(3s.) **v. 2025 (43)** : 1–8. ISSN-0037-8712 doi:10.5269/bspm.62491

Fixed Point Results in Partial Fuzzy Metric Spaces

S. Sowndrarajan , Vishal Gupta* and M. Jeyaraman

ABSTRACT: F_{Ψ} -contractive mapping in Partial Fuzzy Metric Space (PFMS) is defined and basic results are established. Sequentially convergent and sub sequentially convergent are also defined for PFMS, then generalizations of fixed point theorems of Kannan and Chatterjea are proved in the setting of PFMS along with suitable examples.

Key Words: Fixed point, F_{Ψ} -contraction, partial fuzzy metric, Kannan fixed point theorem.

Contents

1 Introduction 1
2 Preliminaries 1
3 Main Results 3
4 Conclusion 6

1. Introduction

Zadeh [23] presented Fuzzy Sets (FS), which play a vital role in science and engineering. Kramosil and Michaelek [10] defined the notion of Fuzzy Metric Space (FMS) in 1975. George and Veeramani [5] redefined the concept of FMS in association with triangular norm. Many researchers have worked on FMS and have given many of its characteristics and Fixed Point Theorems (FPT). Medical image processing, decision making and imaging signal processing are some of the applications of FMS. The Partial Metric Space (PMS) defined by Matthews [12] is a generalization of the concept of a metric space in which points can have "self-spacing" and proved Banach contraction mapping theorem and some basic properties. Then, Oltra, Valero and Altun et al. [16] gave generalizations of the results of Matthews. Also,he established the first fixed point theorem, which he renamed as the partial contraction mapping theorem. Shaban Sedghi, Nabi and Altun [18] introduced PFMS and established some FPT in its setting. Many authors have proved FPT of Kannan and Chatterjea in FMS and PMS [11,13,21]. Other authors also worked in the similar directions, are mentioned in [1,2,4,6,7,8,9,14,15,17,20,22].

In this manuscript, following the understanding of Sedghi et al, we will study the relationship between a PMS and FMS. Next, we prove the generalization of FPT of Kannan and Chatterjea in establishing PFMS.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [12] A partial metric on a non empty set Σ is a function $p: \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $\xi, \nu, \omega \in \Sigma$,

(i)
$$\xi = \nu$$
 if and only if $p(\xi, \xi) = p(\xi, \nu) = p(\nu, \nu)$,
(ii) $p(\xi, \xi) \leq p(\xi, \nu)$,

(iii) $p(\xi, \nu) = p(\nu, \xi)$,

(iv) $p(\xi, \omega) \le p(\xi, \nu) + p(\nu, \omega) - p(\nu, \nu)$.

The pair (Σ, p) is called a PMS. For every partial metric p on Σ , the function $p: \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}_+$ on family of p-open balls is defined by

$$p^{s}(\xi, \nu) = 2p(\xi, \nu) - p(\xi, \xi) - p(\nu, \nu)$$

Submitted February 14, 2022. Published July 10, 2024 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72, 37C25, 47H10.

^{*} Corresponding author

is a usual metric on Σ .

Definition 2.2 [18] A PFMS is a function $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}: \Sigma \times \Sigma \times (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ so that, for all $\xi, \nu, \omega \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma, \varsigma > 0$

- (i) $(PM-1) \xi = \nu \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \xi, \sigma) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, \sigma) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\nu, \nu, \sigma),$
- (ii) (PM-2) $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \xi, \sigma) \geq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, \sigma)$,
- (iii) (PM-3) $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, \sigma) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\nu, \xi, \sigma),$
- (iv) (PM-4) $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, \max\{\sigma, \varsigma\}) * \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega, \omega, \max\{\lambda, \varsigma\}) \ge \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \omega, \sigma) * P_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega, \nu, \varsigma)$,
- (v) (PM-5) $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, .) : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Example 2.1 [18] Let Σ be a non empty set and $\xi \star \nu = \xi \nu$ for all $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} : \Sigma \star \Sigma \star (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ be a mapping defined by

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mathcal{P}(\xi, \nu)},$

then $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ is a PFMS induced by standard metric. Also note that $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ is not a FMS. In FMS $(\Sigma, \mathcal{M}, \star)$ the function $\mathcal{M}(\xi, \nu, .) : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ is a non - decreasing for all $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$, but in a PFMS $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ the function $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, .) : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ may not be non-decreasing for all $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$. Next, we present an example to show that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, .) : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ may not be non-decreasing for all $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$.

Example 2.2 If $\Sigma = \mathcal{R}$, $\xi \star \nu = \min\{\xi, \nu\}$ for all $\xi, \nu \in [0, 1]$. Consider a mapping $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}} : \Sigma \times \Sigma \times (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, \lambda) = \begin{cases} 2e^{-\lambda}, & if \quad \xi = \nu \\ \frac{2}{3}e^{-\lambda}, & if \quad \xi \neq \nu \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ is a PFMS.

Also, it is not complicated to verify that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi,\nu,.):(0,\infty)\to[0,1]$ is a decreasing function.

Definition 2.3 Let (Σ, d) be a metric space. A mapping $F : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is said to be graph closed (sub sequentially convergent), if for every sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} F\xi_n = \alpha$, so that $F(\beta) = \alpha$, for some $\beta \in \Sigma$.

Definition 2.4 Consider (Σ, μ) be a metric space and $\Psi, G : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be two maps. The function Ψ is called G_{Ψ} - contraction, if there exists $0 \le \lambda < \infty$ so that $\forall \xi, \nu \in \Sigma$,

$$\Xi(\mu(F\Psi\xi,F\Psi\nu,t)) > \lambda\Xi(\mu(F\xi,F\nu,t)),$$

where the function $\Xi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ is non - decreasing continuous and $\Xi^{-1}(0)=0$. Also, map G is graph closed and one to one.

Definition 2.5 Consider (Σ, μ) be a metric space and $G: \Sigma \to \Sigma$ and $\{\nu_n\}$ be a sequence in Σ ,

- (i) G is called sequentially convergent if $\{G\nu_n\}$ converges, then $\{\nu_n\}$ also converges.
- (ii) G is called sub sequentially convergent if $\{G\nu_n\}$ converges, then $\{\nu_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. For example, the function $G\xi = \xi$ is sequentially convergent on the metric space $(\mathbb{R}, |.|)$.

Definition 2.6 [3] Let (\mathcal{X}, d) be a metric space and $\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$.

- (i) \mathcal{T} is said to be sequentially convergent if for every sequence $\{\dot{y}_n\}$, if $\{\mathcal{T}\dot{y}_n\}$ is convergent the $\{\dot{y}_n\}$ is also convergent.
- (ii) \mathcal{T} is said to be subsequentially convergent if for every sequence $\{\dot{y}_n\}$, if $\{\mathcal{T}\dot{y}_n\}$ is convergent the $\{\dot{y}_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

For Example, the functions $\mathcal{T}\acute{x}=\acute{x}$ is sequentially convergent on the metric space $(\mathbb{R},|.|)$. The mapping $\mathcal{T}\acute{x}=\acute{x}^2$ is not sequentially convergent on the metric space $(\mathbb{R},|.|)$, but it is subsequentially convergent.

3. Main Results

This section mainly uses FPT of Kannan and Chatterjea to introduce and generalize F_{Ψ} -type fixed point theorems in PFMS.

Theorem 3.1 If $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ be a complete PFMS, $F, \Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that F is one to one and subsequently convergent. If $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$ and for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\xi, F\Psi\nu, \lambda) \ge \lambda\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi, F\nu, \lambda)), \tag{3.1.1}$$

where $\Xi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ such that

- (i) Ξ is non decreasing,
- (ii) Ξ is continuous,
- (iii) $\Xi(\xi) = 0$ iff $\xi = 0$.

Then Ψ possess only one fixed point in Σ .

Proof. Consider $\xi_0 \in \Sigma$. Take $\xi_n = \Psi \xi_{n-1} = \Psi^n \xi_0$, n = 1, 2, ...

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda)) = \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\xi_{n-1}, F\Psi\xi_{n}, \lambda))$$

$$\geq \lambda\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n-1}, F\xi_{n}, \lambda))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\geq \lambda^{n-1}\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{0}, F\xi_{1}, \lambda)).$$
(3.1.2)

Consider $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ where m > n, we get

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{m}, \lambda)) = \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi^{n}\xi_{0}, F\Psi^{m}\xi_{0}, \lambda))$$

$$\geq \lambda^{n}\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{0}, F\Psi^{m-n}\xi_{0}, \lambda)).$$
(3.1.3)

Taking $m, nn \to \infty$ in (3.1.3), we get

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_M(F\xi_n, F\xi_m, \lambda) \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty.$$

Since, Ξ is continuous, we obtain

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_n, F\xi_m, \lambda) = 0. \tag{3.1.4}$$

Thus, we see that $\{F\xi_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in a complete PFMS $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_M, \star)$. So, there exists $\mu \in \Sigma$ such that $\{F\xi_n\}$ converges to $\mu \in \Sigma$. Since, F is subsequently convergent, then there exists an $\omega \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi_{n(\lambda)}, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega, \omega, \lambda).$$

Also, \digamma is continuous and $\xi_{n(\lambda)} \to \omega$, therefore

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} F \xi_{n(\lambda)} = F \omega \quad and \quad \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F \xi_{n(\lambda)}, \omega, \lambda) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega, \omega, \lambda).$$

Since, $\{F\xi_{n(\lambda)}\}\$ is a subsequence of $\{F\xi_n\}$, so we get $F\omega = \mu$. Also,

$$d^{s}(F\xi_{n}, F\omega, \lambda) = 2\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\omega, \lambda) - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{n}, \lambda) - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\omega, F\omega, \lambda). \tag{3.1.5}$$

Let $n \to \infty$ in (3.1.5), we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d^s(\digamma \xi_n, \digamma \omega, \lambda) = 0.$$

Consider, definition (2.6)(ii) and (3.1.5) we hold

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_n, F\omega, \lambda) = \lim_{m,n\to\infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_n, F\xi_m, \lambda) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\omega, F\omega, \lambda) = 0.$$

Now, we prove that $\omega \in \Sigma$ is a fixed point of f. Since Ξ is continuous

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\omega, F\Psi\xi_{n+1}, \lambda)) = \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\omega, F\Psi\xi_{n}, \lambda))$$

$$> \lambda\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\omega, F\xi_{n}, \lambda)).$$
(3.1.6)

Let $n \to \infty$ in (3.1.6), we obtain

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\omega,F\omega,\lambda)\geq 0,$$

this implies that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\omega, F\omega, \lambda) = 0$ and hence $F\Psi\omega = F\omega$. Since F is one to one, then we get $\Psi\omega = \omega$. Assume that, ν is another fixed point of Ψ then we have $\Psi\omega = \nu$ and

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\omega, F\nu, \lambda)) = \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\omega, F\Psi\nu, \lambda))$$

$$\geq \lambda\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\omega, F\nu, \lambda)),$$
(3.1.7)

which is a contradiction unless $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\digamma\omega,\digamma\nu,\lambda) = 0$. Thus $\digamma\omega = \digamma\nu$.

On substituting $\{n(\lambda)\}\$ in place of $\{n\}$ and considering F to be sequentially convergent, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\xi_n=\omega.$$

Hence, it is proved that $\{\omega_n\}$ converges to the fixed point of Ψ .

Corollary 3.1 Let $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ be a complete PFMS and Ψ be a self mapping on Σ into itself. If $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Psi\xi, \Psi\nu, \lambda) > \alpha \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \nu, \lambda), \tag{3.2.1}$$

then Ψ has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 3.2 Consider, $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ be a PFMS which is complete and $F, \Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that F is one to one, continuous and subsequently convergent. If $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$ and for all $\beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\xi, F\Psi\nu, \lambda)) \ge \beta[\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi, F\Psi\xi, \lambda)) + \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\nu, F\Psi\nu, \lambda))], \tag{3.3.1}$$

where $\Xi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ such that

- (i) Ξ is non decreasing,
- (ii) Ξ is continuous,
- (iii) $\Xi(\xi) = 0$ iff $\xi = 0$.

Then, Ψ possess a unique fixed point in Σ .

Proof. Consider $\xi_0 \in \Sigma$. Let $\xi_n = \Psi \xi_{n-1} = \Psi^{n\xi_0}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda)) = \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi f\xi_{n-1}, F\Psi \xi_{n}, \lambda))$$

$$\geq \beta[\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n-1}, F\xi_{n}, \lambda)) + \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda))],$$
(3.3.2)

therefore we get,

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda) \ge \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n-1}, F\xi_{n}, \lambda))$$

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_n, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda)) \ge \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^n \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_0, F\xi_1, \lambda)). \tag{3.3.3}$$

Taking $n \to \infty$ in (3.3.3), we get

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_M(F\xi_n, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda) \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty.$$

Consider $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ where m > n, we get

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda)) \ge \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^{n} \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{0}, Ff^{m-n}\xi_{1}, \lambda)). \tag{3.3.4}$$

Taking $m, n \to \infty$ in (3.3.4), we have

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_M(F\xi_n, F\xi_m, \lambda) \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty.$$

So, we obtain $\mathcal{P}_M(\digamma \xi_n, \digamma \xi_m, \lambda) \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$. Since, $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_M, \star)$ is complete PFMS, we obtain $\{\digamma \xi_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, so there exist $\omega \in \Sigma$ such that $\{\digamma \xi_n\}$ converges to $\digamma \xi \in \Sigma$ and $\xi_{n(\lambda)} \to \omega$, then we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} F \xi_{n(\lambda)} = F \omega \quad and \quad \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F \xi_{n(\lambda)}, F \omega, \lambda)) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F \omega, F \omega, \lambda)).$$

Now, we prove that $\omega \in X$ is a fixed point of f. Indeed, we have

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\omega, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda)) = \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\omega, F\xi_{n}, \lambda))$$

$$\geq \beta[\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\omega, F\Psi\omega, \lambda) + \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda))].$$
(3.3.5)

Letting $n \to \infty$ in (3.3.5), we get

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\omega, F\omega, \lambda)) \ge \beta\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\omega, F\Psi\omega, \lambda)). \tag{3.3.6}$$

The above inequality is contradiction unless $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\omega, F\Psi\omega, \lambda) = 0$. Therefore, $F\omega = F\Psi\omega$. Since, F is one to one mapping, we obtain $\Psi\omega = \omega$. Hence, we showed that $\omega \in \Sigma$ is a fixed point of Ψ . The uniqueness can be easily proved on the similar lines to the theorem (3.1).

Corollary 3.2 Let $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ be a complete PFMS and $F, \Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that F is one to one, continuous and subsequently convergent. If $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$ and for all $\beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi f\xi, F\Psi\nu, \lambda) \ge \beta[\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi, F\Psi\xi, \lambda) + \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\nu, F\Psi\nu, \lambda)]. \tag{3.4.1}$$

Then f has a unique fixed point in Σ .

Corollary 3.3 Let $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ be a complete PFMS and $F : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a self mapping. If $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$ and for all $\beta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Psi\xi, F\Psi\nu, \lambda) \ge \beta[\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \Psi\xi, \lambda)) + \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\nu, \Psi\nu, \lambda))]. \tag{3.5.1}$$

Then Ψ has a unique fixed point in Σ .

Theorem 3.3 Let $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ be a complete PFMS and $F, \Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that F is one to one, continuous and subsequently convergent. If $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$ and for all $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\xi, F\Psi\nu, \lambda)) \ge \delta[\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi, F\Psi\nu, \lambda)) + \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\nu, F\Psi\xi, \lambda))], \tag{3.6.1}$$

where $\Xi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ such that

- (i) Ξ is non decreasing,
- (ii) Ξ is continuous,
- (iii) $\Xi^{-1}(0) = 0$.

Then Ψ possess a unique fixed point in Σ .

Proof. Let $\xi_0 \in \Sigma$. Consider $\xi_n = \Psi \xi_{n-1} = \Psi^n \xi_0$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ Also, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F \xi_n, F \xi_n, \lambda) \geq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F \xi_n, F \xi_{n+1}, \lambda)$

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda)) = \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\xi_{n-1}, F\Psi\xi_{n}, \lambda))$$

$$\geq \delta[\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n-1}, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda)) + \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n}, F\xi_{n}, \lambda))]$$

$$\geq \delta[\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n-1}, F\xi_{n+1}, \lambda)) + \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{n+1}, F\xi_{n}, \lambda))],$$
(3.6.2)

therefore we have,

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\digamma\xi_{n},\digamma\xi_{n+1},\lambda)) \geq \left(\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}\right)\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\digamma\xi_{n-1},\digamma\xi_{n+1},\lambda)).$$

Consider, for all $m(\lambda), n(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ where $m(\lambda) > n(\lambda)$, we get

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{m(\lambda)}, F\xi_{n(\lambda)}, \lambda)) \ge \left(\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}\right)^{n(\lambda)} \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{m(\lambda)-n(\lambda)}, F\xi_{n(\lambda)}, \lambda)). \tag{3.6.3}$$

As \digamma converges subsequently, thus there exists $\omega \in \Sigma$, so that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi_{n(\lambda)}, \omega, \lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega, \omega, \lambda).$$

Let $\lambda \to \infty$ in (3.6.3), we obtain that

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{m(\lambda)}, F\xi_{n(\lambda)}, \lambda)) \to 0 \quad as \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$
 (3.6.4)

The inequality (3.6.4) implies that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi_{m(\lambda)}, F\xi_{n(\lambda)}, \lambda)) = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain $\{F\xi_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in a complete PFMS $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ and there exist $\omega \in \Sigma$ such that ω is a unique fixed point of Ψ .

Corollary 3.4 Let $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ be a complete PFMS and $F, \Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that F is one to one, continuous and subsequently convergent. If $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$ and for all $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\Psi\xi, F\Psi\nu, \lambda) \ge \delta[\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\xi, F\Psi\nu, \lambda) + \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(F\nu, F\Psi\xi, \lambda)].$$

Then, Ψ has a unique fixed point in Σ . The iterative sequence $\{\Psi^n\xi_0\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Corollary 3.5 Let $(\Sigma, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star)$ be a complete PFMS and $\Psi : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that F is one to one, continuous and subsequently convergent. If $\xi, \nu \in \Sigma$ and for all $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\Psi\xi, \Psi\nu, \lambda)) \ge \delta[\Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\xi, \Psi\nu, \lambda)) + \Xi(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(\nu, \Psi\xi, \lambda))],$$

where $\Xi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ is non decreasing continuous and $\Xi^{-1}(0)=\{0\}$, then Ψ possess a unique fixed point in Σ .

4. Conclusion

In this manuscript, we have proved \digamma_{Ψ} -contractive mappings in PFMS and proved suitable examples. Generalizations of FPT of Kannan and Chatterjea are extended to PFMS.

References

- 1. Altun. I, Sola. F, Simsek. H, 2010, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, Topology and its Applications, 157(18):2778-2785.
- Bukatin. M, Kopperman. R, Matthews. S, Pajoohesh. H, 2009, Partial Metric Space, The American Mathematical Monthly, 116(8):708-718.

- 3. Branciari. A, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccippoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 57 1-2(2000), 31-37.
- 4. Chatterjea S. K. Fixed point theorems, Comptes Rendus de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences, 25, 727-730. 1972.
- 5. George, A, Veeramani, P, 1994, On Some Results in Fuzzy Metric Space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 90:365-368.
- 6. Gregori. V, Minana. J.J, Miravet. D, 2019, Fuzzy partial metric spaces, International Journal of General Systems, 48(3):260-279.
- Ishak Altun, Ferhan Sola and Hakan Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, Topology and its Applications, 157(18),2010, 2778-2785.
- 8. Jeyaraman. M, Sowndrarajan. S, Suzuki-Type of Common Fixed Point Theorems in S-Fuzzy Metric Spaces, European Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 2(3), 2021, 86-91.
- 9. Kannan. R, Some results on fixed points, Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society, 60, 71-76. 1968.
- 10. Kramosil, O. Michalek, J. Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 1975, Vol 11, pp 326-334.
- Kir. M and Kiziltuc. H, T_F-contractive conditions for Kannan and Chatterjea fixed point theorems, Advances in fixed point theory, 4(1), 140-148. 2014.
- Matthews. S.G, Partially metric topology, Research Report 212, Department of Computer Science, University of Warwick, 1992.
- 13. Mehmet Kir and Hukmi Kiziltunc, Generalized Fixed Point Theorems in Partial Metric Spaces, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 9(4), 2016, 443-451.
- 14. Moradi. S and Davood. A, New extension of Kannan fixed point theorem on complete metric and generalized metric spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 5(47), 2313-1320. 2011.
- 15. Moradi. S and Beiranvand. A, Fixed point of T_F -contractive single-valued mappings, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, 5, 25-32, 2010.
- 16. Oltra. S and Valero. O, Banach's fixed point theorem in partial metric spaces, Rendiconti dell, Istitutodi Mathematica dell, universtida di Trieste, vol 6, no.1-2, 17-26, 2004.
- 17. O'Neill. S.J, 1996, Partial metrics, valuations and domain theory, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 806(1):304-315.
- 18. Sedghi. S, Shobkolaei. N, Altun. I, 2015, Partial fuzzy metric space and some fixed point results, Communications in Mathematics, 23(2):131-142.
- 19. Sowndrarajan. S, Jeyaraman. M, Common fixed-point theorems in partial fuzzy metric spaces using contractive condition, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal 8 (2019), 3, 651-660.
- Vasuki. R, Veeramani. P, 2003, Fixed Point Theorems and Cauchy Sequences in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 135(3):415-417.
- 21. Vetro. C, Fixed points in weak non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 162 (2011) 84-90.
- 22. Yeol Je Cho, Mohamed Jleli, Mohammad Mursaleen, Bessem Samet and Calogero Vetro., Advances in Metric Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Springer, Singapore, 2021.
- 23. Zadeh. L.A, Fuzy sets, Inform Control 1965, 8, 338-353.

S. Sowndrarajan,

PG and Research Department of Mathematics,

Arignar Anna Govt. Arts College, Namakkal,

Affiliated to Periyar University, Salem,

Tamil Nadu, India.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: }$ sowndariitm@gmail.com

and

Vishal Gupta,

 $Department\ of\ Mathematics,$

MMEC, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University),

Mullana, Haryana, India.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: wishal.gmn@gmail.com, vgupta@mmumullana.org$

and

M. Jeyaraman,

PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivagangai, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail address: jeya.math@gmail.com