



## Maximal Divisible Subgroups in Modular Group Rings over a Finite Ring or a Field

Peter Danchev

ABSTRACT: We describe up to an isomorphism the algebraic structure of the maximal divisible subgroup  $dVR[G]$  of the group  $VR[G]$  of normalized units in a group ring  $R[G]$ , provided that  $G$  is an abelian group such that  $G_t/G_p$  is (infinite) bounded and  $R$  is a field of prime characteristic  $p$ . This supplies recent author's results in Rad. Mat. (2004), Commun. Algebra (2011), Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (2010) and J. Alg. Numb. Th. Acad. (2010).

Key Words: abelian groups, divisible subgroups, commutative rings, fields, binomial extensions, normalized units, cardinalities.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>2 Main Results</b>	<b>68</b>

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this short paper, let  $G$  be a multiplicative abelian group as is the custom when discussing group rings and  $R$  a commutative unitary ring of prime characteristic  $p$ . As usual, suppose  $R[G]$  is the group ring of  $G$  over  $R$  with unit group  $UR[G]$  and its normalized component  $VR[G]$ ; note that the direct decomposition  $UR[G] = VR[G] \times R^*$  holds where  $R^*$  is the unit group of  $R$ . Moreover, let  $dG$  and  $G_t$  to denote the maximal divisible subgroup and the maximal torsion subgroup of  $G$ , respectively; notice that the direct decomposition  $G_t = \coprod_p G_p$  is true whenever  $G_p$  is the  $p$ -primary component of  $G$ . Likewise, let  $N(R)$  be the nil-radical of  $R$ .

Traditionally, for any set  $S$ , we let  $|S|$  denote its cardinality and for any natural number  $n$ , we let  $\zeta_n$  denote the primitive  $n$ th root of unity. Moreover, as usual, if  $R$  is a field,  $R(\zeta_n)$  denotes the binomial extension of  $R$  by adding  $\zeta_n$  with dimension equal to  $(R(\zeta_n) : R)$  but if  $R$  is a ring,  $R[\zeta_n]$  denotes the free  $R$ -module algebraically generated as a ring by  $\zeta_n$  with dimension equal to  $[R[\zeta_n] : R]$ . Denote by  $L^{(p)}$  the maximal  $(p)$ -perfect subring of a ring  $L$  with characteristic  $p$ , and by  $G^{(p)}$  the maximal  $p$ -divisible subgroup of a group  $G$ . Also,  $id(L) = \{e \in L : e^2 = e\}$  is the set of all idempotents in  $L$ .

All other unstated explicitly notions and notations are standard and follow those from [8].

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16S34, 16U60, 20K10, 20K21

A problem of major interest in the theory of commutative modular group rings is to characterize the maximal divisible subgroup. This subject is motivated in accordance to the direct decomposition  $VR[G] = dVR[G] \times K$  for some subgroup  $K$  of  $VR[G]$ .

In [9],  $dV_pR[G]$  was described up to isomorphism in terms of  $R$  and  $G$ . Furthermore, we extended in [2] (see also [3]) this result describing the isomorphism class of  $dVR[G]$ , provided  $R$  is a field and  $G$  is a group such that  $G_t = G_p$ . Next, we improved our technique in [4] and [5] and, as a result, we obtained a comprehensive description of  $dVR[G]$  assuming only that  $G_t = G_p$ . Finally, in [6] a satisfactory characterization of  $dVR[G]$  was given uniquely in terms associated with  $R$  and  $G$  and their sections, provided that  $R$  is an indecomposable ring (or even more,  $R$  is a direct product of finitely many indecomposable subrings) and  $G$  is a group with the restriction that  $G_t/G_p$  is finite.

So, the goal of this brief article is to strengthen this last achievement establishing the isomorphism structure of  $dVR[G]$  for infinite quotient  $G_t/G_p$ . However, we shall restrict our attention only when  $R$  is a field or  $R$  is a finite ring.

## 2. Main Results

First, one simple but very useful reduction lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $G$  is a group such that  $G_t/G_p$  is bounded. Then  $G$  is the direct sum of a bounded group and a  $p$ -mixed group.*

*Proof.* Since  $G_t/G_p \cong \prod_{q \neq p} G_q$  is bounded and  $\prod_{q \neq p} G_q$  is pure in  $G$  (this is true because it is pure in  $G_t$  being its direct factor and  $G_t$  is pure in  $G$ ), it is a folklore fact that  $\prod_{q \neq p} G_q$  is a direct factor of  $G$  too,  $G = (\prod_{q \neq p} G_q) \times M$  say for some  $M \leq G$ . Clearly  $M \cong G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q$  is  $p$ -mixed owing to the fact that  $M_t \cong (G/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q)_t = G_t/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q \cong G_p$ .  $\triangle$

We now recall one crucial assertion from [4] and [5] that will be used in the sequel.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $A$  is a  $p$ -mixed group and  $L$  a ring of prime characteristic  $p$ . Then the following isomorphism is valid:*

$$dVL[A] \cong \prod_{\lambda} \mathbf{Z}(p^{\infty}) \times \prod_{\mu} (dA/dA_p)$$

where  $\lambda = \max(|L^{(p)}|, |A^{(p)}|)$  if  $dA_p \neq 1$ , or  $\lambda = \max(|N(L^{(p)})|, |A^{(p)}|)$  if  $dA_p = 1$ ,  $A^{(p)} \neq 1$  and  $N(L^{(p)}) \neq 0$ , or  $\lambda = 0$  if either  $dA_p = 1$  or  $A^{(p)} = 1$  and  $N(L^{(p)}) = 0$ , whereas  $\mu = |\text{id}(L)| \geq \aleph_0$  or  $\mu = \log_2 |\text{id}(L)|$  if  $|\text{id}(L)| < \aleph_0$ .

So, we have at our disposal all the information needed to prove the first main result.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a field and that  $G$  is a group such that  $G_t/G_p$  is infinite bounded. Then the following isomorphism formula is fulfilled:*

$$dUR[G] \cong \prod_{\lambda} \mathbf{Z}(p^{\infty}) \times \prod_{\mu} (dG/dG_p) \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{a(n)} dR(\zeta_n)^*$$

where  $\lambda = \max(|R^{p^{\omega}}|, |G^{(p)}|)$  if  $dG_p \neq 1$  or  $\lambda = 0$  if either  $dG_p = 1$  or  $G^{(p)} = \prod_{q \neq p} G_q$  whereas  $\mu = |G_t/G_p|$ , and  $a(n) = \frac{|\{g \in G_t/G_p : \text{order}(g) = n\}|}{|(R(\zeta_n):R)|}$ .

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 1, one can write  $G = B \times M$  where  $B = \prod_{q \neq p} G_q \cong G_t/G_p$  is bounded and  $M$  is  $p$ -mixed. Consequently,  $R[G] \cong (R[B])[M]$  and hence  $UR[G] \cong U(R[B])[M] = V(R[B])[M] \times UR[B]$ . Thus,  $dUR[G] \cong dV(R[B])[M] \times dUR[B]$ .

Furthermore, we shall describe these two direct factors separately:

For the characterization of first factor  $dV(R[B])[M]$  we employ Theorem 2 substituting  $L = R[B]$  and  $A = M$ ; observe that  $R[B]$  is a commutative unitary ring of  $\text{char}(R[B]) = p$ . Taking into account [7], especially that  $\text{id}(R[B]) = |B| = |G_t/G_p|$ , the classical fact that  $R^{(p)} = R^{p^\omega}$  and some other well-known arguments like  $dG = dM$  whence  $dG_p = dM_p$ ,  $M^{(p)} \cong G^{(p)}/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q$ ,  $L^{(p)} = R^{p^\omega}[B]$  and hence  $N(L^{(p)}) = N(R^{p^\omega})[B] = 0$  (see Proposition 4 below), the desired equalities of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are obtained.

For the description of the second factor we appeal to [1] to infer that  $UR[\prod_{q \neq p} G_q] \cong \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{a(n)} R(\zeta_n)^*$  where  $a(n)$  is given as above. Therefore, it follows at once that  $dUR[\prod_{q \neq p} G_q] \cong \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{a(n)} dR(\zeta_n)^*$ , and we are done.  $\triangle$

**Proposition 4.** *If  $C$  is an abelian group whose  $C_p = 1$ , then  $N(R[C]) = N(R)[C]$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $x = r_1 c_1 + \dots + r_s c_s \in N(R[C])$ . Hence there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(r_1 c_1 + \dots + r_s c_s)^{p^m} = r_1^{p^m} c_1^{p^m} + \dots + r_s^{p^m} c_s^{p^m} = 0$ . Since  $x$  is written in canonical form and  $C_p = 1$ , it follows that  $r_1^{p^m} c_1^{p^m} + \dots + r_s^{p^m} c_s^{p^m}$  is in canonical record as well. Consequently,  $r_1^{p^m} = \dots = r_s^{p^m} = 0$  and thus  $r_1, \dots, r_s \in N(R)$ . Finally  $x$  obviously lies in  $N(R)[C]$  as required. This proves that  $N(R[C]) \subseteq N(R)[C]$ . The converse inclusion is elementary, so that it is equivalent to the desired equality.  $\triangle$

The second chief result is the following.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $R$  be finite and  $G$  a group for which  $G_t/G_p$  is finite. Then the following isomorphism formula is fulfilled:*

$$dV R[G] \cong \prod_{\lambda} \mathbf{Z}(p^\infty) \times \prod_{\mu} (dG/dG_p)$$

where  $\lambda = |G^{(p)}|$  if  $dG_p \neq 1$  or  $dG_p = 1$ ,  $G^{(p)} \neq \prod_{q \neq p} G_q$  and  $N(R^{(p)}) \neq 0$  as well as  $\lambda = 0$  if either  $dG_p = 1$  and  $N(R^{(p)}) = 0$ , or  $G^{(p)} = \prod_{q \neq p} G_q$  and  $N(R^{(p)}) = 0$ , whereas  $\mu = \sum_{d/\exp(G_t/G_p)} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \log_2 |\text{id}(R)|} a_i(d)$  with  $a_i(d) = \frac{|\{g \in G_t/G_p : \text{order}(g) = d\}|}{|R_i[\zeta_d] : R_i|}$ .

*Proof.* As above, Lemma 1 implies that  $G = B \times M$  where  $B = \prod_{q \neq p} G_q \cong G_t/G_p$  is finite and  $M$  is  $p$ -mixed. Therefore,  $R[G] \cong R[B][M]$  whence  $V R[G] \times U(R) = UR[G] \cong U(R[B])[M] = V(R[B])[M] \times UR[B]$  and thus  $dV R[G] \cong dV(R[B])[M]$  since both  $U(R)$  and  $UR[B]$  are finite and thereby their maximal divisible subgroups are equal to 1. We next apply Theorem 2 to  $L = R[B]$  and  $A = M$ . Observe that  $L$  is a finite commutative unitary ring of  $\text{char}(L) = p$ . So,  $\text{id}(L)$  is finite as computed in [7]. It easily follows as in the previous theorem that  $L^{(p)} = R^{(p)}[B]$  since  $B$  is  $p$ -divisible and, moreover, in view of Proposition 4 we have  $N(L^{(p)}) = N(R^{(p)})[B]$ , hence  $N(L^{(p)}) = 0$  exactly when  $N(R^{(p)}) = 0$ . On the other hand,  $dG = dM$  whence  $dG_p = dM_p$ ,  $M^{(p)} \cong G^{(p)}/\prod_{q \neq p} G_q$  with

$|M^{(p)}| = |G^{(p)}|$  whenever  $|M^{(p)}| \geq \aleph_0$  since  $\coprod_{q \neq p} G_q$  is finite.  $\triangle$

**Remark.** The last statement can also be derived from Corollary 4 of [6]. Nevertheless, the above proof is slightly more conceptual and easy than that of the original source [6].

A problem which immediately arises is the following:

**Problem.** Extend the preceding theorems to the case when  $G_t/G_p$  is unbounded and  $R$  is a field, or to the case when  $G_t/G_p$  is infinite bounded and  $R$  is a finite ring.

### References

1. P. V. Danchev, *Normed units in abelian group rings*, Glasgow Math. J. **43** (2001), 365-373.
2. P. V. Danchev, *Maximal divisible subgroups in modular group algebras of  $p$ -mixed and  $p$ -splitting abelian groups*, Rad. Mat. **13** (2004), 23-32.
3. P. V. Danchev, *Maximal divisible subgroups in modular group algebras of torsion abelian groups over certain commutative rings*, An. St. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza", Iasi - Mat. **53** (2007), 325-329.
4. P. V. Danchev, *Maximal divisible subgroups in  $p$ -mixed modular abelian group rings*, Commun. Algebra **39** (2011).
5. P. V. Danchev, *Maximal divisible subgroups in modular group rings of  $p$ -mixed abelian groups*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **41** (2010), 63-72.
6. P. V. Danchev, *Maximal divisible subgroups in abelian group algebras over indecomposable rings*, J. Alg. Numb. Th. Acad., to appear.
7. P. V. Danchev, *The number of idempotents in commutative group rings of prime characteristic*, to appear.
8. G. Karpilovsky, *Unit Groups of Group Rings*, Longman Sci. and Techn., Harlow (1989).
9. N. A. Nachev, *Invariants of the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of the unit group of a commutative group ring of characteristic  $p$* , Commun. Algebra **23** (1995), 2469-2489.

*Peter Danchev*  
 13, General Kutuzov Str.  
 bl. 7, fl. 2, ap. 4  
 4003 Plovdiv, Bulgaria  
 E-mail address: pvdanchev@yahoo.com