



On L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convex space

O. R. Sayed^{1*} and Y. H. Ragheb Sayed²

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we introduce the concept of L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convex spaces, and study some of their properties. Also, we study the notion of L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving and, L -fuzzy soft convex-to-convex mappings and an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space. Also, We study their properties and discuss the relationships between these concepts.

AMS Subject Classification: 54A20, 54A40, 03E72.

Key Words: L -fuzzy soft set, convex space, L -fuzzy convex space, L -fuzzy soft convex space, L -fuzzy soft closure space.

Contents

1	Introduction and Preliminaries	1
2	L-fuzzy (K, E)-soft convex space	2
3	L-fuzzy soft convexity preserving mappings	4
4	L-fuzzy (K, E)-soft closure L-fuzzy (K, E)-soft convexity spaces	7

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

It is well known that the abstract convexity theory deals with set-theoretic structures which satisfies axioms similar to that usual convex sets fulfill and the concept of convex structures can be treated as a special kind of spatial structures and some topology-like properties. The basic concepts of abstract convexity theory can also be found in [15,16]. Some applications of abstract convexity theory can be found in [5,6,14,17]. The concept of a fuzzy convex structure appeared for the first time in [10,11] which is called an I-convex structure. However, similar concepts with slight changes already appeared in [8,9,19,22,23,24]. One of the recent directions is the study of generalized convex structures [12,13,18,20,21] and its applications. In [13], Shi and Xiu studied an (L, M) -fuzzy convex structures as a generalization of L -convex structures and M -fuzzifying convex structures. The main contribution of the present paper is to give some investigations on L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convex spaces, mainly including L fuzzy soft hull operator with respect to L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convex spaces where L is completely distributive lattices with order reversing involution " ' " where \perp_L and \top_L denote the least and greatest elements in L . An L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving and an L -fuzzy soft convex-to-convex mappings was given. An L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space was introduced. Throughout this paper, let X be a non-empty set, both E and K are the sets of all parameters for X and L be completely distributive lattices with order reversing involution ' where \perp_L and \top_L denote the least and the greatest elements in L respectively, and $L_{\perp L} = L - \{\perp_L\}$.

Definition 1.1 [1,7] A map f_A is called an L -fuzzy soft set on X , where f_A is a mapping from E into L^X , i.e., $(f_A)_e := f_A(e)$ is an L -fuzzy soft set on X , for each $e \in E$. The set of all L -fuzzy soft set is denoted by $(L^X)^E$. Let $f_A, g_B \in (L^X)^E$.

(1) f_A is an L -fuzzy soft subset g_B and we write $f_A \sqsubseteq g_B$ if $f_A(e) \leq g_B(e)$, for each $e \in E$. f_A and g_B are equal denoted by $f_A \cong g_B$ if $f_A \sqsubseteq g_B$ and $g_B \sqsubseteq f_A$.

(2) The intersection of f_A and g_B is an L -fuzzy soft set $h_C = f_A \sqcap g_B$, where $h_C(e) = f_A(e) \wedge g_B(e)$, for each $e \in E$.

* Corresponding author.

(3) The union of f_A and g_B is an L -fuzzy soft set $h_C = f_A \sqcup g_B$, where $h_C(e) = f_A(A) \vee g_B(e)$, for each $e \in E$.

(4) The complement of an L -fuzzy soft sets on X is denoted by f'_A , where $f'_A : E \rightarrow (L^X)^E$ is a mapping given by $(f'_A)(e) = (f_A(e))'$, for each $e \in E$.

(5) f_A is called a null L -fuzzy soft set and denoted by $\tilde{0}_E$ if $f_A(e)(x) = \perp$, for each $e \in E$, and $x \in X$.

(6) f_A is called absolute L -fuzzy soft set and denoted by $\tilde{1}_E$ if $f_A(e)(x) = \top$, for each $e \in E$, and $x \in X$.

Definition 1.2 [3,4]. Let $(L^X)^E$ and $(L^Y)^{E^*}$ be classes of L -fuzzy soft sets over X and Y with attributes from E and E^* respectively. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi : E \rightarrow E^*$ be mappings. Then a fuzzy soft mapping $\varphi_\psi : (L^X)^E \rightarrow (L^Y)^{E^*}$ would be defined as follows:

(1) For an L -fuzzy soft set f_A in $(L^X)^E$, $\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(f_A)$ is an L -fuzzy soft set in $(L^Y)^{E^*}$ obtained as follows: for $e^* \in \psi(E) \subseteq E^*$ and $y \in Y$,

$$\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(f_A)(e^*)(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x \in \varphi^{-1}(y)} (\bigvee_{e \in \psi^{-1}(e^*)} f_A(e))(x), & \text{if } \varphi^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \\ \perp, & \text{if } \varphi^{-1}(y) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

$\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(f_A)$ is called a fuzzy soft image of an L -fuzzy soft set f_A .

(2) For an L -fuzzy soft set g_B in $(L^Y)^{E^*}$, $\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(g_B)$ is an L -fuzzy soft set in $(L^X)^E$ obtained as follows: for $e \in \psi^{-1}(E^*) \subseteq E$ and $x \in X$,

$$\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(g_B)(e)(x) = g_B(\psi(e))(\varphi(x))$$

$\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(g_B)$ is called a fuzzy soft inverse image of an L -fuzzy soft set g_B .

(3) A fuzzy soft mapping $\varphi_\psi : (L^X)^E \rightarrow (L^Y)^{E^*}$ is called injective (resp. surjective, bijective) if φ and ψ are both injective (resp. surjective, bijective).

Lemma 1.1 [4]. Let $\varphi_\psi : (L^X)^E \rightarrow (L^Y)^{E^*}$ be a soft mapping. Then we have the following properties. For $f_A, f_{A_i} \in (L^X)^E$ and $g_B, g_{B_i} \in (L^Y)^{E^*}$,

- (1) $\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(g_B)) \sqsubseteq g_B$ with equality if φ_ψ is surjective.
- (2) $\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(f_A)) \sqsupseteq f_A$ with equality if φ_ψ is injective.
- (3) $\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(g'_B) \cong (\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(g_B))'$.
- (4) $\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(\sqcup_{i \in \Gamma} g_{B_i}) \cong \sqcup_{i \in \Gamma} \varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(g_{B_i})$.
- (5) $\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(\sqcap_{i \in \Gamma} g_{B_i}) \cong \sqcap_{i \in \Gamma} \varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(g_{B_i})$.
- (6) $\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(\sqcup_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i}) \cong \sqcup_{i \in \Gamma} \varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(f_{A_i})$.
- (7) $\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(\sqcap_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i}) \sqsubseteq \sqcap_{i \in \Gamma} \varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(f_{A_i})$ with equality if φ_ψ is injective.

Definition 1.3 [2] A map $cl : K \times (L^X)^E \times L_\perp \leftarrow (L^X)^E$ is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure operator if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $cl(k, \tilde{0}_E, r) \cong \tilde{0}_E$.
- (2) $f_A \sqsubseteq cl(k, f_A, r)$.
- (3) If $f_{A_1} \sqsubseteq f_{A_2}$ then $cl(k, f_{A_1}, r) \sqsubseteq cl(k, f_{A_2}, r)$.
- (4) If $r \leq s$ then $cl(k, f_A, r) \sqsubseteq cl(k, f_A, s)$.
- (5) $cl(k, f_{A_1} \sqcup f_{A_2}, r \wedge s) \sqsubseteq cl(k, f_{A_1}, r) \sqcup cl(k, f_{A_2}, s)$.

The pair (X, cl) is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure space. An L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure operator is called topological if

- (T) $cl(k, cl(k, f_A, r), r) \sqsubseteq cl(k, f_A, r)$.

Theorem 1.1 [4] Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topological space. Define $cl : K \times (L^X)^E \times L_\perp \leftarrow (L^X)^E$ as

$$cl(k, f_A, r) \cong \sqcap \{g_B \in (L^X)^E : f_A \sqsubseteq g_B, \mathcal{T}_k(g'_B) \geq r\}.$$

Then cl is a topological L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure operator.

2. L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convex space

Definition 2.1 A mapping $\mathcal{C} : K \longrightarrow L^{(L^X)^E}$ where $(\mathcal{C}_k := \mathcal{C}(k) : (L^X)^E \longrightarrow L$ is a mapping for each $k \in K$) is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity on X if it satisfies the following conditions for each $k \in K$.

- (1) $\mathcal{C}_k(\tilde{0}_E) = \mathcal{C}_k(\tilde{1}_E) = \top_L$.
- (2) If $\{f_{A_i} : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq (L^X)^E$ is nonempty, then $\mathcal{C}_k(\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i}) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}_k(f_{A_i})$.
- (3) If $\{f_{A_i} : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq (L^X)^E$ is nonempty and totally ordered by inclusion, then $\mathcal{C}_k(\bigsqcup_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i}) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}_k(f_{A_i})$.

The pair (X, \mathcal{C}) is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convex space. Let $\mathcal{C}^1, \mathcal{C}^2$ be L -fuzzy (E, K) -soft convexities on X , then \mathcal{C}^1 is coarser than \mathcal{C}^2 (\mathcal{C}^2 is finer than \mathcal{C}^1) if $\mathcal{C}_k^1(f_A) \leq \mathcal{C}_k^2(f_A)$ for all $f_A \in (L^X)^E, k \in K$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\{\mathcal{C}^i : i \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexities on X . Then $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}^i$ is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity on X , where $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}^i : K \longrightarrow L^{(L^X)^E}$ is defined by $(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}^i)_k(f_A) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}_k^i(f_A)$ for each $f_A \in (L^X)^E, k \in K$. Obviously, $(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}^i)_k$ is coarser than \mathcal{C}_k^i for all $i \in \Gamma, k \in K$.

Proof: The proof is straightforward. □

Theorem 2.2 Let (X, \mathcal{C}) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convex space. For each $f_A \in (L^X)^E$ and $r \in L_\perp$ a mapping $CO : K \times (L^X)^E \times L_\perp \longrightarrow (L^X)^E$ is defined as follows:

$$CO(k, f_A, r) = \bigwedge \{g_B \in (L^X)^E : f_A \sqsubseteq g_B, \mathcal{C}_k(g_B) \geq r\}.$$

For $f_A, f_{A_1} \in (L^X)^E$ and $r, s \in L_\perp$ the operator CO satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $CO(k, \tilde{0}_E, r) \cong \tilde{0}_E$.
- (2) $f_A \sqsubseteq CO(k, f_A, r)$.
- (3) If $f_A \sqsubseteq f_{A_1}$, then $CO(k, f_A, r) \sqsubseteq CO(k, f_{A_1}, r)$.
- (4) If $r \leq s$, then $CO(k, f_A, r) \sqsubseteq CO(k, f_A, s)$.
- (5) $CO(k, CO(k, f_A, r), r) \cong CO(k, f_A, r)$.
- (6) For $\{f_{A_i} : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq (L^X)^E$ is nonempty and totally ordered by inclusion, $CO(k, \bigsqcup_{i \in \Gamma} f_{A_i}, r) \cong \bigsqcup_{i \in \Gamma} CO(k, f_{A_i}, r)$.

A mapping CO is called an L -fuzzy soft hull operator.

Proof: (1) For all $r \in L_\perp, k \in K$ we have $\mathcal{C}_k(\tilde{0}_E) \geq r$. So, we obtain $CO(k, \tilde{0}_E, r) \cong \tilde{0}_E$.

(2) and (3) are satisfied from the definition of CO .

(4) Suppose that $r \leq s$. Then by (2) we have

$$CO(k, f_A, r) \sqsubseteq CO(k, CO(k, f_A, s), r).$$

By the definition of CO , we obtain $\mathcal{C}_k(CO(k, f_A, s)) \geq r$. Therefore, $CO(k, CO(k, f_A, s), r) \cong CO(k, f_A, s)$. Hence $CO(k, f_A, r) \sqsubseteq CO(k, f_A, s)$.

(5) For all $f_A \in (L^X)^E, k \in K$ and $r \in L_\perp$. By the definition of $CO(k, f_A, r)$ we have $f_A \sqsubseteq CO(k, f_A, r)$. Hence, $CO(k, CO(k, f_A, r), r) \supseteq CO(k, f_A, r)$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} CO(k, CO(k, f_A, r), r) &\cong CO(k, \bigwedge \{g_B \in (L^X)^E : f_A \sqsubseteq g_B, \mathcal{C}_k(g_B) \geq r\}, r) \\ &\sqsubseteq \bigwedge_{f_A \sqsubseteq g_B, \mathcal{C}_k(g_B) \geq r} CO(k, g_B, r) \\ &\cong \bigwedge_{f_A \sqsubseteq g_B, \mathcal{C}_k(g_B) \geq r} \bigwedge_{g_B \sqsubseteq h_C, \mathcal{C}_k(h_C) \geq r} h_C \\ &\cong \bigwedge_{f_A \sqsubseteq h_C, \mathcal{C}_k(h_C) \geq r} h_C \\ &\cong CO(k, f_A, r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $CO(k, CO(k, f_A, r), r) \cong CO(\mu, r)$.

(6) For $i \in \Gamma$, we have $f_{A_i} \sqsubseteq \sqcup f_{A_i}$. Therefore by (3) we have $CO(k, f_{A_i}, r) \sqsubseteq CO(k, \sqcup f_{A_i}, r)$. Hence,

$$\sqcup CO(k, f_{A_i}, r) \sqsubseteq CO(k, \sqcup f_{A_i}, r). \quad (2.1)$$

On the other hand, by (2), we have $\sqcup f_{A_i} \sqsubseteq \sqcup CO(k, f_{A_i}, r)$. Since $CO(k, f_{A_i}, r)$ are L -fuzzy soft convex sets totally ordered by inclusion, $\sqcup CO(k, f_{A_i}, r)$ is an r - L -fuzzy convex set containing $\sqcup f_{A_i}$. Therefore, $CO(k, \sqcup f_{A_i}, r)$ is the smallest fuzzy convex set containing $\sqcup f_{A_i}$ and hence,

$$\sqcup f_{A_i} \sqsubseteq CO(k, \sqcup f_{A_i}, r) \sqsubseteq \sqcup CO(k, f_{A_i}, r). \quad (2.2)$$

By equations (2.1) and (2.2), we have, $CO(k, \sqcup f_{A_i}, r) \cong \sqcup CO(k, f_{A_i}, r)$. \square

Definition 2.2 Let E be a set of parameters, X be an initial universe, $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$, $\emptyset \neq E^* \subseteq E$ and $f_A \in (L^X)^E$; the restriction of f_A on Y , is denoted by $f_A|Y$ which is defined by: $(f_A|Y)(e^*)(y) = f_A(e^*)(y)$ for all $y \in Y, e^* \in E^*$. Obviously, for $\{f_{A_i} : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq (L^X)^E$, we have

$$(1) (\sqcup_i f_{A_i})|Y = \sqcup_i (f_{A_i}|Y).$$

$$(2) (\cap_i f_{A_i})|Y = \cap_i (f_{A_i}|Y).$$

$$(3) f'_A|Y = (f_A|Y)'.$$

For each $f_A \in (L^Y)^{E^*}$ an extension of f_A on X , denoted by $(f_A)_X$, is defined by

$$(f_A)_X(e)(x) = \begin{cases} f_A(e)(x), & \text{if } x \in Y, e \in E^*, \\ \perp, & \text{if } x \in X - Y, e \in E - E^*. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.3 Let (X, \mathcal{C}) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convex space, $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$, $\emptyset \neq E^* \subseteq E$ and $\emptyset \neq K^* \subseteq K$. Define $\mathcal{C}|Y : K^* \rightarrow L^{(L^Y)^{E^*}}$ where $((\mathcal{C}|Y)_{k^*} := (\mathcal{C}|Y)(k^*) : (L^Y)^{E^*} \rightarrow L$ is a mapping for each $k^* \in K^*$) as following:

$$(\mathcal{C}|Y)_k(f_A) = \bigvee \{\mathcal{C}_k(g_B) : g_B \in (L^X)^E, g_B|Y = f_A\}.$$

Then $(Y, \mathcal{C}|Y)$ is an L -fuzzy (K^*, E^*) -soft convex space on Y and we call $(Y, \mathcal{C}|Y)$ an L -fuzzy (K^*, E^*) -soft subspace of (X, \mathcal{C}) .

Proof: (1) Clearly, $(\mathcal{C}|Y)_k(\tilde{0}_{E^*}) = (\mathcal{C}|Y)_k(\tilde{1}_{E^*}) = \top_L$.

(2) For $i \in \Gamma, f_{A_i} \in (L^Y)^{E^*}$ and $k \in K^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_i (\mathcal{C}|Y)_k(f_{A_i}) &= \bigwedge_i \bigvee \{\mathcal{C}_k(g_{B_i}) : g_{B_i} \in (L^X)^E, g_{B_i}|Y = f_{A_i}\} \\ &= \bigvee \bigwedge_i \{\mathcal{C}_k(g_{B_i}) : g_{B_i} \in (L^X)^E, g_{B_i}|Y = f_{A_i}\} \\ &\leq \bigvee \{\mathcal{C}_k(\cap_i g_{B_i}) : \cap_i g_{B_i} \in (L^X)^E, (\cap_i g_{B_i})|Y = \cap_i f_{A_i}\} \\ &= (\mathcal{C}|Y)_k(\cap_i f_{A_i}). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $i \in \Gamma, \{f_{A_i} : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq (L^Y)^{E^*}$ is nonempty and totally ordered by inclusion and $k \in K^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_i (\mathcal{C}|Y)_k(f_{A_i}) &= \bigwedge_i \bigvee \{\mathcal{C}_k(g_{B_i}) : g_{B_i} \in (L^X)^E, g_{B_i}|Y = f_{A_i}\} \\ &= \bigvee \bigwedge_i \{\mathcal{C}_k(g_{B_i}) : g_{B_i} \in (L^X)^E, g_{B_i}|Y = f_{A_i}\} \\ &\leq \bigvee \{\mathcal{C}_k(\sqcup_i g_{B_i}) : \sqcup_i g_{B_i} \in (L^X)^E, (\sqcup_i g_{B_i})|Y = \sqcup_i f_{A_i}\} \\ &= (\mathcal{C}|Y)_k(\sqcup_i f_{A_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is complete. \square

3. L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving mappings

Definition 3.1 Let (X, \mathcal{C}) be an L -fuzzy (E^1, K^1) -soft convex space and (Y, \mathcal{D}) be an L -fuzzy (E^2, K^2) -soft convex space. Let $\varphi : X \leftarrow Y$, $\psi : E^1 \leftarrow E^2$ and $\eta : K^1 \leftarrow K^2$. Then $\varphi_{\psi, \eta}$ from (X, \mathcal{C}^1) into (Y, \mathcal{C}^2) is called:

(1) L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving if

$$\mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(f_A) \leq \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(f_A)) \quad \forall f_A \in (L^Y)^{E^2}, k \in K^1.$$

(2) L -fuzzy soft convex-to-convex if

$$\mathcal{C}_k(f_A) \leq \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\varphi_{\psi}^{\rightarrow}(f_A)) \quad \forall f_A \in (L^X)^{E^1}, k \in K^1.$$

Theorem 3.1 Let (Y, \mathcal{D}) be an L -fuzzy (K^2, E^2) soft convex space and φ_{ψ} a surjective mapping. Define a mapping $\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}) : K^1 \rightarrow L^{(L^X)^{E^1}}$ by

$$(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_A) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_B) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B) = f_A \} \quad \forall f_A \in (L^X)^{E^1}, k \in K^1.$$

Then, $(X, \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))$ is an L -fuzzy (K^1, E^1) -soft convex space on X .

Proof: (1) For all $r \in L$ and $k \in K^1$ we obtain

$$(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(\tilde{0}_{E^1}) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_B) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B) = \tilde{0}_{E^1} \} = \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\tilde{0}_{E^2}) = \top_L$$

and

$$(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(\tilde{1}_{E^1}) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_B) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B) = \tilde{1}_{E^1} \} = \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\tilde{1}_{E^2}) = \top_L.$$

(2) Suppose that $r \in L$ and $r \triangleleft \bigwedge_i (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_{A_i})$. Then $r \triangleleft (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_{A_i})$ for $k \in K^1, f_{A_i} \in (L^X)^{E^1}$ and $i \in \Gamma$. There exists $r_0^i \in L$ such that

$$(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_{A_i}) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_B) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B) = f_{A_i} \} \geq r_0^i \text{ and } r \triangleleft r_0^i$$

(thus $r \leq r_0^i$). Put $s = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} r_0^i$ then $r \leq s$. Therefore for each $i \in \Gamma$ there exists $g_{B_i} \in (L^Y)^{E^2}$ such that $\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_{B_i}) = f_{A_i}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_{B_i}) \geq s$. Since $\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\sqcap_i g_{B_i}) = \sqcap_i \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_{B_i}) = \sqcap_i f_{A_i}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\sqcap_i g_{B_i}) \geq \bigwedge_i \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_{B_i}) \geq s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(\sqcap_i f_{A_i}) &= \bigvee \{ \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\sqcap_i g_{B_i}) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\sqcap_i g_{B_i}) = \sqcap_i f_{A_i} \} \\ &\geq \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\sqcap_i g_{B_i}) \geq s \geq r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(\sqcap_i f_{A_i}) \geq \bigwedge_i (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_{A_i})$.

(3) Let $\{f_{A_i} : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq (L^X)^{E^1}$ is totally ordered by inclusion, $r \in L$ and $r \triangleleft \bigwedge_i (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_{A_i})$. Then $r \triangleleft (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_{A_i})$ for $k \in K^1, f_{A_i} \in (L^X)^{E^1}$ and $i \in \Gamma$. There exists $r_0^i \in L$ such that

$$(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_{A_i}) = \bigvee \{ \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_B) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B) = f_{A_i} \} \geq r_0^i \text{ and } r \triangleleft r_0^i$$

(thus $r \leq r_0^i$). Put $s = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} r_0^i$ then $r \leq s$. Therefore for each $i \in \Gamma$ there exists $g_{B_i} \in (L^Y)^{E^2}$ such that $\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_{B_i}) = f_{A_i}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_{B_i}) \geq s$. Since φ_{ψ} is surjective and $\{f_{A_i} : i \in \Gamma\}$ is totally ordered by inclusion we have $\{g_{B_i} : i \in \Gamma\}$ is totally ordered by inclusion. Since $\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\sqcup_i g_{B_i}) = \sqcup_i \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_{B_i}) = \sqcup_i f_{A_i}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\sqcup_i g_{B_i}) \geq \bigwedge_i \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_{B_i}) \geq s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(\sqcup_i f_{A_i}) &= \bigvee \{ \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\sqcup_i g_{B_i}) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\sqcup_i g_{B_i}) = \sqcup_i f_{A_i} \} \\ &\geq \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\sqcup_i g_{B_i}) \geq s \geq r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(\sqcup_i f_{A_i}) \geq \bigwedge_i (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_{A_i})$. □

Theorem 3.2 Let (X, \mathcal{C}) be an L -fuzzy (E^1, K^1) -soft convex space and (Y, \mathcal{D}) be an L -fuzzy (E^2, K^2) -soft convex space. A surjective mapping $\varphi_{\psi, \eta}$ from (X, \mathcal{C}) into (Y, \mathcal{D}) is an L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving if and only if $(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_A) \leq \mathcal{C}_k(f_A)$ for all $k \in K^1, f_A \in (L^X)^{E^1}$.

Proof: Let $\varphi_{\psi, \eta}$ from (X, \mathcal{C}) into (Y, \mathcal{D}) is an L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving mapping, then $\mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_B) \leq \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B))$ for all $g_B \in (L^Y)^{E^2}, k \in K^1$. Hence for all $f_A \in (L^X)^{E^1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_A) &= \bigvee \{ \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_B) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B) = f_A \} \\ &\leq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B)) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B) = f_A \} \\ &= \mathcal{C}_k(f_A). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, Let $(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(f_A) \leq \mathcal{C}_k(f_A)$ for all $k \in K^1, f_A \in (L^X)^{E^1}$. Then for all $k \in K^1, g_B \in (L^Y)^{E^2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(g_B) &= \bigvee \{ \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(h_C) : \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(h_C) = \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B) \} \\ &= (\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{D}))_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(g_B)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\varphi_{\psi, \eta}$ from (X, \mathcal{C}) into (Y, \mathcal{D}) is an L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving mapping. \square

Theorem 3.3 Let (X, \mathcal{C}) be an L -fuzzy (K^1, E^1) soft convex space and φ_{ψ} a surjective mapping. Define a mapping $\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}} : K^2 \longrightarrow L^{(L^Y)^{E^2}}$ by

$$(\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}})_{\eta(k)}(f_A) = \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(f_A)) \quad \forall f_A \in (L^Y)^{E^2}, k \in K^1.$$

Then:

(1) $(Y, \mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}})$ is an L -fuzzy (K^2, E^2) -soft convex space on Y and we call $\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}}$ a quotient L -fuzzy soft convexity on Y with respect to \mathcal{C} and φ_{ψ} .

(2) φ_{ψ} is an L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving mapping from (X, \mathcal{C}) to $(Y, \mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}})$.

Proof: (1)

(1) For all $r \in L$ and $k \in K^1$ we obtain

$$(\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}})_{\eta(k)}(\tilde{0}_{E^2}) = \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\tilde{0}_{E^2})) = \mathcal{C}_k(\tilde{0}_{E^1}) = \top_L$$

and

$$(\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}})_{\eta(k)}(\tilde{1}_{E^2}) = \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\tilde{1}_{E^2})) = \mathcal{C}_k(\tilde{1}_{E^1}) = \top_L$$

(2) Let $f_{A_i} \in (L^Y)^{E^1}$. Then for $i \in \Gamma$ and $k \in K^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}})_{\eta(k)}(\sqcap_i f_{A_i}) &= \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\sqcap_i f_{A_i})) = \mathcal{C}_k(\sqcap_i \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_i})) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_i \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_i})) = \bigwedge_i (\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}})_{\eta(k)}(f_{A_i}) \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $\{f_{A_i} : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq (L^Y)^{E^2}$ is totally ordered by inclusion. Then for $i \in \Gamma$ and $k \in K^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}})_{\eta(k)}(\sqcup_i f_{A_i}) &= \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(\sqcup_i f_{A_i})) = \mathcal{C}_k(\sqcup_i \varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_i})) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_i \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_{\psi}^{\leftarrow}(f_{A_i})) = \bigwedge_i (\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_{\psi}})_{\eta(k)}(f_{A_i}) \end{aligned}$$

(2) Obvious. \square

Theorem 3.4 Let (X, \mathcal{C}) be an L -fuzzy (K^1, E^1) soft convex space and φ_ψ a surjective mapping. Then $\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_\psi}$ is finer than \mathcal{D} such that φ_ψ is an L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving mapping from (X, \mathcal{C}) to (Y, \mathcal{D}) .

Proof: Let \mathcal{D} is L -fuzzy soft convexity on Y such that φ_ψ is an L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving mapping from (X, \mathcal{C}) to (Y, \mathcal{D}) . Then we have $\mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(f_A) \leq \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(f_A))$ for all $f_A \in (L^Y)^{E^2}$ and $k \in K^1$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(f_A) \leq \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(f_A)) = (\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_\psi})_{\eta(k)}(f_A)$. Hence $\mathcal{C}_{/\varphi_\psi}$ is finer than \mathcal{D} . \square

Theorem 3.5 Let φ_ψ is a surjective L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving mapping and an L -fuzzy soft convex-to-convex mapping from (X, \mathcal{C}) to (Y, \mathcal{D}) . Then \mathcal{D} is a quotient L -fuzzy soft convexity.

Proof: Since φ_ψ is a surjective L -fuzzy soft convexity preserving mapping and an L -fuzzy soft convex-to-convex mapping from (X, \mathcal{C}) to (Y, \mathcal{D}) . We obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(f_A) \leq \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(f_A)) \quad \forall f_A \in (L^Y)^{E^2}, k \in K^1$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_k(f_A) \leq \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(f_A)) \quad \forall f_A \in (L^X)^{E^1}, k \in K^1.$$

Since φ_ψ is a surjective we have $\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(f_A)) = f_A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(f_A) &= \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(\varphi_\psi^\rightarrow(\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(f_A))) \\ &\geq \mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(f_A)) \geq \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(f_A) \quad \forall f_A \in (L^Y)^{E^2}, k \in K^1. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\mathcal{C}_k(\varphi_\psi^\leftarrow(f_A)) = \mathcal{D}_{\eta(k)}(f_A) \quad \forall f_A \in (L^Y)^{E^2}, k \in K^1$ and hence \mathcal{D} is a quotient L -fuzzy soft convexity. \square

4. L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity spaces

Definition 4.1 A triple (X, \mathcal{C}, cl) consisting of a set X , an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity on X and an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure cl is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity spaces.

Theorem 4.1 Let (X, cl) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure space, $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$, $\emptyset \neq E^* \subseteq E$ and $\emptyset \neq K^* \subseteq K$. Then an L -fuzzy (K^*, E^*) -soft closure cl_Y on Y is defined as

$$cl_Y(k^*, g_B, r) = cl(k, f_A, r) \mid Y \text{ for all } f_A \in (L^X)^E, k \in K \text{ such that } g_B = f_A \mid Y.$$

Proof: (1) For $r \in L_\perp$ and $k \in K$ we have

$$cl_Y(k^*, \tilde{0}_{E^*}, r) \cong cl(k, \tilde{0}_E, r) \mid Y \cong \tilde{0}_E \mid Y \cong \tilde{0}_{E^*}.$$

(2) Since $f_A \sqsubseteq cl(k, f_A, r)$ we obtain $f_A \mid Y \sqsubseteq cl(k, f_A, r) \mid Y$. Put $g_B = f_A \mid Y$ then $g_B \sqsubseteq cl_Y(k, g_B, r)$.

(3) Let $f_{A_1} \sqsubseteq f_{A_2}$. Then by Definition 2.2 we obtain $f_{A_1} \mid Y \sqsubseteq f_{A_2} \mid Y$. Therefore by Definition 1.3 (3) we have $cl(k, f_{A_1}, r) \mid Y \sqsubseteq cl(k, f_{A_2}, r) \mid Y$. Hence $cl_Y(k, g_{B_1}, r) \sqsubseteq cl_Y(k, g_{B_2}, r)$.

(4) Let $r \leq s$. Then we have from Definition 1.3 (4) $cl(k, f_A, r) \mid Y \sqsubseteq cl(k, f_A, s) \mid Y$. Hence $cl_Y(k, g_B, r) \sqsubseteq cl_Y(k, g_B, s)$.

(5) By Definition 1.3 (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} cl_Y(k, g_{B_1} \sqcup g_{B_2}, r \wedge s) &\cong cl(k, f_{A_1} \sqcup f_{A_2}, r \wedge s) \mid Y \\ &\sqsubseteq (cl(k, f_{A_1}, r) \sqcup cl(k, f_{A_2}, s)) \mid Y \\ &\cong (cl(k, f_{A_1}, r) \mid Y) \sqcup (cl(k, f_{A_2}, s) \mid Y) \\ &\cong cl_Y(k, g_{B_1}, r) \sqcup cl_Y(k, g_{B_2}, s). \end{aligned}$$

(T) Form Definition 1.3 (T) we have

$$\begin{aligned} cl_Y(k, cl_Y(k, g_B, r), r) &\cong cl(k, cl(k, f_A, r) \mid Y, r) \mid Y \\ &\sqsubseteq cl(k, f_A, r) \mid Y \\ &\cong cl_Y(k, g_B, r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is complete. \square

Definition 4.2 Let (X, \mathcal{C}, cl) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity spaces, $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$, $\emptyset \neq E^* \subseteq E$ and $\emptyset \neq K^* \subseteq K$. Then, the corresponding triple $(Y, \mathcal{C} \mid Y, cl_Y)$ is an L -fuzzy (K^*, E^*) -soft subspace of (X, \mathcal{C}, cl) .

Definition 4.3 Let \mathcal{C}, cl be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity and an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure operator respectively. Then cl is said to be compatible with \mathcal{C} if

$$cl(k, CO(k, f_A, r), r) = CO(k, f_A, r) \text{ for each } f_A \in (L^X)^E, k \in K$$

and the triple (X, \mathcal{C}, cl) is called an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space.

Remark 4.1 It is obvious that an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space is always an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity space and the converse is not true.

Example 4.1 Let $L = [0, 1]$, $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$. Let $f_{A_i} \in ([0, 1]^X)^E$ where $i = \{1, 2\}$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{A_1})_{e_1} &= (0.5, 0.4, 0.4), (f_{A_1})_{e_2} = (0.2, 0.6, 0.7), \\ (f_{A_2})_{e_1} &= (0.6, 0.6, 0.6), (f_{A_2})_{e_2} = (0.8, 0.8, 0.8), \\ (f_{A_3})_{e_1} &= (0.2, 0.3, 0.4), (f_{A_3})_{e_2} = (1.0, 1.0, 0.3), \\ (f_{A_4})_{e_1} &= (0.7, 0.8, 0.6), (f_{A_4})_{e_2} = (0.8, 0.7, 0.6), \\ (f_{A_5})_{e_1} &= (0.2, 0.9, 0.6), (f_{A_5})_{e_2} = (0.4, 0.4, 0.7). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$f_{A_1} \sqcap f_{A_2} = f_{A_1}, \quad f_{A_1} \sqcup f_{A_2} = f_{A_2}.$$

For $K = \{k_1, k_2\}$ we define a $[0, 1]$ -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity $\mathcal{C} : K \longrightarrow [0, 1]^{([0, 1]^X)^E}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_{k_1}(f_A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f_A \in \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E\}, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } f_A = f_{A_1}, \\ \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } f_A = f_{A_2}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{C}_{k_2}(f_A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f_A \in \{\tilde{0}_E, \tilde{1}_E\}, \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{if } f_A = f_{A_3}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also for $K = \{k_1, k_2\}$ we define a $[0, 1]$ -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure operators $cl_1, cl_2 : K \times ([0, 1]^X)^E \times (0, 1] \longrightarrow ([0, 1]^X)^E$ as follows:

$$cl_1(k_1, f_A, r) = \begin{cases} \tilde{1}_E, & \text{if } f_A \cong \tilde{1}_E, r \in (0, 1], \\ f_{A_1}, & \text{if } f_A \sqsubseteq f_{A_1}, r \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ f_{A_2}, & \text{if } f_A \cong f_{A_2}, r \leq \frac{1}{5}, \\ \tilde{0}_E, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$cl_1(k_2, f_A, r) = \begin{cases} \tilde{1}_E, & \text{if } f_A \cong \tilde{1}_E, r \in (0, 1], \\ f_{A_3}, & \text{if } f_A \sqsubseteq f_{A_3}, r \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ \tilde{0}_E, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$cl_2(k_1, f_A, r) = \begin{cases} \tilde{1}_E, & \text{if } f_A \cong \tilde{1}_E, r \in (0, 1], \\ f_{A_4}, & \text{if } f_A \sqsubseteq f_{A_4}, r \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ \tilde{0}_E, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$cl_2(k_2, f_A, r) = \begin{cases} \tilde{1}_E, & \text{if } f_A \cong \tilde{1}_E, r \in (0, 1], \\ f_{A_5}, & \text{if } f_A \sqsubseteq f_{A_5}, r \leq \frac{1}{3}, \\ \tilde{0}_E, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, \mathcal{C}, cl_1) is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space. On the other hand, (X, \mathcal{C}, cl_2) is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity space but it is not L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space because $CO(k_1, f_{A_1}, \frac{1}{4}) = f_{A_1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{0}_E \cong cl_2(k_1, f_{A_1}, \frac{1}{4}) &\cong cl_2(k_1, CO(k_1, f_{A_1}, \frac{1}{4}), \frac{1}{4}) \\ &\not\cong CO(k_1, f_{A_1}, \frac{1}{4}) \cong f_{A_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Also $CO(k_2, f_{A_3}, \frac{1}{4}) = f_{A_3}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{0}_E \cong cl_2(k_1, f_{A_1}, \frac{1}{4}) &\cong cl_2(k_2, CO(k_2, f_{A_3}, \frac{1}{3}), \frac{1}{3}) \\ &\not\cong CO(k_2, f_{A_3}, \frac{1}{3}) \cong f_{A_3}.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.1 *An L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft subspace of L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space.*

Proof: Let (X, \mathcal{C}, cl) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space and $(Y, \mathcal{C} \mid Y, cl_Y)$ be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft subspace of (X, \mathcal{C}, cl) . Then by Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 4.1, $(Y, \mathcal{C} \mid Y, cl_Y)$ is an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft convexity space. Let $g_B \cong CO_{(\mathcal{C} \mid Y)}(k, f_A, r)$ for $g_B, f_A \in (L^Y)^{E^*}$. Then $(\mathcal{C} \mid Y)_{k^*}(g_B) \geq r$, $g_B \cong h_C \mid Y$ and $\mathcal{C}_k(h_C) \geq r$ such that $h_C \cong CO_{\mathcal{C}}(k, h_{C_1}, r)$ for each $h_C, h_{C_1} \in (L^X)^E, k \in K$. Since (X, \mathcal{C}, cl) be an L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closed convexity space,

$$cl(k, CO_{\mathcal{C}}(k, h_C, r), r) \cong CO_{\mathcal{C}}(k, h_C, r).$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}cl_Y(k, g_B, r) &\cong cl_Y(k, CO_{(\mathcal{C} \mid Y)}(k, f_A, r), r) \\ &\cong CO_{(\mathcal{C} \mid Y)}(k, f_A, r) \cong g_B.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(Y, \mathcal{C} \mid Y, cl_Y)$ be an L -fuzzy (K^*, E^*) -soft closed convexity space. \square

References

1. V. Cetkin, H. Aygün, On fuzzy soft topogenous structure, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **27** (1)(2014), 247-255, doi: 10.3233/IFS-130993.
2. V. Cetkin and H. Aygün, On soft fuzzy closure and interior operator, *Utilitas Mathematica*, **99**(2016), 341-367.
3. B. Bora, On fuzzy soft continuous Mapping, *International Journal for Basic Sciences and Social Sciences*, **1** (2) (2012), 50-64.
4. Y. C. Kim and A. A. Ramadan, L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft topologies and L -fuzzy (K, E) -soft closure operators, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **107**(4) (2016), 1073-1088.
5. M. Lassak, On metric B-convexity for which diameters of any set and its hull are equal, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, **25** (1977), 969-975.
6. Y. Maruyama, Lattice-valued fuzzy convex geometry, *RIMS Kokyuroku*, **1641** (2009), 22-37.
7. D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-first results, *Computer Math. Applic.*, **37** (1999), 19-31.
8. B. Pang and F.-G. Shi, Subcategories of the category of L-convex spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **313** (2017), 61-74.
9. B. Pang and F.-G. Shi, Strong inclusion orders between L-subsets and its applications in L-convex spaces, *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, **41**(8) (2018), 1021-1043.
10. M. V. Rosa, A study of fuzzy convexity with special reference to separation properties, Ph.D. Thesis, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kerala, India, (1994).
11. M. V. Rosa, On fuzzy topology fuzzy convexity spaces and fuzzy local convexity, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **62** (1994), 97-100.

12. O. R. Sayed, E. El-Sanousy and Y. H. Ragheb Sayed, On (L, M) -fuzzy convex structures, *Filomat*, **33**(13) (2019), 4151-4163.
13. F.-G. Shi and Z.-Y. Xiu, (L, M) -Fuzzy convex structures, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **10** (2017), 3655-3669.
14. V. P. Soltan, d-convexity in graphs, (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **272** (1983), 535-537.
15. V. P. Soltan, Introduction to the axiomatic theory of convexity, (Russian) Shtiinca, Kishinev 1984.
16. M. L. J. Van de Vel, Theory of convex structures, North-Holland Mathematical Library, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, (1993).
17. J. C. Varlet, Remarks on distributive lattices, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, **23** (1975), 1143-1147.
18. K. Wang and B. Pang, Coreflectivities of (L, M) -fuzzy convex structures and (L, M) -fuzzy cotopologies in (L, M) -fuzzy closure systems, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 2019, Doi:10.3233/JIFS182963.
19. K. Wang and F.-G. Shi, M-fuzzifying topological convex spaces, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, **15** (6) (2018), 159-174.
20. X.-Y. Wu and E.-Q. Li, Category and subcategories of (L, M) -fuzzy convex spaces, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, **16**(1) (2019), 173-190.
21. Z.-Y. Xiu and Q.-G. Li, Relations among (L, M) -fuzzy convex structures, (L, M) -fuzzy closure systems and (L, M) -fuzzy Alexandrov topologies in a degree sense, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **36** (2019), 385-396.
22. Z.-Y. Xiu and B. Pang, M-fuzzifying cotopological spaces and M-fuzzifying convex spaces as M-fuzzifying closure spaces, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **33** (2017), 613-620.
23. Z.-Y. Xiu and B. Pang, Base axioms and subbase axioms in M-fuzzifying convex spaces, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, **15**(2) (2018), 75-87.
24. Z.-Y. Xiu and F.-G. Shi, M-fuzzifying interval spaces, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, **14** (2017), 145-162.

E-mail address: ¹o.r.sayed@yahoo.com, o_sayed@aun.edu.eg, ²yh.raghp2011@yahoo.com

and

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, EGYPT.

and

² Department of Mathematics, Northern Border University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.