



## Weakly $\mu$ -Countable compactness and Weakly $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -Countable compactness

Abdo Qahis\* and Takashi Noiri

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper, we introduce and study the notions of weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact spaces in generalized topology and weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact spaces with respect to hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$ . Several of their properties and relations are presented here. In addition, some preservation properties of functions are studied and investigated.

**Key Words:** generalized topology, hereditary class,  $\mu$ -countable ccovering, weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact, weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and preliminaries</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>weakly <math>\mu</math>-countably compact and weakly <math>\mu\mathcal{H}</math>-countably compact spaces</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Functions on weakly <math>\mu\mathcal{H}</math>-countably compact spaces</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Weakly <math>\mu\mathcal{H}</math>-countable compact sets relative to a space</b>	<b>6</b>

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

According to [2], a generalized topology (briefly GT) on a nonempty set  $X$  is a subset  $\mu$  of the power set  $\exp X$  such that  $\emptyset \in \mu$  and the union of the elements of an arbitrary subset of  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mu$ . We call the pair  $(X, \mu)$  a generalized topological space (briefly GTS) on  $X$ . The elements of  $\mu$  are called  $\mu$ -open sets and their complements are called  $\mu$ -closed sets. If  $A$  is a subset of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ , then the  $\mu$ -closure of  $A$ ,  $c_\mu(A)$ , is the intersection of all  $\mu$ -closed sets containing  $A$  and the  $\mu$ -interior of  $A$ ,  $i_\mu(A)$ , is the union of all  $\mu$ -open sets contained in  $A$  (see [2] and [3]). Clearly,  $A$  is  $\mu$ -closed if and only if  $A = c_\mu(A)$ ,  $c_\mu(A)$  is the smallest  $\mu$ -closed set containing  $A$ ,  $i_\mu(A)$  is the largest  $\mu$ -open set contained in  $A$ . In this paper, we consider  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$  for any cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mu$ -open subsets of  $X$ . In particular,  $X$  is called a weakly  $\mu$ -compact space if for every  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ , the finite union of the  $\mu$ -closures of whose members covers  $X$ , see [10]. More generalizations of these notions with respect to a hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$  can be seen in [5, 1, 7]. A hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$  is a nonempty subset of the power set  $\exp X$  that satisfies the following property: if  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $B \subset A$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{H}$ , see [4]. A hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$  is called an ideal if  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the additional condition: for  $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$  implies  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{H}$ , see [6]. Given a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  with a hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$ , for a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , the generalized local function of  $A$  with respect to  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mu$  [4] is defined as  $A^* = \{x \in X \mid A \cap V \notin \mathcal{H} \text{ for any } V \in \mu \text{ containing } x\}$ . For  $A \subseteq X$ , define  $c_\mu^*(A) = A \cup A^*$  and the family  $\mu^* = \{A \subset X : X \setminus A = c_\mu^*(X \setminus A)\}$  is a GT on  $X$  which is finer than  $\mu$ . The elements of  $\mu^*$  are called  $\mu^*$ -open sets and their complement are called  $\mu^*$ -closed sets. We call  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  a hereditary generalized topological space and briefly we denote it by HGTS. In this paper, we define the notions of weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact and weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact spaces as generalizations of weakly  $\mu$ -compact and weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -compact ( $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact) spaces, respectively. Finally, in this section, we recall the following definitions and facts for their importance in the material of the present paper.

**Definition 1.1** [10] Let  $A$  be a subset of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ . Then  $A$  is said to be:

1.  $\mu$ -regular open if  $A = i_\mu(c_\mu(A))$ ;

---

\* Corresponding author.

2.  $\mu$ -regular closed if  $X \setminus A$  is  $\mu$ -regular open.

**Definition 1.2** [10] Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a  $\theta\mu$ -accumulation point of  $A$  if  $c_\mu(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\mu$ -open subset  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ . The set of all  $\theta\mu$ -accumulation points of  $A$  is called the  $\theta\mu$ -closure of  $A$  and is denoted by  $(c_\mu)_\theta(A)$ . In addition,  $A$  is said to be  $\mu_\theta$ -closed if  $(c_\mu)_\theta(A) = A$ . The complement of a  $\mu_\theta$ -closed set is said to be  $\mu_\theta$ -open.

**Definition 1.3** [10] A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -regular if for each  $\mu$ -open subset  $U$  of  $X$  and each  $x \in U$ , there exist a  $\mu$ -open subset  $V$  of  $X$  and a  $\mu$ -closed subset  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in V \subset F \subset U$ .

**Proposition 1.1** [10] Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a  $\mu$ -disconnected GTS. Then  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -compact if and only if every  $\mu$ -clopen set is weakly  $\mu$ -compact.

**Proposition 1.2** [9] Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \nu)$  be GTSs and  $\sigma$  be the generalized product topology on  $X \times Y$ . The projection function  $P_X : (X \times Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \mu)$  (resp.  $P_Y : (X \times Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ ) is  $(\sigma, \mu)$ -continuous (resp.  $(\sigma, \nu)$ -continuous).

**Lemma 1.1** [10] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  such that  $A \subset B$ . Then  $c_{\mu_B}(A) = c_\mu(A) \cap B$ .

**Definition 1.4** [8] Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \nu)$  be two GTSs. A function  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  is said to be  $(\mu, \nu)$ -continuous if for every  $V \in \nu$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mu$ .

**Definition 1.5** [11] Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \nu)$  be two GTSs. A function  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  is said to be  $(\mu, \nu)$ -open if for every  $U \in \mu$ ,  $f(U) \in \nu$ .

## 2. weakly $\mu$ -countably compact and weakly $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact spaces

In this section we define and study the notions of weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact and weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact spaces. Most of the results in this section are proved with respect to weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact spaces. By taking  $\mathcal{H} = \{\emptyset\}$ , we get directly the results for weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact spaces.

**Definition 2.1** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact if for every countable  $\mu$ -open cover  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $X$ , there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}$ .

**Definition 2.2** A HGTS  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is said to be weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact or weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact with respect to a hereditary class  $\mathcal{H}$  if for every countable  $\mu$ -open cover  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $X$ , there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ .

It is clear that a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact if and only if  $(X, \mu, \{\emptyset\})$  is weakly  $\mu\{\emptyset\}$ -countably compact. And if a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is a weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact space, then a HGTS  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is a weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact space. The following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

**Example 2.1** Let  $\mu = \{V \subseteq (0, 1) : V \text{ is uncountable}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a GT on  $X = (0, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \{X \setminus V : V \in \mu\}$  be a hereditary class on  $X$ . The family  $\{V_n = (\frac{1}{n}, 1) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . For any finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in \Delta_0$ , we have  $c_\mu(V_i) = V_i$ . Therefore,  $X \neq \bigcup_{i \in \Delta_0} c_\mu(V_i)$ , so  $(X, \mu)$  is not weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact. Now, let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$  and  $\Delta_0$  be any finite subset of  $\Delta$ . Since  $X \setminus V_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $X \setminus \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Delta_0} c_\mu(V_\lambda) \subseteq X \setminus V_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$ . This means  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $\mu$  be a GT on a nonempty set  $X$  and  $H_f$  be the set of all finite subsets of  $X$ . A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact if and only if the  $(X, \mu, H_f)$  is a weakly  $\mu H_f$ -countably compact space.

**Proof:** ( $\Rightarrow$ ): It is obvious.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Suppose  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H}_f)$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}_f$ -countably compact and let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . By assumption, there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}_f$ . So,  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . For each  $x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there is  $V_{\lambda_i}$  such that  $x_i \in V_{\lambda_i}$ . Thus  $X = \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Delta_0} c_\mu(V_\lambda)\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n c_\mu(V_{\lambda_i})\right)$ . Hence,  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** For a HGTS  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ , the following statements are equivalent:

1.  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact;
2. for any countable  $\mu$ -regular open cover  $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $X$ , there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(U_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): It is obvious from the definition.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . Then the family  $\{U_\lambda = i_\mu(c_\mu(V_\lambda)) : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is a countable  $\mu$ -regular open cover of  $X$ . From assumption, there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(U_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . But  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(U_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$  which means  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$  and so  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact if and only if for any countable  $\mu$ -regular open cover  $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{c_\mu(U_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}$ .

**Theorem 2.5** A HGTS  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact if and only if for any countable family  $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $\mu$ -closed subsets of  $X$  having the property that  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \notin \mathcal{H}$  for any finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$ , then  $\bigcap\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact and  $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is a countable family of  $\mu$ -closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \notin \mathcal{H}$  for any finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$ . Suppose that  $\bigcap\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$ , then  $\{X \setminus F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . From assumption, there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(X \setminus F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Hence  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$  which contradicts the assumption. Thus  $\bigcap\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \neq \emptyset$ .

Conversely, let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . Assume that for any finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$ , we have  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \notin \mathcal{H}$ , and so  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(X \setminus V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \notin \mathcal{H}$ . By assumption,  $\bigcap\{(X \setminus V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta\} \neq \emptyset$ , this is contrary to the fact that  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is a  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . Thus  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6** A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is a weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact space if and only if for any countable family  $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $\mu$ -closed subsets of  $X$  having the property that  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \neq \emptyset$  for any finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$ , then  $\bigcap\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.7** The following properties are equivalent for a HGTS  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ :

1.  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact;
2. for any countable family of  $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $\mu$ -closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ ;
3. for any countable family of  $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $\mu$ -regular closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a countable family of  $\mu$ -closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$ , which means that  $\{X \setminus F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . By assumption, there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(X \setminus F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ , and so  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): It is obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . Then the family  $\{i_\mu(c_\mu(V_\lambda)) : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is a countable  $\mu$ -regular open cover of  $X$ , which means that,  $\{c_\mu(i_\mu(X \setminus V_\lambda)) : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is a countable family of  $\mu$ -regular closed subsets of  $X$  and  $\bigcap\{c_\mu(i_\mu(X \setminus V_\lambda)) : \lambda \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$ . By assumption, there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(c_\mu(i_\mu(X \setminus V_\lambda))) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} = \bigcap\{i_\mu(X \setminus V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq \bigcap\{i_\mu(c_\mu(i_\mu(X \setminus V_\lambda))) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a hereditary class, we get  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8** *The following properties are equivalent for a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ :*

1.  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact;
2. for any countable family of  $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $\mu$ -closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$ , there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} = \emptyset$ ;
3. for any countable family of  $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $\mu$ -regular closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcap\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} = \emptyset$ , there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $\bigcap\{i_\mu(F_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} = \emptyset$ .

A subset  $A$  of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu_\theta$ -open if and only if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists a  $\mu$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq c_\mu(U) \subseteq A$  (see [10]). By using this notion we get the following results.

**Theorem 2.9** *If a HGTS  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is a weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact, then for every countable  $\mu_\theta$ -open cover  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $X$  there is a finite  $\Delta_0$  subset of  $\Delta$  such that  $X \setminus \bigcup\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ .*

**Proof:** Assume that  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is a weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact space and let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a countable  $\mu_\theta$ -open cover of  $X$ . Then for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\lambda_x \in \Delta$  such that  $x \in V_{\lambda_x}$ . Since  $V_{\lambda_x}$  is  $\mu_\theta$ -open, there exists a  $\mu$ -open set  $U_{\lambda_x}$  such that  $x \in U_{\lambda_x} \subseteq c_\mu(U_{\lambda_x}) \subseteq V_{\lambda_x}$ . Hence  $\{U_{\lambda_x} : x \in X\}$  is a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . By assumption on the space  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(U_{\lambda_x}) : \lambda_x \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since,  $c_\mu(U_{\lambda_x}) \subseteq V_{\lambda_x}$  for every  $\lambda_x \in \Delta_0$  which means that  $X \setminus \bigcup\{V_{\lambda_x} : \lambda_x \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(U_{\lambda_x}) : \lambda_x \in \Delta_0\}$  which implies  $X \setminus \bigcup\{V_{\lambda_x} : \lambda_x \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10** *If a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is a weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact, then for every countable  $\mu_\theta$ -open cover  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $X$  there is a finite  $\Delta_0$  subset of  $\Delta$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}$ .*

**Lemma 2.1** [10] *A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is a  $\mu$ -regular if and only if every  $\mu$ -open subset of  $X$  is  $\mu_\theta$ -open.*

**Corollary 2.1** *Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a  $\mu$ -regular HGTS. Then  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact if and only if  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.*

**Proof:** The proof follows from Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a  $\mu$ -regular GTS. Then  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact if and only if  $(X, \mu, )$  is  $\mu$ -countably compact.*

**Proof:** The proof follows from Theorem 2.10 and Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3** *If a HGTS  $(X, \mu^*, \mathcal{H})$  is a weakly  $\mu^*\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact space, then  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.*

### 3. Functions on weakly $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact spaces

We study the effect of functions on weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact spaces and weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact spaces.

**Lemma 3.1** [10] *A function  $f : (X, \mu, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  is  $(\mu, \nu)$ -continuous if and only if  $c_\mu(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(c_\nu(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ .*

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $f : (X, \mu, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  be a  $(\mu, \nu)$ -continuous function. If  $A$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ , then  $f(A)$  is weakly  $\nu f(\mathcal{H})$ -countably compact relative to  $Y$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any countable  $\nu$ -open cover of  $f(A)$ . Since  $f$  is  $(\mu, \nu)$ -continuous,  $\{f^{-1}(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $A$ . By assumption,  $A$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$  and so there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $A \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(f^{-1}(V_\lambda)) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . By applying Lemma 3.1, we get  $A \setminus \bigcup\{f^{-1}(c_\nu(V_\lambda)) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq A \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(f^{-1}(V_\lambda)) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}$  which means  $f(A) \setminus f(\bigcup\{f^{-1}(c_\nu(V_\lambda)) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}) \subseteq f(A \setminus \{f^{-1}(\bigcup c_\nu(V_\lambda)) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\})$  and so  $f(A) \setminus \bigcup\{c_\nu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in f(\mathcal{H})$ . Thus  $f(A)$  is weakly  $\nu f(\mathcal{H})$ -countably compact relative to  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $f : (X, \mu, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  be a  $(\mu, \nu)$ -continuous surjective function. If  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is a weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact space, then  $(Y, \nu)$  is weakly  $\nu f(\mathcal{H})$ -countably compact.*

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  be a  $(\mu, \nu)$ -continuous function. If  $A$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ , then  $f(A)$  is weakly  $\nu$ -countably compact relative to  $Y$ .*

**Corollary 3.2** *Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  be a  $(\mu, \nu)$ -continuous surjective function. If  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact, then  $(Y, \nu)$  is weakly  $\nu$ -countably compact.*

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $f : (X, \mu, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  be a  $(\mu, \nu)$ -continuous surjection and  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact space. If  $Y$  is a finite space, then  $(Y, \nu)$  is weakly  $\nu$ -countably compact.*

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is a weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact space and  $f : (X, \mu, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  be a  $(\mu, \nu)$ -continuous surjection. By Corollary 3.1A,  $(Y, \nu, f(\mathcal{H}))$  is weakly  $\nu f(\mathcal{H})$ -countably compact. Since  $Y$  is finite,  $f(\mathcal{H})$  is the class of finite subsets and by Theorem 2.2,  $(Y, \nu)$  is weakly  $\nu$ -countably compact.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu, \mathcal{H})$  be a  $(\mu, \nu)$ -open bijective function. If  $(Y, \nu, \mathcal{H})$  is a weakly  $\nu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact space, then  $(X, \mu, f^{-1}(\mathcal{H}))$  is a weakly  $\mu f^{-1}(\mathcal{H})$ -countably compact space.*

**Proof:** The proof follows directly from the fact that if  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$  is a  $(\mu, \nu)$ -open bijective function, then  $f^{-1} : (Y, \nu) \rightarrow (X, \mu)$  is  $(\nu, \mu)$ -continuous. Applying Corollary 3.1A completes the proof.  $\square$

The following corollary follows directly from Proposition 1.2.

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \nu)$  be GTSs and  $\sigma$  be the generalized product topology on  $X \times Y$ . If  $X \times Y$  is weakly  $\sigma$ -countably compact, then  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact and  $(Y, \nu)$  is weakly  $\nu$ -countably compact.*

**Proof:** Since the projection function  $P_X : (X \times Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \mu)$  (resp.  $P_Y : (X \times Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ ) is  $(\sigma, \mu)$ -continuous (resp.  $(\sigma, \nu)$ -continuous), then by applying Corollary 3.2A, we obtain that  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact (resp.  $(Y, \nu)$  is weakly  $\nu$ -countably compact).  $\square$

#### 4. Weakly $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact sets relative to a space

Next we define the weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact and weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact subsets relative to a space and study their interesting properties.

**Definition 4.1** A subset  $A$  of GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$  if for every countable  $\mu$ -open cover  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $A$ , there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}$ .

**Definition 4.2** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a HGTS. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$  if for every countable  $\mu$ -open cover  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  of  $A$ , there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $A \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact. If  $F$  is a  $\mu$ -clopen subset of  $X$ , then  $F$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be a  $\mu$ -clopen subset of  $X$  and  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $F$ . Since  $X \setminus F$  is  $\mu$ -open, the collection  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \cup \{X \setminus F\}$  is a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$  and  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact. Therefore, there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $X \setminus [(\bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}) \cup \{c_\mu(X \setminus F)\}] \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $c_\mu(X \setminus F) = X \setminus F$ , then  $X \setminus [(\bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}) \cup \{X \setminus F\}] = (X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}) \cap F \in \mathcal{H}$  which means that  $F \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Hence  $F$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be weakly  $\mu$ -countably ccompact. If  $F$  is a  $\mu$ -clopen subset of  $X$ , then  $F$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a HGTS. If every proper  $\mu$ -regular closed subset of  $X$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ , then  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$ . Pick  $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$  such that  $V_{\lambda_0} \neq \emptyset$ . So, the set  $X \setminus i_\mu(c_\mu(V_{\lambda_0}))$  is proper  $\mu$ -regular closed. By assumption, there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $(X \setminus i_\mu(c_\mu(V_{\lambda_0}))) \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0 \setminus \{\lambda_0\}\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Therefore,  $X \setminus [(\bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0 \setminus \{\lambda_0\}\}) \cup c_\mu(V_{\lambda_0})] \in \mathcal{H}$  which means  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Hence  $X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS. If every proper  $\mu$ -regular closed subset of  $X$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ , then  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact.

**Proposition 4.1** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of a HGTS  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ . If  $A$  is  $\mu$ -clopen and  $B$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ , then  $A \cap B$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $A \cap B$ . Then  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \cup \{X \setminus A\}$  is a countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $B$ . From assumption, there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $B \setminus [(\bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}) \cup \{c_\mu(X \setminus A)\}] \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $c_\mu(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A$ , then  $B \setminus [(\bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}) \cup \{X \setminus A\}] = B \cap [X \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}] \cap A = (A \cap B) \setminus (\bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}) \in \mathcal{H}$ . Therefore,  $A \cap B$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ . If  $A$  is  $\mu$ -clopen and  $B$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ , then  $A \cap B$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.5** Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a HGTS and  $\mathcal{H}$  be an ideal on  $X$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ , then  $A \cup B$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$  and let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any countable  $\mu$ -open cover of  $A \cup B$ . By assumption, there exist two finite subsets  $\Delta_0$  and  $\Delta_1$  of  $\Delta$  and  $H_0, H_1 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $(A \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}) \subseteq H_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $(B \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_1\}) \subseteq H_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $((A \cup B) \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1\}) \subseteq ((A \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}) \cup ((B \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_1\})) \subseteq H_0 \cup H_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  is an ideal, we get  $(A \cup B) \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1\} \in \mathcal{H}$ . This means  $A \cup B$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS. If  $A$  and  $B$  are weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ , then  $A \cup B$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .*

A GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -connected if  $X$  can not be expressed as the union of two disjoint nonempty  $\mu$ -open sets. In the opposite case,  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -disconnected, or equivalently,  $(X, \mu)$  has a proper nonempty  $\mu$ -clopen set (see [10]).

**Proposition 4.3** *Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a  $\mu$ -disconnected HGTS and  $\mathcal{H}$  be an ideal on  $X$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

1.  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.
2. Every  $\mu$ -clopen subset of  $X$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): The proof follows from Theorem 4.1.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Since  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is  $\mu$ -disconnected,  $X$  has a partition  $\{A, B\}$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\mu$ -clopen sets. Since  $A$  and  $B$  are weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ . Thus by Theorem 4.5,  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a  $\mu$ -disconnected GTS. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1.  $(X, \mu)$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact.
2. Every  $\mu$ -clopen subset of  $X$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .

Let  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  be a HGTS and  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A \neq \emptyset$ . The family  $\mathcal{H}_A = \{H \cap A : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  forms a hereditary class on  $A$  and  $(A, \mu_A)$  is the subspace of  $X$  on  $A$  and  $\mu_A$  is a generalized topology on  $A$ .

**Proposition 4.5** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of a HGTS  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$  and  $A \subseteq B$ . If  $A$  is weakly  $\mu_B\mathcal{H}_B$ -countably compact relative to  $B$ , then  $A$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .*

**Proof:** Assume that  $A$  is weakly  $\mu_B\mathcal{H}_B$ -countably compact relative to  $B$  and let  $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  be any countable cover of  $A$  by  $\mu$ -open sets of  $X$ . Then the family  $\{V_\lambda \cap B : \lambda \in \Delta\}$  is a countable  $\mu_B$ -open cover of  $A$ . From assumption, there is a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $A \setminus \bigcup\{c_{\mu_B}(V_\lambda \cap B) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}_B$ . Since  $c_{\mu_B}(V_\lambda \cap B) = c_\mu(V_\lambda \cap B) \cap B \subseteq c_\mu(V_\lambda)$ , then  $A \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq A \setminus \bigcup\{c_{\mu_B}(V_\lambda \cap B) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_B$  is a hereditary class and  $\mathcal{H}_B \subset \mathcal{H}$ , we get  $A \setminus \bigcup\{c_\mu(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_0\} \in \mathcal{H}$ , which means that  $A$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  and  $A \subseteq B$ . If  $A$  is weakly  $\mu_B$ -countably compact relative to  $B$ , then  $A$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .*

**Corollary 4.1** *Let  $A$  be a subset of a HGTS  $(X, \mu, \mathcal{H})$ . If  $A$  is weakly  $\mu_A\mathcal{H}_A$ -countably compact, then  $A$  is weakly  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .*

**Corollary 4.2** *Let  $A$  be a subset of a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ . If  $A$  is weakly  $\mu_A$ -countably compact, then  $A$  is weakly  $\mu$ -countably compact relative to  $X$ .*

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees for useful comments and suggestions.

## References

1. Altawallbeh Z., and Jawarneh I.,  $\mu$ -countably compactness and  $\mu\mathcal{H}$ -countably compactness, Commun. Korean Math.Soc., 37(1) (2022), 269-277.
2. Császár Á., *Generalized topology, generalized continuity*, Acta Math. Hungar., 96 (2002), 351- 357.
3. Császár Á., *Generalized open sets in generalized topologies*, Acta Math. Hungar., 106 (2005), 53-66.
4. Császár Á., *Modification of generalized topologies via hereditary classes*, Acta Math. Hungar., 115(1-2) (2007), 29 - 36.
5. Carpintero C., Rosas E., Salas-Brown M. and Sanabria J.,  $\mu$ -Compactness with respect to a hereditary class, Bol. Soc. Paran Mat., 34(2) (2016), 231-236.
6. Kuratowski K., *Topologies I*, Warszawa, (1933).
7. Qahis A., AlJarrah H. H., and Noiri T., *Weakly  $\mu$ -compact via a hereditary class*, (3s) 39(3) (2021): 123–135.
8. Sarsak M. S., *Weak separation axioms in generalized topological spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., 131 (2011), 110-121.
9. Sarsak M. S., *On  $\mu$ -compact sets in  $\mu$ -spaces*, Questions Answers General Topology, 31 (2013), 49-57.
10. Sarsak M. S., *Weakly  $\mu$ -compact spaces*, Demonstratio Math., 45(4) (2012), 929-938.
11. Saraiva L.E.D., *Generalized quotient topologies*, Acta Math. Hungar., 132(1-2) (2011), 168- 173.

Abdo Qahis,  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 College of Science and Arts, Najran university,  
 Saudi Arabia.  
 E-mail address: cahis82@gmail.com (Corresponding author)

and

Takashi Noiri,  
<sup>(2)</sup>2949-1 Shiokita-cho, Hinagu,  
 Yatsushiro-shi, Kumamoto-ken,  
 869-5142 Japan.  
 E-mail address: t.noiri@nifty.com