(3s.) **v. 2025 (43)** : 1–14. ISSN-0037-8712 doi:10.5269/bspm.66011

New generalized results of (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive operators on Hilbert spaces with practical comparison

Lotfollah Karimi*, Mohammad Esmael Samei and Mohammed K. A. Kaabar

ABSTRACT: In this research, we obtain some new result related to a category of linear bounded operators, which is known as (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive operators acting on infinite Hilbert space i. Further, we establish sufficient conditions which (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive operators are not supercyclic. We supply some spectral properties of (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive operators, too. Also, some practical examples are discussed which confirm our generalized results.

Key Words: (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive operators, supercyclicity, spectrum.

Contents

1 Introduction
1 Prerequisite concepts of λ-expansive & λ-hyperexpasive operators
2 Main results
4 Dynamic properties
1 Conclusion
1 13

1. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on separable complex Hilbert space $S_{\mathcal{H}}$. At first the concept of (\mathfrak{A}, i) -isometric operators was innovated by Ahmed *et al.* [27]. They generalized the concept of i-isometry on $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ when an extra semi-linear item is considered [27].

We say an operator $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}})$ is i-isometric if,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \mathfrak{G}^{*^k} \mathfrak{G}^k = 0, \qquad \hat{\iota} \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

where $\binom{\lambda}{k}$ be the binomial coefficient [2]. It's clearly an isometric operator (i.e., a 1-isometric operator) is λ -isometric for each positive numbers λ , which is inferred that the category of all λ -isometric operators is containing the lesson of isometric operators.

Agler et al. in [2,3,4], Richter [24], Shimorin [25], Patel [22] and Duggal in [12] and [13] studiedy of 1-isometric and 2-isometric operators on $S_{\mathcal{H}}$. Botelho [10] and Ahmed [27] exhibited a generalization of $\hat{\iota}$ -isometric operators on general Banach spaces ($S_{\mathcal{B}}$). In 2015, Gu defined,

$$\beta_{(\hat{\iota},p)}(\mathfrak{G},\tau) := \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \|\mathfrak{G}^{k}\tau\|^{p}, \qquad \forall \tau \in (\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{B}}), \, \hat{\iota} \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(1.1)$$

where $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{B}})$, proved $\beta_{(\hat{\iota},p)}(\mathfrak{G},\tau) \leq 0, \forall \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{B}}$ which it implies $\beta_{(\hat{\iota},p)}(\mathfrak{G},\tau) \geq 0, \forall \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{B}}$, and extended several results for $(\hat{\iota},p)$ -isometries $(\beta_{(\hat{\iota},p)}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)=0)$ to operators only satisfying $\beta_{(\hat{\iota},p)}(\mathfrak{G},\tau) \leq 0$

Submitted November 26, 2022. Published December 29, 2024 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A16, 47B37, 47B99.

^{*} Corresponding author

0 [17]. Also, ABerger–Shaw type result was proved for a class of λ -expansive operators $(\lambda - \mathbb{E}\mathbb{O})s$ on a $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ [17]. In 2021, Al-Ahmadi introduced three new classes of mappings satisfying the following conditions

$$\begin{split} \max_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq l \\ k \text{ even}}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\dot{\tau}} \right\| &= \max_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq l \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\dot{\tau}} \right\|, \\ \max_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq l \\ k \text{ even}}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\dot{\tau}} \right\| &\leq \max_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq l \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\dot{\tau}} \right\|, \\ \max_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq l \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\dot{\tau}} \right\| &\geq \max_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq l \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left\| \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{G}}^k \boldsymbol{\dot{\tau}} \right\|, \end{split}$$

 $\forall \tau, \dot{\tau}$ in normed space $S_{\mathcal{N}}$, where $i \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathfrak{G} is a self-mapping on $S_{\mathcal{N}}$ and proved some properties of the mappings [5].

Motivated by the mentioned works, in this paper, for an operator $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$, we denote

$$\beta_{i}(\mathfrak{G}) := \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{i-k} {i \choose k} \mathfrak{G}^{*^{k}} \mathfrak{G}^{k}, \qquad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(1.2)$$

We defined the concept of (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive operators $((\mathfrak{A}, i) - \mathbb{E}\mathbb{O}s)$ and presented a generalization of i-isometries to the operators on $S_{\mathcal{H}}$.

The contents of this paper are listed as follows: In Section 2, we set up terminology and notation, also we define the concept of $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda) - \mathbb{EO}s$. In Section 3, at first, we shall specialize to the case $\lambda = 2$ and explore some properties of $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda) - \mathbb{EO}s$ and then several properties of $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda) - \mathbb{EO}s$ are proved. In Section 4, we focus on the supercyclicity, spectrum and approximated point spectrum of $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda) - \mathbb{EO}s$.

2. Prerequisite concepts of \(\ilde{\lambda}\)-expansive & \(\ilde{\lambda}\)-hyperexpasive operators

Now we recall the definition of some concepts such as $\hat{\iota}$ -expansive, $\hat{\iota}$ -hyperexpasive and completely hyperexpansive operators on $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ which have been attracted to various authors. For example, Agler showed the relation between subnormality and the positivity of $(-1)^{\hat{\iota}}\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G})$ [4]. The following definition is our main idea obtained from [13].

Definition 2.1 ([14]) An operator $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ is called

- i) $\hat{\iota}$ -isometry whenever $\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}) = 0$,
- ii) i-expansive whenever $(-1)^i \beta_i(\mathfrak{G}) < 0$.
- iii) i-hyperexpansive whenever $(-1)^k \beta_k(\mathfrak{G}) < 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, i$,
- iv) completely hyperexpansive whenever $(-1)^{\lambda}\beta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{G}) < 0$ for all $\lambda > 1$.

For more instance consider [6,8,7,14,19,26]. For a fixed positive operator $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$, we denominate

$$eta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} := \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} inom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \mathfrak{G}^{*^k} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{G}^k,$$

or equivalently

$$\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} := \langle \beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}}\tau,\tau \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \|\mathfrak{G}^k\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2,$$

for an operator $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$, a non-negative integer i and $\tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$. An operator $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ is said an (\mathfrak{A}, i) -isometry if $\beta_i(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$. The category of all (\mathfrak{A}, i) -isometries has been defined by Ahmed *et al.* [29] which studied by other authors (see [12,15,18,20,23,28]). Recall that

$$(-1)^{\hat{\iota}}\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^k \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \|\mathfrak{G}^k\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2, \qquad \mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

Overall of this paper, in particular in the next definition, $\beta_{\ell}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$ truly means $\beta_{\ell}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$, for $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$. As an extension of the classes of expansive and hyperexpansive operators on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$, the following definition identifies the categories of operators which will study in this paper. Consider $\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{A} \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}), \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}})^+$ respectively and $i \geq 1$. Then,

- i) \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive if $(-1)^{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} < 0$;
- ii) \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -hyperexpansive if $(-1)^k \beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$, $\forall 0 \leq k \leq \lambda$;
- iii) \mathfrak{G} is completely hyperexpansive if $(-1)^k \beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$, for each $k \geq 1$;
- iv) \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -alternatively expansive if $\beta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$;
- v) \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -alternatigly hyperexpansive if $\beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$, for $0 \leq k \leq \lambda$,
- iv) \mathfrak{G} is alternatively hyperexpansive if $\beta_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$, for $\mathbf{k} \geq 1$.

We say (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive operators are simply $\lambda - \mathbb{EO}s$ and $(\mathfrak{A}, 1) - \mathbb{EO}s$ are \mathfrak{A} -expansive. When $(-1)^{\lambda}\beta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$, we state that \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A},λ) -contractive. If \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A},λ) -contractive $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, then \mathfrak{G} is called, completely hypercontractive. Agler in [1], proved the norm of each subnormal operator $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ is not greater than one if and only if $\beta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$ for all positive integers λ and then they extended these inequalities to the λ -isometric operators. In particular, they generalized the structure of 2-isometric operators [2,3]. Since every completely hyperexpansive operator is 2-isometric operator, hence some of the mathematicians have started working on completely hyperexpansive operators [6,26]. Hence, the study of λ -expansive operators is very important. We refer the reader to [14] for more information about λ -expansivity. Recently, the concept of (\mathfrak{A},λ) -isometric operators on semi-hilbertian space is introduced by Ahmed λ -expansive operators are simply λ -expansive operators of these operators is given.

Definition 2.2 An operator \mathfrak{G} is a strict (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive whenever \mathfrak{G} is an (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive and is not $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda - 1)$ -expansive. If $\lambda = 1$, it is an \mathfrak{A} -expansive, that is, \mathfrak{G} is an \mathfrak{A} -expansive if $\mathfrak{G}^*\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G} \geq \mathfrak{A}$.

Example 2.1 If \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -isometry then \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive. If $\mathfrak{A} := I$, then \mathfrak{G} is λ -expansive if and only if \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive. If $\mathfrak{A} := 0$, then any operator on $\mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ is an \mathfrak{A} -expansive.

Note: If \mathfrak{G} is an (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive, $N(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq N(\mathfrak{A})$. In particular, if \mathfrak{A} is one-to-one, then \mathfrak{G} is also one-to-one.

Example 2.2 Let $\mathfrak{G} = \alpha I$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and I is the identity operator. It's clearly

$$\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^k \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} |\alpha|^{2k} = \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 (|\alpha|^2 - 1)^{\hat{\iota}}.$$

Hence, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| > 1$ and all odd integer number i the map \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive.

Example 2.3 Let $S_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{C}^2$ be equipped with the norm $\|(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\|^2 = \|\alpha_1\|^2 + \|\alpha_2\|^2$, for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, and consider the operator

$$\mathfrak{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})^+, \quad \alpha > 0, \qquad \mathfrak{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

A simple calculation shows

$$\begin{split} \beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2))_{\mathfrak{A}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota} - k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota} - k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \frac{\alpha}{2^k} \|\alpha_2\|^2 \\ &= \alpha \|\alpha_2\|^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota} - k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{\alpha \|\alpha_2\|^2}{2^{\hat{\iota}}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, \mathfrak{G} is $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -contractive and if take $\mathfrak{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$, then \mathfrak{G} is $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -expansive for all positive odd integer number \mathfrak{i} .

3. Main results

In this section we collect some further results about our class of operatoprs,

Lemma 3.1 Let $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ be an $(\mathfrak{A}, 2)$ -expansive, then for $i \geq 1 \& \tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ the following properties hold:

- 1. $\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda} \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}};$
- $2. \quad \|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}.$
- 3. If \mathfrak{A} is left invertible, then \mathfrak{G} is one-to-one.
- 4. $\|\mathfrak{G}^{i}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \leq n(\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}) + \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}$.
- 5. $\|\mathfrak{G}^{2i}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \le i \|\mathfrak{G}^{i+1}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 i(i-1)\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 + (i-1)^2\|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2$
- 6. $\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \sqrt{2}\|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for each $\tau \in R(\mathfrak{G})$ (the range of \mathfrak{G}).
- 7. If $\ker(\mathfrak{G}^*) = \{0\}$, and \mathfrak{A} is left invertible then \mathfrak{G} is \mathfrak{A} -isometry.

Proof: Since \mathfrak{G} is $(\mathfrak{A},2)$ -expansive thus $\|\mathfrak{G}^2\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - \|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \leq \|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2$. Replacing τ by $\mathfrak{G}^k\tau$ leads to

$$\left\|\mathfrak{G}^{k+2}\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}-\left\|\mathfrak{G}^{k+1}\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}\leq\left\|\mathfrak{G}^{k+1}\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}-\left\|\mathfrak{G}^{k}\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2},\qquad k\geq0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \left\|\mathfrak{G}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \left(\left\|\mathfrak{G}^{k}\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} - \left\|\mathfrak{G}^{k-1}\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}\right) + \left\|\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \left(\left\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} - \left\|\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}\right) + \left\|\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} = \boldsymbol{\lambda} \|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} - (\boldsymbol{\lambda} - 1)\|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

which implies 1, 2 and 4. The relations 3 and 5 will obtain easily from 2 and 4 respectively. The relation 6 is easily obtained from

$$\|\mathfrak{G}^2\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \le 2\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \le 2\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2, \qquad \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Thus $\|\mathfrak{G}\acute{\tau}\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \sqrt{2}\|\acute{\tau}\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$, for each $\acute{\tau} = \mathfrak{G}\tau \in R(\mathfrak{G})$. Now, we prove (7). It is clearly, $\operatorname{ran}(\mathfrak{G})$ is dense in \mathcal{H} because of $\ker(\mathfrak{G}^*) = (0)$. This matched with the property 2 of Lemma 3.1 suggests that \mathfrak{G} is invertible. Then, since

$$(-1)^2\beta_2(\mathfrak{G}^{-1}) = (\mathfrak{G}^{-2})^*\mathfrak{G}^{-2} - 2(\mathfrak{G}^{-1})^*\mathfrak{G}^{-1} + I = (\mathfrak{G}^{-2})^*[I - 2\mathfrak{G}^*\mathfrak{G} + \mathfrak{G}^{2^*}\mathfrak{G}^2]\mathfrak{G}^{-2} \leq 0.$$

We have \mathfrak{G}^{-1} is $(\mathfrak{A},2)$ -expansive, and hence $\|\mathfrak{G}^{-1}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\forall \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Combined with the property that $\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$, we conclude that \mathfrak{G} is \mathfrak{A} -isometry.

Corollary 3.1 Every $(\mathfrak{A},2)$ -expansive operator on a finite dimensional $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ is unitary.

Proposition 3.1 Let $\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2 \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ be commuting operators such that $R(\mathfrak{G}_2) \subset \ker(\mathfrak{A})$, then the following are true:

- i) \mathfrak{G}_1 is $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -expansive if and only if, $\mathfrak{G}_1 + \mathfrak{G}_2$ is $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -expansive;
- ii) \mathfrak{G}_1 is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive if and only if, $\lambda \mathfrak{G}_1$ is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive $\forall \lambda$ with $|\lambda| = 1$.

Proof: (i) Let $\tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$,

$$\begin{split} \beta_{\hat{\imath}}(\mathfrak{G}_1+\mathfrak{G}_2,\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{\imath}} (-1)^{\hat{\imath}-k} \binom{\hat{\imath}}{k} \left\| (\mathfrak{G}_1+\mathfrak{G}_2)^k \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{\imath}} (-1)^{\hat{\imath}-k} \binom{\hat{\imath}}{k} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{\hat{\imath}}{k} \mathfrak{G}_1^j \mathfrak{G}_2^{k-j} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\imath}} (-1)^{\hat{\imath}-k} \binom{\hat{\imath}}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}_1^k \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = \beta_{\hat{\imath}} (\mathfrak{G}_1,\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}. \end{split}$$

Hence $\mathfrak{G}_1 + \mathfrak{G}_2$ is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive if and only if \mathfrak{G}_1 is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive. (ii) Let $\tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| = 1$, clearly we can show that $\beta_i(\lambda \mathfrak{G}_1, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = \beta_i(\mathfrak{G}_1, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}$, thus \mathfrak{G}_1 and $\lambda \mathfrak{G}_1$ are same operators. \square

Proposition 3.2 Let $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$, $\tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = \beta_{\hat{\iota}-1}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} - \beta_{\hat{\iota}-1}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{\hat{\iota}} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{\iota}-1} {\hat{\iota} \choose k} \beta_{k}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof: By apply the formula $\binom{i}{k} = \binom{i-1}{k} + \binom{i-1}{k-1}$ for the binomial coefficient, we have

$$\begin{split} \beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G},\tau) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{k} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} = (-1)^{\hat{\iota}} \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} + \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{\hat{\iota}} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{\iota}-1} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{k} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \\ &= (-1)^{\hat{\iota}} \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} + \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{\hat{\iota}} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \left[\binom{\hat{\iota}-1}{k} + \binom{\hat{\iota}-1}{k-1} \right] \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{k} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}-1} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}-1}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{k} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}-1}{k-1} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{k} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \\ &= -\sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}-1} (-1)^{(\hat{\iota}-1)-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}-1}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{k} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}-1} (-1)^{(\hat{\iota}-1)-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}-1}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{k+1} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \\ &= \beta_{\hat{\iota}-1} (\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} - \beta_{\hat{\iota}-1} (\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}. \end{split}$$

Thus, the first equality is proved. The proof of second identity is demonstrated by induction on λ . For $\lambda = 1$,

$$\beta_1(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = \sum_{k=0}^1 (-1)^{1-k} \binom{1}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^k \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = - \left\| \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 + \left\| \mathfrak{G} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = \left\| \mathfrak{G} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - \beta_0(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

Now assume that

$$eta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} := \mathfrak{G}^{*^{\hat{\iota}}}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G}^{\hat{\iota}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}-1} inom{\hat{\iota}}{k}eta_{k}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}},$$

and multiplies this relation on the left by \mathfrak{G}^* and on the right by \mathfrak{G} ,

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{G}^*\beta_{\hat{\imath}}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathfrak{G} &= \mathfrak{G}^{*^{m+1}}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G}^{\hat{\imath}+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\imath}-1} \binom{\hat{\imath}}{k} \mathfrak{G}^*\beta_k(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathfrak{G} \\ &= \mathfrak{G}^{*^{\hat{\imath}+1}}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G}^{\hat{\imath}+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\imath}-1} \binom{\hat{\imath}}{k} [\beta_{k+1}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} + \beta_k(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}}] \\ &= \mathfrak{G}^{*^{\hat{\imath}+1}}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G}^{\hat{\imath}+1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{\imath}} \binom{\hat{\imath}}{k-1} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\imath}-1} \binom{\hat{\imath}}{k} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \mathfrak{G}^{*^{\hat{\imath}+1}}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G}^{\hat{\imath}+1} - \binom{\hat{\imath}}{\hat{\imath}-1} \beta_{\hat{\imath}}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} - \beta_0(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\imath}-01} \binom{\hat{\imath}+1}{k} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \mathfrak{G}^{*^{\hat{\imath}+1}}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G}^{\hat{\imath}+1} - \binom{\hat{\imath}}{\hat{\imath}-1} \beta_{\hat{\imath}}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} - \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\imath}-1} \binom{\hat{\imath}+1}{k} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore by the relation (3.1) identity again and above formula we have

$$\begin{split} \beta_{i+1}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} &= \mathfrak{G}^*\beta_i(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathfrak{G} - \beta_i(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \mathfrak{G}^{*^{i+1}}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G}^{i+1} - \binom{i}{i-1}\beta_i(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} - \sum_{k=0}^{i-1}\binom{i+1}{k}\beta_k(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} - \beta_i(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \mathfrak{G}^{*^{i+1}}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G}^{i+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{i}\binom{i+1}{k}\beta_k(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}}. \end{split}$$

This complete the proof.

Corollary 3.2 If \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive and $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda-1)$ -expansive on $R(\mathfrak{G})$, then \mathfrak{G} is $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda-1)$ -expansive.

Theorem 3.1 Let \mathfrak{G} be a $(\mathfrak{A}, 2)$ -expansive and assume that \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive for some $i \geq 2$. Then \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, i) -hyperexpansive.

Proof: The conditions $\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and

$$\|\mathfrak{G}^2 \tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - 2\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 + \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \le 0,$$

guarantee that the sequence

$$(\|\mathfrak{G}^{n+1}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - \|\mathfrak{G}^n\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2)_{m>0},$$

is monotonically non-increasing and bounded so that is convergent. Let $\|\mathfrak{G}^{n+1}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - \|\mathfrak{G}^n\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \to a$, for some $a \geq 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By assumption, select i > 2 such that $(-1)^i \beta_i(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$. Since

$$\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = \beta_{\hat{\iota}-1}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} - \beta_{\hat{\iota}-1}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}},$$

we have $(-1)^{\lambda-1}\beta_{\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq (-1)^{\lambda-1}\beta_{\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{G}\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}$. An induction argument shows that

$$(-1)^{\lambda-1}\beta_{\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq (-1)^{\lambda-1}\beta_{\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{G}^n\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}},$$

for each positive integer number n. On the other hand

$$\begin{split} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-1}\beta_{\hat{\iota}-1}(\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{G}^n\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} &= (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-1}\beta_{\hat{\iota}-2}(\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{G}^{n+1}\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} - (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-1}\beta_{\hat{\iota}-2}(\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{G}^n\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= -\sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}-2} (-1)^k \binom{\hat{\iota}-2}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{n+k+1}\tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}-2} (-1)^k \binom{\hat{\iota}-2}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{n+k}\tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}-2} (-1)^k \binom{\hat{\iota}-2}{k} \left[\left\| \mathfrak{G}^{n+k}\tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{n+k+1}\tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \right]. \end{split}$$

By approaching n to infinity in the preceding equality, we leads to

$$(-1)^{\lambda-1}\beta_{\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{G}^n\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \to \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda-2} (-1)k \binom{\lambda-2}{k} a = 0.$$

This shows that $(-1)^{\lambda-1}\beta_{\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}\leq 0$. Thus \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A},λ) -hyperexpansive

Proposition 3.3 (i) If $\beta_{\ell}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$, $\forall \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then for $n \geq \ell$,

$$\|\mathfrak{G}^n \tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \le \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}, \qquad \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{3.3}$$

(ii) If $\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$, $\forall \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then for $n \geq \hat{\iota}$,

$$\|\mathfrak{G}^n \tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \ge \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}, \qquad \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof: These inequalities are proved by induction on n. The inequality is proved easily for n = i by (3.2). Assume now Eq. (3.3) holds for some n > i. Then

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{n+1} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} &= \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{n} (\mathfrak{G} \tau) \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{k} (\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G} \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{k} (\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{k+1} (\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_{k} (\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} + \sum_{k=1}^{l} \binom{n}{k-1} \beta_{k} (\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \left[\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} \right] \beta_{k} (\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} + \binom{n}{l-1} \beta_{l} (\mathfrak{G}, \tau) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{n+1}{k} \beta_{k} (\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} + \binom{n}{l-1} \beta_{l} (\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{n+1}{k} \beta_{k} (\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}. \end{split}$$

Note that, the second inequality is obtained by induction hypothesis and the last inequality is followed from the condition " $\beta_i(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$ ". Hence, (i) is proved. The proof of (b) is similar.

Corollary 3.3 Let $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$. If $\beta_{\mathfrak{i}}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$, then for $n \geq \mathfrak{i}$,

$$\mathfrak{G}^{*^n}\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{G}^n \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

If $\beta_{i}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$, then the above inequality with \geq holds.

Theorem 3.2 If $\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$, $\forall \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then $\beta_{\hat{\iota}-1}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$, $\forall \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proof: By proposition 3.3 part (i), $\forall \tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$,

$$\binom{n}{i-1}\beta_{i-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \ge \|\mathfrak{G}^n\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{i-2} \binom{n}{k}\beta_k(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

Dividing both sides by n^{i-1} results

$$\frac{1}{n^{\ell-1}} \binom{n}{\ell-1} \beta_{\ell-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq \frac{1}{n^{\ell-1}} \|\mathfrak{G}^n \tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-2} \frac{1}{n^{\ell-1}} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

Upon taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ and nothing that $\frac{1}{n^{i-1}} \binom{n}{k} \to 0$, for $0 \le k \le i-2$ and

$$\frac{1}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}} \|\mathfrak{G}^n \tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \ge 0,$$

we have the desired result.

Theorem 3.3 Each positive integer power of an $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -expansive on a $S_{\mathcal{H}}$, is $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -expansive.

Proof: Fix a positive integer n. Consider the arbitrary positive real numbers τ_i $(0 \le i \le i(n-1))$, so that $\tau_0 = 1$ and

$$\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{t}^j\right]^{\hat{\iota}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\hat{\iota}(n-1)} \tau_i \mathfrak{t}^i, \qquad \forall \, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Furthermore, define τ_i to be zero for i > l(n-1) and take $\binom{l}{i} = 0$ for i > l. A simple compute shows that if

$$s_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{k}} (-1)^{i} {\mathbf{k} \choose i} \tau_{\mathbf{k}-i}, \qquad 0 \le \mathbf{k} \le i n,$$

then $s_{\mathbf{k}} = (-1)^{\mathbf{k}_1} {i \choose \mathbf{k}_1}$, whenever $\mathbf{k} = n\mathbf{k}_1$ for some positive integer \mathbf{k}_1 , and otherwise $s_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$. Indeed

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} s_k \mathfrak{t}^k = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \mathfrak{t}^k\right] \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n} \tau_k \mathfrak{t}^k\right] = (1-\mathfrak{t})^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{t}^k\right)^{n-1} = (1-\mathfrak{t}^n)^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \mathfrak{t}^{kn}.$$

 \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive, thus

$$(-1)^{i}\beta_{i}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^{j} \binom{i}{j} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{j} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \leq 0.$$

Consequently,

$$0 \ge \sum_{i=0}^{l(n-1)} \tau_i \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^j \binom{l}{j} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{i+j} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{ln} s_k \left\| \mathfrak{G}^k \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{l} s_{kn} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{kn} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = (-1)^k \binom{l}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{kn} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2.$$

Hence, \mathfrak{G}^n is an $(\mathfrak{A}, \hat{\iota})$ -expansive.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$. If \mathfrak{G} is invertible, then $\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}^{-1}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = (-1)^{\hat{\iota}}\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}^{-\hat{\iota}}\tau)$.

Proof: We have

$$\begin{split} \beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}^{-1},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\| (\mathfrak{G}^{-1})^{k} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{\hat{\iota}-k} \left\| (\mathfrak{G}^{-k} \tau) \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{-(\hat{\iota}-k)} \tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \\ &= (-1)^{\hat{\iota}} \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}-k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{k} (\mathfrak{G}^{-\hat{\iota}} \tau) \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} = (-1)^{\hat{\iota}} \beta_{\hat{\iota}} (\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}^{-\hat{\iota}} \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}. \end{split}$$

Corollary 3.4 Let $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ be an invertible $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -expansive operator, (i) If \mathfrak{i} is even, then \mathfrak{G}^{-1} is $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -expansive; (ii) If \mathfrak{i} is odd, then \mathfrak{G}^{-1} is $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -contractive

Corollary 3.5 Assume \mathfrak{G} is invertible. If \mathfrak{G} is $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -expansive for some even \mathfrak{i} , then \mathfrak{G} is an $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i}-1)$ -isometry

Proof: Since \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive and λ is even thus $\beta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0, \forall \tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$, then by Lemma 3.2,

$$\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}^{-1}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = (-1)^{\hat{\iota}} \beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0.$$

This implies that \mathfrak{G}^{-1} is also (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive and then with respect to i, we have $\beta_{i-1}(\mathfrak{G}^{-1}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$. On the other hand

$$\beta_{i-1}(\mathfrak{G}^{-1},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = (-1)^{i-1}\beta_{i-1}(\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{G}^{-(i-1)}\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = -\beta_{i-1}(\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{G}^{-(i-1)}\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \le 0.$$

Therefore $\beta_{\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G}^{-1},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}=0$, which it follows that $\beta_{\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}=0$, $\forall \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$. In other words, \mathfrak{G} is an $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda-1)$ -isometry.

Theorem 3.4 Let $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ be an (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive, then the following properties hold

- 1. $\frac{\|\mathfrak{G}^n\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2}{n^{\lambda-1}}$ converge uniformly to $\frac{1}{(\lambda-1)!}\beta_{\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}$ on the unit ball of $S_{\mathcal{H}}$.
- 2. $\frac{\|\mathfrak{G}^n\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2}{n^{l-1}}$ converge to $\sup_{\tau} \beta_{l-1}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Proof: Apply Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\|\mathfrak{G}^n\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2}{n^{l-1}} &- \frac{1}{(l-1)!}\beta_{l-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{l-1}}{n^{l-1}}\beta_{l-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{n^{l-1}}\sum_{k=0}^{l-2}\binom{l}{k}\beta_k(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} - \frac{1}{(l-1)!}\beta_{l-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\binom{n}{l-1}}{n^{l-1}} - \frac{1}{(l-1)!}\right)\beta_{l-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} + \frac{1}{n^{l-1}}\sum_{k=0}^{l-2}\binom{l}{k}\beta_k(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{\|\mathfrak{G}^{n}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2}}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}} - \frac{1}{(\tilde{\iota}-1)!} \beta_{\tilde{\iota}-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \right| &\leq \left(\frac{n!}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}(n-\tilde{\iota}+1)!} - 1 \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{\iota}-1} \frac{1}{k!(\tilde{\iota}-1-k)!} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{k}\tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{\iota}-2} \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \left\| \mathfrak{G}^{j}\tau \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^{2} &\leq \left(\frac{n!}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}(n-\tilde{\iota}+1)!} - 1 \right) \frac{2^{\tilde{\iota}-1}\check{M}}{(\tilde{\iota}-1)!} + \sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{\iota}-2} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}} \frac{2^{k}\check{M}}{k!} \\ &= \left(\frac{n!}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}(n-\tilde{\iota}+1)!} - 1 \right) \frac{2^{\tilde{\iota}-1}\check{M}}{(\tilde{\iota}-1)!} + \frac{\check{M}3^{\tilde{\iota}-2}}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}} \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \end{split}$$

where $\check{M} = \sum_{0 \le k \le l-1} \|\mathfrak{G}^k\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$. (2) Since $\frac{\|\mathfrak{G}^n\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2}{n^{l-1}} = \sup_{\|\tau\| \le 1} \frac{\|\mathfrak{G}^n\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2}{n^{l-1}}$, we deduce from (1) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\|\mathfrak{G}^n\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{\|\tau\|<1}\frac{\|\mathfrak{G}^n\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}}=\sup_{\|\tau\|<1}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\|\mathfrak{G}^n\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2}{n^{\tilde{\iota}-1}}=\sup_{\|\tau\|<1}\beta_{\tilde{\iota}-1}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

4. Dynamic properties

In this section we are going to investigate the supercyclicity of $(\mathfrak{A}, \lambda) - \mathbb{E}\mathbb{O}s$. The supercyclicity of 2-expansive (concave) operators has been studied by Karimi *et al.* in [21]. Recall that an operator $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ is supercyclic if there exists an element $\tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\mathbb{C}orb(\mathfrak{G},\tau) := \left\{ \alpha\tau, \alpha\mathfrak{G}\tau, \alpha\mathfrak{G}^2\tau, \cdots \right\}, \qquad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C},$$

is dense in $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ and τ is called a supercyclic vector for \mathfrak{G} . We start with the following result.

Theorem 4.1 A power bounded \mathfrak{A} -expansive operator cannot be supercyclic.

Proof: Assume on contrary, \mathfrak{G} is supercyclic operator and $\tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a supercyclic vector for \mathfrak{G} . Let $0 \neq \tau \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\tau \notin \ker(\mathfrak{A})$. Then there exists a sequence $(\lambda_i)_i \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a strictly increasing sequence $(n_i) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_i \mathfrak{G}^{n_i} \tau = \dot{\tau}$, and hence

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} |\lambda_i| \|\mathfrak{G}^{n_i}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \|\dot{\tau}\|_{\mathfrak{A}}. \tag{4.1}$$

Since \mathfrak{G} is A-expansive thus $\|\dot{\tau}\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \lim_{i \to \infty} |\lambda_i|$, if $\lim_{i \to \infty} |\lambda_i| = 0$, then relation 4.1 shows $\lim_{i \to \infty} \|\mathfrak{G}^{n_i}\tau\| = \infty$, but this is contradiction, because \mathfrak{G} is power bounded. And if $\lim_{i \to \infty} |\lambda_i| = a \in (0, \infty)$, then

$$\|\mathfrak{G}\acute{ au}\|_{\mathfrak{A}}=\lim_{i o\infty}|\lambda_i|\,\left\|\mathfrak{G}^{n_i+1} au
ight\|_{\mathfrak{A}}=\|\acute{ au}\|_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

This shows that \mathfrak{G} is A-isometries and is't supercyclic [23].

The following example gives a non power bounded \mathfrak{A} -expansive which is supercyclic.

Example 4.1 Take $w_n = 3$ for $n \leq 0$, and $w_n = 2$ for $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{G} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the bilateral weighted shift defined by $\mathfrak{G}e_n = w_n e_{n+1}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})^+$ be the positive linear operator defined by $\mathfrak{A}e_n = w_n e_n$. Since the condition

$$w_n^2 w_{n+1}^2 = \|\mathfrak{G}e_n\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \ge \|e_n\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = w_n,$$

only involves consecutive n, and since the condition is trivial if $w_n = w_{n+1}$ one only needs to check the condition for n = 0, where it holds indeed. Thus, the corresponding forward bilateral shift \mathfrak{G} is \mathfrak{A} -expansive and invertible. Furthermore, since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{w_{j}}{w_{-j}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n} = 0,$$

in light of [16] the operator \mathfrak{G} is supercyclic.

Suppose that B_1 and B_2 are two Banach spaces and \mathfrak{G}_1 and \mathfrak{G}_2 are two bounded linear operators acting on these spaces, respectively. Recall that the operator \mathfrak{G}_2 is said to be a quasi-factor of \mathfrak{G}_1 , if there exists a continuous map $J: B_1 \to B_2$, dense range such that $\mathfrak{G}_2 J = J \mathfrak{G}_1$.

Lemma 4.1 For every (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive operator $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ there are a Banach space B_2 and an i-expansive operator $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}$ on B_2 which is quasi-factor of \mathfrak{G} .

Proof: Consider (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive operator \mathfrak{G} on $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ and the quotient space $\frac{S_{\mathcal{H}}}{\ker(\mathfrak{A})}$. Define

$$\|(\tau + \ker \mathfrak{A})\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \inf \Big\{ \|\tau + \acute{\tau}\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \ : \ \acute{\tau} \in \ker(\mathfrak{A}) \Big\}.$$

Let (τ_{α}) be a net in $\ker(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\tau_{\alpha} \to \tau$, then $\|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \lim_{\alpha} \|\tau_{\alpha}\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$. So the positivity of \mathfrak{A} implies that $\mathfrak{A}\tau = 0$. Now, if $\|\tau + \ker(\mathfrak{A})\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$, then there is a net $(\tau_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ in $\ker(\mathfrak{A})$ so that $\|\tau + \tau_{\alpha}\| \to 0$. Hence, $\|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$ which implies that $\tau \in \ker(\mathfrak{A})$. Define

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{\mathfrak{G}}: \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathcal{H}}}{\ker(\mathfrak{A})}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}}\right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathcal{H}}}{\ker(\mathfrak{A})}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}}\right), \\ \\ \hat{\mathfrak{G}}(\tau + \ker(\mathfrak{A})) = \mathfrak{G}\tau + \ker(\mathfrak{A}). \end{array} \right.$$

Then

$$\|\hat{\mathfrak{G}}(\tau + \ker(\mathfrak{A}))\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \|\mathfrak{G}\tau + \ker(\mathfrak{A})\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \inf\left\{\|\mathfrak{G}\tau + \acute{\tau}\|_{\mathfrak{A}} : \acute{\tau} \in \ker(\mathfrak{A})\right\} = \|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} (-1)^{\hat{\iota}}\beta_{\hat{\iota}} \left(\hat{\mathfrak{G}},\tau\right)_{\mathfrak{A}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^k \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\|\hat{\mathfrak{G}}^k(\tau+\ker(\mathfrak{A}))\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^k \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\|\mathfrak{G}^k\tau\right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = (-1)^{\hat{\iota}}\beta_{\hat{\iota}}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0. \end{split}$$

and hence, $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}$ is $\hat{\iota}$ -expansive operator. Now let \mathcal{K} be the completion of the normed space $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}/\ker\mathfrak{A}$ and let $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ be the extension of $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}$ on the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} . Then define the operator

$$\begin{cases} Q: \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}} \to \frac{\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}}{\ker(\mathfrak{A})}, \\ Q\tau = \tau + \ker(\mathfrak{A}). \end{cases}$$

Since $||Q\tau||_{\mathfrak{A}} = ||\tau + \ker(\mathfrak{A})||_{\mathfrak{A}} = ||\tau||_{\mathfrak{A}}$, which implies that Q is continuous. Consider diagram,

$$egin{array}{cccc} oldsymbol{S}_{\mathcal{H}} & \stackrel{\mathfrak{G}}{\longrightarrow} & oldsymbol{S}_{\mathcal{H}} \ & & & & & \downarrow Q \ & oldsymbol{S}_{\mathcal{H}/\ker(\mathfrak{A})} & \stackrel{\hat{\mathfrak{G}}}{\longrightarrow} & oldsymbol{S}_{\mathcal{H}/\ker(\mathfrak{A})} \ & & & \downarrow I \ & & & & \downarrow I \ & & & & \mathcal{K} & \stackrel{\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

Thus, Q has dense range and $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ o Q = Q o \mathfrak{G} .

Theorem 4.2 Every $(\mathfrak{A}, 2)$ -expansive operator is not supercyclic.

Proof: Suppose that \mathfrak{G} is an $(\mathfrak{A}, 2)$ -expansive operator in $\mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$. By last lemma there exist Banach space B_2 and 2-expansive operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ on B_2 which is quasi-factor of \mathfrak{G} . The comparison principle [9] states that if \mathfrak{G} is supercyclic then so is $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$. But the operator $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$, being an 2-expansive (concave operator) on a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} cannot be supercyclic [21], which leads us to a contradiction.

In general, the category of $\mathfrak{A} - \mathbb{EO}s$ is a strict subcaegory of (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive [29]. The following results is obtained (see also [23, Page 83]).

Theorem 4.3 Suppose that $\mathfrak{G} \in \mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ is an $(\mathfrak{A}, 2\mathfrak{i} - 1)$ -expansive and there is a sequence $(n_i)_i$ of positive integers so that $\sup_i \|\mathfrak{G}^{n_i}\|_{\mathfrak{A}} < \infty$. Then \mathfrak{G} is an \mathfrak{A} -expansive.

Proof: If i = 1, the result is obvious, thus assume that i > 1. For every τ in the closure of $R(\mathfrak{A})$, which is denoted by $\overline{(\mathfrak{A})}$, and every non-negative integer n,

$$\|\mathfrak{G}^n \tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \ge \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}}.$$
(4.2)

Let k_0 be the largest integer number such that $1 \le k_0 \le i - 1$ and $\beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau) \ne 0$. Then taking for guaranteed that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{(k)}}{n^{(k_0)}} = 0, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, k_0 - 1,$$

we see that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\mathfrak{G}^n \tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 &\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{n}{k} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} n^{(k)} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{(k_0)}}{k!} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \frac{n^{(k)}}{n^{(k_0)}} \beta_k(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = +\infty. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, since $\sup_i \|\mathfrak{G}^{n_i}\|_{\mathfrak{A}} < \infty$, there is a real number $\check{M} > 0$ such that for each i and $\tau \in \overline{\mathbb{R}(\mathfrak{A})}$, $\|\mathfrak{G}^{n_i}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \check{M}\|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$, consequently $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathfrak{G}^{n_i}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ cannot be $+\infty$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\beta_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$ for $\mathbf{k} = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$. This coupled with (4.1) for n=1 imply that

$$\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}, \qquad \tau \in \overline{\mathbf{R}(\mathfrak{A})}.$$

Now, let τ be an arbitrary element in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and be written as $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2$ for some $\tau_1 \in \ker(\mathfrak{A})^{1/2}$ and $\tau_2 \in \overline{\mathbf{R}(\mathfrak{A})}$. Taking into account that $\|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \|\mathfrak{A}^{1/2}\tau\| = \|h_2\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$, and $\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \|\mathfrak{G}\tau_2\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$, we conclude that $\|\mathfrak{G}\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq \|\tau\|_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\forall \tau \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus, \mathfrak{G} is an \mathfrak{A} -expansive.

Corollary 4.1 Every power bounded $(\mathfrak{A}, 2i-1)$ -expansive operator on $\mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$ is not supercyclic.

Theorem 4.4 If \mathfrak{G} is a power bounded $(\mathfrak{A}, 2i)$ -expansive in $\mathfrak{L}(S_{\mathcal{H}})$, then \mathfrak{G} is not supercyclic.

Proof: Since $\beta_{2\lambda}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq 0$, by Theorem 3.2 we have $\beta_{2\lambda-1}(\mathfrak{G})_{\mathfrak{A}} \geq 0$ and this shows that \mathfrak{G} is $(2\lambda-1,\mathfrak{A})$ -expansive operator. So by the last corollary \mathfrak{G} is not supercyclic.

In sequence, we describe some spectral properties of an (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive operator.

Theorem 4.5 (A) If \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive for some even λ , then $\sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$. Therefore either $\sigma(\mathfrak{G}) = \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ or $\sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$. (B) If \mathfrak{G} is (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive for some odd λ , then $\sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \{z : |z| \ge 1\}$. Moreover, if \mathfrak{G} is non-invertible then $\bar{\mathbb{D}} \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{G})$ and if \mathfrak{G} is invertible then $\sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \{z : |z| \ge 1\}$.

Proof: Let $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G})$ and $\{\tau_i\}_i$ be a sequence of unit vectors such that $\|(\mathfrak{G} - \lambda I)(\tau_i)\| \to 0$, as $i \to \infty$. It's clear that $\|\mathfrak{G}^k \tau_i\|^2 \to |\lambda|^{2k}$ as $i \to \infty$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \lim_{i \to \infty} \beta_i(\mathfrak{G}, \tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} &= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota} - k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \|\mathfrak{G}^k \tau_i\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 = \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota} - k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} \left\langle \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{G}^k \tau_i, \mathfrak{G}^k \tau_i \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{\iota}} (-1)^{\hat{\iota} - k} \binom{\hat{\iota}}{k} |\lambda|^{2k} \left\langle \mathfrak{A} \tau_i, \tau_i \right\rangle = (1 - |\lambda|^2)^{\hat{\iota}} \|\tau_i\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \leq 0. \end{split}$$

So $|\lambda| = 1$. Therefore $\sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$. On the other hand $\partial \sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$ thus $\partial \sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$. So $\sigma(\mathfrak{G}) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ or $\sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$. Now we prove (B). Similarly, we can show that

$$(-1)^{\lambda}\beta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{G},\tau)_{\mathfrak{A}} = -(1-|\lambda|^2)^{\lambda} \|\tau_i\|_{\mathfrak{A}}^2 \leq 0.$$

So $|\lambda| \geq 1$. Also if \mathfrak{G} is not invertible then $0 \in \sigma(\mathfrak{G})$; but since $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G})$, it is an interior point of $\sigma(\mathfrak{G})$. Let r be a largest positive number such that $\{z : |z| \leq r\} \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{G})$. Then there is a number z_0 such that $|z_0| = r$ and $z_0 \in \partial \sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G})$ [11, page 210]. This shows that $r \geq 1$, and consequently, $\bar{\mathbb{D}} \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{G})$. Now suppose that \mathfrak{G} is invertible and let r be the largest positive number such that

$$\{z : |z| < r\} \subseteq \mathcal{C} - \sigma(\mathfrak{G}).$$

Since $\partial \sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \{z : |z| \ge 1\}, r \ge 1$. Therefore, $\sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \{z : |z| \ge 1\}$.

Corollary 4.2 If $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{A})$ and both \mathfrak{G} , \mathfrak{G}^* are $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i}) - \mathbb{E}\mathbb{O}s$, then $\sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$.

Proof: We argue with contradiction. Suppose that $\sigma(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \partial \mathbb{D}$. Last theorem implies that

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{G})\subset \Big\{z\,:\, \|z\|\geq 1\Big\},$$

if $\hat{\iota}$ is odd, positive integer or $\sigma(\mathfrak{G}) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, if $\hat{\iota}$ is even respectively. Since $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G})$, so $\overline{\mathrm{R}(\mathfrak{G})} = \mathrm{R}(\mathfrak{G}) \neq S_{\mathcal{H}}$, and therefore $N(\mathfrak{G}^*) \neq \{0\}$. This implies that $0 \in \sigma_p(\mathfrak{G}^*) \subset \sigma_{ap}(\mathfrak{G}^*)$, which contradicts the fact that \mathfrak{G}^* is an $(\mathfrak{A}, \hat{\iota})$ -expansive.

Note that if $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(A)$ and \mathfrak{G} is a invertible (\mathfrak{A}, λ) -expansive, then $r(\mathfrak{G}) = 1$.

Corollary 4.3 If \mathfrak{G} is a $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{i})$ -expansive operator, then \mathfrak{G} is not compact.

Proof: If \mathfrak{G} is compact operator then $0 \in \sigma(\mathfrak{G})$. Thus, the last theorem implies that $\bar{\mathbb{D}} \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{G})$ which contradicts the most countable property of spectrum of compact operators.

5. Conclusion

The concept of (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive operator on an infinite dimensional $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ is introduced and some preliminaries and basic properties of such operators are given. We supplied some spectral properties of (\mathfrak{A}, i) -expansive operators. Finally, we are going to investigate the supercyclicity of (\mathfrak{A}, i) - $\mathbb{E}\mathbb{O}s$.

Availability of Data and Materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

All authors are equally contributed, read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- 1. Jim Agler. Hypercontractions and subnormality. Journal of Operator Theory, pages 203-217, 1985.
- 2. Jim Agler and Mark Stankus. m-isometric transformations of Hilbert space, I. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 21(4):383–429, 1995.
- 3. Jim Agler and Mark Stankus. m-isometric transformations of Hilbert space, II. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 23(1):1–48, 1995.
- Jim Agler and Mark Stankus. m-isometric transformations of Hilbert space, III. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 24(4):379–421, 1996.
- 5. A. M. A. Al-Ahmadi. Nonlinear (m, ∞) -isometries and (m, ∞) -expansive (contractive) mappings on normed spaces. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2021:87, 2021.
- Ameer Athavale. On completely hyperexpansive operators. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 124(12):3745–3752, 1996.
- 7. Ameer Athavale and Abhijit Ranjekar. Bernstein functions, complete hyperexpansivity and subnormality-II. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 44(1):1–9, 2002.
- 8. Ameer Athavale and Abhijit Ranjekar. Bernstein functions, complete hyperexpansivity and subnormality—I. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 43(3):253–263, 2002.
- 9. Frédéric Bayart and Étienne Matheron. Dynamics of linear operators, volume 179. University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- 10. F Botelho. On the existence of n-isometries on l_p spaces. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 76(1-2):183-192, 2010.
- 11. J. B. Conway. A Course in Functional Analysis, volume 96. Springer Science, Business Media, 2013.
- 12. B. P. Duggal. Tensor product of n-isometries. Linear Algebra Appl, 437(1):307–318, 2012.

- 13. B. P. Duggal. Tensor product of n-isometries III. Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation, 4(2):61-67,
- 14. George Exner, I. L. Bong Jung, and Chunji Li. On k-hyperexpansive operators. Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, 323(1):569-582, 2006.
- 15. Masoumeh Faghih-Ahmadi. Powers of (a, m)-isometric operators and their supercyclicity. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, 39(3):901–911, 2016.
- 16. Nathan Feldman. Hypercyclicity and supercyclicity for invertible bilateral weighted shifts. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 131(2):479–485, 2003.
- 17. C. Gu. On (m,p)-expansive and (m,p)-contractive operators on Hilbert and Banach spaces. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 426:893—916, 2015.
- 18. Karim Hedayatian. n-supercyclicity of an (a, m)-isometry. $Honam\ Mathematical\ Journal,\ 37(3):281-285,\ 2015.$
- 19. Z. J. Jabłoński. Complete hyperexpansivity, subnormality and inverted boundedness conditions. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 44(3):316-336, 2002.
- Sungeun Jung, Yoenha Kim, Eungil Ko, and Ji Eun Lee. On (a, m)-expansive operators. Studia Mathematica, 213(1):3-23, 2012,
- 21. Lotfollah Karimi, Masoumeh Faghih-Ahmadi, and Karim Hedayatian. Some properties of concave operators. Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 40(6):1211-1220, 2016.
- 22. S. M. Patel. 2-isometric operators. Glasnik matematički, 37(1):141–145, 2002.
- 23. Rchid Rabaoui and Adel Saddi. On the orbit of an (a, m)-isometry. In Annales Mathematicae Silesianae, volume 26, pages 75-91, 2012.
- 24. Stefan Richter. A representation theorem for cyclic analytic two-isometries. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 328(1):325-349, 1991.
- 25. Serguei Shimorin. Wold-type decompositions and wandering subspaces for operators close to isometries. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 531:147-189, 2001.
- 26. Vinayak Madhav Sholapurkar and Ameer Athavale. Completely and alternatingly hyperexpansive operators. Journal $of\ Operator\ Theory,\ pages\ 43-68,\ 2000.$
- 27. O. A. M. Sid Ahmed. m-isometric operators on Banach spaces. Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 3(01):1-19,
- 28. O. A. M. Sid Ahmed. On a (m,p)-expansive and a (m,p)-hyperexpansive operators on Banach spaces-II. Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science, 5(2):123–148, 2015.
- 29. O. A. M. Sid Ahmed and Adel Saddi. (a, m)-isometric operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 436(10):3930-3942, 2012.

Lotfollah Karimi, Department of Mathematics, Hamedan University of Technology, Hamedan, Iran.

E-mail address: lkarimi@hut.ac.ir

and

Mohammad Esmael Samei, Department of Mathematics. Faculty of Science, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran. E-mail address: mesamei@basu.ac.ir

Mohammed K. A. Kaabar, Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia. E-mail address: mohammed.kaabar@wsu.edu