



A Bertrand Postulate for a Subclass of Primes

G Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy, S Srinivas Rau, B Uma

ABSTRACT: Let d be a squarefree integer and consider the subclass of primes with Legendre symbol $(\frac{d}{p}) = +1$. It is shown that for x large enough $(x, 2x]$ contain a prime of this type.

Key Words: Primes ; Legendre Symbol ; Bertrand's Postulate.

Bertrand's Postulate states that "for every $n > 1$ there is at least one prime p such that $n < p < 2n$ ".

Let d be a squarefree integer. It is known ([2], p75-76) that the set of primes p with Legendre symbol $(\frac{d}{p}) = +1$ has (analytic/natural) density $\frac{1}{2}$. We state this as

Lemma 1. Let $\pi_1(x) = |\{p|p \leq x, p \text{ prime}, (\frac{d}{p}) = +1\}|$. Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_1(x)}{\pi(x)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Here $\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1$ is the usual counting function. We prove the following using certain standard results via Lemmas 1, 2, 3.

Proposition 1. For all x large enough, the interval $(x, 2x]$ contains a prime p with $(\frac{d}{p}) = +1$.

Remark 1. Unlike Bertrand's postulate, such a statement can fail for small x , even if the interval is "doubled" to $(x, 4x)$. For example if $d = 5$ and $x = 2$, then $(2, 8)$ contains three primes; 3, 5 and 7. But $(\frac{5}{3}) = -1$, $(\frac{5}{5}) = 0$ and $(\frac{5}{7}) = -1$. Recall Chebyshev's function

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \log \left(\prod_{p \leq x} p \right)$$

We introduce correspondingly $\theta_1(x) = \sum_{p \leq x, (\frac{d}{p}) = +1} \log p$. Note that $\pi_1(x) \leq \pi(x)$ and $\theta_1(x) \leq \theta(x)$

Lemma 2. $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_1(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{2}$

Proof: $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_1(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{\pi_1(x) \log x}{x} - \frac{1}{x} \int_2^x \frac{\pi_1(t)}{t} dt \right]$ by adapting directly the proof of the corresponding result for θ and π ([1], Th 4.3).

Again $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_2^x \frac{\pi_1(t)}{t} dt = 0$ ([1], p79). This forces the second term above to tend to 0. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_1(x)}{x} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_1(x) \log x}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_1(x)}{\pi(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

by the Prime Number Theorem ($\pi(x) \sim \frac{\log x}{x}$) and Lemma1 □

Lemma 3. $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\theta_1(2x)}{x} - \frac{\theta_1(x)}{x} \right) = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$

Proof: Apply Lemma2 to each of the limits. □

Proof of Proposition1:

$$\theta_1(2x) - \theta_1(x) = \log \left(\prod_{p \leq 2x, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=+1} p \right) - \log \left(\prod_{p \leq x, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=+1} p \right)$$

$$\therefore \theta_1(2x) - \theta_1(x) = \log \left(\prod_{x < p \leq 2x, \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=+1} p \right)$$

This is zero precisely when $(x, 2x]$ does not contain any prime p with the symbol $+1$. But if it is zero for infinitely many x , with $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have a contradiction to Lemma3 as there would be a subsequence with limit $0 \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence there is x_0 such that for all $x > x_0$, $(x, 2x]$ contains a prime p with symbol $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = +1$.

References

1. Tom Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer 1976
2. J-P.Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, Springer 1973

G Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy
FST, IFHE University,
Dontanapalli, Shankarpalli Road,
Hyderabad-501504, India
E-mail address: dr.sudhamshreddy@gmail.com

and

S Srinivas Rau
FST, IFHE University,
Dontanapalli, Shankarpalli Road,
Hyderabad-501504, India
E-mail address: rauindia@yahoo.co.in

and

B Uma
H No:1-18-56/1/1, MES COLONY,
ALWAL SECUNDRERABAD-500015, India
E-mail address: umanmu@yahoo.com