



Boundedness and Convergence Analysis of Stochastic Differential Equations with Hurst Brownian Motion

Davood Ahmadian* and Omid Farkhondeh Rouz

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we discuss about the boundedness and convergence analysis of the fractional Brownian motion (FBM) with Hurst parameter H . By the simple analysis and using the mean value theorem for stochastic integrals we conclude that in case of decreasing diffusion function, the solution of FBM is bounded for any $H \in (0, 1)$. Also, we derive the convergence rate which shows efficiency and accuracy of the computed solutions.

Key Words: Fractional Brownian Motion, Hurst Parameter, Boundedness, Convergence.

Contents

1 Introduction	187
2 Preliminaries and Notations	188
3 Boundedness Analysis of the FBM with Hurst Index	189
4 Convergence Analysis of the FBM with Hurst Index	194
5 Conclusion	195

1. Introduction

The self-similarity and long-range dependence properties make the fractional Brownian motion a suitable driving noise in different applications like mathematical finance and network traffic analysis. The convergence analysis of stochastic differential equations in this paper is useful for fractional calculus. For example, in [3], [25], and [26] it is established sufficient explicit conditions for globally asymptotic stability of linear fractional differential system with distributed delays. In addition recent achievements in mathematical finance theory [24], [14], and [15] could be extended with Hurst Brownian motion. As well some stochastic concepts of almost sure and exponential mean-square stability of Hurst Brownian motion can be investigated ([11], [12] and [13]).

Recently, there have been several attempts to construct a stochastic calculus with respect to the FBM (see [8], [19]). It is highly important to identify the value of the Hurst parameter in order to understand the structure of the process and its applications, since the calculations differ dramatically according to the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 65C60, 60H35, 65C30.
Submitted July 21, 2017. Published January 17, 2018

value of H , therefore, some techniques have been developed for estimating the Hurst parameter, for example in [2] and [1], authors investigated to construct a stochastic integral with respect to the FBM with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, by using Malliavin calculus, or in [7], authors present a path-wise approach towards a stochastic analysis for fractional Brownian motions. Also in some papers such as [21], authors study such a stochastic integrals to a smaller class of processes, namely the bounded sure processes, on finite time intervals. Moreover Duncan et al. (see [9]) study the FBM, in Hilbert space with the Hurst parameter in the interval $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. As well some numerical methods are presented to estimate the Hurst parameter H (see [5], [20], [23] and [18]) and some numerical solutions are presented to prove the convergence rate of this kind of equations (see in [16] and [17]).

In [22], the existence and uniqueness of the multi dimensional, time dependent FBM is driven with Hurst parameter $H > \frac{1}{2}$.

Based on these papers we first show that the solution of FBM is bounded and subsequently by following the [10], we prove the convergence solution of FBM with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$. To this aim, first we evaluate the boundedness of the solution of FBM. We use the elementary chain rule calculus and the mean value theorem for stochastic functions developed in [6], which cause to restrict the diffusion function $g(t, X(t))$ to a decreasing diffusion function.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 begins with notations and preliminaries of Hurst Brownian motion. Section 3 examines the conditions under which the solution of FBM is bounded. Section 4 describes the convergence analysis of this kind equations.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

In this section, we review some of the standard facts on the fractional calculus. Let $t \in (0, \infty)$ be a real number and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be a complete probability space. The scalar stochastic differential equation with a standard Hurst parameter has the following general form

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = f(t, X(t))dt + g(t, X(t))dW^H(t), & t > 0, \\ X(t_0) = X_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The following definition provides an infinite-dimensional analogue of a fractional Brownian motion in a finite-dimensional space with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ (see [19]).

Definition 2.1. *A U -valued Gaussian process $(W^H(t), t \in \mathbb{R})$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ is called a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ if*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(W_t^H) &= 0, \\ \mathbb{E}(W_t^H W_s^H) &= \frac{1}{2} \{|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The constant H determines the sign of the covariance of the future and past increments. This covariance is positive when $H > 1/2$ and negative when $H < 1/2$. The case $H = \frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to the ordinary Brownian motion.

Assumption 1. *Let the functions f and g satisfy the local Lipschitz condition, that is, for each $j > 0$ there exists a positive constant K_j such that for any $X, \bar{X} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|X| \vee |\bar{X}| \leq j$, and $t > 0$,*

$$|f(t, X) - f(t, \bar{X})| \vee |g(t, X) - g(t, \bar{X})| \leq K_j(|X - \bar{X}|). \tag{2.3}$$

3. Boundedness Analysis of the FBM with Hurst Index

In this section, let us firstly investigate boundedness of the solution of the FBM with Hurst parameter.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $T > 0$ and $X(t)$ be the solution of equation (2.1) at $t \in [0, T]$, then for any $H \in (0, 1)$ and decreasing diffusion function $g(t, X(t))$, there exists a finite positive constant C such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}(|X(t)|^2) \leq C(1 + \mathbb{E}|X(0)|^2). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof: From equation (2.1), we have

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t f(s, X(s))ds + \int_0^t g(s, X(s))dW^H(s) = X(0) + A + B. \tag{3.2}$$

By using the cauchy-schwarz inequality and linear growth condition for f , it is clear that:

$$\mathbb{E}(|A|^2) \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t f^2(s, X(s))ds \right| \right) \leq C\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t (1 + X^2(s))ds \right| \right). \tag{3.3}$$

Now we want to obtain the similar result for the term B , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|B|^2) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t g(s, X(s))dW^H(s) \right|^2 \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t g'(s, X(s))W^H(s)ds \right|^2 \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t \int_0^t g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))W^H(s)W^H(u)dsdu \right| \right) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))|) \mathbb{E}(W^H(s)W^H(u)) dsdu, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

by the Definition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(|B|^2) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))|) [|u|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |u-s|^{2H}] dsdu \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))|) |u|^{2H} dsdu \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))|) |s|^{2H} dsdu \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^u \mathbb{E}(|g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))|) (u-s)^{2H} dsdu \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_u^t \mathbb{E}(|g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))|) (s-u)^{2H} dsdu \\
&= B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4, \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

then we evaluate the terms of B_1, B_2, B_3 and B_4 separately. First we consider the value of B_1

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))|) |u|^{2H} dsdu \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t g'(s, X(s)) \left(\int_0^t g'(u, X(u)) |u|^{2H} du \right) ds \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{2} g(s, X(s)) \Big|_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(|g'(u, X(u))|) |u|^{2H} du. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

By using the chain rule in B_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (g(t, X(t)) - g(0, X(0))) \left(|t|^{2H} g(t, X(t)) - 2H \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t (g(u, X(u))) |u|^{2H-1} du \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{t^{2H}}{2} g^2(t, X(t)) - H g(t, X(t)) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g(u, X(u)) |u|^{2H-1} du \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{t^{2H}}{2} g(t, X(t)) g(0, X(0)) + H g(0, X(0)) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g(u, X(u)) |u|^{2H-1} du \right). \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Similary for evaluating the term B_2 we can conclude that $B_2 = B_1$.

For the third term of (3.5), similarly by using the chain rule we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^u \mathbb{E}(|g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))|)(u-s)^{2H} ds du \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g'(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^u (u-s)^{2H} g'(s, X(s)) ds \right) du \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g'(u, X(u)) \left\{ (u-s)^{2H} g(s, X(s)) \Big|_0^u + 2H \int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-1} g(s, X(s)) ds \right\} du \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g'(u, X(u)) \left\{ -u^{2H} g(0, X(0)) + 2H \int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-1} g(s, X(s)) ds \right\} du \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t u^{2H} g(0, X(0)) g'(u, X(u)) du \\
 &\quad - H \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g'(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-1} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) du \\
 &= B_{31} + B_{32}. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

By using the chain rule for the first term of relation (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{31} &= \frac{1}{2} g(0, X(0)) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t u^{2H} g'(u, X(u)) du \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} g(0, X(0)) \left\{ u^{2H} g(u, X(u)) \Big|_0^t - 2H \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t u^{2H-1} g(u, X(u)) du \right) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} g(0, X(0)) \left\{ t^{2H} g(t, X(t)) - 2H \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t u^{2H-1} g(u, X(u)) du \right) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} g(0, X(0)) t^{2H} g(t, X(t)) - H g(0, X(0)) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t u^{2H-1} g(u, X(u)) du \right), \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

and for the second term of (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{32} &= -H \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g'(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-1} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) du \\
 &= -H \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^u g(s, X(s)) (u-s)^{2H-1} ds \times g(u, X(u)) \Big|_0^t \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (2H-1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) du \right) \\
 &= -H \left(g(u, X(u)) \mathbb{E} \int_0^u g(s, X(s)) (u-s)^{2H-1} ds \Big|_0^t \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + H(2H-1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) du \right). \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

For the term B_{32} we can express similar evaluation as (3.10) too and derive

that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{32} &= -Hg(t, X(t))\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t g(s, X(s))(t-s)^{2H-1}ds\right) \\ &\quad + H(2H-1)\mathbb{E}\int_0^t g(u, X(u))\left(\int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-2}g(s, X(s))ds\right)du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Finally for the last term of (3.5), again we can compute that:

$$\begin{aligned} B_4 &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_u^t\mathbb{E}(|g'(s, X(s))g'(u, X(u))|)(s-u)^{2H}dsdu \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t g'(u, X(u))\left(\int_u^t (s-u)^{2H}g'(s, X(s))ds\right)du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t g'(u, X(u))\left\{(s-u)^{2H}g(s, X(s))\Big|_u^t - 2H\int_u^t (s-u)^{2H-1}g(s, X(s))ds\right\}du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t g'(u, X(u))\left\{(t-u)^{2H}g(t, X(t)) - 2H\int_u^t (s-u)^{2H-1}g(s, X(s))ds\right\}du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}g(t, X(t))\mathbb{E}\int_0^t (t-u)^{2H}g'(u, X(u))du \\ &\quad + H\mathbb{E}\int_0^t g'(u, X(u))\left(\int_u^t (s-u)^{2H-1}g(s, X(s))ds\right)du \\ &= B_{41} + B_{42}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By using the chain rule for the term B_{41} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{41} &= -\frac{1}{2}g(t, X(t))\mathbb{E}\int_0^t (t-u)^{2H}g'(u, X(u))du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}g(t, X(t))\mathbb{E}\left\{(t-u)^{2H}g(u, X(u))\Big|_0^t + 2H\int_0^t (t-u)^{2H-1}g(u, X(u))du\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}g(t, X(t))t^{2H}g(0, X(0)) - Hg(t, X(t))\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t (t-u)^{2H-1}g(u, X(u))du\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

as well we can simply conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{42} &= -Hg(0, X(0))\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t s^{2H-1}g(s, X(s))ds\right) \\ &\quad - H(2H-1)\mathbb{E}\int_0^t g(u, X(u))\left(\int_u^t (s-u)^{2H-2}g(s, X(s))ds\right)du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

By summing up the terms $B_1, B_2, B_{31}, B_{32}, B_{41}$ and B_{42} and omitting the same

terms we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(|B|^2) = t^{2H} \mathbb{E}(g^2(t, X(t))) \quad (3.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - 2H \mathbb{E}(g(t, X(t))) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g(u, X(u)) (|t-u|^{2H-1} + |u|^{2H-1}) du \right) \\ & + H(2H-1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) du \\ & - H(2H-1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g(u, X(u)) \left(\int_u^t (s-u)^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

By using the mean value theorem for stochastic functions discussed in [6], we can conclude for the the second term of (3.15)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(g(t, X(t))) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g(u, X(u)) (|t-u|^{2H-1} + |u|^{2H-1}) du \right) \\ & = \mathbb{E}(g(t, X(t))) \mathbb{E}(g(c, X(c))) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t (|t-u|^{2H-1} + |u|^{2H-1}) du \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for some constant $c \in (0, t)$. Now, if we impose $g(t, X(t))$ as a stochastic decreasing function, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2H \mathbb{E}(g(t, X(t))) \mathbb{E}(g(c, X(c))) \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t (|t-u|^{2H-1} + |u|^{2H-1}) du \right) \\ & \geq 2H \mathbb{E}(g^2(t, X(t))) \times \frac{t^{2H}}{H}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

so we can conclude that from (3.15), for some constant C we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|B|^2) & \leq -t^{2H} \mathbb{E}(g^2(t, X(t))) \\ & + H(2H-1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) du \\ & - H(2H-1) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t g(u, X(u)) \left(\int_u^t (s-u)^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) du \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^u (u-s)^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \int_u^t (s-u)^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) \right) ds \right) du \\ & = C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^t (u-s)^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) \right) du \\ & \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g(u, X(u)) \left(\int_0^t |u|^{2H-2} g(s, X(s)) ds \right) \right) du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since $0 \leq u \leq t$, we can conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}(|B|^2) \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g(u, X(u)) du \right)^2. \quad (3.20)$$

By using the Cauchy-schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(|B|^2) \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t g^2(u, X(u)) du \right), \quad (3.21)$$

now by relation (3.2) and using the Gronwall inequality we can obtain the desired inequality of (3.1). \square

4. Convergence Analysis of the FBM with Hurst Index

In this section we follow the [10], and we consider the convergence analysis of FBM with Hurst parameter H .

Define

$$\begin{cases} X^0(t) := X(0), \\ X^{n+1}(t) := X(0) + \int_0^t f(s, X^n(s)) ds + \int_0^t g(s, X^n(s)) dW^H, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$. Define also

$$d^n(t) := E(|X^{n+1}(t) - X^n(t)|^2). \quad (4.2)$$

We claim that

$$d^n(t) \leq \frac{(Mt)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (4.3)$$

for some constant M , depending on L, T and $X(0)$. Indeed for $n = 0$, and by the relation (3.21) which has been proven in section 3 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d^0(t) &= \mathbb{E}(|X^1(t) - X^0(t)|^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t f(s, X(0)) ds + \int_0^t g(s, X(0)) dW^H \right|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int_0^t L(1 + X(0)) ds \right|^2 \right) + 2\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t L^2(1 + |X(0)|^2) ds \right) \\ &\leq tM, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for some large enough constant M . This confirms the claim for $n = 0$.

Next, to complete the proof by induction on $n - 1$, and by implementing the lemma in section 3, we omit the proceeding of the proof and refer the reader to follow the (Chapter 5, Pages 92 – 94) in [10].

Remark 4.1. We consider the Mandelbrot-Van Ness representation of fractional Brownian motion W_t^H in terms of Wiener integrals

$$W_t^H = C_H \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{dW_s^{\mathbb{P}}}{(t-s)^\gamma} - \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{dW_s^{\mathbb{P}}}{(-s)^\gamma} \right\}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\gamma = 1/2 - H$ with the choice $C_H = \sqrt{\frac{2H\Gamma(3/2-H)}{\Gamma(H+1/2)\Gamma(2-2H)}}$.

Since, the right hand side of (4.5) is Ito integral, and it is proved to be martingale, so we can state that W_t^H is martingale (see [4]).

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we are interested to investigate whether the FBM with Hurst index has bounded solution or convergent. We employ the Definition 2.1 and using the chain rule as well by the important remark of mean value theorem for stochastic functions which has been proven in [6], we get a conclusion of boundedness of solution of FBM, if the diffusion function $g(t, X(t))$ is a decreasing function under some measurable probability. Also by using the proposed conclusion and following [10], we directly prove the convergence of the solution of FBM with any Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$.

References

1. Alos, E., Mazet, O., and Nualart, D., *Stochastic calculus with respect to fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter lesser than $\frac{1}{2}$* , Stochastic Processes and their Applications. 86, 121-139, (2000).
2. Alos, E., Nualart, D., *Stochastic integration with respect to the fractional Brownian motion*, Stochastics and Stochastics Reports. 75, 129-152, (2003).
3. Boyadzhiev, D., Veselinova, M., Kiskinov, H., And Zahariev, A., *Stability analysis of linear distributed order fractional systems with distributed delays*, Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis. 4, 914-935, (2017).
4. Biagini, F., Hu, Y., Oksendal, B., And Zhang, T., *Stochastic calculus for Fractional Brownian motion and applications*, Probability and Its Applications. Springer London, (2008).
5. Breton, J. C., Nourdin, I., And Peccati, G., *Exact Confidence intervals for the Hurst parameter of a fractional Brownian motion*, Electronic Journal of Statistics. 3, 416-425, (2009).
6. Crescenzo, A. D., *A Probabilistic Analogue of the Mean Value Theorem and Its Applications to Reliability Theory*, Journal of Applied Probability. 3, 706-719, (1999).
7. Coutin, L., Qian, Z., *Stochastic analysis, rough paths analysis and fractional Brownian motions*, Probability Theory and Related Fields. 122, 108-140, (2002).
8. Dieker, T., *Simulation of fractional Brownian motion*, MSc thesis University of Twente, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, (2004).
9. Duncan, T. E., Maslowski, B., And Duncan, B. P., *Fractional Brownian motion and stochastic equations in Hilbert spaces*, Stochastic Dynamic. 2, 225-250, (2002).
10. Evans, L. C., *An Introduction to Stochastic Differential Equations*, Department of Mathematics, UC Berkeley.

11. Farkhondeh Rouz, O., Ahmadian, D., And Milev, M., *Exponential mean-square stability of two classes of theta Milstein methods for stochastic delay differential equations*, AIP Conference Proceedings. 1910, (060015)-1-(060015)-14, (2017).
12. Farkhondeh Rouz, O., Ahmadian, D., *Stability analysis of two classes of improved backward Euler methods for stochastic delay differential equations of neutral type*, Computational Methods for Differential Equations. 3, 201-213, (2017).
13. Farkhondeh Rouz, O., Ahmadian, D., Jodaree Akbarfam, A., And Milev, M., *A Note on the Almost Sure Exponential Stability of the Milstein Method for Stochastic Delay Differential Equations with Jumps*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 1, 201-216, (2017).
14. Gzyl, H., Milev, M., And Tagliani, A., *Discontinuous payoff option pricing by Mellin transform*, A probabilistic approach, Finance Research Letters. 20, 281-288, (2017).
15. Gzyl, H., Tagliani, A., And Milev, M., *Laplace transform inversion on the real line is truly illconditioned*, Applied Mathematics and Computation. 18, 9805-9809, (2013).
16. Kamrani, M., *Numerical solution of stochastic fractional differential equations*, Numerical Algorithms. 68, 81-93, (2014).
17. Kamrani M., Jamshidi, N., *Implicit Euler approximation of stochastic evolution equations with fractional Brownian motion*, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation. 44, 1-10, (2017).
18. Kim, Y. T., Park, H. S., *Convergence rate of CLT for the estimation of Hurst parameter of fractional Brownian motion*, Statistics and Probability Letters. 105, 181-188, (2015).
19. Kilbas, A. A., Srivastava, H. M., And Trujillo, J. J., *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. 204, (2006).
20. Kubilius, K., Skorniakov, V., *On some estimators of the Hurst index of the solution of SDE driven by a fractional Brownian motion*, Statistics and Probability Letters. 109, 159-167, (2016).
21. Lin, S. J., *Stochastic analysis of fractional Brownian motions*, Stochastics and Stochastics Reports. 55, 121-140, (1995).
22. Nualart, D., Rascanu, A., *Differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion*, Collectanea Mathematica. 53, 55-81, (2002).
23. Ozkurt, F. Y., Acar, C. V., Okur, Y. Y., And Weber, G. W., *Estimation of the Hurst parameter for fractional Brownian motion using the CMARS method*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics. 259, 843-850, (2014).
24. Tagliani, A., Milev, M., *Laplace Transform and Finite Difference Methods for the Black-Scholes Equation*, Applied Mathematics and Computations. 220, 649-658, (2013).
25. Veselinova, M., Kiskinov, H., And Zahariev, A., *Stability analysis of neutral linear fractional system with distributed Delays*, Filomat. 3, 841-851, (2016).
26. Veselinova, M., Kiskinov, H., And Zahariev, A., *About stability conditions for retarded fractional differential systems with distributed delays*, Communications in Applied Analysis. 20, 325-334, (2016).

D. Ahmadian,
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.
E-mail address: d.ahmadian@tabrizu.ac.ir

and

O. Farkhondeh Rouz,
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.
E-mail address: omid_farkhondeh@yahoo.com