



On Some New Scenario of Almost Boundedness Using Matrices

Reham A. Alahmadi

ABSTRACT: The authors M. F. Rahman and A. B. M. R. Karim have structured and studied the space $r_g^w(t, s)$ and have computed its various properties like completeness, duals and many others as can be seen in [24]. The basic structure of this paper is to further study it and investigate for the characterization with sequences of almost bounded f_∞ , almost convergent f and almost sequences converging to zero f_0 . Also, we will prove that \tilde{F} is not solid, where symbol \tilde{F} represents space having Riesz transform in f .

Key Words: Δ -operator, almost convergence, matrices.

Contents

1 Preliminary and Introduction	1
2 Main Results	2

1. Preliminary and Introduction

Let all sequences be represented by Ω . We call a sequence space as subspace of Ω . Throughout the paper, \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} acts as set of whole numbers, the set of real numbers and the set of complex numbers, respectively. Let ℓ_∞ acts as the set of all bounded sequences and $\ell(t)$ represents the following:

$$\ell(t) = \left\{ v = (v_j) \in \Omega : \sum_j |v_j|^{t_j} < \infty \right\},$$

for $0 < t_j \leq \mathcal{H} = \sup_j t_j < \infty$ as can be seen in [1], [15], [25], [31].

Consider the spaces \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} and let $\mathcal{B} = (b_{nk})$ represent as an infinite matrix. Then, the matrix \mathcal{B} expresses the \mathcal{B} -transformation from \mathcal{U} into \mathcal{V} , if corresponding to all $v = (v_j) \in \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{B}v = \{(\mathcal{B}v)_n\}$ exists and belongs to \mathcal{V} ; where $(\mathcal{B}v)_n = \sum_k b_{nk}v_k$. We represent the symbol without limits as runs from 0 to ∞ . The symbol $\mathcal{B} \in (\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{V})$ signifies every matrix from \mathcal{U} to \mathcal{V} i.e., $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. A sequence v is known as \mathcal{B} -summable to l if $\mathcal{B}v$ approaches to l and it is known as the \mathcal{B} -limit of v as can be seen in [3], [9], [10], [26] and many more as can be seen in text.

Thus, for the space \mathcal{U} , the matrix domain $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}$ of \mathcal{B} is

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{v = (v_j) \in \Omega : \mathcal{B}v \in \mathcal{U}\}. \quad (1.1)$$

As in [27], let \mathcal{T} represents the shift operator on Ω , which means, $\mathcal{T}v = \{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\mathcal{T}^2v = \{v_n\}_{n=2}^\infty$ and so on. By Banach limit \mathcal{L} on ℓ_∞ represents as a non-negative linear functional so that \mathcal{L} is invariant under the shift operator on ℓ_∞ , which means, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}v) = \mathcal{L}(v)$ for each $v \in \ell_\infty$ and $\mathcal{L}(e) = 1$, $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$.

According to author in [20], a sequence $v = \{v_n\} \in \ell_\infty$ is almost convergent having \mathcal{F} -lim $v = \lambda$ if and only if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{mn}(v) = \lambda \quad \text{uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where, $\Psi_{mn}(v) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m v_{n+j}$. The work on such have been studied by various authors as in [12], [14], [21], [23], [26]-[29], and many others.

Also, as in [20], the set f_∞ is defined as

$$f_\infty = \left\{ v \in \ell_\infty : \sup_{mn} |\Psi_{mn}(v)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Define the set

$$f = \left\{ v \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{mn}(v) = \beta \text{ uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

and is called as almost convergent sequences.

Define the sequence $\tau = (\tau_m)$ by

$$\tau_m = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that τ is almost convergent to $\frac{1}{2}$ but is not convergent.

We call a matrix $\mathcal{B} = (b_{rm})$ to be regular (see, [11], [19], [26], [27],) iff it holds the following:

- (i) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{rm} = 1,$
- (ii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} b_{rm} = 0, \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$
- (iii) $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |b_{rm}| < \mathcal{D}, \quad (\mathcal{D} > 0, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$

As in [11], define sequence of positive numbers by $w = (w_m)$ and denote $W_i = \sum_{m=0}^i w_m$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $R^w = (r_{im}^w)$ of (R, w_i) - mean is given by

$$r_{im}^w = \begin{cases} \frac{w_m}{W_i}, & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq i, \\ 0, & \text{if } m > i, \end{cases}$$

and (R, w_i) mean is regular iff $W_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

For $0 < t_m \leq \mathcal{H} = \sup t_m < \infty$, the author in [23] have defined the space $r^w(g, t)$ as follows:

$$r^w(g, t) = \left\{ v = (v_m) \in \Omega : \sum_m \left| \frac{1}{W_m} \sum_{j=0}^m g_j w_j v_j \right|^{t_m} < \infty \right\},$$

where, $g_j \neq 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Represent \mathcal{U}^β the β - dual of \mathcal{U} and is the set of every sequence $v = (v_m)$ so that $v\lambda = (v_m \lambda_m) \in cs$ for each $\lambda = (\lambda_m) \in \mathcal{U}$, where cs acts as set of all convergent series.

2. Main Results

Here we will define the space $r_g^w(t, s)$ and compute matrix classes $(r_g^w(t, s) : f_\infty), (r_g^w(t, s) : f)$ and $(r_g^w(t, s) : f_0)$; where f_∞, f and f_0 have been defined as before.

Following AlBaidani [4]-[7], Boss [18], Dowlath et al [8], Hamid et al [13,16], Jalal et al [17,28], Mursaleen et al [22], Rahman et al [24], Sheikh and Ganie [26], Tarray et al [30], and others, the sequence space $r_g^w(t, s)$ is defined as the space whose $R_{s,g}^w$ - transform is in $\ell(t)$, that is,

$$r_g^w(t, s) = \left\{ v = (v_m) \in \Omega : \sum_m \left| \frac{1}{W_m^{s+1}} \sum_{j=0}^m g_j w_j v_j \right|^{t_m} < \infty \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where, $0 < t_m \leq \mathcal{H} = \sup t_m < \infty, s \geq 0$ and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $g_m \neq 0$.

The set given by (2.1) can be redefined by using (1.1) as

$$\left\{ \ell(t) \right\}_{R_{s,g}^w} = \left\{ v \in \Omega : R_{s,g}^w v \in \ell(t) \right\}.$$

Set $\eta = (\eta_m)$ as $R_{s,g}^w$ -transform of $v = (v_m)$, which means,

$$\eta_m = \frac{1}{W_m^{s+1}} \sum_{i=0}^m g_i w_i v_i. \quad (2.2)$$

Now define the following lemma required for proving the main theorems.

Lemma 2.1 *As in [24], define \mathcal{D}_1 , \mathcal{D}_2 as follows:*

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \left\{ b = (b_m) \in \Omega : \right.$$

$$\left. \sup_m \left| \Delta \left(\frac{b_m}{g_m w_m} \right) W_m^{s+1} \right|^{t_m} < \infty \text{ and } \sup_m \left| \frac{b_m}{g_m w_m} W_m^{s+1} \right|^{t_m} < \infty \right\}$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{D}_2 = \bigcup_{C>1} \left\{ b = (b_k) \in \Omega : \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_m \left| \Delta \left(\frac{b_m}{g_m w_m} \right) W_m^{s+1} C^{-1} \right|^{t'_m} < \infty \text{ and } \left\{ \left(\frac{b_m}{g_m w_m} W_m^{s+1} C^{-1} \right)^{t'_m} \right\} \in \ell_\infty \right\}.$$

Then, for $1 < t_m \leq \mathcal{H} < \infty$, we have

$$[r_g^w(t, s)]^\beta = \mathcal{D}_1; \quad (0 < t_m \leq 1) \text{ and } [r_g^w(t, s)]^\beta = \mathcal{D}_2.$$

It is important to note for $s = 0$, the space $r_g^w(t, s)$ reduces to $r^q(g, t)$ as can be searched in [14].

To get easiness in notations, we set

$$\Psi_{ir}(\mathcal{B}v) = \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^i \mathcal{B}_{r+j}(v) = \sum_k b(r, k, i) v_k$$

where,

$$b(r, k, i) = \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^i b_{r+j,k}; \quad (r, k, i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Also,

$$\widehat{b}(r, k, i) = \Delta \left[\frac{b(r, k, i)}{g_k w_k} \right] W_k^{s+1}$$

where,

$$\Delta \left[\frac{b(r, k, i)}{g_k w_k} \right] W_k^{s+1} = \left[\frac{b(r, k, i)}{g_k w_k} - \frac{b(r, k+1, i)}{g_{k+1} w_{k+1}} \right] W_k^{s+1},$$

where t'_k denotes the Holder conjugate and

$$\Delta \left[\frac{b(r, k, i)}{g_k w_k} \right] = \left[\frac{b(r, k, i)}{g_k w_k} \right] - \left[\frac{b(r, k+1, i)}{g_{k+1} w_{k+1}} \right]$$

and it was further considered in [19], [17] and many more.

Theorem 2.1 (i) *For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 < t_m \leq \mathcal{H} < \infty$. We have $\mathcal{B} \in (r_g^w(t, s) : f_\infty)$ iff we have an integer $\varkappa > 1$ so that*

$$\sup_{i, r \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_m \left| \widehat{b}(i, m, r) \varkappa^{-1} \right|^{t'_m} < \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{b_{im}}{g_m w_m} W_m^{s+1} \varkappa^{-1} \right)^{t'_m} \right\} \in \ell_\infty \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

(ii) If $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t_m \leq 1$, Then $\mathcal{B} \in (r_g^w(t, s) : \ell_\infty)$ iff

$$\sup_{i, m, r \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \widehat{b}(i, m, r) \right|^{t_m} < \infty \quad (2.5)$$

Proof:

Suppose the conditions (2.3) and (2.4) holds and $v \in r_g^w(t, s)$. Then $\{b_{nm}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in [r_g^w(t, s)]^\beta$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, the \mathcal{B} -transform of v exists. Now using

$$|ab| \leq \varkappa \left\{ |a \varkappa^{-1}|^{t'} + |b|^p \right\},$$

where $\varkappa > 0$, $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$, $t > 1$ along with $t^{-1} + (t')^{-1} = 1$ [2], and we see by utilizing the relation (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{rj}(\mathcal{B}v)| &= \left| \sum_k b(j, m, r) v_m \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_m \widehat{b}(j, m, r) \eta_m \right| \\ &\leq \sum_m \left| \widehat{b}(j, m, r) \eta_m \right| \\ &\leq \sum_m \varkappa \left\{ \left| \widehat{b}(j, m, r) \varkappa^{-1} \right|^{t'_m} + |\eta_m|^{t_m} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, take supremum over r, j on both sides, we see $\mathcal{B}v \in f_\infty$ for every $v \in r_g^w(t, s)$.

Conversely, we suppose $\mathcal{B} \in (r_g^w(t, s) : f_\infty)$ and $1 < t_m \leq \mathcal{H} < \infty \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{B}v$ exists for each $v \in r_g^w(t, s)$ and this implies that $\{b_{n,m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in [r_g^w(t, s)]^\beta \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, the necessity is obvious for (2.4). But for all r, n , $\sum_j b(n, j, r) v_j$ exists and $v \in r_g^w(t, s)$, so that $\{b(n, k, m)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defines the continuous linear functionals $\phi_{rn}(v)$ on $r_g^w(t, s)$ by

$$\phi_{rn}(v) = \sum_j b(n, j, r) v_j; \quad (n, j, r \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Since $r_g^w(t, s)$ is complete and $\sup_{n, r} \left| \sum_j b(n, j, r) v_j \right| < \infty$ on $r_g^w(t, s)$, so by Banach–Steinhaus theorem, there exists $\varkappa > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{r, n} |\phi_{rn}(v)| = \sup_{r, n} \sum_j |b(n, j, r) v_j| = \sup_{r, n} \left| \widehat{b}(n, j, r) \eta_j \right| < \infty.$$

So there by giving $\sup_{r, n} \left| \widehat{b}(n, j, r) \varkappa^{-1} \right|^{t'_j} < \infty$, yielding the necessity of (2.3) and completed the proof (i).

The part (ii) can be proved similarly and the proof of theorem is complete. \square

Theorem 2.2 For $1 < t_m \leq \mathcal{H} < \infty$, $\mathcal{B} \in (r_g^w(t, s) : f)$ iff (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) holds for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ of Theorem 2.2 and there is (β_m) sequence of scalars so that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{b}(n, m, r) = \beta_m, \quad \text{uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof: Suppose (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) holds good and $v \in r_g^w(t, s)$. Then $\mathcal{B}v$ exists and by (2.6) we see for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$|\widehat{b}(l, m, r)\varkappa^{-1}|^{t'_m} \rightarrow |\beta_m\varkappa^{-1}|^{t'_m}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in l, m yielding with (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^m |\beta_j\varkappa^{-1}|^{t'_j} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^m |\widehat{b}(l, j, r)\varkappa^{-1}|^{t'_m} \quad (\text{uniformly in } l) \\ &\leq \sup_{l, r \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j=0}^m |\widehat{b}(l, j, r)\varkappa^{-1}|^{t'_m} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

holds for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. But given $v \in r_g^w(t, s)$ and as in [25]-Theorem 2.2, $r_g^w(t, s)$ is isomorphic to $\ell(t)$ linearly, so yielding $\sigma \in \ell(t)$. Hence, from (2.7), the series $\sum_m \beta_m \eta_m$ and $\sum_m \widehat{b}(l, m, r) \eta_m$ converges for each r, l and $\sigma \in \ell(t)$. Thus, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, fix $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\left(\sum_{m=m_0+1}^{\infty} |\eta_m|^{t_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{t_m}} < \epsilon.$$

Now clearly for some $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, we see

$$\left| \sum_{m=0}^{m_0} [\widehat{b}(l, m, r) - \beta_m] \right| < \epsilon,$$

for every $r \geq m_0$ and uniformly in l . But (2.6) holds, giving

$$\left| \sum_{m_0+1}^{\infty} [\widehat{b}(l, m, r) - \beta_m] \right|$$

is arbitrary small. Therefore, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_r \sum_m b(l, m, r) v_m &= \lim_r \sum_m \widehat{b}(l, m, r) \eta_m \\ &= \sum_m \beta_m \eta_m, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in l . Consequently, $\mathcal{B}v \in f$ there by proving sufficiency.

We now let $\mathcal{B} \in (r_g^w(t, s) : f)$. Then, necessities of (2.3) and (2.4) are immediate from Theorem 2.2 since $f \subset f_{\infty}$. To establish the necessity of (2.6), we define

$$b_l^m(w) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{l-m} \frac{W_m^{s+1}}{g_l w_l}, & \text{if } m \leq l \leq m+1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq l < m \text{ or } l > m+1. \end{cases}$$

But for each $v \in r_g^w(t, s)$, $\mathcal{B}v$ exists and is in f , yielding obviously that $\mathcal{B}b^{(m)}(w) = \left\{ \triangle \left(\frac{b_{lm}}{g_m w_m} \right) W_m^{s+1} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in f$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which proves the necessity of (2.6). This concludes the proof. \square

Note that if we let $\beta_m \rightarrow 0$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ if above theorem, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.3 Let $1 < t_m \leq \mathcal{H} < \infty$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{B} \in (r_g^w(t, s) : f_0)$ if and only if (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) hold. \square

Corollary 2.1 *If we take $g_m = 1$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we get results obtained in [1].* \square

Corollary 2.2 *If we take $s = 0$, then we get results obtained in [14].* \square

Corollary 2.3 *If we take $g_m = 1$ and $s = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we get results obtained in [28].* \square

Definition 2.1 *Solid spaces [18]: We call a sequence space \mathcal{X} to be solid if and only if $\ell_\infty \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{X}$.*

Definition 2.2 *Define a space \tilde{F} as the sets of all sequences such that its R -transform is in the space f , that is,*

$$\tilde{F} = \left\{ v \in \Omega : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{w_j v_{j+n}}{W_k^{s+1}} = \beta \text{ uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.4 *The space \tilde{F} is not solid space.*

Proof: To prove the result, choose

$$\varsigma = (\varsigma_j) = \left(\frac{W_0}{w_0}, -\left(\frac{W_0}{w_0} + \frac{W_0}{w_0} \right), \left(\frac{W_1}{w_1} + \frac{W_2}{w_2} \right), \dots, (-1)^j \left(\frac{W_j}{w_j} + \frac{W_{j+1}}{w_{j+1}} \right), \dots \right); \quad s = 0$$

and

$$\xi = (\xi_j) = \left(1, -1, 1, \dots, (-1)^j, \dots \right).$$

Then trivially, we see $\varsigma \in f$ and $\xi \in \ell_\infty$. Define $\varsigma \xi = v$, that is, $(\varsigma \xi)_j = (v_j)$. Also, it is obvious that

$$(v_j) = \left(\frac{W_0}{w_0}, \left(\frac{W_0}{w_0} + \frac{W_0}{w_0} \right), \left(\frac{W_1}{w_1} + \frac{W_2}{w_2} \right), \dots, \left(\frac{W_j}{w_j} + \frac{W_{j+1}}{w_{j+1}} \right), \dots \right).$$

So, by definition of Riesz matrix, we see that

$$\mathcal{F}_R - \lim v = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{w_j v_{j+n}}{W_k} = \infty.$$

Therefore, for spaces ℓ_∞ and \tilde{F} , the multiplication $\ell_\infty \tilde{F}$ is not a subset of \tilde{F} and hence we conclude that the space \tilde{F} is not solid. \square

Acknowledgments

We thank the referees for their suggestions, which improved the quality of the paper.

References

1. Abdul, H. G. and Dowlath, F., *Almost convergence property of generalized Riesz spaces*. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation 4(4), 249-253, (2020).
2. Abdul, H. G., *Sigma bounded sequence and some matrix transformations*. Algebra Letters 3, 1-7, (2013).
3. Abdul, H. G. and Neyaz, S., *Infinite matrices and almost bounded sequences*. Vietnam J. Math. 42(2), 153-157, (2014).
4. AlBaidani, M. M., *Notion of new structure of uncertain sequences using Δ -spaces*. Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2022, Article ID 2615772, 6 pages.
5. AlBaidani, M. M., *Statistical convergence of Δ -spaces using fractional Order*. Symmetry 14(8), 1-8, (2022).
6. AlBaidani, M. M. and McDonald, J. J., *On the block structure and frobenius normal form of powers of matrices*. Electron. J. Linear Algebra 35(1), 297-306, (2019).
7. AlBaidani M. M., Srivastava, H. M. and Ganie, A. H., *Notion of non-absolute family of spaces*. Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 14(1), 345-354, (2023).

8. Dowlath, F. and Ganie, A. H., *On some new scenario of Δ -spaces*. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 14, 163-167, (2021).
9. Ganie, A. H., *New Spaces Over Modulus Function*. Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. (3s.) 41, 1-6, (2021).
10. Ganie, A. H., Mobin, A., Neyaz, A. S. and Tanweer, J., *New type of Riesz sequence space of non-absolute type*. J. Appl. Comput. Math. 5(1), 1-4, (2016).
11. Gordon, M. P., *Regular matrix transformations*. McGraw-Hill Publishing Co. Ltd., London-New York-Toronto, (1966).
12. Gupkari, S. A., *Some new sequence spaces and almost convergence*. Filomat 22(2), 59-64, (2008).
13. Hamid, G. A. and Albaidan, M. m., *Matrix Structure of Jacobsthal numbers*. J. Funct. Spaces., 2021 Article ID 2888840, 5pages.
14. Hamid, G. A. and Sheikh, N. A., *Infinite matrices and almost convergence*. Filomat 29(6), 1183-1188, (2015).
15. Hamid, G. A. and Ahmad, S. N., *On some new sequence space of non-absolute type and matrix transformations*. J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 21, 34-40, (2013).
16. Hamid, G. A., Tripathy, B. C., Sheikh, N. A. and Sen, M., *Invariant means and matrix transformations*. Func. Anal.-TMA 2, 28-33, (2016).
17. Ishfaq, A. Malik and Tanweer, J., *Measures of noncompactness in $(\overline{N}_{\Delta}^q)$ summable difference sequence space*. J. Math. Ext., 13(4), 155-171, (2019).
18. Johann, B., *Classical and modern methods in summability*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, (2001).
19. Kizmaz, H., *On certain sequence spaces*. Canad. Math. Bull. 24(2), 169-176, (1981).
20. Lorentz, G. G., *A contribution to the theory of divergent series*. Acta Math. (80), 167-190, (1948).
21. Mursaleen, M., *Infinite matrices and almost convergent sequences*. Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 19(1), 45-48, (1995).
22. Mursaleen, M., Abdul, H. G., and Neyaz, A. S., *New type of difference sequence space and matrix transformation*. FILOMAT 28(7), 1381-1392, (2014).
23. Nanda, S., *Matrix transformations and almost boundedness*. Glas. Mat. 14(34), 99-107, (1979).
24. Rahman, M. F. and Karim, A. B. M. R., *Generalized Riesz sequence space of non-absolute type and some matrix mappings*. Pure Appl. Math. J. 4(3), 90-95, (2015).
25. Sheikh, N. A. and Abdul, H. G., *A new paranormed sequence space and some matrix transformations*. Acta Math. Acad. Paedago. Nygr. 28(1), 47-58, (2012).
26. Sheikh, N. A. and Ganie, A. H., *On the spaces of λ -convergent sequences and almost convergence*. Thai J. Math. 11(2), 393-398, (2013).
27. Stefan, B., *Theories des operations linéaires*. Warszawa, (1932).
28. Tanweer, J. and Abdul, H. G., *Almost Convergence and some matrix transformation*. Shekhar (New Series)- Int. J. Math. 1(1), 133-138, (2009).
29. Tanweer, J., Sameer, A. G. and Abdul, H. G., *Infinite matrices and σ -convergent sequences*. Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 36(6), 825-830, (2012).
30. Tarray, A. T., Naik, P. A. and Najjar, R. A., *Matrix representation of an all-inclusive Fibonacci sequence*. Asian Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 11(1), 18-26, (2018).
31. Wilansky, A., *Summability Through Functional Analysis*. Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, (Mathematics Studies 85), (1984).

Reham A. Alahmadi,
 Department of Basic Science, College of Science and Theoretical Studies,
 Saudi Electronic University, Riyadh 11673,
 Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
 E-mail address: r.alhmadi@seu.edu.sa