



Stability of Quadratic and Orthogonally Quadratic Functional Equations

G. Yagachitradevi*, S. Lakshminarayanan and P. Ravindiran

ABSTRACT: In this study, the authors examine the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following quadratic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} &g(3x + 2y + z) + g(3x + 2y - z) + g(3x - 2y + z) + g(3x - 2y - z) \\ &= 8[g(x + y) + g(x - y)] + 2[g(x + z) + g(x - z)] + 16g(x) \end{aligned}$$

The preceding equation is changed and its generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the following quadratic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} &f(3x + 2y + z) + f(3x + 2y - z) + f(3x - 2y + z) + f(3x - 2y - z) \\ &= 8[f(x + y) + f(x - y)] + 2[f(x + z) + f(x - z)] + 16f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y, z \in X$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$ is studied in orthogonality space in the sense of Rätz.

Key Words: Quadratic functional equations, generalized Hyers-Ulam stability, orthogonality space.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 General Solution (1.4)	2
3 Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability of (1.4)	3
4 Introduction and Preliminaries	5
5 Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability of Modified Orthogonally Quadratic Functional Equation (1.5)	6

1. Introduction

The classic stability issue of functional equation started from a query of Ulam [21] about the stability of group homomorphism in 1940. In 1941, Hyers [12] presented a partial response to the issue of Ulam for Banach spaces. In 1950, Aoki [4] extended the Hyers theorem for additive maps. In 1978, Th.M. Rassias [16] offered a generalization of Hyers' theorem which permits the *Cauchy difference to be boundless*.

The functional equation

$$h(x + y) + h(x - y) = 2h(x) + 2h(y) \quad (1.1)$$

is termed a *quadratic functional equation*. In specifically, any solution of the quadratic functional equation is considered to be *quadratic mapping*. A Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was shown by Skof [20] (see also [1], [14]) for mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is a normed space and Y is a Banach space.

I.S. Chung and H.M. Kim [7] proposed the following quadratic functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 6f(x) \quad (1.2)$$

and they proved the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the functional equation (1.2).

* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 39B52, 39B72, 39B82.

Submitted December 10, 2022. Published September 22, 2025

K. Balamurugan et. al., [5] presented the following cubic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} g(3x+2y+z) + g(3x+2y-z) + g(3x-2y+z) + g(3x-2y-z) \\ = 24[g(x+y) + g(x-y)] + 6[g(x+z) + g(x-z)] + 48g(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Then they examined the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for Eq.(1.3). The stability problems of many functional equations have been widely addressed by a number of academics and there are several interesting findings touching this problem (see [2], [3], [6], [8], [9], [13], [15], [17], [18]).

In Section 2, authors study the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following quadratic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} g(3x+2y+z) + g(3x+2y-z) + g(3x-2y+z) + g(3x-2y-z) \\ = 8[g(x+y) + g(x-y)] + 2[g(x+z) + g(x-z)] + 16g(x) \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

for any $x, y, z \in X$.

In Section 3, the Hyers-Ulam stability of the following modified orthogonally quadratic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(3x+2y+z) + f(3x+2y-z) + f(3x-2y+z) + f(3x-2y-z) \\ = 8[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 2[f(x+z) + f(x-z)] + 16f(x) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$ in the sense of Rätz [19] is examined. It is simple to see that the mapping $g(x) = ax^2$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.4).

2. General Solution (1.4)

In this part, the authors explored the general solution of the functional equation (1.4) by treating X and Y are real vector spaces.

Lemma 2.1 *If a mapping $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.4) for every $x, y, z \in X$, then $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is quadratic.*

Proof: Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.4) for any $x, y, z \in X$. Putting (x, y, z) by $(0, 0, 0)$ in (1.4), we get $g(0) = 0$. Again putting (x, y, z) by $(0, 0, x)$ in (1.4), we achieve $g(-x) = g(x)$ for every $x \in X$. Therefore g is an even function. Substituting (x, y, z) with $(x, 0, x)$ in (1.4) and utilizing evenness of f , we derive

$$g(2x) = 4g(x) \quad (2.1)$$

for each $x \in X$. Setting (x, y, z) by $(x, 0, 0)$ in (1.4), we obtain

$$g(3x) = 9g(x) \quad (2.2)$$

for any $x \in X$. In general, for each positive integer a , we get, $g(ax) = a^2g(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Hence g is quadratic. \square

Theorem 2.1 *If the mapping $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.4) for every $x, y, z \in X$, then $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2) for every $x, y \in X$.*

Proof: Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy the functional equation (1.4) for any $x, y, z \in X$. Replacing (x, y, z) by (x, y, x) in (1.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(4x+2y) + g(4x-2y) + g(2x+2y) + g(2x-2y) \\ = 8[g(x+y) + g(x-y)] + 2g(2x) + 16g(x) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y \in X$. With the aid of Lemma 2.1, we reach

$$g(2x+y) + g(2x-y) = g(x+y) + g(x-y) + 6g(x) \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

\square

Theorem 2.2 *If a function $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.4) for any $x, y, z \in X$, then $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.1) for every $x, y \in X$*

Proof: According to Theorem 2.1, if $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.4) for any $x, y, z \in X$, then $g : X \rightarrow Y$ must satisfy the functional equation (1.2) for every $x, y \in X$. Due to Theorem 2.1 of [7], we sought our result. \square

3. Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability of (1.4)

Let X be a normed space and Y be a Banach space in this section. Create a difference operator $Dg : X \times X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Dg(x, y, z) = g(3x + 2y + z) + g(3x + 2y - z) + g(3x - 2y + z) + g(3x - 2y - z) \\ - 8[g(x + y) + g(x - y)] - 2[g(x + z) + g(x - z)] - 16g(x)$$

for any $x, y, z \in X$ and analyze its generalized Hyers - Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.4).

Theorem 3.1 *Let $j = \pm 1$ and $\psi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(3^{nj}x, 3^{nj}y, 3^{nj}z)}{9^{nj}} = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

for every $x, y, z \in X$. Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function fulfilling the inequality

$$\|Dg(x, y, z)\| \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \quad (3.2)$$

Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies (1.4) and

$$\|g(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{36} \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(3^{kj}x, 0, 0)}{9^{kj}} \quad (3.3)$$

where $Q(x)$ is given by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(3^{nj}x)}{9^{nj}} \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof: In (3.2), if $(x, 0, 0)$ is substituted for (x, y, z) , we obtain

$$\|g(3x) - 9g(x)\| \leq \frac{\psi(x, 0, 0)}{4} \quad (3.5)$$

for every $x \in X$. Dividing the previous inequality by 9, we get

$$\left\| \frac{g(3x)}{9} - g(x) \right\| \leq \frac{\psi(x, 0, 0)}{36} \quad (3.6)$$

for every $x \in X$. Now replacing x by $3x$ and dividing by 9 in (3.6), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{g(3^2x)}{9^2} - \frac{g(3x)}{9} \right\| \leq \frac{\psi(3x, 0, 0)}{36 \cdot 9} \quad (3.7)$$

for every $x \in X$. From (3.6) and (3.7), we get

$$\left\| \frac{g(3^2x)}{9^2} - g(x) \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{g(3x)}{9} - g(x) \right\| + \left\| \frac{g(3^2x)}{9^2} - \frac{g(3x)}{9} \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{36} \left[\psi(x, 0, 0) + \frac{\psi(3x, 0, 0)}{9} \right] \quad (3.8)$$

for every $x \in X$. Proceeding further and using induction on a positive integer n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{g(3^n x)}{9^n} - g(x) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\psi(3^k x)}{9^k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(3^k x)}{9^k} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for every $x \in X$. In order to show the convergence of the sequence $\left\{ \frac{g(3^n x)}{9^n} \right\}$, replace x with $3^m x$ and dividing it by 9^m in (3.9), for each $m, n > 0$, we determine

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{g(3^{n+m} x)}{9^{n+m}} - \frac{g(3^m x)}{9^m} \right\| &= \frac{1}{9^m} \left\| \frac{g(3^n \cdot 3^m x)}{9^n} - g(3^m x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\psi(3^{k+m} x, 0, 0)}{9^{k+m}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(3^{k+m} x, 0, 0)}{9^{k+m}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in X$. Hence the sequence $\left\{ \frac{g(3^n x)}{9^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since Y is complete, there exists a mapping $C : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(3^n x)}{9^n}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.9), we find that (3.3) holds for any $x \in X$. To establish that C meets (1.4), substituting (x, y, z) by $(3^n x, 3^n y, 3^n z)$ and dividing by 9^n in (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{9^n} \left\| g(3^n(3x + 2y + z)) + g(3^n(3x + 2y - z)) + g(3^n(3x - 2y + z)) + g(3^n(3x - 2y - z)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 8[g(3^n(x + y)) + g(3^n(x - y))] - 2[g(3^n(x + z)) + g(3^n(x - z))] - 16g(3^n x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9^n} \psi(3^n x, 3^n y, 3^n z) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y, z \in X$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the previous inequality and using the definition of $Q(x)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &Q(3x + 2y + z) + Q(3x + 2y - z) + Q(3x - 2y + z) + Q(3x - 2y - z) \\ &= 8[Q(x + y) + Q(x - y)] + 2[Q(x + z) + Q(x - z)] + 16Q(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Q satisfies (1.4) for any $x, y, z \in X$. To establish that Q is unique, let $R(x)$ be another quadratic mapping satisfies (1.4) and (3.3), then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - R(x)\| &= \frac{1}{9^n} \|Q(3^n x) - R(3^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{9^n} \{ \|Q(3^n x) - g(3^n x)\| + \|g(3^n x) - R(3^n x)\| \} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(3^{k+n} x, 0, 0)}{9^{k+n}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in X$. Thus Q is unique. Hence for $j = 1$ the theorem holds. Now, replace x by $\frac{x}{3}$ in (3.5), we reach

$$\left\| g(x) - 9g\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{\psi\left(\frac{x}{3}, 0, 0\right)}{4} \quad (3.10)$$

for every $x \in X$. The remainder of the proof is the same as for $j = 1$. As a result, the theorem holds for $j = -1$. This concludes the theorem's proof. \square

Corollary 3.1 *Let $\rho, s \geq 0$. Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying the inequality*

$$\|Dg(x, y, z)\| \leq \begin{cases} \rho, & s \neq 2; \\ \rho \{ \|x\|^s + \|y\|^s + \|z\|^s \}, & s \neq 2; \\ \rho \{ \|x\|^s \|y\|^s \|z\|^s + \{ \|x\|^{3s} + \|y\|^{3s} + \|z\|^{3s} \} \}, & 3s \neq 2; \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

for any $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|g(x) - C(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\rho}{4|3^2 - 1|}, \\ \frac{\rho \|x\|^s}{4|3^2 - 3^s|}, \\ \frac{\rho \|x\|^{3s}}{4|3^2 - 3^{3s}|} \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

for any $x \in X$.

4. Introduction and Preliminaries

Now, we present the fundamental ideas of orthogonality and orthogonality normed spaces.

Definition 4.1 [11] *A vector space X is considered an orthogonality vector space if there is a relation $x \perp y$ on X such that*

- (i) $x \perp 0, 0 \perp x$ for every $x \in X$;
- (ii) if $x \perp y$ and $x, y \neq 0$, then x, y are linearly independent;
- (iii) $x \perp y, ax \perp by$ for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iv) if P is a two-dimensional subspace of X ; then
 - (a) for every $x \in P$ there exists $0 \neq y \in P$ such that $x \perp y$;
 - (b) there are vectors $x, y \neq 0$ such that $x \perp y$ and $x + y \perp x - y$.

Any vector space may be turned into an orthogonality vector space if we define $x \perp 0, 0 \perp x$ for all x and for non zero vector x, y define $x \perp y$ iff x, y are linearly independent. The relation \perp is termed symmetric if $x \perp y$ implies that $y \perp x$ for any $x, y \in X$. The pair (x, \perp) is termed an orthogonality space. When the orthogonality space is supplied with a norm, this becomes orthogonality normed space

S. Gudder and D. Strawther [11] were first to investigate the orthogonal Cauchy functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), x \perp y \quad (4.1)$$

where \perp is an abstract orthogonal. In [10], R. Ger and J. Sikorska investigated the orthogonal stability of the equation (4.1).

Definition 4.2 *Assume that X is an orthogonality space and Y is a real Banach space. For any $x, y \in X$ with $x \perp y$, a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is orthogonally quadratic if it obeys the following Euler-Lagrange quadratic functional equation*

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y). \quad (4.2)$$

F. Vajzovic [22] was the first to investigate the orthogonality Hilbert space for the orthogonally quadratic functional equation (4.2).

Here after, let (A, \perp) be an orthogonality normed space with norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ is a Banach space. We construct a difference operator $Df : X^3 \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Df(x, y, z) = f(3x+2y+z) + f(3x+2y-z) + f(3x-2y+z) + f(3x-2y-z) \\ - 8[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] - 2[f(x+z) + f(x-z)] - 16f(x)$$

for any $x, y, z \in X$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$ in the sense of Rätz.

5. Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability of Modified Orthogonally Quadratic Functional Equation (1.5)

We describe the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.5) in this section.

Theorem 5.1 *Assume that μ and $s(s < 2)$ are nonnegative real numbers. If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping satisfying*

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \mu \{\|x\|_A^s + \|y\|_A^s + \|z\|_A^s\} \quad (5.1)$$

for any $x, y, z \in A$ with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$, then there is a unique orthogonally quadratic mapping $Q : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - C(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{4 \cdot (9 - 3^s)} \|x\|_A^s \quad (5.2)$$

for every $x \in A$. The function $Q(x)$ is given by

$$C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} \quad \forall x \in A. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof: Replacing (x, y, z) with $(0, 0, 0)$ in (5.1), we obtain $f(0) = 0$. Setting (x, y, z) by $(x, 0, 0)$ in (5.1), we get

$$\|f(3x) - 9f(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{4} \|x\|_A^s \quad (5.4)$$

for all $x \in A$. Since $x \perp 0$, we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(3x)}{9} - f(x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{36} \|x\|_A^s \quad (5.5)$$

for every $x \in A$. Now changing x by $3x$ and dividing by 9 in (5.5) and adding yielding inequality with (5.5), we reach

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^2 x)}{9^2} - f(x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{36} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3^s}{9} \right\} \|x\|_A^s \quad (5.6)$$

for every $x \in A$. In general, using induction on a positive integer n , we derive that

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} - f(x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{3^s}{9} \right)^k \|x\|_A^s \quad (5.7) \\ \leq \frac{\mu}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3^s}{9} \right)^k \|x\|_A^s$$

for every $x \in A$. In order to verify the convergence of the sequence $\{f(3^n x)/9^n\}$, substitute x with $3^m x$ and divide by 9^m in (5.7), for any $n, m > 0$, we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^{n+m} x)}{9^{n+m}} - \frac{f(3^m x)}{9^m} \right\|_B = \frac{1}{9^m} \left\| \frac{f(3^n 3^m x)}{9^n} - f(3^m x) \right\|_B \\ \leq \left(\frac{1}{9^m} \right) \frac{\mu}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{3^s}{9} \right)^k \|3^m x\|_A^s \\ \leq \frac{\mu}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3^s}{9} \right)^{k+m} \|x\|_A^s. \quad (5.8)$$

The right hand side of (5.8) goes to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for $e x \in A$ as $s < 3$. Thus $\{f(3^n x)/9^n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Because B is complete, there is a mapping $Q : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} \quad \forall x \in A.$$

The argument is identical to that of Theorem 3.1 in order to establish that Q is unique and that it satisfies the functional equation(1.5). The theorem's proof is now complete. \square

Theorem 5.2 Assume that μ and $s(s > 2)$ are non-negative real numbers. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a function satisfying (5.1)) for any $x, y, z \in A$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$. Then there is a unique orthogonally quadratic mapping $Q : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{4 \cdot (3^s - 9)} \|x\|_A^s \quad (5.9)$$

for every $x \in A$. The function $Q(x)$ is given by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 9^n f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) \quad \forall x \in A. \quad (5.10)$$

Proof: After replacing x with $\frac{x}{3}$ in (5.4), the remainder of the proof is same as in Theorem 5.1. \square

Theorem 5.3 Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping that satisfies the inequality

$$\|D f(x, y, z)\|_B \leq \mu \left(\|x\|_A^s \|y\|_A^s \|z\|_A^s + \left\{ \|x\|_A^{3s} + \|y\|_A^{3s} + \|z\|_A^{3s} \right\} \right) \quad (5.11)$$

for every $x, y, z \in A$, with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$ where μ and s are constants with, $\mu, s > 0$ and $3s < 2$. Then the limit

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} \quad (5.12)$$

exists for every $x \in A$ and $Q : A \rightarrow B$ is the unique orthogonally quadratic mapping such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{4 \cdot (9 - 3^{3s})} \|x\|_A^{3s} \quad \forall x \in A \quad (5.13)$$

Proof: When we replace (x, y, z) in (5.11) with $(0, 0, 0)$, we obtain $f(0) = 0$. By replacing (x, y, z) with $(x, 0, 0)$ in (5.11), we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(3x)}{9} - f(x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{36} \|x\|_A^{3s} \quad (5.14)$$

for every $x \in A$. Now by changing x with $3x$ and dividing by 9 in (5.14), then adding the resultant inequality with (5.14), we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^2 x)}{9^2} - f(x) \right\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{36} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3^{3s}}{9} \right\} \|x\|_A^{3s} \quad (5.15)$$

for each $x \in A$. We derive via induction on a positive integer n that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} - f(x) \right\|_B &\leq \frac{\mu}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{3^{3s}}{9} \right)^k \|x\|_A^{3s} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3^{3s}}{9} \right)^k \|x\|_A^{3s} \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

for every $x \in A$. To show the convergence of the sequence $\{f(3^n x)/9^n\}$, substitute x with $3^m x$ and divide by 9^m in (5.16), for every $n, m > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(3^n 3^m x)}{9^{n+m}} - \frac{f(3^m x)}{9^m} \right\|_B &= \frac{1}{9^m} \left\| \frac{f(3^n 3^m x)}{9^n} - f(3^m x) \right\|_B \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{9^m} \right) \frac{\mu}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{3^{3s}}{9} \right)^k \|3^m x\|_A^{3s} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{36} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3^{3s}}{9} \right)^{k+m} \|x\|_A^{3s}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

As $3s < 2$, for every $x \in A$, the right hand side of (5.17) goes to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. As a result, $\{f(3^n x)/9^n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Because B is complete, there is a function $Q : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{9^n} \quad \forall x \in A.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.16), we get the formula (5.13) for any $x \in A$. To prove that Q is unique and it satisfies (1.5), the remainder of the proof is identical to that of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 5.4 For any $x, y, z \in A$, let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a mapping that satisfies the inequality (5.11), with $x \perp y, y \perp z$ and $z \perp x$, where μ and s are constants with $\mu, s > 0$ and $s > 1$. Then the limit

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 9^n f\left(\frac{x}{3^n}\right) \quad (5.18)$$

exists for all values of $x \in A$ and $Q : A \rightarrow B$ is the only quadratic mapping such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\|_B \leq \frac{\mu}{4 \cdot (3^{3s} - 9)} \|x\|_A^{3s} \quad \forall x \in A. \quad (5.19)$$

Proof: Replacing x with $\frac{x}{3}$ in (5.15), the proof is identical to that in Theorem 5.3. \square

References

1. Aczel, J., and Dhombres, J., *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1989).
2. Alonso, J., and Benitez, C., *Orthogonality in normed linear spaces: survey I. Main properties*, Extracta Math., 3, 1-15, (1988).
3. Alonso, J., and Benitez, C., *Orthogonality in normed linear spaces: a survey II. Relations between main orthogonalities*, Extracta Math., 4, 121-131, (1989).
4. Aoki, T., *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2, 64-66, (1950).
5. Balamurugan, K., Arunkumar, M., and Ravindiran, P., *Stability of a Cubic and Orthogonally Cubic Functional Equations*, Int. J. Appl. Eng. Res., Vol. 10, No. 72, (2015).
6. K. Balamurugan, M. Arunkumar, P. Ravindiran, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a mixed quadratic-quartic(QQ) Functional Equation in Quasi-Banach Spaces*, Br. J. Math. Comput. Sci., 9(2): 122-140, 2015, Article no.BJMCS.2015.192, (2015), DOI : 10.9734/BJMCS/2015/17551.
7. Chung, I.S., Kim, H.M., *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of quadratic functional equations*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 3, Issue.3, Art. 33, (2002).
8. Czerwik, S., *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ., (2002).
9. Gavruta, P., *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184, 431-436, (1994).
10. Ger, R., and Sikorska, J., *Stability of the orthogonal additivity*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 43, 143-151, (1995).
11. Gudder, S., and Strawther, D., *Orthogonally additive and orthogonally increasing functions on vector spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 58, 427-436, (1995).
12. Hyers, D.H., *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc.Nat. Acad.Sci.,U.S.A.,27, 222-224, (1941).

13. Hyers, D.H., Isac, G., and Rassias, Th.M., *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, (1998).
14. Kannappan, Pl., *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, (2009).
15. Park, C. G., *On the stability of the orthogonally quartic functional*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., Vol 3(1), 63-70, (2005).
16. Rassias, Th.M., *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72, 297-300, (1978).
17. Rassias, J.M., *On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, J. Funct. Anal. USA, 46, 126-130, (1982).
18. Rassias, J.M., *On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, Bull. Sci. Math, 108, 445-446, (1984).
19. Ratz, J., *On orthogonally additive mappings*, Aequationes Math., 28, 35-49, (1985).
20. Skof, F., *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Seminario Mat. e Fis. di Milano, Vol.53, 113-129, (1983), <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02924890>
21. Ulam, S.M., *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, NewYork, (1964).
22. Vajzovic, F., *Über das Funktional H mit der Eigenschaft: $(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow H(x + y) + H(x - y) = 2H(x) + 2H(y)$* , Glas. Mat. Ser. III, 2(22), 73-81, (1967).

G. Yagachitradevi,
Department of Mathematics,
Siga College of Management and Computer Science,
Villupuram - 605 601, India.
E-mail address: yagachitradevi@gmail.com

and

S. Lakshminarayanan,
Department of Mathematics,
Arignar Anna Government Arts College,
Villupuram - 605 602, India.
E-mail address: slnvp29@gmail.com

and

P. Ravindiran,
Department of Mathematics,
Arignar Anna Government Arts College,
Villupuram - 605 602, India.
E-mail address: p.ravindiran@gmail.com