



## Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type problems

El Miloud Hssini, Mohammed Massar and Najib Tsouli

ABSTRACT: This paper is concerned with the existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of  $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equations with Neumann boundary condition. Our technical approach is based on variational methods.

Key Words: Variational methods,  $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equation, nonlocal problems.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>2 Preliminaries</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>3 Main results</b>	<b>209</b>

### 1. Introduction

In this work, we study the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the nonlocal elliptic problem under Neumann boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} -M(t) (\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) - a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2}u) = \lambda f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ), with smooth boundary,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$  is the outer unit normal derivative,  $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , with  $\operatorname{ess\,inf}_\Omega a > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $p(x) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  with

$$N < p^- := \inf_{\overline{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p^+ := \sup_{\overline{\Omega}} p(x) < +\infty.$$

In the statement of problem (1.1),  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an Carathéodory function and  $M(t)$  is a continuous function with  $t := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u|^{p(x)}) dx$ .

The  $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator possesses more complicated nonlinearities than the  $p$ -Laplacian operator, mainly due to the fact that it is not homogeneous. The study of various mathematical problems with variable exponent growth condition has been received considerable attention in recent years, we can for example refer to [1,4,17,24,29,35]. This great interest may be justified by their various physical

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35D05, 35D10, 35J60

applications. In fact, there are applications concerning elastic mechanics [41], electrorheological fluids [38,39], image restoration [13], dielectric breakdown, electrical resistivity and polycrystal plasticity [7,8] and continuum mechanics [5].

As it is well known, problem (1.1) is related to the stationary problem of a model introduced by Kirchhoff [36]. More precisely, Kirchhoff introduced a model given by the following equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left( \frac{\rho_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\rho, \rho_0, h, E, L$  are constants, which extends the classical D'Alembert's wave equation, by considering the effects of the changes in the length of the strings during the vibrations. A distinguishing feature of the Kirchhoff equation is that the equation contains a nonlocal coefficient  $\frac{\rho_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx$  which depends on the average  $\frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx$  of the kinetic energy  $\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2$  on  $[0, L]$ , and hence the equation is no longer a point wise identity. On the other hand, stationary counterpart of (1.2) is given as

$$\begin{cases} (a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx) \Delta u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

which has attracted much attention after Lions's paper [31], where a functional analysis framework for the problem was proposed; see, e.g., [6,12,16] for some interesting results. Moreover, nonlocal problems like

$$-M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

can be used for modeling several physical and biological systems where  $u$  describes a process which depends on the average of it self, such as the population density, see [3]. The study of Kirchhoff type equations has already been extended to the case involving the  $p$ -Laplacian

$$-M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) \Delta_p u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega,$$

see, e.g., [11,26,30]. In [11], the authors present several sufficient conditions for the existence of positive solutions to a class of nonlocal boundary value problems of the  $p$ -Kirchhoff type equation. However, to our knowledge, there is not a great number of papers which have dealt with nonlocal  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations. We refer the reader to [14,18,19,20,34] and the references therein for an overview on this subject.

Our aim is to establish the existence and multiplicity results for problem (1.1) through variational methods. First we will exploit a critical point theorem by Bonanno ([9], Theorem 5.1) which provides for the existence of a local minima

for a parameterized abstract functional, and a classical theorem of Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz, to guarantee that (1.1) has at least two distinct nontrivial weak solutions (Theorem 3.1). Next, we will get the existence of a nontrivial solution of the problem (1.1) where the nonlinearity  $f(x, u)$  does not satisfy Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (Theorem 3.2), by employing a local minimum theorem ([9], Theorem 5.3). These results can be viewed as generalizations to the nonlocal and variable exponent space setting of some results obtained in [10,33].

### 2. Preliminaries

Our main tools are two consequences of a local minimum theorem [9, Theorem 3.1] which are recalled below. Given  $X$  a set and two functionals  $\Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , put

$$\beta(r_1, r_2) = \inf_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])} \frac{\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])} \Psi(u) - \Psi(v)}{r_2 - \Phi(v)}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\rho_1(r_1, r_2) = \sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])} \frac{\Psi(v) - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_1])} \Psi(u)}{\Phi(v) - r_1}, \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $r_1 < r_2$ , and

$$\rho_2(r) = \sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]r, +\infty[)} \frac{\Psi(v) - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \Psi(u)}{\Phi(v) - r}, \tag{2.3}$$

for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([9], Theorem 5.1). *Let  $X$  be a reflexive real Banach space,  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, coercive and continuously Gâteaux differentiable function whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ ,  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable function whose Gâteaux derivative is compact. Put  $I_\lambda = \Phi - \lambda\Psi$  and assume that there are  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $r_1 < r_2$ , such that*

$$\beta(r_1, r_2) < \rho_1(r_1, r_2), \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\rho_1$  are given by (2.1) and (2.2). Then, for each  $\lambda \in \left] \frac{1}{\rho_1(r_1, r_2)}, \frac{1}{\beta(r_1, r_2)} \right[$  there is  $u_{0,\lambda} \in \Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])$  such that  $I_\lambda(u_{0,\lambda}) \leq I_\lambda(u)$  for all  $u \in \Phi^{-1}(]r_1, r_2])$  and  $I'_\lambda(u_{0,\lambda}) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2** ([9], Theorem 5.3). *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space;  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable function whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ ,  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable function whose Gâteaux derivative is compact. Fix  $\inf_X \Phi < r < \sup_X \Phi$  and assume that*

$$\rho_2(r) > 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $\rho_2$  is given by (2.3), and for each  $\lambda > \frac{1}{\rho_2(r)}$  the function  $I_\lambda = \Phi - \lambda\Psi$  is coercive. Then, for each  $\lambda > \frac{1}{\rho_2(r)}$  there is  $u_{0,\lambda} \in \Phi^{-1}(]r, +\infty[)$  such that  $I_\lambda(u_{0,\lambda}) \leq I_\lambda(u)$  for all  $u \in \Phi^{-1}(]r, +\infty[)$  and  $I'_\lambda(u_{0,\lambda}) = 0$ .

In the sequel, let  $p(x) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ , where

$$C_+(\overline{\Omega}) = \{h : h \in C(\overline{\Omega}), h(x) > 1 \text{ for any } x \in \overline{\Omega}\}.$$

The variable exponent Lebesgue space is defined by

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < +\infty\}$$

furnished with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} = |u|_{p(x)} = \inf\{\sigma > 0 : \int_{\Omega} |\frac{u(x)}{\sigma}|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1\},$$

and the variable exponent Sobolev space is defined by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} = |u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} + |\nabla u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}.$$

**Proposition 2.3** ([27,28]). *The spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  are separable, uniformly convex, reflexive Banach spaces. The conjugate space of  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  is  $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ , where  $q(x)$  is the conjugate function of  $p(x)$ ; i.e.,*

$$\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} = 1,$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$ . For  $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$  we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{q^-} \right) |u|_{p(x)} |v|_{q(x)}.$$

**Proposition 2.4** ([27,28]). *For  $p, r \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $r(x) \leq p^*(x)$  ( $r(x) < p^*(x)$ ) for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , there is a continuous (compact) embedding*

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega),$$

where

$$p^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text{if } p(x) < N \\ +\infty & \text{if } p(x) \geq N. \end{cases}$$

Now, for any  $u \in X := W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  define

$$\|u\|_a := \inf\left\{\sigma > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left( \left| \frac{\nabla u(x)}{\sigma} \right|^{p(x)} + a(x) \left| \frac{u(x)}{\sigma} \right|^{p(x)} \right) dx \leq 1\right\}.$$

Since  $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $\text{ess inf}_\Omega a > 0$ , we see that  $\|\cdot\|_a$  is a norm on  $X$  equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)}$ . Now, we introduce the modular  $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\rho(u) = \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u|^{p(x)})dx$$

for all  $u \in X$ . Here, we give some relations between the norm  $\|\cdot\|_a$  and the modular  $\rho$ .

**Proposition 2.5** ([27]). *For  $u \in X$  we have*

- (i)  $\|u\|_a < 1 (= 1; > 1) \Leftrightarrow \rho(u) < 1 (= 1; > 1)$ ;
- (ii) If  $\|u\|_a < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_a^{p^+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_a^{p^-}$ ;
- (iii) If  $\|u\|_a > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_a^{p^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_a^{p^+}$ .

Now, let

$$k := \max \left\{ \sup_{u \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |u(x)|}{\|u\|_a} \right\}. \tag{2.6}$$

It is well know that  $X \hookrightarrow W^{1,p^-}(\Omega)$  is a continuous embedding, and the embedding  $W^{1,p^-}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  is compact when  $N < p^-$ . So we obtain the embedding  $X \hookrightarrow C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  is compact whenever  $N < p^-$ , and hence  $k < \infty$ . If  $\Omega$  is convex, an explicit upper bound for the constant  $k$  is

$$k \leq 2^{\frac{p^- - 1}{p^-}} \max \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\|a\|_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \frac{d}{N^{\frac{1}{p^-}}} \left( \frac{p^- - 1}{p^- - N} |\Omega| \right)^{\frac{p^- - 1}{p^-}} \frac{\|a\|_\infty}{\|a\|_1} \right\} (1 + |\Omega|),$$

where  $\|a\|_1 := \int_\Omega a(x)dx$ ,  $\|a\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \Omega} a(x)$ ,  $d := \text{diam}(\Omega)$  and  $|\Omega|$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$  (see [23]).

Hereafter, we state the assumptions on  $M(t)$  and  $f(x, t)$ :

(M<sub>0</sub>)  $M(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (m_0, +\infty)$  is a continuous and increasing function, with  $m_0 > 0$ .

(M<sub>1</sub>) there exists  $0 < \theta < 1$  such that

$$\widehat{M}(t) \geq (1 - \theta)M(t)t \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

(f<sub>0</sub>)  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies Carathéodory condition and there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq c \left( 1 + |t|^{\alpha(x)-1} \right) \text{ for all } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\alpha \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\alpha(x) < p^*(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .

( $f_1$ ) there exist two constants  $\mu > \frac{p^+}{1-\theta}$  and  $R > 0$  such that

$$0 < \mu F(x, s) \leq sf(x, s) \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and for all } |s| \geq R,$$

where  $\theta$  is given in ( $M_1$ ).

**Definition 2.6.** We say that  $u \in X$  is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if

$$M \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v + a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} uv \right) dx \\ - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v dx = 0,$$

for all  $v \in X$ .

We introduce the functionals  $\Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$\Phi(u) = \widehat{M} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right), \quad \Psi(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx, \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $u \in X$ , where

$$\widehat{M}(t) = \int_0^t M(s) ds, \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \\ F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, \xi) d\xi, \text{ for all } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$

It is well known that  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are well defined and continuously Gâteaux differentiable whose Gâteaux derivatives at point  $u \in X$  are given by

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = M \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v + a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} uv \right) dx \\ \langle \Psi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)v dx,$$

for all  $v \in X$ .

We need the following theorem in the proofs of our main results.

**Theorem 2.7** ([21], Theorem 2.1). *If ( $M_0$ ) holds, then*

- (i)  $\Phi$  is weakly lower semicontinuous.
- (ii)  $\Phi'$  is strictly monotone
- (iii)  $\Phi'$  is of ( $S_+$ ) type, namely

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ and } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0 \text{ implies } u_n \rightarrow u.$$

- (iv)  $\Phi'$  admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ .

### 3. Main results

In order to introduce our result, given two positive constants  $c$  and  $d$  with

$$\frac{p^-}{p^+} \left(\frac{c}{k}\right)^{p^-} \neq \|a\|_1 d^{p^+}.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_d(c) := \frac{\int_{\Omega} \max_{|\xi| \leq \sigma(c)} F(x, \xi) dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, d) dx}{\widehat{M} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} \left(\frac{c}{k}\right)^{p^-}\right) - \widehat{M} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} \|a\|_1 d^{p^+}\right)},$$

where

$$\sigma(c) := k \left[ \frac{p^+}{m_0} \widehat{M} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} \left(\frac{c}{k}\right)^{p^-}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p^-}}$$

and  $k$  is given by (2.6).

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $(f_0)$ ,  $(f_1)$ ,  $(M_0)$  and  $(M_1)$  hold, and there exist three constants  $c_1 \geq k$ ,  $c_2 \geq k$  and  $d \geq 1$  with*

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{k}\right)^{p^-} < \|a\|_1 d^{p^+} \leq \|a\|_1 d^{p^+} < \frac{p^-}{p^+} \left(\frac{c_2}{k}\right)^{p^-} \tag{3.1}$$

such that

$$\mathcal{A}_d(c_2) < \mathcal{A}_d(c_1).$$

Then, for each  $\lambda \in \left] \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_d(c_1)}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_d(c_2)} \right[$ , problem (1.1) admits at least two nontrivial weak solutions  $\bar{u}_1$  and  $\bar{u}_2$  such that  $\frac{p^-}{p^+} \left(\frac{c_1}{k}\right)^{p^-} < \rho(\bar{u}_1) < \left(\frac{c_2}{k}\right)^{p^-}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\Phi, \Psi$  be the functionals defined in (2.7). Since  $p^- > 1$ , for each  $u \in X$  such that  $\|u\|_a \geq 1$  we have

$$\frac{\langle \Phi(u), u \rangle}{\|u\|_a} \geq \frac{m_0}{p^+} \frac{\rho(u)}{\|u\|_a} \geq \frac{m_0}{p^+} \|u\|_a^{p^- - 1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \|u\|_a \rightarrow \infty.$$

So,  $\Phi$  is a coercive. From Theorem 2.7, of course,  $\Phi'$  admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ , moreover,  $\Psi$  has a compact derivative, it results sequentially weakly continuous. Hence  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  satisfy all regularity assumptions requested in Theorem 2.1 and that the critical points of the functional  $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$  in  $X$  are exactly the weak solutions of problem (1.1). So, our aim is to verify condition (2.4) of Theorem 2.1. To this end, let  $u_0(x) = d$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , and put

$$r_1 = \widehat{M} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} \left(\frac{c_1}{k}\right)^{p^-}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = \widehat{M} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} \left(\frac{c_2}{k}\right)^{p^-}\right).$$

Clearly  $u_0 \in X$ , and

$$\Psi(u_0) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_0) dx = \int_{\Omega} F(x, d) dx, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Phi(u_0) = \widehat{M} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)|u_0|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right).$$

Then, in virtue of the strict monotonicity of  $\widehat{M}$ , we get

$$\widehat{M} \left( \frac{\|a\|_1 d^{p^-}}{p^+} \right) \leq \Phi(u_0) \leq \widehat{M} \left( \frac{\|a\|_1 d^{p^+}}{p^-} \right).$$

Hence, it follows from (3.1) that

$$r_1 < \Phi(u_0) < r_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Now, let  $u \in X$  such that  $u \in \Phi^{-1}] - \infty, r_2[$ . By  $(M_0)$  and Proposition 2.5, we obtain

$$\min \left\{ \|u\|_a^{p^+}, \|u\|_a^{p^-} \right\} < \frac{r_2 p^+}{m_0}.$$

Then

$$\|u\|_a < \max \left\{ \left( \frac{r_2 p^+}{m_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+}}, \left( \frac{r_2 p^+}{m_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right\},$$

the fact that  $c_2 \geq k$ , we get  $\frac{r_2 p^+}{m_0} \geq 1$  and

$$\|u\|_a < \left( \frac{r_2 p^+}{m_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}.$$

This together with (2.6), yields

$$|u(x)| \leq k \|u\|_a < k \left( \frac{r_2 p^+}{m_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} = \sigma(c_2) \text{ for all } x \in \Omega. \quad (3.4)$$

So

$$\Psi(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \max_{|\xi| \leq \sigma(c_2)} F(x, \xi) dx,$$

for all  $u \in X$  such that  $u \in \Phi^{-1}] - \infty, r_2[$ . Thus

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}] - \infty, r_2[} \Psi(u) \leq \int_{\Omega} \max_{|\xi| \leq \sigma(c_2)} F(x, \xi) dx. \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, arguing as before we obtain

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}] - \infty, r_1[} \Psi(u) \leq \int_{\Omega} \max_{|\xi| \leq \sigma(c_1)} F(x, \xi) dx. \quad (3.6)$$

In view of (3.2)-(3.3) and (3.5)-(3.6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(r_1, r_2) &\leq \frac{\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}] - \infty, r_2[} \Psi(u) - \Psi(u_0)}{r_2 - \Phi(u_0)} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} \max_{|\xi| \leq \sigma(c_2)} F(x, \xi) dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, d) dx}{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{c_2}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{\|a\|_1}{p^-} d^{p^+} \right)} \\ &= \mathcal{A}_d(c_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(r_1, r_2) &\geq \frac{\Psi(u_0) - \sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r_1])} \Psi(u)}{\Phi(u_0) - r_1} \\ &\geq \frac{\int_{\Omega} \max_{|\xi| \leq \sigma(c_1)} F(x, \xi) dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, d) dx}{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{c_1}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{\|a\|_1}{p^-} d^{p^+} \right)} \\ &= \mathcal{A}_d(c_1). \end{aligned}$$

So, by our assumption it follows that

$$\beta(r_1, r_2) < \rho_1(r_1, r_2).$$

Hence, from Theorem 2.1 for each  $\lambda \in \left] \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_d(c_1)}, \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_d(c_2)} \right[ \subset \left] \frac{1}{\rho_1(r_1, r_2)}, \frac{1}{\beta(r_1, r_2)} \right[$ , the functional  $I_\lambda := \Phi - \lambda\Psi$  admits at least one critical point  $\bar{u}_1$  such that  $r_1 < \Phi(\bar{u}_1) < r_2$ . Therefore

$$\frac{p^-}{p^+} \left( \frac{c_1}{k} \right)^{p^-} < \rho(\bar{u}_1) < \left( \frac{c_2}{k} \right)^{p^-}.$$

Now we prove the existence of the second local minimum distinct from the first one. To this purpose, we verify the hypotheses of the mountain pass theorem for the functional  $I_\lambda$ . Clearly  $I_\lambda$  is of class  $C^1$  and  $I_\lambda(0) = 0$ . The first part of proof guarantees that  $\bar{u}_1 \in X$  is a local nontrivial local minimum for  $I_\lambda$  in  $X$ . Therefore there is  $\varrho > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{\|u - \bar{u}_1\|_a = \varrho} I_\lambda(u) \geq I_\lambda(\bar{u}_1),$$

so condition [37, (I<sub>1</sub>), Theorem 2.2] is verified. From condition (f<sub>1</sub>), by standard computations, there is a positive constant  $c_1$  such that

$$F(x, s) \geq c_1 |s|^\mu. \tag{3.7}$$

By integrating (M<sub>1</sub>), we get

$$\widehat{M}(t) \leq \frac{\widehat{M}(t_0)}{t_0^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}} t^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} = c_2 t^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0 > 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, from (3.7) and (3.8), for  $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$  and  $t > 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(tu) &\leq \widehat{M} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|t\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|tu|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, tu(x)) dx \\ &\leq c_3 \left( \int_{\Omega} (|t\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|tu|^{p(x)}) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} - c_1 \lambda t^\mu \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^\mu dx \\ &\leq c_3 t^{\frac{p^+}{1-\theta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u|^{p(x)}) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \\ &\quad - c_1 \lambda t^\mu \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^\mu dx \rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\mu > \frac{p^+}{1-\theta}$ . So the condition [37, (I<sub>2</sub>), Theorem 2.2] is verified.

Now, we verify that  $I_\lambda$  satisfies the (PS)-condition. To this end, suppose that  $(u_n) \subset X$  is a (PS)-sequence; i.e., there is  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sup |I_\lambda(u_n)| \leq M, \quad I'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let us show that  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X$ . Using hypothesis  $(f_1)$  and  $(M_1)$ , for  $n$  large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M + \|u_n\|_a &\geq I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \widehat{M} \left( \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) - \lambda \int_\Omega F(x, u_n) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\mu} M \left( \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) \\ &\quad \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)} \right) dx + \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\mu} f(x, u_n) u_n dx \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1-\theta}{p^+} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) M \left( \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) \\ &\quad \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)} \right) dx \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{\mu} f(x, u_n) u_n - F(x, u_n) \right) dx \\ &\geq m_0 \left( \frac{1-\theta}{p^+} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_a^{p^-} + \lambda \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{\mu} f(x, u_n) u_n - F(x, u_n) \right) dx \\ &\geq m_0 \left( \frac{1-\theta}{p^+} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_a^{p^-} - c_4. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu > \frac{p^+}{1-\theta}$ ,  $(u_n)$  is bounded, for a subsequence still denoted  $(u_n)$ , we can assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $X$ , then  $\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &M \left( \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) \\ &\int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)-2} u_n (u_n - u) \right) dx \\ &- \int_\Omega f(x, u_n) (u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

From  $(f_0)$  and Proposition 2.3, we get that  $\int_\Omega f(x, u_n) (u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0$ . there-

fore, one has

$$M \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \right) \\ \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)-2} u_n (u_n - u) \right) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

In view of condition  $(M_0)$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) + a(x)|u_n|^{p(x)-2} u_n (u_n - u) \right) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

We write

$$J(u) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \left( |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u|^{p(x)} \right) dx.$$

Using Theorem 2.7, the mapping  $J' : X \rightarrow X^*$  is of  $(S_+)$  type. Then we have  $u_n \rightarrow u$ . Consequently, the classical theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz ensures a critical point  $\bar{u}_2$  such that  $I_{\lambda}(\bar{u}_2) > I_{\lambda}(\bar{u}_1)$ . So  $\bar{u}_1$  and  $\bar{u}_2$  are distinct weak solutions of the problem, and the proof of Theorem 3.1 is achieved.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Assume that  $f(x, s) = \alpha(x)g(s)$  for all  $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\alpha \in L^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\alpha \geq 0$  a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\alpha \not\equiv 0$ , and  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative continuous function. If  $(f_0)$ ,  $(f_1)$ ,  $(M_0)$  and  $(M_1)$  hold, and there exist three constants  $c_1 \geq k, c_2 \geq k$  and  $d \geq 1$  such that (3.1) and*

$$\frac{G(c_2) - G(d)}{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{c_2}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \|a\|_1 d^{p^+} \right)} < \frac{G(c_1) - G(d)}{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{c_1}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \|a\|_1 d^{p^+} \right)}$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left[ \frac{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{c_1}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \|a\|_1 d^{p^+} \right)}{G(c_1) - G(d)}, \frac{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{c_2}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \|a\|_1 d^{p^+} \right)}{G(c_2) - G(d)} \right],$$

the problem

$$-M \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \left( |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + a(x)|u|^{p(x)} \right) dx \right) \left( \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) - a(x)|u|^{p(x)-2} u \right) \\ = \lambda \alpha(x)g(u) \text{ in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,$$

admits at least two nonnegative weak solutions.

**Proof:** Clearly, one has  $F(x, s) = \alpha(x)G(s)$  for all  $(x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, taking into account that  $G$  is a nondecreasing function, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_d(c_2) &= \|\alpha\|_1 \frac{G(c_2) - G(d)}{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{c_2}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^-} \|a\|_1 d^{p^+} \right)} \\ &< \|\alpha\|_1 \frac{G(c_1) - G(d)}{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{c_1}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^-} \|a\|_1 d^{p^+} \right)} = \mathcal{A}_d(c_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 ensure the existence of at last two solutions, and by standard argument we see that they are nonnegative.  $\square$

Finally, we give an application of Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $(M_0)$  and  $(f_0)$  hold, and there exist two constants  $\bar{c}$  and  $\bar{d} \geq 1$  with*

$$1 \leq \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{k} \right)^{p^-} < \|a\|_1 \bar{d}^{p^-}$$

such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \max_{|\xi| \leq \sigma(\bar{c})} F(x, \xi) dx < \int_{\Omega} F(x, \bar{d}) dx \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\limsup_{|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, \xi)}{|\xi|^{p^-}} \leq 0 \text{ uniformly in } x. \tag{3.10}$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \bar{\Lambda} := \left[ \frac{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^-} \|a\|_1 d^{p^+} \right)}{\int_{\Omega} \max_{|\xi| \leq \sigma(\bar{c})} F(x, \xi) dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, \bar{d}) dx}, +\infty \right),$$

problem (1.1) admits at least one nontrivial weak solution  $\bar{u}$  such that  $\rho(\bar{u}) > \frac{p^-}{p^+} \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{k} \right)^{p^-}$ .

**Proof:** The functionals  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  given by (2.7) satisfy all regularity assumptions requested in Theorem 2.2. By (3.10) and  $(f_0)$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we get

$$F(x, \xi) \leq \varepsilon |\xi|^{p^-} + l_{\varepsilon}(x) \text{ for all } (x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.11}$$

where  $l_{\varepsilon} \in L^1(\Omega)$ . This implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \leq \varepsilon c_5 \|u\|_a^{p^-} + \int_{\Omega} l_{\varepsilon}(x) dx \text{ for all } u \in X,$$

where  $c_5$  is a constant of Sobolev. Therefore, choosing  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{m_0}{c_5 p^+}$ , from (3.11) and Proposition 2.5, we obtain

$$I_\lambda(u) = \Phi(u) - \lambda\Psi(u) \geq \left(\frac{m_0}{p^+} - \varepsilon c_5\right) \|u\|_a^{p^-} - \int_\Omega l_\varepsilon(x) dx.$$

for all  $u \in X$  such that  $\|u\|_a \geq 1$ . So,  $I_\lambda$  is coercive. To apply Theorem 2.2, it suffices to verify condition (2.5). Indeed, put

$$r = \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad u_0(x) = \bar{d} \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$\rho_2(r) \geq \frac{\int_\Omega \max_{|\xi| \leq \sigma(\bar{c})} F(x, \xi) dx - \int_\Omega F(x, \bar{d}) dx}{\widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right) - \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^-} \|a\|_1 \bar{d}^{p^+} \right)}.$$

So, from our assumption it follows that  $\rho_2(r) > 0$ . Hence, in view of Theorem 2.2 for each  $\lambda \in \bar{\Lambda}$ ,  $I_\lambda$  admits at least one local minimum  $\bar{u}$  such that

$$\widehat{M} \left( \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)} \left( |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p(x)} + a(x) |\bar{u}|^{p(x)} \right) \right) > \widehat{M} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{k} \right)^{p^-} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\rho(\bar{u}) > \frac{p^-}{p^+} \left( \frac{\bar{c}}{k} \right)^{p^-},$$

and our conclusion is achieved. □

### References

1. M. Allaoui, A. R. El Amrouss, A. Ourraoui, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a Steklove problem involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2012 (2012), No. 132, pp. 1–12.
2. M. Allaoui, A. R. El Amrouss, A. Ourraoui, Existence and uniqueness of solution for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems, *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, V. 33 1 (2015), 225–232.
3. C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa, T. F. MA, Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 49 (2005), 85–93.
4. G. A. Afrouzi, A. Hadjian, S. Heidarkhani, Steklove problems involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2014 (2014), No. 134, pp. 1–11.
5. S. Antontsev, S. Shmarev, *Handbook of Differential Equations, Stationary Partial Differ. Equ.* 3 (2006). Chap. 1.
6. A. Arosio, S. Panizzi, On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 348 (1996) 305–330.
7. M. Bocea, M. Mihăilescu,  $\Gamma$ -convergence of power-law functionals with variable exponents, *Nonlinear Anal.* 73 (2010) 110–121.
8. M. Bocea, M. Mihăilescu, C. Popovici, On the asymptotic behavior of variable exponent power-law functionals and applications, *Ric. Mat.* 59 (2010) 207–238.

9. G. Bonanno, A critical point theorem via the Ekeland variational principle, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012) 2992–3007.
10. G. Bonanno, A. Sciammetta, Existence and multiplicity results to Neumann problems for elliptic equations involving the  $p$ -Laplacian, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 390 (2012) 59–67.
11. F.J.S.A. Corrêa, G.M. Figueiredo, On a elliptic equation of  $p$ -Kirchhoff type via variational methods, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 74 (2006) 263–277.
12. M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Cavalcanti, J. A. Soriano, Global existence and uniform decay rates for the Kirchhoff-Carrier equation with nonlinear dissipation, *Adv. Differential Equations*, 6 (2001) 701–730
13. Y. Chen, S. Levine, R. Rao, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, *SIAMJ. Appl. Math.* 66 (2006) 1383–1406.
14. F. Colasuonno, P. Pucci, Multiplicity of solutions for  $p(x)$ -polyharmonic elliptic Kirchhoff equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011) 5962–5974.
15. F. Cammaroto, L. Vilasi, Multiple solutions for a Kirchhoff-type problem involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011) 1841–1852.
16. P. D’Ancona, S. Spagnolo, Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data. *Invent. Math.* 108 (1992) 447–462.
17. G. Dai, Infinitely many solutions for a  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009) 1133–1139.
18. G. Dai, Three solutions for a nonlocal Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian, *App. Anal.* (2011) 1–20.
19. G. Dai, D. Liu, Infinitely many positive solutions for a  $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff-type equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 359 (2009) 704–710.
20. G. Dai, R. Ma, Solutions for a  $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equation with Neumann boundary data, *Nonlinear Anal. RWA* 12 (2011) 2666–2680.
21. G. Dai, R. Ma, Solutions for a  $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equation with Neumann boundary data, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* 12 (2011) 2666–2680.
22. G. Dai, J. Wei, Infinitely many non-negative solutions for a  $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff-type problem with Dirichlet boundary condition, *Nonlinear Anal.* 73 (2010) 3420–3430.
23. G. D’Agui, A. Sciammetta; Infinitely many solutions to elliptic problems with variable exponent and nonhomogeneous Neumann conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75 (2012), 5612–5619.
24. X. Fan, X. Han, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Nonlinear Anal.* 59 (2004) 173–188.
25. M. Ferrara, S. Heidarkhani, Multiple solutions for perturbed  $p$ -Laplacian boundary-value problems with impulsive effects, *Electronic journal of differential equations*, n. 2014, 2014, pp. 1–14, ISSN: 1072–6691.
26. M. Ferrara, S. Khademloob, S. Heidarkhani, Multiplicity results for perturbed fourth-order Kirchhoff type elliptic problems, *Applied mathematics and computation*, n. 234, 2014, pp. 316–325, ISSN: 1873–5649.
27. X. Fan, D. Zhao, On the spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$  *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 263 (2001) 424–446.
28. X. Fan, Q. Zhang, Existence of solutions for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* 52 (2003) 1843–1852.
29. Y. Fu, X. Zhang, A multiplicity result for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian problem in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009) 2261–2269.

30. El. M. Hssini, M. Massar, M. Talbi and N. Tsouli, Infinitely many solutions for nonlocal elliptic  $p$ -Kirchhoff type equation under Neumann boundary condition, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, Vol. 7, 2013, no. 21, 1011–1022.
31. J. L. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physical .In : de la Penha, GM, Medeiros, LAJ (eds.) *Proceedings of International Symposium on Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations*, Rio de Janeiro 1977. *Math. Stud.*, vol. 30 (1978), pp. 284–346. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
32. R. Ma, G. Dai and C. Gao, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of  $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equations, *Boundary Value Problems* 2012, 2012:16, 1–16.
33. M. Massar, Existence and multiplicity results for nonlocal elliptic problem, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2013 (2013), No., pp. 1–14.
34. M. Massar, M. Talbi, N. Tsouli, Multiple solutions for nonlocal system of  $(p(x), q(x))$ -Kirchhoff type, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 242 (2014) 216–226.
35. M. Mihăilescu, On a class of nonlinear problems involving a  $p(x)$ -Laplace type operator, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 58 (2008) 155–172.
36. G. Kirchhoff, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, Germany, 1883.
37. P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., Vol. 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
38. M. Růžička, Flow of shear dependent electrorheological fluids, *CR Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 329 (1999) 393–398.
39. M. Růžička, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
40. E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, vol. II/B, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1985.
41. V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals in the calculus of variations and elasticity, *Math. USSR Izv.* 29 (1987) 33–66.

*El Miloud Hssini*  
*University Mohamed I, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics,*  
*Oujda, Morocco*  
*E-mail address: hssini1975@yahoo.fr*

*and*

*Mohammed Massar*  
*University Mohamed I, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics,*  
*Oujda, Morocco*  
*E-mail address: massarmed@hotmail.com*

*and*

*Najib Tsouli*  
*University Mohamed I, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics,*  
*Oujda, Morocco*  
*E-mail address: tsouli@hotmail.com*