



## $n$ -absorbing and Strongly $n$ -absorbing Second Submodules

H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, and S. Maleki-Roudposhti

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper, we introduce the concepts of  $n$ -absorbing and strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodules as a dual notion of  $n$ -absorbing submodules of modules over a commutative ring and obtain some related results. In particular, we investigate some results concerning strongly 2-absorbing second submodules.

**Key Words:** Strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule,  $n$ -absorbing second submodule, Weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2 <math>n</math>-absorbing and strongly <math>n</math>-absorbing second submodules</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>3 Strongly and weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodules</b>	<b>16</b>

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will denote a commutative ring with identity and  $\mathbb{Z}$  will denote the ring of integers. Let  $N$  be a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . For  $r \in R$ ,  $(N :_M r)$  will denote  $(N :_M r) = \{m \in M : rm \in N\}$ . Clearly,  $(N :_M r)$  is a submodule of  $M$  containing  $N$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A proper submodule  $P$  of  $M$  is said to be *prime* if for any  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $rm \in P$ , we have  $m \in P$  or  $r \in (P :_R M)$  [17]. A non-zero submodule  $S$  of  $M$  is said to be *second* if for each  $a \in R$ , the homomorphism  $S \xrightarrow{a} S$  is either surjective or zero [26]. In this case  $\text{Ann}_R(S)$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . A proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be *completely irreducible* if  $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$ , where  $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of submodules of  $M$ , implies that  $N = N_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . It is easy to see that every submodule of  $M$  is an intersection of completely irreducible submodules of  $M$  [19].

The concept of 2-absorbing ideals was introduced in [11] and then extended to  $n$ -absorbing ideals in [1]. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is a *2-absorbing ideal* of  $R$  if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ . Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$  and  $n$  a positive integer.  $I$  is called an  *$n$ -absorbing ideal* of  $R$  if whenever  $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} \in I$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ , then there are  $n$  of the  $x_i$ 's whose their product is in  $I$ .

The authors in [15] and [24], extended 2-absorbing ideals to 2-absorbing submodules. A proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called a *2-absorbing submodule* of  $M$  if

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13C13, 13C99.

Submitted October 13, 2017. Published February 13, 2018

whenever  $abm \in N$  for some  $a, b \in R$  and  $m \in M$ , then  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$  or  $ab \in (N :_R M)$ . A proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be a *weakly 2-absorbing submodule* of  $M$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $0 \neq abm \in N$ , then  $ab \in (N :_R M)$  or  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$  [15]. A proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called  *$n$ -absorbing submodule* of  $M$  if whenever  $a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  and  $m \in M$ , then either  $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N :_R M)$  or there are  $n - 1$  of  $a_i$ 's whose their product with  $m$  is in  $N$  [16]. Several authors investigated properties of 2-absorbing, and some generalization of 2-absorbing submodules, for example [15, 16, 22, 23, 24, 25].

In [2], the authors introduced the dual notion of 2-absorbing submodules (that is, *2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) second submodules*) of  $M$  and investigated some properties of these classes of modules. A non-zero submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be a *2-absorbing second submodule* of  $M$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$ ,  $L$  is a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$ , and  $abN \subseteq L$ , then  $aN \subseteq L$  or  $bN \subseteq L$  or  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . A non-zero submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be a *strongly 2-absorbing second submodule* of  $M$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$ ,  $K$  is a submodule of  $M$ , and  $abN \subseteq K$ , then  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq K$  or  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . Also, in [3, 4], the authors introduced and investigated some generalization of 2-absorbing second and strongly 2-absorbing second submodules.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concepts of  $n$ -absorbing and strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodules as dual notion of  $n$ -absorbing submodules of modules and provide some information concerning these new classes of modules. Furthermore, we study some properties of strongly 2-absorbing second submodules of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Also, we introduce the concept of weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodules of  $M$  as a dual notion of weakly 2-absorbing submodules and obtain some related results.

## 2. $n$ -absorbing and strongly $n$ -absorbing second submodules

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $N$  be a non-zero submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $n$  be a positive integer. We say that  $N$  is an  *$n$ -absorbing second submodule* of  $M$  if whenever  $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  and a completely irreducible submodule  $L$  of  $M$ , then either  $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$  or there are  $n - 1$  of  $a_i$ 's whose their product with  $N$  is a subset of  $L$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $N$  and  $K$  be two submodules of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . To prove  $N \subseteq K$ , it is enough to show that if  $L$  is a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$  such that  $K \subseteq L$ , then  $N \subseteq L$  [9, 2.1].

We recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a *cocyclic module* if  $\text{Soc}_R(M)$  is a large and simple submodule of  $M$  [27]. (Here  $\text{Soc}_R(M)$  denotes the sum of all minimal submodules of  $M$ .) A submodule  $L$  of  $M$  is a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$  if and only if  $M/L$  is a cocyclic  $R$ -module [19, 12.1.1].

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $N$  be an  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then we have the following.

- (a) If  $L$  is a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$  such that  $N \not\subseteq L$ , then  $(L :_R N)$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .

(b) If  $M$  is a cocyclic module, then  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .

(c) If  $a \in R$ , then  $a^n N = a^{n+1} N$ .

*Proof.* (a) Since  $N \not\subseteq L$ , we have  $(L :_R N) \neq R$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1} \in R$  and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in (L :_R N)$ . Then  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq (L :_M a_{n+1})$ . Thus there are  $n-1$  of  $a_i$ 's whose their product with  $N$  is a subset of  $(L :_M a_{n+1})$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq n$  or  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N = 0$  because by [10, 2.1],  $(L :_M a_{n+1})$  is a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$ . Therefore, there are  $n$  of  $a_i$ 's whose their product lies in  $(L :_R N)$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$  or  $a_1 \dots a_n \in (L :_R N)$  as needed.

(b) Since  $M$  is cocyclic, the zero submodule of  $M$  is a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$ . Thus the result follows from part (a).

(c) It is clear that  $a^{n+1} N \subseteq a^n N$ . Let  $L$  be a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$  such that  $a^{n+1} N \subseteq L$ . Then  $a^n N \subseteq (L :_M a)$ . Since  $N$  is  $n$ -absorbing second submodule and  $(L :_M a)$  is a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$  by [10, 2.1],  $a^{n-1} N \subseteq (L :_M a)$  or  $a^n N = 0$ . Therefore,  $a^n N \subseteq L$ . This implies that  $a^n N \subseteq a^{n+1} N$  by Remark 2.2.  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $N$  be a non-zero submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $n$  be a positive integer. We say that  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  if whenever  $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  and a submodule  $K$  of  $M$ , then either  $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$  or there are  $n-1$  of  $a_i$ 's whose their product with  $N$  is a subset of  $K$ .

Clearly every strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule is an  $n$ -absorbing second submodule. It is natural to ask the following question:

**Question 2.5.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Is every  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ ?

**Note 1.** Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$ . We denote by  $\hat{a}_i$  the element  $a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$ . In this case, the definition of an  $n$ -absorbing (resp. a strongly  $n$ -absorbing) second submodule can be reformulated as: a non-zero submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $n$ -absorbing (resp. strongly  $n$ -absorbing) second if whenever  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  and  $L$  is a completely irreducible submodule (resp.  $K$  is a submodule) of  $M$  with  $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$  (resp.  $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$ ), then either  $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$  or  $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq L$  (resp.  $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$ ) for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and let  $\{K_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a chain of  $n$ -absorbing second submodules of  $M$ . Then  $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda$  is an  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ ,  $L$  be a completely irreducible submodule of  $M$ , and  $a_1 \dots a_n (\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda) \subseteq L$ . Assume that  $\hat{a}_i (\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda) \not\subseteq L$ . Then for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  there is  $\beta_i \in \Lambda$ , where  $\hat{a}_i K_{\beta_i} \not\subseteq L$ . Hence, for every  $K_{\beta_i} \subseteq K_{\alpha_i}$  we have  $\hat{a}_i K_{\alpha_i} \not\subseteq L$ . Therefore, for each submodule  $K_\alpha$  such that  $K_{\beta_i} \subseteq K_\alpha$  (for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), we have  $\hat{a}_i K_\alpha \not\subseteq L$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus  $a_1 \dots a_n K_\alpha = 0$  as  $K_\alpha$  is an  $n$ -absorbing

second submodules of  $M$ . Let  $K_\alpha$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $K_{\beta_i} \subseteq K_\alpha$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . As  $\{K_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a chain, we have

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda = (\bigcup_{K_\lambda \subseteq K_\alpha} K_\lambda) \cup (\bigcup_{K_\alpha \subset K_\lambda} K_\lambda) = K_\alpha \cup (\bigcup_{K_\alpha \subset K_\lambda} K_\lambda).$$

Therefore  $a_1 \dots a_n (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda) = 0$ , as needed.  $\square$

**Definition 2.7.** We say that an  $n$ -absorbing second submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is a maximal  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of a submodule  $K$  of  $M$ , if  $N \subseteq K$  and there does not exist an  $n$ -absorbing second submodule  $H$  of  $M$  such that  $N \subset H \subset K$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then every  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  is contained in a maximal  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .

*Proof.* This is proved easily by using Zorn's Lemma and Proposition 2.6.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** Every Artinian  $R$ -module  $M$  has only a finite number of maximal  $n$ -absorbing second submodules.

*Proof.* Suppose that the result is false. Let  $\Sigma$  denote the collection of non-zero submodules  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $N$  has an infinite number of maximal  $n$ -absorbing second submodules. The collection  $\Sigma$  is non-empty because  $M \in \Sigma$  and hence has a minimal member,  $S$  say. Then  $S$  is not  $n$ -absorbing second submodule. Thus there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ , and a completely irreducible submodule  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $a_1 \dots a_n S \subseteq L$  but  $\hat{a}_i S \not\subseteq L$  (for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $a_1 \dots a_n S \neq 0$ . Let  $V$  be a maximal  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  contained in  $S$ . Then  $\hat{a}_i V \subseteq L$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  or  $a_1 \dots a_n V = 0$ . Thus  $V \subseteq (L :_M \hat{a}_i)$  or  $V \subseteq (0 :_M a_1 \dots a_n)$ . Therefore,  $V \subseteq (L :_S \hat{a}_i)$  or  $V \subseteq (0 :_S a_1 \dots a_n)$ . Hence every maximal  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $S$  is a maximal  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $(L :_S \hat{a}_i)$  or  $(0 :_S a_1 \dots a_n)$ . By the choice of  $S$ , the modules  $(L :_S \hat{a}_i)$  and  $(0 :_S a_1 \dots a_n)$  have only finitely many maximal  $n$ -absorbing second submodules. Therefore, there is only a finite number of possibilities for the module  $S$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 2.10.** We say that a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is a maximal strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of a submodule  $K$  of  $M$ , if  $N \subseteq K$  and there does not exist a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule  $H$  of  $M$  such that  $N \subset H \subset K$ .

**Remark 2.11.** One can check that, by using the same technique, that the results in Proposition 2.6, Lemma 2.8, and Theorem 2.9 about  $n$ -absorbing second submodules is also true for strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodules.

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a *comultiplication module* if for every submodule  $N$  of  $M$  there exists an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that  $N = (0 :_M I)$ , equivalently, for each submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , we have  $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$  [5].

A proper ideal  $I$  is a *strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal* of  $R$  if whenever  $I_1 \dots I_{n+1} \subseteq I$  for ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_{n+1}$  of  $R$  then there are  $n$  of the  $I_i$ 's whose product is in  $I$  [1]. Clearly a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  is also an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Anderson and Badawi conjectured that these two concepts are equivalent, e.g., they proved that an ideal  $I$  of a Prüfer domain  $R$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing if and only if  $I$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$  [1, Corollary 6.9].

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $N$  be a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ , then  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is an  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ .*
- (b) *If  $M$  is a comultiplication  $R$ -module and  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $N$  be a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . Assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$  with  $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $\hat{a}_i$  be the element of  $R$  which is obtained by eliminating  $a_i$  from  $a_1 \dots a_n$ . Then  $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq a_1 \dots a_n N$  implies that  $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq a_1 \dots a_n N$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  because  $N$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing second. Thus  $\hat{a}_i a_{n+1} N = 0$  that is,  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is  $n$ -absorbing.

(b) Assume that  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$  and  $a_1 \dots a_n N \neq 0$ . Then  $a_1 \dots a_n \text{Ann}_R(K) N = 0$ . Now as  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing ideal of  $R$ ,  $\hat{a}_i \text{Ann}_R(K) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$  since  $a_1 \dots a_n \notin \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . Thus  $\text{Ann}_R(K) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\hat{a}_i N)$ . It follows that  $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$  since  $M$  is a comultiplication  $R$ -module that is,  $N$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $N$  be a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $rN$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  for all  $r \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a_1 \dots a_n r N \subseteq K$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  and a submodule  $K$  of  $M$ . Then  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq (K :_M r)$ . So either  $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$  or there are  $n-1$  of  $a_i$ 's whose product with  $N$  is a subset of  $(K :_M r)$ . If  $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ , since  $\text{Ann}_R(N) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(rN)$  we are done. In other case, there are  $n-1$  of  $a_i$ 's whose product with  $N$  is a subset of  $(K :_M r)$  implies that there is a product of  $n-1$  of the  $a_i$ 's with  $rN$  is a subset of  $K$ . Thus  $rN$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .  $\square$

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a *multiplication module* if for every submodule  $N$  of  $M$  there exists an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that  $N = IM$  [12].

**Corollary 2.14.** *Let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain and  $M$  be a multiplication strongly  $n$ -absorbing second  $R$ -module. Then every submodule of  $M$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.13.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.15.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow \hat{M}$  be a monomorphism of  $R$ -modules. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ , then  $f(N)$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $\hat{M}$ .*
- (b) *If  $\hat{N}$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $f(M)$ , then  $f^{-1}(\hat{N})$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Since  $N \neq 0$  and  $f$  is a monomorphism, we have  $f(N) \neq 0$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$ ,  $\hat{K}$  be a submodule of  $\hat{M}$ , and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f(N) \subseteq \hat{K}$ . Then  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq f^{-1}(\hat{K})$ . As  $N$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule,  $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq f^{-1}(\hat{K})$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  or  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\hat{a}_i f(N) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\hat{K})) = f(M) \cap \hat{K} \subseteq \hat{K}$$

or  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f(N) = 0$ , as needed.

(b) If  $f^{-1}(\hat{N}) = 0$ , then  $f(M) \cap \hat{N} = f(f^{-1}(\hat{N})) = f(0) = 0$ . By assumption,  $\hat{N} \subseteq f(M)$ . Therefore  $\hat{N} = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\hat{N}) \neq 0$ . Now let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$ ,  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$ , and  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f^{-1}(\hat{N}) \subseteq K$ . Then

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \hat{N} = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n (f(M) \cap \hat{N}) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f(f^{-1}(\hat{N})) \subseteq f(K).$$

Thus as  $\hat{N}$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule,  $\hat{a}_i \hat{N} \subseteq f(K)$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  or  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \hat{N} = 0$ . Therefore,  $\hat{a}_i f^{-1}(\hat{N}) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(K)) = K$  or  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f^{-1}(\hat{N}) = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $N_i$  is a strongly  $n_i$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then  $N_1 + \dots + N_k$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  for  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$ . In particular, if  $N_1, \dots, N_n$  are second submodules of  $M$ , then  $N_1 + \dots + N_n$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  with  $a_1 \dots a_n (N_1 + \dots + N_k) \subseteq K$  such that  $\hat{a}_i (N_1 + \dots + N_k) \not\subseteq K$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . As  $a_1 \dots a_n (N_1 + \dots + N_k) \subseteq K$ , we have  $a_1 \dots a_n N_j \subseteq K$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Therefore,  $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N_j)$  for every  $1 \leq j \leq k$  since  $N_j$  is a strongly  $n_j$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  and  $n_j \leq n$ . Therefore  $a_1 + \dots + a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{Ann}_R(N_k) = \text{Ann}_R(N_1 + \dots + N_k)$ , that is,  $N_1 + \dots + N_k$  is strongly  $n$ -absorbing second. The ‘‘In particular’’ statement follows from the fact that every second submodule is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule.  $\square$

Let  $N$  be a non-zero submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . It is clear that if  $N$  is an  $n$ -absorbing (resp. a strongly  $n$ -absorbing) second submodule, then it is an  $m$ -absorbing (resp. a strongly  $m$ -absorbing) second submodule of  $M$  for every integer

$m \geq n$ . If  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  for some positive integer  $n$ , then  $\omega_M^c(N) = \min\{n : N \text{ is an } n\text{-absorbing second submodule of } M\}$ ; otherwise, set  $\omega_M^c(N) = \infty$ . Moreover, we define  $\omega_M^c(0) = 0$ . Therefore, for any submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , we have  $\omega_M^c(N) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ , with  $\omega_M^c(N) = 1$  if and only if  $N$  is a second submodule of  $M$  and  $\omega_M^c(N) = 0$  if and only if  $N = 0$ . Then  $\omega_M^c(N)$  measures, in some sense, how far  $N$  is from being a second submodule of  $M$ . One can ask how  $\omega_M^c(N)$  and  $\omega_R^c(\text{Ann}_R(N))$  compare.

**Corollary 2.17.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If  $N_1, \dots, N_k$  are strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodules of  $M$ , then  $\omega_M^c(N_1 + \dots + N_k) \leq \omega_M^c(N_1) + \dots + \omega_M^c(N_k)$ .*
- (b) *If  $N_1, \dots, N_n$  are second submodules of  $M$ , then  $\omega_M^c(N_1 + \dots + N_n) \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.16. □

**Theorem 2.18.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $N$  be a  $P$ -secondary submodule of  $M$  such that  $P^n \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . Then  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . Moreover,  $\omega_M^c(N) \leq n$ . In particular, if  $(0 :_M P^n)$  is a  $P$ -secondary submodule of  $M$ , then  $(0 :_M P^n)$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . Moreover,  $\omega_M^c((0 :_M P^n)) \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  with  $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$  such that  $\hat{a}_i N \not\subseteq K$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , as  $\hat{a}_i a_i N \subseteq K$  with  $\hat{a}_i N \not\subseteq K$  and  $N$  is a  $P$ -secondary submodule of  $M$ , we have  $a_i \in P$ . Consequently,  $a_1 \dots a_n \in P^n \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ , that is,  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . The "In particular" statement follows from the fact that  $P^n \subseteq \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M P^n))$ . □

**Theorem 2.19.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and let  $M$  be a finitely cogenerated  $R$ -module. Then every non-zero proper submodule of  $M$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  for some positive integer  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a  $P$ -secondary submodule of  $M$ . So  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is a  $P$ -primary ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is a Noetherian ring, there exists a positive integer  $m$  for which  $P^m \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . Thus  $N$  is a strongly  $m$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  by Theorem 2.18. Now assume that  $K$  is a non-zero submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is an Artinian  $R$ -module,  $K$  has a secondary representation by [20, 6.11]. Let  $K = N_1 + \dots + N_k$  be a secondary representation of  $K$ , where each  $N_i$  is a  $P_i$ -secondary submodule of  $M$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . By the first part, each  $N_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) is a strongly  $m_i$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$  for some positive integer  $m_i$ . Now  $K$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule in which  $n = m_1 + \dots + m_k$  by Theorem 2.16. Therefore the result follows. □



**Theorem 2.20.** *Let  $N$  be a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $n \geq 2$  and  $\text{Ann}_R(N) \subset \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$ . Suppose that  $r \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$  and let  $t(\geq 2)$  be the least positive integer such that  $r^t \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . Then  $r^{t-1}N$  is a strongly  $(n-t+1)$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $2 \leq t \leq n$ . Then  $n-t+1 \geq 1$ . Let  $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} r^{t-1} N \subseteq K$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-t+1} \in R$  and a submodule  $K$  of  $M$ . Since  $r^{t-1} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$  and  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ , therefore either  $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$  or  $r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$  or  $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} \in \text{Ann}_R(r^{n-1} N)$ . If  $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$  or  $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} \in \text{Ann}_R(r^{n-1} N)$ , then we are done. Hence assume that  $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \not\subseteq K$  and  $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} \notin \text{Ann}_R(r^{n-1} N)$ . Since  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ , therefore  $r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$ . Now  $r^t \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$  and  $r^{t-1} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$  imply  $r r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} (a_{n-t+1} + r) N \subseteq K$ . Again, since  $N$  is a strongly  $n$ -absorbing second and  $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \not\subseteq K$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq (n-t)$  and  $r r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} (a_{n-t+1} + r) \notin \text{Ann}_R(N)$  (as  $r^t \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ ), we must have  $r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} (a_{n-t+1} + r) N = r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N + r^{t-1} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$ . As  $r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$ , we have  $r^{t-1} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$ , a contradiction, since we assumed that the product of  $r^{t-1}$  with any  $n-t$  of the  $a_i$ 's with  $N$  is not a subset of  $K$ . Thus  $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$  or  $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} \in \text{Ann}_R(r^{t-1} N)$ , and hence  $r^{t-1} N$  is a strongly  $(n-t+1)$ -absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.21.** *One can see, by using the same technique, that the results in Theorems 2.16, 2.13, and Corollary 2.14 about strongly  $n$ -absorbing second submodules in this section is also true for  $n$ -absorbing second submodules.*

### 3. Strongly and weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodules

Recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be *sum-irreducible* precisely when it is nonzero and cannot be expressed as the sum of two proper submodules of itself [13, Definition and Exercise 7.2.8].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $N$  be a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $aN = a^2N$  for all  $a \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$ . The converse holds, if  $N$  is a sum-irreducible submodule of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$ . Then  $a^2 \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . Thus  $aN = a^2N$  by [2, 3.3]. Conversely, let  $N$  be a sum-irreducible submodule of  $M$  and  $abN \subseteq K$  for some  $a, b \in R$  and a submodule  $K$  of  $M$ . Assume that,  $ab \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$ . We show that  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq K$ . As  $ab \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$ , we have  $a, b \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$ . Thus  $aN = a^2N$  by assumption. Let  $x \in N$ . Then  $ax \in aN = a^2N$ . Hence  $ax = a^2y$  for some  $y \in N$ . This implies that  $x - ay \in (0 :_N a) \subseteq (K :_N a)$ . Thus  $x = x - ay + ay \in (K :_N a) + (K :_N b)$ . Therefore,  $N \subseteq (K :_N a) + (K :_N b)$ . Clearly,  $(K :_N a) + (K :_N b) \subseteq N$ . Thus as  $N$  is sum-irreducible,  $(K :_N a) = N$  or  $(K :_N b) = N$  as needed.  $\square$



**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $N$  be a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If  $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3)$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ , then  $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^2) = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3)$ .*
- (b) *If  $K$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  such that  $K \not\subseteq N$ , then  $(K + N)/N$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second of  $M/N$  and  $\sqrt{(N :_R K + N)} \setminus (N :_R K) = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(K)} \setminus (N :_R K)$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Clearly,  $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^2) \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3)$ . As  $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3)$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  and  $\text{Ann}_R(N)^2(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$ , we have  $\text{Ann}_R(N)(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$  or  $\text{Ann}_R(N)^2(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) = 0$ . So in any case,  $\text{Ann}_R(N)^2(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) = 0$ . This implies that  $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^2)$ .

(b) As  $K \not\subseteq N$ , we have  $(K + N)/N \neq 0$ . Let  $ab((K + N)/N) \subseteq H/N$  for some  $a, b \in R$  and a submodule  $H/N$  of  $M/N$ . Then  $ab(K + N) + N \subseteq H$ . This implies that  $abK \subseteq H$ . Now as  $K$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ , we have either  $aK \subseteq H$  or  $bK \subseteq H$  or  $abK = 0$ . Therefore, either  $a((K + N)/N) \subseteq H/N$  or  $b((K + N)/N) \subseteq H/N$  or  $ab((K + N)/N) = 0$  as needed. To see the second assertion, let  $a \in \sqrt{(N :_R K + N)} \setminus (N :_R K)$ . Then  $a^n K \subseteq N$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Now as  $K$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  and  $a \notin (N :_R K)$ , we have  $a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(K)}$ . Hence  $\sqrt{(N :_R K + N)} \setminus (N :_R K) \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(K)} \setminus (N :_R K)$ . The reverse inclusion is clear.  $\square$

For a submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  the *second radical* (or second socle) of  $N$  is defined as the sum of all second submodules of  $M$  contained in  $N$  and it is denoted by  $\text{sec}(N)$  (or  $\text{soc}(N)$ ). In case  $N$  does not contain any second submodule, the second radical of  $N$  is defined to be  $(0)$  (see [14], [8]).

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $N$  be a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then we have the following.*

- (a)  $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}^2 \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ .
- (b) *If  $M$  is a finitely generated comultiplication  $R$ -module, then  $N \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^2(\text{sec}(N)))$ .*
- (c) *If  $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \neq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ , then for each  $a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$ ,  $aN$  is a second  $R$ -module with  $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(aN)$ . Furthermore, we have  $\{\text{Ann}_R(aN)\}_{a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)}$  is a chain of prime ideals of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* (a) By [2, 3.5],  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Thus the result follows from [11, 2.4].

(b) By [7, 2.12],  $\text{Ann}_R(\text{sec}(N)) = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$ . Thus

$$\text{Ann}_R(\text{sec}(N))^2 \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N),$$

by part (a). Hence  $N \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^2(\text{sec}(N)))$ .

(c) Let  $a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . Then  $aN \neq 0$  and there exists a positive integer  $t$  such that  $a^t N = 0$  but  $a^{t-1} N \neq 0$ . Now let  $b \in R$  such that  $abN \neq 0$ . We show that  $abN = aN$ . As  $N$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ ,  $abN \subseteq abN$  implies that  $aN \subseteq abN$  or  $bN \subseteq abN$ . If  $aN \subseteq abN$ , then we are done. If  $bN \subseteq abN$ , then  $a^{t-1}bN \subseteq a^t bN = 0$ . By [2, 3.5],  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Hence  $a^{t-2}bN = 0$ . Continuing in this way we obtain,  $abN = 0$  which is a contradiction.

By part (a),  $a\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}^2 \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ . Thus  $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \subseteq (\text{Ann}_R(N) :_R a) = \text{Ann}_R(aN)$ .

As  $\text{Ann}_R(N)$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ ,  $\{\text{Ann}_R(aN)\}_{a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)}$  is a chain of prime ideals of  $R$  by [11, 2.5], which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $N$  be a  $P$ -secondary submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then  $N$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  if and only if  $P^2 \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 3.3 (a) and Theorem 2.18.  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** *Let  $N$  be a non-zero submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . We say that  $N$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$ ,  $K$  is a submodule of  $M$ ,  $abM \not\subseteq K$ , and  $abN \subseteq K$ , then  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq K$  or  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ .*

**Example 3.6.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Clearly every strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . Also, evidently  $M$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of itself. In particular,  $M = \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10}$  is not strongly 2-absorbing second  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module but  $M$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $M$ .*

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $N$  be a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of an  $R$ -module  $M$  which is not a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule. Then  $\text{Ann}_R^2(N) \subseteq (N :_R M)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume on the contrary that  $\text{Ann}_R^2(N) \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$ . We show that  $N$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . Let  $a, b \in R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $abN \subseteq K$ . If  $abM \not\subseteq K$ , then we are done because  $N$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ . Thus suppose that  $abM \subseteq K$ . If  $abM \not\subseteq N$ , then  $abM \not\subseteq N \cap K$ . Hence  $abN \subseteq N \cap K$  implies that  $aN \subseteq N \cap K \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq N \cap K \subseteq K$  or  $abN = 0$  as needed. So let  $abM \subseteq N$ . If  $a\text{Ann}_R(N)M \not\subseteq K$ , then  $a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))M \not\subseteq K$ . Thus  $a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N \subseteq K$  implies that  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN = (b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N \subseteq K$  or  $abN = a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N = 0$ , as required. So let  $a\text{Ann}_R(N)M \subseteq K$ . Similarly, we can assume that  $b\text{Ann}_R(N)M \subseteq K$ . Since  $\text{Ann}_R(N)^2 \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$ , there exist  $a_1, b_1 \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$  such that  $a_1 b_1 M \not\subseteq N$ . Thus there exists a completely irreducible submodule  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $N \subseteq L$  and  $a_1 b_1 M \not\subseteq L$  by Remark 2.2. If  $ab_1 M \not\subseteq L$ , then  $a(b + b_1)M \not\subseteq L \cap K$ . Thus  $a(b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K$  implies that  $aN \subseteq L \cap K \subseteq K$  or  $bN = (b + b_1)N \subseteq$

$L \cap K \subseteq K$  or  $abN = a(b + b_1)N = 0$  as needed. So let  $ab_1M \subseteq L$ . Similarly, we can assume that  $a_1bM \subseteq L$ . Therefore,  $(a + a_1)(b + b_1)M \not\subseteq L \cap K$ . Hence,  $(a + a_1)(b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K$  implies that  $aN = (a + a_1)N \subseteq K$  or  $bN = (b + b_1)N \subseteq K$  or  $abN = (a + a_1)(b + b_1)N = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is said to be *idempotent* (resp. *coidempotent*) if  $N = (N :_R M)^2 M$  (resp.  $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^2)$ ). Also,  $M$  is said to be *fully idempotent* (resp. *fully coidempotent*) if every submodule of  $M$  is idempotent (resp. coidempotent) [6].

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $M$  be a faithful  $R$ -module. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If  $M$  is a fully coidempotent  $R$ -module and  $N$  is a proper submodule of  $M$ , then  $N$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  if and only if  $N$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule.*
- (b) *If  $M$  is a fully idempotent  $R$ -module and  $N$  is a non-zero submodule of  $M$ , then  $N$  is a weakly 2-absorbing submodule if and only if  $N$  is a 2-absorbing submodule.*

*Proof.* (a) The sufficiency is clear. Conversely, assume on the contrary that  $N \neq M$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  which is not a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule. Then by Theorem 3.7,  $\text{Ann}_R^3(N) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$ . Hence as  $M$  is faithful,  $\text{Ann}_R^3(N) = 0$ . Since  $N$  is a coidempotent submodule of  $M$ , this implies that  $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^2) = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) = M$ , a contradiction.

(b) The proof is similar to the part (a) by using [15, 2.5].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $t \in R$  and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If  $(0 :_M t) \subseteq tM$ , then  $(0 :_M t)$  is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule if and only if it is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule.*
- (b) *If  $(tM :_R M) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(tM)$ , then the submodule  $tM$  is strongly 2-absorbing second if and only if it is weakly strongly 2-absorbing second.*

*Proof.* (a) Suppose that  $(0 :_M t)$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ ,  $a, b \in R$ , and  $K$  is a submodule of  $M$  such that  $ab(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ . If  $abM \not\subseteq K$ , then since  $(0 :_M t)$  is weakly strongly 2-absorbing second, we have  $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $b(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $ba \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$  which implies  $(0 :_M t)$  is strongly 2-absorbing second. Therefore we may assume that  $abM \subseteq K$ . Clearly,  $a(b + t)(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ . If  $a(b + t)M \not\subseteq K$ , then we have  $(b + t)(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $a(b + t) \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$ . Since  $at \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$  therefore  $b(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  or  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M t))$ . Now suppose that  $a(b + t)M \subseteq K$ . Then since  $abM \subseteq K$ , we have  $taM \subseteq K$  and so  $tM \subseteq (K :_M a)$ . Now  $(0 :_M t) \subseteq tM$  implies that  $(0 :_M t) \subseteq (K :_M a)$ . Thus  $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$  as needed. The converse is clear.

(b) Let  $tM$  be a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$  and assume that  $a, b \in R$  and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  with  $abtM \subseteq K$ . Since  $tM$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule, we can suppose that  $abM \subseteq K$ , otherwise  $tM$  is strongly 2-absorbing second. Now  $abtM \subseteq tM \cap K$ . If  $abM \not\subseteq tM \cap K$ , then as  $tM$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule, we are done. Now let  $abM \subseteq tM \cap K$ . Then  $abM \subseteq tM$ . Thus  $(tM :_R M) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(tM)$  implies that  $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(tM)$  as requested. The converse is clear.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** *Consider the following statements for an  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

- (a) *Every non-zero submodule of  $M$  is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of  $M$ .*
- (b) *Every proper submodule of  $M$  is a weakly 2-absorbing submodule of  $M$ .*

*Then (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Moreover, (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) if  $M$  is faithful.*

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $N$  be a proper submodule of  $M$ ,  $a, b \in R$ , and  $m \in M$  with  $0 \neq abm \in N$ . If  $abM \subseteq N$ , then we are done. So suppose that  $abM \not\subseteq N$ . Since  $0 \neq abm \in Rm$ , we have  $Rm \neq 0$ . By assumption,  $Rm$  is weakly strongly 2-absorbing second. Thus  $aRm \subseteq N$  or  $bRm \subseteq N$  or  $abRm = 0$ . Since,  $abm \neq 0$ ,  $am \in N$  or  $bm \in N$  as desired.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Let  $0 \neq N$  be a submodule of  $M$ ,  $a, b \in R$ , and  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$  with  $abN \subseteq K$ , where  $abM \not\subseteq K$ . If  $abN = 0$ , then we are done. So suppose that  $abN \neq 0$ . Clearly,  $K$  is a proper submodule of  $M$ . By assumption,  $K$  is weakly 2-absorbing. Thus by [18, 3.4],  $aN \subseteq K$  or  $bN \subseteq K$  as needed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $M$  be a non-zero  $R$ -module such that every non-zero submodule of  $M$  is weakly strongly 2-absorbing second. Then  $R$  has at most three maximal ideals containing  $\text{Ann}(M)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from [21, 6.1] and Theorem 3.10 (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b).  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank the referees for careful reading of our manuscript and useful comments.

## References

1. D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *On  $n$ -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, **39**, 1646-1672, (2011).
2. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Some generalizations of second submodules*, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 8 (2) (2019), 159-168.
3. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Classical and strongly classical 2-absorbing second submodules*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat., 69 (1) (2020), 123-136.
4. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *2-absorbing and strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodules of modules*, Le Matematiche **72** (11), 123-135, (2017).
5. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of multiplication modules*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (4), 1189-1201, (2007).

6. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Fully idempotent and coidempotent modules*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **38** (4), 987-1005, (2012).
7. H. Ansari-Toroghy, and F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime radicals of submodules*, Asian Eur. J. Math. **6** (2), 1350024 (11 pages), (2013).
8. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime submodules*, Algebra Colloq. **19** (Spec 1), 1109-1116, (2012).
9. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *The dual notions of some generalizations of prime submodules*, Comm. Algebra **39** (7)(2011), 2396-2416.
10. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, and S. S. Pourmortazavi, *On the  $P$ -interiors of submodules of Artinian modules*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **45** (3), 675-682, (2016).
11. A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75**, 417-429, (2007).
12. A. Barnard, *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra **71**, 174-178, (1981).
13. M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Univercity Press, 1998.
14. S. Ceken, M. Alkan, and P.F. Smith, *The dual notion of the prime radical of a module*, J. Algebra **392**, 265-275, (2013).
15. A. Y. Darani and F. Soheilnia, *2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submoduels*, Thai J. Math. **9** (3), 577-584, (2011).
16. A. Y. Darani and F. Soheilnia, *On  $n$ -absorbing submodules*, Math. Commun. **17**, 547-557, (2012).
17. J. Dauns, *Prime submodules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **298**, 156-181, (1978).
18. M. K. Dubey and P. Aggarwal, *On  $n$ -absorbing submodules of modules over commutative rings*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. **57** (3), 679-690, (2016).
19. L. Fuchs, W. Heinzer, and B. Olberding, *Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: Irreducibility in the quotient field*, in : Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **249**, 121-145, (2006).
20. H. Matsumara, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1986.
21. S. Moradi and A. Azizi, *Weakly 2-absorbing submodules of modules*, Turkish J. Math. **40** (2), 350-364, (2016).
22. H. Mostafanasab, U. Tekir, and K.H. Oral, *Classical 2-absorbing submodules of modules over commutative rings*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (3), 417-430, 2015.
23. H. Mostafanasab, E. Yetkin, U. Tekir and A. Yousefian Darani, *On 2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over commutative rings*, An. S<sub>t</sub>. Univ. Ovidius Constanta **24** (1), 335-351, 2016.
24. Sh. Payrovi and S. Babaei, *On 2-absorbing submodules*, Algebra Collq. **19**, 913-920, (2012).
25. Sh. Payrovi and S. Babaei, *On the 2-absorbing submodules*, Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform. **10** (1), 131-137, (2015).
26. S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **37**, 273-278, (2001).
27. S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of the cyclic modules*, Kobe. J. Math. **15**, 41-46, (1998).

*H. Ansari-Toroghy (Corresponding Author),  
Department of pure Mathematics,  
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Guilan,  
P. O. Box 41335-19141, Rasht, Iran.  
E-mail address: `ansari@guilan.ac.ir`*

*and*

*F. Farshadifar,  
Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,  
Farhangian University, Tehran, Iran.  
E-mail address: `f.farshadifar@cfu.ac.ir`*

*and*

*S. Maleki-Roudposhti,  
Department of pure Mathematics,  
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Guilan,  
P. O. Box 41335-19141, Rasht, Iran.  
E-mail address: `Sepidehmaleki.r@gmail.com`*