  $D^s$  denotes the Riesz potential of the order  $-s$ . In particular, the traces of  $\partial_x^j S$  for  $x = 0$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m = l-1$ , and  $x = 1$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m = l$  lie in  $\mathcal{B}_s^m(0, T)$ .

In order to formulate compatibility conditions for the original problem we now introduce certain special functions.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\Phi_0(x) \equiv u_0(x)$  and for natural  $n$

$$\Phi_n(x) \equiv \partial_t^{n-1} f(0, x) - P\Phi_{n-1}(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{m} \Phi_m(x) \Phi'_{n-m-1}(x).$$

The following theorems have been proved in [20].

**Theorem 2.1** (local well-posedness). *Let the operator  $P_0$  satisfy Assumption A. Let  $u_0 \in H^{(2l+1)k}(0, 1)$ ,  $(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{l-1}) \in \mathcal{B}_k^{l-1}(0, T)$ ,  $(\nu_0, \dots, \nu_l) \in \mathcal{B}_k^l(0, T)$ ,  $f \in M_k(Q_T)$  for some  $T > 0$  and integer  $k \geq 0$ . Assume also that  $\mu_j^{(n)}(0) = \Phi_n^{(j)}(0)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, l-1$ ,  $\nu_j^{(n)}(0) = \Phi_n^{(j)}(1)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, l$ , for  $0 \leq n \leq k-1$ . Then there exists  $t_0 \in (0, T]$  such that problem (1.1)–(1.4) is well-posed in  $X_k(Q_{t_0})$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** (global well-posedness). *Let the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied and, in addition, if  $k = 0$ , then  $f \in L^1(0, T; L^2)$ , and if  $l = 1$ ,  $k = 0$ , then  $\mu_0, \nu_0 \in H^{1/3+\varepsilon}(0, T)$  for certain  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then problem (1.1)–(1.4) is well-posed in  $X_k(Q_T)$ .*

*Remark 2.1.* We mean that the problem is well-posed in the space  $X_k$ , if there exists a unique solution  $u(t, x)$  in this space and the map  $(u_0, (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{l-1}), (\nu_0, \dots, \nu_l), f) \mapsto u$  is Lipschitz continuous on any ball in the corresponding norms.

*Remark 2.2.* The properties (2.5) of the solution  $S$  to the initial-value problem (1.5), (1.2) show that the smoothness conditions on the boundary data in our results are natural (with the only exception in the case  $l = 1$ ,  $k = 0$  for global results) because they originate from the properties of the operator  $\partial_t + (-1)^{l+1} \partial_x^{2l+1}$ .

*Remark 2.3.* All these well-posedness results can be easily generalized for an equation of (1.1) type with a nonlinear term  $g(u)u_x$ , where a sufficiently smooth function  $g$  has not more than linear rate of growth.

### 3. Decay of small solutions

Consider in  $Q_\infty = (0, +\infty) \times (0, 1)$  the equation

$$u_t + P(\partial_x)u + uu_x = f(x, t), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$P(\partial_x) = (-1)^{l+1} \partial_x^{2l+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{2l} a_j \partial_x^j,$$

with initial and boundary data:

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\partial_x^j u(t, 0) = \mu_j(t), \quad j = 0, \dots, l-1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\partial_x^j u(t, 1) = \nu_j(t), \quad j = 0, \dots, l, \quad t > 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Let for  $j = 0, \dots, l-1$

$$\psi_j(x) = \frac{x^j \eta(1-x)}{j!},$$

where  $\eta$  is a certain smooth "cut-off" function, namely,  $\eta \geq 0$ ,  $\eta' \geq 0$ ,  $\eta(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq 1/4$ ,  $\eta(x) = 1$  for  $x \geq 3/4$ ,  $\eta(x) + \eta(1-x) \equiv 1$ . One can see that uniformly on  $j$  for a certain positive constant  $c^*$  and  $\forall x \in (0, 1)$

$$|\psi_j|, |\psi_j'| \leq c^*. \quad (3.5)$$

Let

$$\psi(t, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (\mu_j(t) \psi_j(x) + \nu_j(t) \psi_j(1-x)).$$

Then for a function

$$U(t, x) \equiv u(t, x) - \psi(t, x)$$

problem (3.1)-(3.4) becomes

$$U_t + P(\partial_x)U + UU_x + (\psi U)_x = f - \psi_t - P(\partial_x)\psi - \psi\psi_x \equiv F(t, x), \quad (3.6)$$

$$U(0, x) = u_0(x) - \psi(0, x) \equiv U_0(x), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\partial_x^j U(t, 0) = \partial_x^j U(t, 1) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, l-1, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\partial_x^l U(t, 1) = \nu_l(t), \quad t > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Since

$$\|\psi(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq c^* l \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (|\mu_j(0)| + |\nu_j(0)|)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi_t + P(\partial_x)\psi + \psi\psi_x\|_{L^2(0,1)} \\ & \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (|\mu_j(t)| + |\mu'_j(t)| + \mu_j^2(t) + |\nu_j(t)| + |\nu'_j(t)| + \nu_j^2(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  depends on  $l$ , the values of  $a_j$  and properties of the function  $\eta$ , it easy to see that  $U_0$  and  $F$  are small if  $u_0, f, \mu_j, \nu_j, j = 0, \dots, l-1$ , are small.

Define

$$A_j = (-1)^{j+1}(2j+1)a_{2j+1} + (-1)^j\sigma a_{2j}, \quad j = 0, \dots, l,$$

where  $\sigma = 2$  if  $(-1)^j a_{2j} \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma = 4$  if  $(-1)^j a_{2j} < 0$ ;  $(-1)^{l+1} a_{2l+1} = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let Assumption A is satisfied and*

$$A_l + \sum_{j:A_j < 0} 2^{3(j-l)} A_j = 2K > 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Let  $u_0 \in L^2, f \in L^2(0, +\infty; L^2(0, 1)), \mu_j, \nu_j \in H^1(0, +\infty), j = 0, \dots, l-1; \nu_l \in L^2(0, +\infty)$ . Assume also that for a certain  $\delta \in (0, 1]$

$$3c^* 2^{-3l} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (\|\mu_j\|_{L^\infty(0+\infty)} + \|\nu_j\|_{L^\infty(0+\infty)}) \leq \frac{(1-\delta)K}{2}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \|(1+x)^{1/2} U_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2^{3(1-l)}}{\delta K} \int_0^{+\infty} \|F(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 dt + 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \nu_l^2(t) dt \}^{1/2} \\ & < 3K 2^{3(l-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and for all  $t > 0$

$$\int_0^t e^{\kappa\tau} \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta K} 2^{3(1-l)} \|F(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\nu_l^2(\tau) \right\} dt \leq M e^{\gamma t}, \quad (3.13)$$

where  $M$  is a positive constant,  $\gamma \in (0, \kappa)$  and

$$2\kappa = 2^{3l} K + \sum_{j < l: A_j \geq 0} 2^{3j} A_j.$$

Then a unique solution  $u(t, x)$  to problem (3.1)-(3.4), such that  $u \in X_0(Q_T)$  for all  $T > 0$ , satisfies for all  $t > 0$  the inequality

$$\|U(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2e^{-\kappa t} \|U_0\|_{L^2}^2 + M e^{(\gamma-\kappa)t}. \quad (3.14)$$

*Proof.* First of all note that the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied, hence such a unique solution exists. By Assumption A,  $(-1)^l a_{2l} \geq 0$ , hence  $A_l \geq 2l + 1 > 0$ . Multiplying (3.6) by  $2(1+x)U(t, x)$  and integrating, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (1+x)U^2(t, x) dx + \sum_{j=0}^l \int_0^1 [(-1)^{j+1}(2j+1)a_{2j+1} + (-1)^j 2a_{2j}(1+x)] (\partial_x^j U)^2 dx \\ - \frac{2}{3} \int_0^1 U^3 dx + \int_0^1 [(1+x)\psi_x - \psi] U^2 dx \\ \leq 4\|U\|_{L^2} \|F\|_{L^2} + 2\nu_l^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

(In fact, such a calculation must be first performed for smooth solutions and the general case can be obtained via closure). We use the Friedrichs inequality as follows: for any  $\varphi \in H_0^l$

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^{1-3l/2} \|\varphi^{(l)}\|_{L^2}, \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^2} \leq 2^{-3l/2} \|\varphi^{(l)}\|_{L^2}.$$

Then

$$\left| \int_0^1 U^3 dx \right| \leq \|U\|_{L^\infty} \|U\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2^{1-3l} \|U(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_x^l U\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^1 [(1+x)\psi_x - \psi] U^2 dx \right| \\ & \leq 3c^* 2^{-3l} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (\|\mu_j\|_{L^\infty(0,+\infty)} + \|\nu_j\|_{L^\infty(0,+\infty)}) \|\partial_x^l U\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{(1-\delta)K}{2} \|\partial_x^l U\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking this into account, we rewrite (3.15) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (1+x)U^2(t, x) dx + \frac{3K}{2} \int_0^1 (\partial_x^l U)^2 dx \\ + \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{K}{2} - \frac{1}{3} 2^{(2-3l)} \|(1+x)^{1/2} U(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \right] (\partial_x^l U)^2 dx \\ \leq \frac{K}{2} \int_0^1 (\partial_x^l U)^2 dx + \frac{1}{\delta K} 2^{3(1-l)} \|F\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\nu_l^2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{3} 2^{2-3l} \|(1+x)^{1/2} U_0\|_{L^2} < \frac{K}{2},$$

exploiting standard arguments, one can prove that

$$\frac{1}{3} 2^{2-3l} \|(1+x)^{1/2} U(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} < \frac{K}{2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Returning to (3.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (1+x)U^2(t,x)dx + \int_0^1 (2^{3l}K + \sum_{j<l: A_j \geq 0} 2^{3j}A_j)U^2 dx \\ \leq \frac{1}{\delta K} 2^{3(1-l)} \|F\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\nu_l^2(t), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 (1+x)U^2(t,x)dx + \kappa \int_0^1 (1+x)U^2(t,x)dx \leq \frac{1}{\delta K} 2^{3(1-l)} \|F\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\nu_l^2(t).$$

From here follows (3.14).  $\square$

*Remark 3.1.* Inequality (3.13) is valid if  $\|f\|$  and functions  $\nu_j(t), \mu_j(t), j = 0, \dots, l-1; \nu_l(t)$  and their first derivatives are exponentially decreasing and small.

*Remark 3.2.* In [21] a non-trivial stationary solution to the initial-boundary value problem for the homogeneous KdV equation under zero boundary data was constructed. Therefore certain assumptions on the initial data  $u_0$  are necessary for the decay of the corresponding solution as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

### References

1. T.B. Benjamin, *Lectures on nonlinear wave motion*, in: Lecture notes in applied mathematics, 15 (1974) 3–47.
2. H.A. Biagioni, F. Linares, *On the Benney–Lin and Kawahara equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 211 (1997) 131–152.
3. J.L. Bona, V.A. Dougalis, *An initial- and boundary-value problem for a model equation for propagation of long waves*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 75 (1980) 503–202.
4. J.L. Bona, S.M. Sun, B.-Y. Zhang, *A non-homogeneous boundary-value problem for the Korteweg–de Vries equation in a quarter plane*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 354 (2002) 427–490.
5. J.L. Bona, S.M. Sun, B.-Y. Zhang, *A nonhomogeneous boundary-value problem for the Korteweg–de Vries equation posed on a finite domain*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 28 (2003) 1391–1436.
6. A. Boutet de Monvel, D. Shepelsky, *Initial boundary value problem for the mKdV equation on a finite interval*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, (Grenoble) 54 (2004) 1477–1495.
7. B.A. Bubnov, *Solvability in the large of nonlinear boundary-value problems for the Korteweg–de Vries equation in a bounded domain*, Differential'snye Uravneniya, 16(1) (1980) 34–41. Transl. in: Differential Equations 16 (1980) 24–30.
8. T. Colin, M. Gisclon, *An initial-boundary-value problem that approximate the quarter-plane problem for the Korteweg–de Vries equation*, Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A: Theory Methods, 46 (2001) 869–892.
9. T. Colin, J.-M. Ghidaglia, *An initial-boundary-value problem for the Korteweg–de Vries equation posed on a finite interval*, Advances Differential Equations, 6 (2001) 1463–1492.
10. Sh. Cui, Sh. Tao, *Strichartz estimates for dispersive equations and solvability of the Kawahara equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 304 (2005) 683–702.
11. S.B. Cui, D.G. Deng, S.P. Tao, *Global existence of solutions for the Cauchy problem of the Kawahara equation with  $L_2$  initial data*, Acta Math. Sin., (Engl. Ser.) 22 (2006) 1457–1466.

12. G.G. Doronin, N.A. Larkin, *KdV equation in domains with moving boundaries*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 328 (2007) 503–515.
13. G.G. Doronin, N.A. Larkin, *Boundary value problems for the stationary Kawahara equation*, Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, Methods & Applications (2007), doi: 10.1016/j.na.200707005.
14. G.G. Doronin, N.A. Larkin, *Kawahara equation in a bounded domain*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Serie B, 10 (2008) 783–799.
15. A.V. Faminskii, *Cauchy problem for quasilinear equations of odd order*, Mat. Sb. 180 (1989) 1183–1210. Transl. in Math. USSR-Sb. 68 (1991) 31–59.
16. A.V. Faminskii, *On initial boundary value problems for the Korteweg–de Vries equation with irregular boundary data*, Doklady Akad. Nauk 366 (1999) 28–29. Transl. in Doklady Math. 59 (1999) 366–367.
17. A.V. Faminskii, *On an initial boundary value problem in a bounded domain for the generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation*, Functional Differential Equations 8 (2001) 183–194.
18. A.V. Faminskii, *On two initial boundary value problems for the generalized KdV equation*, Nonlinear Boundary Problems 14 (2004) 58–71.
19. A.V. Faminskii, *Global well-posedness of two initial boundary-value problems for the Korteweg–de Vries equation*, Differential Integral Eq. 20 (2007) 601–642.
20. A.V. Faminskii, N.A. Larkin, *Initial-boundary value problems for quasilinear dispersive equations posed on a bounded interval*, Electronic Journal of Differential Eq., vol 2010, n1 (2010) 1–20.
21. O. Goubet, J. Shen, *On the dual Petrov–Galerkin formulation of the KdV equation*, Advances Differential Equations 12 (2007) 221–239.
22. T. Kawahara, *Oscillatory solitary waves in dispersive media*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 33 (1972) 260–264.
23. C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, *Well-posedness of the initial value problem for the Korteweg–de Vries equation*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991) 323–347.
24. C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, *Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation via the contraction principle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 46 (1993), 527–620.
25. V.V. Khablov, “On a boundary-value problem for the Korteweg–de Vries equation in a bounded domain,” in: V.N. Vragov (Ed.), *Primenenie metodov funkcional’nogo analiza k zadacham matematicheskoi fiziki i vychislitel’noi matematiki*, Akad. Nauk SSSR Sibirsk. Otdel., Inst. Mat., Novosibirsk, 1979, pp. 137–141 (in Russian). MathSciNet: MR0584772 (82f:35161)
26. A.I. Kozhanov, “Composite type equations and inverse problems,” Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series, VSP, Utrecht, 1999.
27. N.A. Larkin, *Korteweg–de Vries and Kuramoto–Sivashinsky Equations in Bounded Domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 297 (2004), 169–185.
28. N.A. Larkin, *Modified KdV equation with a source term in a bounded domain*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 29 (2006) 751–765.
29. N.A. Larkin, *Correct initial boundary value problems for dispersive equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 344 (2008) 1079–1092.
30. A. Pazy, “Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations,” Applied Mathematical Sciences 44, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo, 1983.
31. S.G. Pyatkov, *An equation of composite type*, Differentsial’nye Uravneniya 16 (1980) 117–123 (in Russian).

32. M.D. Ramazanov, *Boundary value problem for one type of differential equations*, Mat. Sb. 64 (1964) 234–261 (in Russian).
33. J.C. Saut, *Sur quelques generalizations de l'equation de Korteweg–de Vries*, J. Math. Pures Appl 58 (1979) 21–61.
34. J. Topper, T. Kawahara, *Approximate equations for long nonlinear waves on a viscous fluid*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 44 (1978) 663–666.
35. L.R. Volevich, S.G. Gindikin, *Mixed problem for  $(2b + 1)$ -hyperbolic equations*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch. 43 (1981) 197–259 (in Russian).
36. H. Wang, Sh. Cui, D.G. Deng, *Global existence of solutions for the Kawahara equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 23 (2007) 1435–1446.
37. B.-Y. Zhang, “Boundary stabilization of the Korteweg–de Vries equations,” in: W. Desch, F. Kappel, K. Kunisch (Eds.), Proc. Int. Conf. Control and Estimation of Distributed Parameter Systems: Nonlinear Phenomena, Int. Ser. Numerical Math., vol. 118, Birkhauser, Basel, 1994, pp. 371–389.
38. B.-Y. Zhang, *Exact boundary controllability of the Korteweg–de Vries equation on a bounded domain*, SIAM J. Control & Optim. 37 (1999) 543–565.

*Andrei V. Faminskii*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Peoples' Friendship University of Russia*  
*Miklukho–Maklai str. 6*  
*Moscow, 117198, Russia*

*Nikolai A. Larkin*  
*Departamento de Matemática*  
*Universidade Estadual de Maringá*  
*Av. Colombo 5790*  
*87020-900, Maringá, PR, Brazil*