



A numerical method for solving time-dependent convection-diffusion problems

Mhamed El Merzguoui, Abdelmajid El hajaji, Khalid Hilal, and Lalla Saadia Chadli

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we develop a new numerical method for solving a time-dependent convection-diffusion equation with Dirichlet's type boundary conditions. We first propose the θ -method, $\theta \in [1/2, 1]$ ($\theta = 1$ corresponds to the back-ward Euler method and $\theta = 1/2$ corresponds to the Crank-Nicolson method) to discretize the temporal variable, resulting in a linear partial differential equation (PDE). To numerically solve this linear PDE, we develop and we analyze a new cubic spline collocation method for the spatial discretization. To solve the discretized linear system, we design a collocation method and we prove that the method is second order convergent. The computed results are compared wherever possible with those already available in the literature.

Key Words: Convection-diffusion equation, θ -method, Spline collocation method.

Contents

1 Introduction	217
2 The time semidiscretization and Description of the θ-method	219
3 Spatial discretization and cubic spline collocation method	220
4 Numerical Experiments	223
4.1 Example 1.	224
4.2 Example 2.	225
5 Conclusion	226

1. Introduction

The time-dependent convection-diffusion equation is a parabolic partial differential equation, which describes physical phenomena where energy is transformed inside a physical system due to two processes: convection and diffusion. The term convection means the movement of molecules within fluids, whereas, diffusion describes the spread of particles through random motion from regions of higher concentration to regions of lower concentration. It is necessary to calculate the transport of fluid properties or trace constituent concentrations within a fluid for applications such as water quality modeling, air pollution, meteorology, oceanography and other physical sciences. When velocity field is complex, changing in time and transport process cannot be analytically calculated, and then numerical

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35B40, 35L70

approximations to the time-dependent convection-diffusion equation are indispensable. Various numerical techniques have been developed and compared for solving the time-dependent convection-diffusion equation. Clavero et al. [1] give a uniform convergent numerical method with respect to the diffusion parameter to solve the one-dimensional time-dependent convection-diffusion problem. They used the implicit Euler method for the time discretization and the simple upwind finite difference scheme on a Shishkin mesh for the spatial discretization in [1]. Ramos presented an exponentially fitted method for singularly perturbed parameter [2]. Surla and Jerkovic considered a singularly perturbed boundary value problem using a spline collocation method in [3]. The main objective of this study is to develop a user friendly, economical method which can work for time-dependent convection-diffusion equation by using a cubic splines collocation method and compared with other numerical methods given in the literature in particular with [7].

Consider the singularly perturbed initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - L_\epsilon u = f, & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = \phi_0(x), & x \in [0, 1], \\ u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, & t \in]0, T], \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $L_\epsilon : C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega) \rightarrow C(\Omega)$, with $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, T]$, is defined by

$$L_\epsilon := \epsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - b(x, t)I, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1.2)$$

With $a(x) \geq \tilde{\alpha} > 0$, $b = b(x, t) \geq 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. The diffusion coefficient ϵ is a small positive parameter. and a , b , ϕ_0 are sufficiently smooth functions.

Here we assume that the problem satisfies sufficient regularity and compatibility conditions which guarantee the problem has a unique solution $u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega)$ satisfying (see, [4,5,6]):

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{i+j} u(x, t)}{\partial x^i \partial t^j} \right| \leq k(1 + \epsilon^{-i} e^{-\alpha(1-x)/\epsilon}) \text{ on } \overline{\Omega}; \quad 0 \leq j \leq 3 \text{ and } 0 \leq i + j \leq 4, \quad (1.3)$$

where k is a constant.

In the present work, we present a numerical method for solving a time-dependent convection-diffusion problem. The method is based on θ -method to discretize the temporal variable and a cubic spline collocation method for the spatial discretization. The scheme is second-order convergent with respect to the spatial variable.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we discuss time semi-discretization. Section 3 is devoted to the spline collocation method for time-dependent convection-diffusion equation using a cubic spline collocation method. Next, the error bound of the spline solution is analyzed. In order to validate the theoretical results presented in this paper, we present numerical tests on two known examples in Section 4. The obtained numerical results are compared to the ones given in [7]. Finally, a conclusion is given in Section 5.

2. The time semidiscretization and Description of the θ -method

The observation period has to be specified first and is set from today (time point $t = 0$) to time point T . This period will be divided into M equally spaced time intervals with length $\Delta t = \frac{T}{M}$, and we denote by $t_m = m\Delta t$. We discretize the variable time in (1.1) by means of θ -method, $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Then the semi-discretization yields the following system of equations:

$$\frac{u^{m+1} - u^m}{\Delta t} - \theta L_\epsilon^m u^{m+1} - (1 - \theta) L_\epsilon^m u^m = f^{m+\theta}.$$

That is

$$(I - \theta \Delta t L_\epsilon^m) u^{m+1} = (I + (1 - \theta) \Delta t L_\epsilon^m) u^m + \Delta t f^{m+\theta}, \quad (2.1)$$

where, $L_\epsilon^m : C(\bar{\Omega}_x) \cap C^2(\Omega_x) \rightarrow C(\Omega_x)$ is the differential operator defined by

$$L_\epsilon^m = \epsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \beta^{m+\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \gamma^{m+\theta} I,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} u^m &\text{ approximate the exacte solution } u(x, t_m) \text{ at the time level } t_m = m\Delta t, \\ \beta^{m+\theta} &= -a(x), \\ \gamma^{m+\theta} &= -b(x, t_m + \theta \Delta t). \end{aligned}$$

$\theta = 1/2$, corresponds to the Crank-Nicolson method, and $\theta = 1$, corresponds to the back-ward Euler method.

Then, the approximate problem of (1.1) is

$$\begin{cases} p^{m+\theta} u_{xx}^{m+1} + q^{m+\theta}(x) u_x^{m+1} + l^{m+\theta}(x) u^{m+1} = g^{m+\theta}, & x \in \Omega_x, \\ u^0(x) = \phi_0(x), & x \in \Omega_x, \\ u^{m+1}(0) = u^{m+1}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq m < M, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where, for any $m \geq 0$ and for any $x \in \Omega_x = (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^{m+\theta} &= \theta \Delta t \epsilon, \\ q^{m+\theta}(x) &= \theta \Delta t \beta^{m+\theta}(x), \\ l^{m+\theta}(x) &= \theta \Delta t \gamma^{m+\theta}(x) - 1, \\ g^{m+\theta}(x) &= -(I + (1 - \theta) \Delta t L_\epsilon^m) u^m - \Delta t f^{m+\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $u^0(x) = \phi_0(x)$. Then, for $0 \leq m \leq M - 1$, u^m being known, we obtain u^{m+1} as a solution of problem (2.2).

The following theorem proves the order of convergence of the solution u^m to $u(x, t_m)$.

Theorem 2.1. (see [8,9]) Problem (2.2) is second order convergent for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and first order convergent for $\theta \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(x, t_m) - u^m\|_\infty &\leq Cte(\Delta t)^2 \text{ for } \theta = \frac{1}{2} \\ \|u(x, t_m) - u^m\|_\infty &\leq Cte \Delta t \text{ for } \theta \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

For any $m \geq 0$, problem (2.2) has a unique solution and can be written on the following form:

$$\begin{cases} p u''(x) + q(x)u'(x) + l(x)u(x) &= g(x), \quad x \in \Omega_x \\ u(0) = u(1) &= 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

In the sequel of this paper, we will focus on the solution of problem (2.3).

3. Spatial discretization and cubic spline collocation method

In this section we construct a cubic spline which approximates the solution u of problem (2.3), in the interval $\Omega_x = (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ the uniform norm.

Let $\Theta = \{0 = x_{-3} = x_{-2} = x_{-1} = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < x_n = x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = x_{n+3} = 1\}$ be a subdivision of the interval Ω_x . Without loss of generality, we put $x_j = jh$, where $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $h = \frac{1}{n}$. Denote by $\mathbb{S}_4(\Omega_x, \Theta) = \mathbb{P}_3^2(\Omega_x, \Theta)$ the space of piecewise polynomials of degree 3 over the subdivision Θ and of class C^2 everywhere on Ω_x . Let B_i , $i = -3, \dots, n-1$, be the B-splines of degree 3 associated with Θ . These B-splines are positives and form a basis of the space $\mathbb{S}_4(\Omega_x, \Theta)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let u be the solution of problem (2.3). Then, there exists a unique cubic spline interpolant $S \in \mathbb{S}_4(\Omega_x, \Theta)$ of u which satisfies:

$$S(\tau_j) = u(\tau_j), \quad j = 0, \dots, n+2,$$

where $\tau_0 = x_0$, $\tau_i = \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\tau_{n+1} = x_{n-1}$ and $\tau_{n+2} = x_n$.

Proof: Using the Schoenberg-Whitney theorem (see [10]), it is easy to see that there exists a unique cubic spline which interpolates u at the points τ_i , $i = 0, \dots, n+2$. □

If we put $S = \sum_{i=-3}^{n-1} c_i B_i$, then by using the boundary conditions of problem (2.3) we obtain $c_{-3} = S(0) = u(0) = 0$ and $c_{n-1} = S(1) = u(1) = 0$. Hence

$$S = \sum_{i=-2}^{n-2} c_i B_i$$

Furthermore, since the interpolation with splines of degree d gives uniform norm errors of order $O(h^{d+1})$ for the interpolant, and of order $O(h^{d+1-r})$ for the r th derivative of the interpolant (see [2], for instance), then for any $u \in C^4(\Omega_x)$ we have

$$p.S^{(2)}(\tau_j) + q(\tau_j)S^{(1)}(\tau_j) + l(\tau_j)S^{(0)}(\tau_j) = g(\tau_j) + O(h^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, n+1, \quad (3.1)$$

The cubic spline collocation method, that we present in this paper, constructs numerically a cubic spline $\tilde{S} = \sum_{i=-3}^{n-1} \tilde{c}_i B_i$ which satisfies the equation of problem (2.3) at the points τ_j , $j = 0, \dots, n+2$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{c}_{-3} = 0$ and $\tilde{c}_{n-1} = 0$, and the coefficients $\tilde{c}_i$, $i = -2, \dots, n-1$ satisfy the following:

$$p.\tilde{S}^{(2)}(\tau_j) + q(\tau_j)\tilde{S}^{(1)}(\tau_j) + l(\tau_j)\tilde{S}^{(0)}(\tau_j) = g(\tau_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n+1. \quad (3.2)$$

Taking $C = [c_{-2}, \dots, c_{n-2}]^T$ and $\tilde{C} = [\tilde{c}_{-2}, \dots, \tilde{c}_{n-2}]^T$, and using equations (3.1) and (3.2), we get:

$$(PA_h^{(2)} + QA_h^{(1)} + LA_h^{(0)})C = F + E, \quad (3.3)$$

$$(PA_h^{(2)} + QA_h^{(1)} + LA_h^{(0)})\tilde{C} = F, \quad (3.4)$$

with:

$$F = [f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}]^T, \text{ and } f_j = g(\tau_j),$$

$$E = [O(h^2), \dots, O(h^2)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

$$P = (\text{diag}(\Delta t \theta \epsilon I_j))_{1 \leq j \leq n+1},$$

$$Q = (\text{diag}(\Delta t \theta \beta^{m+\theta}(\tau_j)))_{1 \leq j \leq n+1},$$

$$L = (\text{diag}(\Delta t \theta \gamma^{m+\theta}(\tau_j) - 1))_{1 \leq j \leq n+1},$$

$$A_h^{(k)} = (B_{-3+l}^{(k)}(\tau_j))_{1 \leq j, l \leq n+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2.$$

It is well known that $A_h^{(k)} = \frac{1}{h^k} A_k$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$ where matrices A_0 , A_1 and A_2 are independent of h , with the matrix A_2 is invertible [11].

We deduce that (3.3) and (3.4) can be written also in the following form

$$PA_2(I + A_2^{-1}P^{-1}(hQA_1 + h^2LA_0))C = h^2F + h^2E \quad (3.5)$$

$$PA_2(I + A_2^{-1}P^{-1}(hQA_1 + h^2LA_0))\tilde{C} = h^2F, \quad (3.6)$$

In order to determine the bounded of $\|C - \tilde{C}\|$, we need the following remark.

Remark 3.2. For a small real h such that

$$\|A_2^{-1}P^{-1}\|_\infty (h\|Q\|_\infty\|A_1\|_\infty + h^2\|L\|_\infty\|A_0\|_\infty) < 1,$$

the matrix $(I + A_2^{-1}P^{-1}(hQA_1 + h^2LA_0))^{-1}$ exists, and

$$\|(I + A_2^{-1}P^{-1}(hQA_1 + h^2LA_0))^{-1}\|_{\infty} < \frac{1}{1 - (h\|Q\|_{\infty}\|A_1\|_{\infty} + h^2\|L\|_{\infty}\|A_0\|_{\infty})}.$$

Hence, in this case, there exists a unique cubic spline that approximates the exact solution u of problem (2.3).

Proposition 3.3. *If we choose the real h such that*

$$\|A_2^{-1}P^{-1}\|_{\infty} (h\|Q\|_{\infty}\|A_1\|_{\infty} + h^2\|L\|_{\infty}\|A_0\|_{\infty}) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.7)$$

then there exists a constant cte that depends only on the functions p , q , l and f such that

$$\|C - \tilde{C}\| \leq cte.h^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof: from relations (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$C - \tilde{C} = h^2(PA_2)^{-1} (I + (PA_2)^{-1}(hQA_1 + h^2LA_0))^{-1} E.$$

Since $E = O(h^2)$, then there exists a constant K_1 such that $\|E\| \leq K_1 h^2$. This implies that

$$\|C - \tilde{C}\| \leq K_1 \frac{h^2\|(A_2P)^{-1}\|_{\infty}}{1 - h^2\|(A_2P)^{-1}\|_{\infty}(h^{-1}\|Q\|_{\infty}\|A_1\|_{\infty} + \|L\|_{\infty}\|A_0\|_{\infty})} h^2$$

Using the inequality $\|A_2^{-1}P^{-1}\|_{\infty} (h\|Q\|_{\infty}\|A_1\|_{\infty} + h^2\|L\|_{\infty}\|A_0\|_{\infty}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and $0 < h \leq 1$, we deduce that

$$\|C - \tilde{C}\| \leq K_1 \frac{h^2\|(A_2P)^{-1}\|_{\infty}}{\|Q\|_{\infty}\|A_1\|_{\infty} + \|L\|_{\infty}\|A_0\|_{\infty}} h^2$$

Finally, we deduce that

$$\|C - \tilde{C}\| \leq cte.h^2.$$

□

Now, we are in position to prove the main theorem of our work.

Theorem 3.4. *The spline approximation $\tilde{S}$ converges quadratically to the exact solution u of problem (2.3), i.e., $\|u - \tilde{S}\|_{\infty} = O(h^2)$.*

Proof: It is well known that $\|u - S\|_\infty = O(h^4)$ (see [10]), so $\|u - S\|_\infty \leq Kh^4$, where K is a positive constant. On the other hand we have

$$S(x) - \tilde{S}(x) = \sum_{i=-2}^{n-2} (c_i - \tilde{c}_i) B_i(x).$$

Therefore, by using (3.8) and $\sum_{i=-2}^{n-2} B_i(x) \leq 1$, we get

$$|S(x) - \tilde{S}(x)| \leq \|C - \tilde{C}\| \sum_{i=-2}^{n-2} B_i(x) \leq \|C - \tilde{C}\| \leq cte.h^2.$$

Since $\|u - \tilde{S}\|_\infty \leq \|u - S\|_\infty + \|S - \tilde{S}\|_\infty$, we deduce the stated result. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *We suppose that $u(x, t)$ is the solution of (1.1) and $u_c(x, t)$ is the approximate solution by our presented method, then we have,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(x, t_m) - u_c(x, t_m)\|_\infty &\leq C_1(\Delta t^2 + h^2) \text{ for } \theta = \frac{1}{2} \\ \|u(x, t_m) - u_c(x, t_m)\|_\infty &\leq C_2(\Delta t + h^2) \text{ for } \theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are finite constants. Therefore for sufficiently small Δt and h , the solution of presented scheme (3.3) converges to the solution of initial boundary value problem (1.1) in the discrete L_∞ - norm and the rates of convergence are $O(\Delta t + h^2)$ and $O(\Delta t^2 + h^2)$.

4. Numerical Experiments

In this section we verify experimentally theoretical results obtained in the previous section. If the exact solution is known, then at time $t \leq T$ the maximum error $E_\epsilon^{\max}$ can be calculated as:

$$E_\epsilon^{\max} = \max_{x \in [0,1], t \in [0,T]} |S^{M,N}(x, t) - u(x, t)|.$$

Otherwise it can be estimated by the following double mesh principle:

$$E_{\epsilon, M, N}^{\max} = \max_{x \in [0,1], t \in [0,T]} |S^{M,N}(x, t) - S^{2M, 2N}(x, t)|,$$

where $S^{M,N}(x, t)$ is the numerical solution on the $M + 1$ grids in space and $N + 1$ grids in time, and $S^{2M, 2N}(x, t)$ is the numerical solution on the $2M + 1$ grids in space and $2N + 1$ grids in time.

In this section, we present the numerical results of present method on two problems presented in the paper of the authors C.Clavero et al. [7]. We tested the accuracy of this method for different values of N , M , ϵ and compared the obtained results to the ones given in [7].

4.1. Example 1.

Consider the time-dependent convection-diffusion problem (1.1) with the following data:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= (0, 1) \times (0, 1), \text{ and } \phi_0 = 0, \\ a(x) &= 1 + x^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sin(\pi x), \\ b(x, t) &= 1 + x^2 + \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right), \\ f(x, t) &= x^3(1 - x)^3 + t(1 - t) \sin(\pi t).\end{aligned}$$

Table 1 shows values of the maximum error (max_error) obtained in our numerical experiments for different values of N , M and ϵ , we note the convergence of the solution S to the function u depends on the discretization parameters h , Δt and the parameter ϵ . Theorem 6 shown the convergence of the method provided that the parameters ϵ , h and Δt satisfy the relation (3.7).

Table 2 shows values of the maximum error (max_error) obtained in our numerical experiments and the one obtained in [7]. Table 2 illustrates the comparative performance of our method over another existing method [7]. The obtained approximate numerical solutions show that our proposed method maintains better accuracy compared with a recent other existing method [7] based on the hybrid numerical method.

From these tables, we can say that the results of our schemes are acceptable, and conclude that the proposed schemes are feasible and valid. We observe that present method is nearly of second order of convergence with respect to these error norms.

Table 1: Numerical results for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$.

N	32	64	128	256	512
M	16	32	64	128	256
For $\epsilon=2^{-2}$					
max_error	0.56075×10^{-3}	0.23896×10^{-3}	0.11801×10^{-3}	0.61301×10^{-4}	0.24511×10^{-4}
For $\epsilon=2^{-3}$					
max_error	0.59979×10^{-3}	0.25169×10^{-3}	0.12173×10^{-3}	0.61512×10^{-4}	0.24633×10^{-4}
For $\epsilon=2^{-4}$					
max_error	0.62299×10^{-3}	0.25910×10^{-3}	0.12374×10^{-3}	0.61633×10^{-4}	0.24645×10^{-4}
For $\epsilon=2^{-5}$					
max_error	0.63569×10^{-3}	0.26310×10^{-3}	0.12479×10^{-3}	0.61708×10^{-4}	0.24667×10^{-4}

4.2. Example 2.

Consider the time-dependent convection-diffusion problem (1.1) with the following data:

$$\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1), \text{ and } \phi_0 = 0,$$

$$a(x) = 4 + 4x - x^2 - e^x,$$

$$b(x, t) = 5xt,$$

$$f(x, t) = 3 \sin(\pi x) + 5(e^t - 1).$$

Table 3 shows values of the maximum error (max_error) obtained in our numerical experiments for different values of N , M and ϵ , we note the convergence of the solution S to the function u depends on the discretization parameters h , Δt and the parameter ϵ . Theorem 6 shown the convergence of the method provided that the parameters ϵ , h and Δt satisfy the relation (3.7).

Table 4 shows values of the maximum error (max_error) obtained in our numerical experiments and the one obtained in [7]. The results show that the errors in our methods are smaller than errors of the methods in [7].

Table 2: Numerical results for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$.

N	32	64	128	256	512
M	16	32	64	128	256
For $\epsilon = 2^{-6}$					
our max_error	0.6423×10^{-3}	0.2651×10^{-3}	****	****	****
max_error in [7]	0.249×10^{-2}	0.142×10^{-2}	****	****	****
For $\epsilon = 2^{-9}$					
our max_error	0.6482×10^{-3}	0.2670×10^{-3}	0.1247×10^{-3}	0.6170×10^{-4}	0.2466×10^{-4}
max_error in [7]	0.262×10^{-2}	0.153×10^{-2}	0.829×10^{-3}	0.434×10^{-3}	0.222×10^{-3}
For $\epsilon = 2^{-12}$					
our max_error	0.6490×10^{-3}	0.2672×10^{-3}	0.1247×10^{-3}	0.6172×10^{-4}	0.2467×10^{-4}
max_error in [7]	0.265×10^{-2}	0.154×10^{-2}	0.840×10^{-3}	0.439×10^{-3}	0.225×10^{-3}
For $\epsilon = 2^{-15}$					
our max_error	0.6490×10^{-3}	0.2673×10^{-3}	0.1247×10^{-3}	0.6173×10^{-4}	0.2468×10^{-4}
max_error in [7]	0.265×10^{-2}	0.154×10^{-2}	0.841×10^{-3}	0.440×10^{-3}	0.225×10^{-3}
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
For $\epsilon = 2^{-30}$					
our max_error	0.6491×10^{-3}	0.2673×10^{-3}	0.1247×10^{-3}	0.6173×10^{-4}	0.2468×10^{-4}
max_error in [7]	0.265×10^{-2}	0.155×10^{-2}	0.841×10^{-3}	0.440×10^{-3}	0.225×10^{-3}

Table 3: Numerical results for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$.

N	84	168	336	672	1344
M	5	20	80	320	1280
For $\epsilon = 2^{-2}$					
max_error	0.60231×10^{-3}	0.25895×10^{-3}	0.10874×10^{-3}	0.32201×10^{-4}	0.14300×10^{-4}
For $\epsilon = 2^{-4}$					
max_error	0.65814×10^{-3}	0.27101×10^{-3}	0.11365×10^{-3}	0.32107×10^{-4}	0.14408×10^{-4}
For $\epsilon = 2^{-6}$					
max_error	0.67545×10^{-3}	0.27412×10^{-3}	0.11541×10^{-3}	0.32213×10^{-4}	0.14413×10^{-4}
For $\epsilon = 2^{-8}$					
max_error	0.68000×10^{-3}	0.28061×10^{-3}	0.11627×10^{-3}	0.32358×10^{-4}	0.14427×10^{-4}

Table 4: Numerical results for $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$.

N	84	168	336	672	1344
M	5	20	80	320	1280
For $\epsilon = 2^{-9}$					
our max_error	0.6807×10^{-3}	0.2812×10^{-3}	0.1162×10^{-3}	***	***
max_error in [7]	0.616×10^{-2}	0.230×10^{-2}	0.681×10^{-3}	***	***
For $\epsilon = 2^{-12}$					
our max_error	0.6814×10^{-3}	0.2818×10^{-3}	0.1164×10^{-3}	0.3235×10^{-4}	0.0142×10^{-4}
max_error in [7]	0.618×10^{-2}	0.232×10^{-2}	0.689×10^{-3}	0.181×10^{-3}	0.457×10^{-4}
For $\epsilon = 2^{-15}$					
our max_error	0.6815×10^{-3}	0.2819×10^{-3}	0.1165×10^{-3}	0.3236×10^{-4}	0.0143×10^{-4}
max_error in [7]	0.619×10^{-2}	0.232×10^{-2}	0.690×10^{-3}	0.181×10^{-3}	0.458×10^{-4}
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
For $\epsilon = 2^{-30}$					
our max_error	0.6815×10^{-3}	0.2819×10^{-3}	0.1165×10^{-3}	0.3236×10^{-4}	0.0143×10^{-4}
max_error in [7]	0.619×10^{-2}	0.232×10^{-2}	0.690×10^{-3}	0.181×10^{-3}	0.458×10^{-4}

5. Conclusion

A numerical method is developed to solve a time-dependent convection-diffusion problems. This method is based on θ -method for the temporal discretization and the cubic spline collocation method in the spatial direction. We have shown the convergence of the method provided that the parameters ϵ , h and Δt satisfy the relation (3.7). Moreover we have provided an error estimate of order $O(h^2 + \Delta t^2)$ with respect to the maximum norm: $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Numerical experiments were performed on two known models to validate the convergence and efficiency of the method. Comparisons of the computed results with exact solutions showed that the scheme is capable of solving the time-dependent convection-diffusion equation and

is also capable of producing highly accurate solutions with minimal computational effort for both time and space. The computational results show that the proposed numerical method is an efficient alternative method to the one proposed in [7].

References

1. C. Clavero, J.C. Jorge, F. Lisbona, Uniformly convergent scheme on a nonuniform mesh for convection-diffusion parabolic problems, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 154 (2003) 415-429.
2. J.I. Ramos, An exponentially fitted method for singularly perturbed, one-dimensional, parabolic problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 161 (2005) 513-523.
3. K. Surla, V. Jerkovic, Some possibilities of applying spline collocations to singular perturbation problems. *Numerical Methods and Approximation Theory*, vol. II, Novisad, 1985, pp. 19-25.
4. A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equation of Parabolic Type*, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Huntington, NY, (1983).
5. O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, In: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, Vol. 23, Providence, RI, (1968).
6. H.-G. Roos, M. Stynes, L. Tobiska, *Robust numerical methods for singularly perturbed differential equations*, second edition, in: *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*, vol. 24, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
7. C. Clavero, J.L. Gracia, M. Stynes, A simpler analysis of a hybrid numerical method for time-dependent convection-diffusion problems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 235 (2011) 5240-5248
8. M. K. Kadalbajoo, L. P. Tripathi, A. Kumar, A cubic B-spline collocation for a numerical solution of generalized Black-Scholes equation, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 55 (2012), 1483-1505.
9. A. El hajaji, K. Hilal, A. Serghini and E. B. Mermri, Pricing American bond options using a cubic spline collocation method. *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, Vol 32 2 (2014): 189-208. doi:10.5269/bspm.v32i2.21354
10. C. de Boor, *A Practical guide to Splines*. Springer Verlag, New York, (1978).
11. E. Mermri, A. Serghini, A. El hajaji and K. Hilal, "A Cubic Spline Method for Solving a Unilateral Obstacle Problem," *American Journal of Computational Mathematics*, Vol. 2 No. 3, 2012, pp. 217-222. doi: 10.4236/ajcm.2012.23028.

M. El Merzguioui,
Department of Mathematics,
FST, University Abdelmalek Essaadi, Tanger,
Morocco
E-mail address: `mh.elmerzguioui@gmail.com`

and

A. El hajaji
LERSEM Laboratory,
ENCGJ, University Chouaib Doukkali, El jadida,
Morocco
E-mail address: `a_elhajaji@yahoo.fr`

and

K. Hilal
LMC Laboratory,
FST, University Sultan Moulay Slimane, Beni-Mellal,
Morocco
E-mail address: `hilal_khalid@yahoo.fr`

and

L. S. Chadli
LMC Laboratory,
FST, University Sultan Moulay Slimane, Beni-Mellal,
Morocco
E-mail address: `chadli@fstbm.ac.ma`