



## Existence and multiplicity of solutions for class of Navier boundary $p$ -biharmonic problem near resonance

Mohammed Massar, El Miloud Hssini, Najib Tsouli

ABSTRACT: This paper studies the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for the following elliptic problem

$\Delta(\rho(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u) = \lambda m(x)|u|^{p-2}u + f(x, u) + h(x)$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u = \Delta u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .  
By using Ekeland's variational principle, Mountain pass theorem and saddle point theorem, the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions are established.

Key Words:  $p$ -biharmonic, resonance, Ekeland's principle, Mountain pass theorem, saddle point theorem.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction and main results</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>2 Preliminaries and proofs of Theorems</b>	<b>86</b>

### 1. Introduction and main results

In this article, we are concerned with the following elliptic problem of  $p$ -biharmonic type

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\rho(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u) = \lambda m(x)|u|^{p-2}u + f(x, u) + h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ) is a bounded smooth domain,  $p > 1$ ,  $\rho \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  with  $\inf_{\overline{\Omega}} \rho(x) > 0$ ,  $f : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Carathéodory function and  $m \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  is nonnegative weight functions.

The investigation of existence and multiplicity of solutions for problems involving  $p$ -biharmonic operator has drawn the attention of many authors, see reference.

In [4], Li and Tang considered the following Navier boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u) = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $p > \max\{1, \frac{N}{2}\}$  and  $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ . Under suitable assumptions the existence of at least three weak solutions is established. In [6], Ma and Pelicer study a multiplicity for the perturbed  $p$ -Laplacian equation

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2}u + f(x, u) + h(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $\lambda$  is near  $\lambda_1$ , the principal eigenvalue of the weighted problem

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

they proved the existence of one or three solutions.

In the present paper, we study problem (1.1) that result was extended to the p-biharmonic operator in bounded domains, with the weight functions. We were inspired by Ma and Pelicer [6] in which problems involving the p-laplacian operator is studied. Our technical approach is based on Ekeland's variational principle, Mountain pass theorem and saddle point theorem. We assume that  $f$  satisfies the following conditions

(F<sub>1</sub>) There exists a real  $a > 0$  and a function  $b \in L^{(p^*)'}(\Omega)$  such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq a|t|^{\sigma-1} + b(x) \quad \text{a.e in } \Omega \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

with  $1 < \sigma < p$ .

(F<sub>2</sub>) There exist  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$pF(x, u) - f(x, u)u \geq \alpha|u|^\mu + \beta(x) \quad \text{a.e in } \Omega \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $1 < \mu \leq \sigma < p$  and  $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s)ds$ .

We introduce the space  $X := W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , which is a reflexive Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left( \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad (\text{see, e.g., [1, 10]}).$$

Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\rho |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u) = \lambda m(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Let  $\lambda_1$  denote the first eigenvalue of problem (1.3). According to the work of M.Talbi and N.Tsouli [10], since  $m \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $m \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda_1$  is positive, simple, isolated and is given by

$$\lambda_1 = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx : u \in X, \int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^p dx = 1 \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx \geq \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^p dx \quad \text{for all } u \in X. \quad (1.5)$$

Let  $\varphi_1$  normalized eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ , which can be chosen positive. Let

$$\lambda_2 := \inf \{ \lambda : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of (1.3) with } \lambda > \lambda_1 \}. \quad (1.6)$$

The fact that  $\lambda_1$  is isolated implies that  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ . It can also be shown (see Lemma 2.1) that there exists  $\bar{\lambda} \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx \geq \bar{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} m(x) |u|^p dx, \quad (1.7)$$

for all  $u \in X$  with  $\int_{\Omega} m(x) |\varphi_1|^{p-2} \varphi_1 u dx = 0$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We say that  $u \in X$  is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} m(x) |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi dx = 0,$$

for all  $\varphi \in X$ .

The corresponding energy functional of problem (1.1) is given by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} m(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \int_{\Omega} h(x) u dx, \quad (1.8)$$

it is well known that  $I \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ , with derivative at point  $u \in X$  is given by

$$\langle I'(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} m |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} h \varphi dx,$$

for every  $\varphi \in X$ . Consequently, the critical points of the functional  $I$  correspond to the weak solutions of the problem (1.1).

Let here recall the weak version of Mountain pass theorem (see [2], [3]) and the saddle point theorem (see [7]).

**Theorem 1.2.** let  $X$  be a real Banach space and  $I : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  functional satisfying the Palais-Smale condition. Furthermore assume that  $I(0) = 0$  and that the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exists a number  $r > 0$  such that  $I|_{\partial B_r} \geq 0$
- (ii) there is an element  $e \in X \setminus \overline{B_r}$  with  $I(e) \leq 0$ .

Then the real number  $c$ , characterized as

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t))$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = e\}$$

is a critical value of  $I$  with  $c \geq 0$ . If  $c = 0$ , there exists a critical point of  $I$  on  $\partial B_r$  corresponding to the critical value 0.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Let  $I : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  functional that satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, and suppose that  $X = V \oplus W$ , with  $V$  a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$ . If there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$\max_{v \in V, \|v\|=R} I(v) < \inf_{w \in W} I(w),$$

then  $I$  has at least a critical point on  $X$ .

Now we are ready to state our main result.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume that  $(F_1)$  holds. If in addition*

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} F(x, t\varphi_1) = +\infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \Omega, \quad (1.9)$$

*then for any  $h \in L^{(p^*)}'(\Omega)$ , with  $(p^*)' = \frac{p^*}{p^*-1}$ , satisfying*

$$\int_{\Omega} h(x)\varphi_1 dx = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

*problem (1.1) has at least three solutions when  $\lambda$  is sufficiently close to  $\lambda_1$  from left.*

**Theorem 1.5.** *Assume that  $(F_1)$  and  $(F_2)$  hold. If in addition  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ , then for any  $h \in L^{(p^*)}'$ , problem (1.1) has at least one solution.*

## 2. Preliminaries and proofs of Theorems

Let denote  $V = \langle \varphi_1 \rangle$  the linear spans of  $\varphi_1$  and

$$W = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\Omega} m(x)|\varphi_1|^{p-2}\varphi_1 u dx = 0 \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then we can decompose  $X$  as a direct sum of  $V$  and  $W$ . In fact, let  $u \in X$ , writing

$$u = \alpha\varphi_1 + w,$$

where  $w \in X$ , and  $\alpha = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} m(x)|\varphi_1|^{p-2}\varphi_1 u dx$ .

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta \varphi_1|^p dx = 1,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} m(x)|\varphi_1|^{p-2}\varphi_1 w dx = 0.$$

Therefore  $w \in W$ , hence

$$X = V \oplus W.$$

We begin by establishing the existence of  $\bar{\lambda}$  for which (1.7) holds.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists  $\bar{\lambda} \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$  such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx \geq \bar{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} m(x)|u|^p dx, \quad (2.2)$$

*for all  $u \in W$ .*

**Proof:** Let

$$\lambda = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx : u \in W, \int_{\Omega} m(x) |u|^p dx = 1 \right\}.$$

This value is attained in  $W$ . To see why this is so, let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence in  $W$ , satisfying  $\int_{\Omega} m(x) |u_n|^p dx = 1$  for all  $n$ , and  $\int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n|^p dx \rightarrow \lambda$ . It follows that  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X$  and therefore, up to subsequence, we may assume that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } X \quad \text{and} \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^p(\Omega).$$

From the strong convergence of the sequence in  $L^p(\Omega)$  we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} m(x) |u|^p dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} m(x) |u_n|^p dx = 1$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} m(x) |\varphi_1|^{p-2} \varphi_1 u dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} m(x) |\varphi_1|^{p-2} \varphi_1 u_n dx = 0,$$

so that  $u \in W$ . By the weakly lower semicontinuity of the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , we get

$$\lambda \leq \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n|^p dx = \lambda,$$

and hence  $\lambda$  is attained at  $u$ .

Now we claim that  $\lambda > \lambda_1$ . It follows from (1.4) that  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ . If  $\lambda = \lambda_1$ , by simplicity of  $\lambda_1$  there is  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u = \alpha \varphi_1$ . Since  $u \in W$ ,

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} m(x) |\varphi_1|^p dx = 0,$$

which implies  $\alpha = 0$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\int_{\Omega} m(x) |u|^p dx = 1$ . So, choose  $\bar{\lambda} = \min\{\lambda, \lambda_2\}$ . It is clear that  $\bar{\lambda}$  satisfies (2.2) and the proof of lemma is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume that (F1) holds. Then, for  $\lambda < \lambda_1$  the functional  $I$  is coercive in  $X$ , and bounded from below on  $W$ . Moreover there exists a constant  $m$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that  $\inf_W I(u) \geq m$ .*

**Proof:** From (F1), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |F(x, u)| dx \leq \frac{a}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega} |u|^\sigma dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u| dx$$

By Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities, it follows from (1.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} m(x) |u|^p dx \\
&\quad - \frac{a}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\sigma} dx - \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u| dx - \int_{\Omega} h(x) u dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\lambda}{p\lambda_1} \|u\|^p - C_1 \|u\|^{\sigma} - C_2 \|b\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\| - C_3 \|h\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\| \\
&= \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \|u\|^p - C_1 \|u\|^{\sigma} - C_2 \|b\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\| - C_3 \|h\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\| \quad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  and  $C_3$  are the embedding constants of Sobolev. Since  $\lambda < \lambda_1$  and  $\sigma < p$ ,  $I$  is coercive.

Similarly, let  $u \in W$ , by Lemma 2.1, for  $\lambda < \lambda_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \|u\|^p - C_1 \|u\|^{\sigma} - C_2 \|b\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\| - C_3 \|h\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}\right) \|u\|^p - C_1 \|u\|^{\sigma} - C_2 \|b\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\| - C_3 \|h\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\| \quad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $I$  is bounded from below on  $W$ . Moreover, we can find a constant  $m$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that  $\inf_W I(u) \geq m$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that (F1) and (1.9) hold. Then, for  $\lambda < \lambda_1$  sufficiently close to  $\lambda_1$ , there exist  $t^- < 0 < t^+$  such that*

$$I(t^+ \varphi_1) < m \quad \text{and} \quad I(t^- \varphi_1) < m,$$

where  $m$  is given by Lemma 2.2.

**Proof:** By definition of  $\lambda_1$  and (1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I(t\varphi_1) &= \frac{|t|^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta \varphi_1|^p dx - \lambda \frac{|t|^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} m(x) |\varphi_1|^p dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t\varphi_1) dx - t \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1 dx \\
&= \frac{|t|^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta \varphi_1|^p dx - \frac{\lambda |t|^p}{p\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta \varphi_1|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t\varphi_1) dx \\
&= \frac{|t|^p}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta \varphi_1|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t\varphi_1) dx. \quad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

From (1.9), for  $t > 0$  large enough, we have

$$F(x, t^+ \varphi_1) \geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

by Fatou's Lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} F(x, t^+ \varphi_1) dx &\geq \int_{\Omega} \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F(x, t^+ \varphi_1) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} F(x, t^+ \varphi_1) dx \\ &= +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

so, there exists  $t^+ > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, t^+ \varphi_1) dx > -m + 1. \quad (2.6)$$

For  $\lambda_1 - \frac{p\lambda_1}{(t^+)^p} < \lambda < \lambda_1$ , (2.5) and (2.6) imply

$$I(t^+ \varphi_1) < m.$$

Similarly, we get  $I(t^- \varphi_1) < m$ , for some  $t^- < 0$ .  $\square$

**Proof: (Theorem 1.4)** First we show that  $I$  satisfies the  $(PS)$  condition in  $X$ , that is for every sequence such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.7)$$

possesses a convergent subsequence.

Let  $(u_n) \subset X$  be a  $(PS)$  sequence. Since  $I$  is coercive,  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X$ , so up to subsequence, we may assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $X$ . Therefore

$$\langle I'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = o_n(1). \quad (2.8)$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} m(x) |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx \right| \leq \|m\|_{\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Since  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} m(x) |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Since  $(\sigma - 1)(p^*)' < p^*$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^{(\sigma-1)(p^*)'}(\Omega)$ , and hence there exists  $g \in L^{(\sigma-1)(p^*)'}(\Omega)$  such that

$$|u_n| \leq g \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, u_n)|^{(p^*)'} &\leq 2^{(p^*)'} \left( a^{(p^*)'} |u_n|^{(\sigma-1)(p^*)'} + |b(x)|^{(p^*)'} \right) \\ &\leq 2^{(p^*)'} \left( a^{(p^*)'} g^{(\sigma-1)(p^*)'} + |b(x)|^{(p^*)'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the right side of the last inequality belongs to  $L^1(\Omega)$ , it follows from Lebesgue theorem that

$$f(x, u_n) \rightarrow f(x, u) \quad \text{in } L^{(p^*)'}(\Omega).$$

By using the fact that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ , we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u_n) + h)(u_n - u) dx = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Combining (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n|^{p-2} \Delta u_n \Delta(u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta(u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

Therefore, the Hölder inequality imply that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (\rho |\Delta u_n|^{p-2} \Delta u_n - \rho |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u) \Delta(u_n - u) dx \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \|u_n\|^p - \left( \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u_n|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + \|u\|^p \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\|u_n\|^p - \|u_n\|^{p-1} \|u\| - \|u\|^{p-1} \|u_n\| + \|u\|^p] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|u_n\|^{p-1} - \|u\|^{p-1})(\|u_n\| - \|u\|) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \|u\|$ . By the uniform convexity of  $X$ , it follows that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $X$  and  $I$  satisfies the  $(PS)$  condition.

Next, let

$$\Lambda^{\pm} = \{u \in X : u = \pm t\varphi_1 + w, \ t > 0, \ w \in W\}. \quad (2.12)$$

Let  $(u_n) \subset \Lambda^+$  such that  $I(u_n) \rightarrow c < m$  and  $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $X$ . Noting that  $\partial\Lambda^+ = W$ . So, if  $u \in \partial\Lambda^+$ , it follows from  $\inf_W I \geq m$  that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c = I(u) \geq m,$$

which is impossible. Therefore  $u \in \Lambda^+$ , and hence  $I$  satisfies the  $(PS)_{c, \Lambda^+}$  for all  $c < m$ . Similarly,  $I$  satisfies the  $(PS)_{c, \Lambda^-}$  for all  $c < m$ .

In view of Lemma 2.3 for  $\lambda < \lambda_1$  sufficiently close to  $\lambda_1$ , we have

$$-\infty < \inf_{\Lambda^+} I < m. \quad (2.13)$$



By Ekeland's variational principle in  $\overline{\Lambda^+}$ , there exists a sequence  $(u_n) \subset \Lambda^+$  such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow \inf_{\Lambda^+} I \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $I$  satisfies the  $(PS)_{c, \Lambda^+}$  for all  $c < m$ , there exists  $u^+ \in \Lambda^+$  such that  $I(u^+) = \inf_{\Lambda^+} I$ . Similarly, we find  $u^- \in \Lambda^-$  such that  $I(u^-) = \inf_{\Lambda^-} I$ . Hence  $I$  has two distinct critical points  $u^+$  and  $u^-$ .

Now, we prove the existence of the third solution. To fix ideas, suppose that  $I(u^+) \leq I(u^-)$  and Putting

$$J(u) := I(u + u^-) - I(u^-), \quad e = u^+ - u^-.$$

So,  $J(0) = 0$ ,  $J(e) \leq 0$ . We can find  $r > 0$  such that  $\overline{B(u^-, r)} \subset \Lambda^-$ , thus

$$\inf_{\|u - u^-\| = r} I(u) \geq I(u^-) \quad \text{and hence} \quad \inf_{\|u\| = r} J(u) \geq 0. \quad \text{Let}$$

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(\gamma(t)), \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], X) : \gamma(0) = u^-, \gamma(1) = u^+\}.$$

Since  $J$  also satisfies the  $(PS)$  condition and  $J' = I'$ , it follows from the Mountain pass theorem 1.2 that  $c$  is a critical value of  $I$ . Noting that all paths joining  $u^-$  to  $u^+$  pass through  $W$ , so  $c \geq m$ . Therefore the third solution is obtained, and the proof of theorem is complete.  $\square$

**Proof: (Theorem 1.5)** The proof will be divided in some steps.

**Step 1** (the growth of  $F$ ).

We prove that for some  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, t\varphi_1) dx \geq C_1 \|t\varphi_1\|^\mu - C_2. \quad (2.15)$$

From  $(F_2)$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{du} \left( \frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^p} \right) \leq -\alpha |u|^{\mu-p-2} u - \beta(x) |u|^{-p-2} u, \quad (u > 0).$$

Noting that  $\frac{F(x, u)}{|u|^p} \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , thus after integration from  $u > 0$  to  $+\infty$ , we see that

$$F(x, u) \geq \frac{\alpha}{p - \mu} |u|^\mu + \frac{\beta(x)}{p}$$

Since this inequality holds for  $u < 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} F(x, t\varphi_1) dx &\geq \frac{\alpha |t|^\mu}{p - \mu} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_1|^\mu dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \beta(x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha |t|^\mu}{p - \mu} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_1|^\mu dx - \frac{1}{p} \|\beta\|_\infty |\Omega| \\ &\geq C_1 |t|^\mu - C_2 \end{aligned}$$

and (2.15) follows.

**Step 2** (the Palais-Smale condition). Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence satisfying (2.7), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle - pI(u_n) &= \int_{\Omega} pF(x, u_n)dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)u_n dx + (p-1) \int_{\Omega} hu_n dx \\ &\geq \alpha \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\mu} dx + \int_{\Omega} \beta(x)dx + (p-1) \int_{\Omega} hu_n dx \\ &\geq \alpha C_3 \|u_n\|^{\mu} - C_4 \|h\|_{L(p^*)'} \|u_n\| + C_5. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

From the boundedness of  $\langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle - pI(u_n)$ , we deduce that  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X$ . By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we conclude that  $(u_n)$  possesses a convergent subsequence in  $X$ .

**Step 3** (the saddle point theorem). Using again Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \rho |\Delta u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} m(x) |u|^p dx \\ &\quad - \frac{a}{\sigma} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\sigma} dx - \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u| dx - \int_{\Omega} h(x) u dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\bar{\lambda}} \right) \|u\|^p - C_1 \|u\|^{\sigma} - C_2 \|b\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\| - C_3 \|h\|_{(p^*)'} \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ ,

$$\inf_{w \in W} I(w) > -\infty. \quad (2.17)$$

On the other hand, by (2.15) we see that

$$I(t\varphi_1) \leq - \left( \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{p\lambda_1} \right) \|t\varphi_1\|^p - C_1 \|t\varphi_1\|^{\mu} + C \|h\|_{(p^*)'} \|t\varphi_1\| + C_2.$$

It follows from  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$  and  $1 < \mu < p$  that

$$\lim_{v \in V, \|v\| \rightarrow \infty} I(v) = -\infty. \quad (2.18)$$

By (2.17) and (2.18), there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$\max_{v \in V, \|v\|=R} I(v) < \inf_{w \in W} I(w).$$

Hence,  $I$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3, and there exists a critical point of  $I$ , that is a solution of (1.1).  $\square$

## References

1. M. J. Alves, R. B. Assunção, P. C. Carrião, O. H. Miyagaki, Multiplicity of non trivial solutions to a problem involving the weighted  $p$ -biharmonic operator, *Matemática Contemporânea*, 36 (2009) 11-27.

2. B. E. Breckner, D. Ropovš, C. Varga, On the existence of three solutions for the Dirichlet problem on the Sierpinski gasket, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 73 (2010) 2980-2990.
3. P. Pucci, J. Serrin, A mountain pass theorem, *J. Differential Equations*, 60 (1985) 142-149.
4. C. Li, C. L. Tang, Three solutions for a Navier boundary value problem involving the p-biharmonic, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 72 (2010), 1339-1347.
5. C. Li, C. L. Tang, Existence of three solutions for (p,q)-biharmonic systems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 73 (2010), 796-805.
6. T. F. Ma, M. L. Pelicer, Perturbations near resonance for the  $p$ -laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 7:6 (2002) 323-334.
7. P. H. Rabinowitz, Some minimax theorems and applications to partial differential equations, *Nonlinear Analysis: A collection of papers honor of Erich R  the. Academic press, New York*, 1978, pp. 161-177.
8. Y. Shen, J. Zhang, Existence of two solutions for a Navier boundary value Problem involving the p-biharmonic, *Differential Equations and Applications*, 3 (2011), 399-414.
9. Y. Shen, J. Zhang, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a Navier boundary-value problem involving the p-biharmonic with critical exponent, *Electronic J. of Differential Equations*, 47 (2011) 1-14.
10. M. Talbi, N. Tsouli, On the spectrum of the weighted p-biharmonic operator with weight, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 4 (2007), 73-86.
11. M. Talbi, N. Tsouli, Positive solutions with changing sign energy to a nonhomogeneous elliptic problem of fourth order, *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, (3s.) v. 29 1 (2011), 25-39.

Mohammed Massar; El Miloud Hssini; Najib Tsouli

Department of Mathematics, University Mohamed I, Oujda, Morocco.

E-mail address: massarmed@hotmail.com; hssini1975@yahoo.fr; tsouli@hotmail.com