



The semi normed space defined by entire sequences

N. Subramanian, K. Chandrasekhara Rao and K. Balasubramanian

ABSTRACT: In this paper we introduce the sequence spaces $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$, $\Lambda(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and define a semi normed space (X, q) , semi normed by q . We study some properties of these sequence spaces and obtain some inclusion relations.

Key Words: Entire sequence, Analytic sequence, Invariant mean, Semi norm.

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1 Introduction | 37 |
| 2 Definitions and Preliminaries | 38 |
| 3 Main Results | 39 |

1. Introduction

A complex sequence, whose k^{th} term is x_k , is denoted by $\{x_k\}$ or simply x . Let ϕ be the set of all finite sequences. A sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ is said to be analytic if $\sup_k |x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} < \infty$. The vector space of all analytic sequences will be denoted by Λ . A sequence x is called entire sequence if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |x_k|^{\frac{1}{k}} = 0$. The vector space of all entire sequences will be denoted by Γ . Let σ be a one-one mapping of the set of positive integers into itself such that $\sigma^m(n) = \sigma(\sigma^{m-1}(n))$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

A continuous linear functional ϕ on Λ is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if and only if (1) $\phi(x) \geq 0$ when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n (2) $\phi(e) = 1$ where $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ and (3) $\phi(\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}) = \phi(\{x_n\})$ for all $x \in \Lambda$. For certain kinds of mappings σ , every invariant mean ϕ extends the limit functional on the space C of all real convergent sequences in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in C$. Consequently $C \subset V_{\sigma}$, where V_{σ} is the set of analytic sequences all of those σ -means are equal .

If $x = (x_n)$, set $Tx = (Tx)^{1/n} = (x_{\sigma(n)})$. It can be shown that

$V_{\sigma} = \left\{ x = (x_n) : m \xrightarrow{\lim} \infty t_{mn}(x_n)^{1/n} = L \text{ uniformly in } n, L = \sigma - n \xrightarrow{\lim} \infty (x_n)^{1/n} \right\}$
 where

$$t_{mn}(x) = \frac{(x_n + Tx_n + \dots + T^m x_n)^{1/n}}{m+1} \quad (1)$$

Given a sequence $x = \{x_k\}$ its n^{th} section is the sequence $x^{(n)} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots\}$, $\delta^{(n)} = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$, 1 in the n^{th} place and zeros elsewhere. An FK-space

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45, 46B45

(Frechet coordinate space) is a Frechet space which is made up of numerical sequences and has the property that the coordinate functionals $p_k(x) = x_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) are continuous.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 The space consisting of all those sequences x in w such that $(|x_k|^{1/k}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ is denoted by Γ . In other words $(|x_k|^{1/k})$ is a null sequence. Γ is called the space of entire sequences. The space Γ is a metric space with the metric $d(x, y) = \left\{ \sup_k (|x_k - y_k|^{1/k}) : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$ for all $x = \{x_k\}$ and $y = \{y_k\}$ in Γ .

Definition 2.2 The space consisting of all those sequences x in w such that $(\sup_k (|x_k|^{1/k})) < \infty$ is denoted by Λ . In other words $(\sup_k (|x_k|^{1/k}))$ is a bounded sequence.

Definition 2.3 Let p, q be semi norms on a vector space X . Then p is said to be stronger than q if whenever (x_n) is a sequence such that $p(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, then also $q(x_n) \rightarrow 0$. If each is stronger than the other, then p and q are said to be equivalent.

Lemma 2.4 Let p and q be semi norms on a linear space X . Then p is stronger than q if and only if there exists a constant M such that $q(x) \leq Mp(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.5 A sequence space E is said to be solid or normal if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in E$ whenever $(x_k) \in E$ and for all sequences of scalars (α_k) with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$, for all $k \in N$.

Definition 2.6 A sequence space E is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical pre-images of all its step spaces.

Remark 2.7 From the above two definitions, it is clear that a sequence space E is solid implies that E is monotone.

Definition 2.8 A sequence E is said to be convergence free if $(y_k) \in E$ whenever $(x_k) \in E$ and $x_k = 0$ implies that $y_k = 0$.

Let $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers with $0 < p_k < \sup p_k = G$. Let $D = \text{Max}(1, 2^{G-1})$. Then for $a_k, b_k \in C$, the set of complex numbers for all $k \in N$ we have

$$|a_k + b_k|^{1/k} \leq D \left\{ |a_k|^{1/k} + |b_k|^{1/k} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Let (X, q) be a semi normed space over the field C of complex numbers with the semi norm q . The symbol $\Lambda(X)$ denotes the space of all analytic sequences defined over X . We define the following sequence spaces:

$$\Lambda(p, \sigma, q, s) = \left\{ x \in \Lambda(X) : \sup_{n,k} k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty \text{ uniformly in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \right\}$$

$$\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s) = \left\{ x \in \Gamma(X) : k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ unif/ in } n \geq 0, s \geq 0 \right\}.$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1 $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is a linear space over the set of complex numbers.

Proof: The proof is easy, so omitted .

Theorem 3.2 $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is a paranormed space with

$$g^*(x) = \left\{ \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right], \text{ uniformly in } n > 0 \right\}$$

where $H = \max(1, \sup_k p_k)$.

Proof: Clearly $g(x) = g(-x)$ and $g(\theta) = 0$, where θ is the zero sequence . It can be easily verified that $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$. Next $x \rightarrow \theta$, λ fixed implies $g(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$. Also $x \rightarrow \theta$ and $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ implies $g(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$. The case $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and x fixed implies that $g(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ follows from the following expressions.

$$g(\lambda x) = \left\{ \left(\sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right] \right)^{p_k} \text{ uniformly in } n, m \in N \right\}$$

$$g(\lambda x) = \left\{ (|\lambda| r)^{p_m/H} : \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right], r > 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, m \in N \right\}$$

where $r = \frac{1}{|\lambda|}$. Hence $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is a paranormed space . This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3 $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s) \cap \Lambda(p, \sigma, q, s) \subseteq \Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Proof: The proof is easy, so omitted .

Theorem 3.4 $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s) \subset \Lambda(p, \sigma, q, s)$.

Proof: The proof is easy, so omitted .

Remark 3.1 Let q_1 and q_2 be two semi norms on X , we have

- (i) $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q_1, s) \cap \Gamma(p, \sigma, q_2, s) \subseteq \Gamma(p, \sigma, q_1 + q_2, s)$;
- (ii) If q_1 is stronger than q_2 , then $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q_1, s) \subseteq \Gamma(p, \sigma, q_2, s)$;
- (iii) If q_1 is equivalent to q_2 , then $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q_1, s) = \Gamma(p, \sigma, q_2, s)$.

Theorem 3.5 (i) Let $0 \leq p_k \leq r_k$ and $\left\{ \frac{r_k}{p_k} \right\}$ be bounded. Then $\Gamma(r, \sigma, q, s) \subset \Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$;
(ii) $s_1 \leq s_2$ implies $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s_1) \subset \Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s_2)$.

Proof of (i):

Let

$$x \in \Gamma(r, \sigma, q, s) \quad (3)$$

$$k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{r_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (4)$$

Let $t_k = k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{r_k}$ and $\lambda_k = \frac{p_k}{r_k}$. Since $p_k \leq r_k$, we have $0 \leq \lambda_k \leq 1$. Take $0 < \lambda < \lambda_k$. Define $u_k = t_k (t_k \geq 1)$; $u_k = 0 (t_k < 1)$; and $v_k = 0 (t_k \geq 1)$; $v_k = t_k (t_k < 1)$; $t_k = u_k + v_k$. $t_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$. Now it follows that

$$u_k^{\lambda_k} \leq t_k \text{ and } v_k^{\lambda_k} \leq v_k^{\lambda} \quad (5)$$

(i.e) $t_k^{\lambda_k} \leq t_k + v_k^{\lambda}$ by (5) $k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{r_k \lambda_k} \leq k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{r_k}$
 $k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{r_k \lambda_k} \leq k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{r_k} k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq$
 $k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{r_k}$ But $k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{r_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by (4) .
 $k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence

$$x \in \Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s). \quad (6)$$

From (3) and (6) we get $\Gamma(r, \sigma, q, s) \subset \Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$. This completes the proof .

Proof of (ii): The proof is easy, so omitted .

Theorem 3.6 *The space $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$ is solid and as such is monotone .*

Proof: Let $(x_k) \in \Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$ and (α_k) be a sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$. Then $k^{-s} \left[q \left(|\alpha_k x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq k^{-s} \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{p_k}$ for all $k \in N$. $\left[q \left(|\alpha_k x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \left[q \left(|x_{\sigma^k(n)}|^{1/k} \right) \right]^{p_k}$ for all $k \in N$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.7 *The space $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$ are not convergence free in general.*

Proof: The proof follows from the following example.

Example: Let $s = 0$; $p_k = 1$ for k even and $p_k = 2$ for k odd. Let $X = C$, $q(x) = |x|$ and $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ for all $n \in N$. Then we have $\sigma^2(n) = \sigma(\sigma(n)) = \sigma(n + 1) = (n + 1) + 1 = n + 2$ and $\sigma^3(n) = \sigma(\sigma^2(n)) = \sigma(n + 2) = (n + 2) + 1 = n + 3$. Therefore $\sigma^k(n) = (n + k)$ for all $n, k \in N$. Consider the sequences (x_k) and (y_k) defined as $x_k = \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^k$ and $(y_k) = k^k$ for all $k \in N$. (i.e) $|x_k|^{1/k} = \frac{1}{k}$ and $|y_k|^{1/k} = k$ for all $k \in N$.

Hence $\left| \left(\frac{1}{(n+k)} \right)^{n+k} \right|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $(x_k) \in \Gamma(p, \sigma)$. But $\left| \left(\frac{1}{(n+k)} \right)^{n+k} \right|^{p_k} r \not\rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $(y_k) \notin \Gamma(p, \sigma)$. Hence the space $\Gamma(p, \sigma, q, s)$ are not convergence free in general. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank the referees for their several remarks and valuable suggestions that improved the presentation of the paper.

References

1. Y.Altin and M.Et, Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a modulus function in a locally convex space, *Soochow J. Math.* **31(2)**(2005), 233-243.
2. H.I.Brown, The summability field of a perfect $\ell - \ell$ method of summation, *J. Anal. Math.* **20**(1967), 281-287.
3. R.Colak, M.Et, and E.Malkowsky, Some topics of sequence spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, *Firat University Press, Elazig, Turkey*, **2004**.
4. C.Goffman and G.Pedrick, First Course in Functional Analysis, *Prentice Hall India, New Delhi*,**1974**.
5. P.K.Kamthan and M.Gupta, Sequence spaces and Series. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, *65 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York*, **1981**.
6. I.J.Maddox, Elements of Functional Analysis, *Cambridge Univ. Press*, **1970**.
7. I.J.Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*(100) (1986), 161-166.
8. H.Nakano, "Concave modulars", *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, **Vol. 5, no.1**(1953), 29-49.
9. W.H.Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, *Canad. J. Math.*, **25**(1973), 973-978.
10. B.C.Tripathy, S.Mahanta and M.Et, On a class of generalized difference sequence space defined by modulus function, *Hakkaido Math. Jour.*, **XXXIV(3)**(2005), 667-677.
11. A.Wilansky, Functional Analysis, *Blaisdell publishing company, New York*, **1964**.
12. A.Wilansky, Summability through Functional Analysis, *North Holland Mathematics Studies, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam*, **Vol. 85** (1984).

N.Subramanian
 Department of Mathematics, SASTRA University,
 Thanjavur-613 401, India.
 E-mail address: nsmaths@yahoo.com

and

K.Chandrasekhara Rao
 Department of Mathematics, SASTRA University,
 Thanjavur-613 401, India.
 E-mail address: kchandrasekhara@rediffmail.com

and

K.Balasubramanian
 Department of Mathematics, SASTRA University,
 Thanjavur-613 401, India.
 E-mail address: k_bala27@yahoo.co.in