



Estimates for Solution of Regular Elliptic Systems in Besov-Type Spaces

Halima Srhiri, Chakir Allalou and Khalid Hilal

ABSTRACT: In this paper we show that the method used in the scalar case remains true for systems and thus gives us near-boundary a priori estimates for solutions of regular elliptic systems in Besov-type spaces because the triebel conjecture concerning the estimates for the solutions of the problems with regular elliptic limits in the scalar case in this spaces is completely solved.

Keywords: Elliptic system, Besov-type spaces, a priori-estimate.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Mathematical Preliminaries	2
3 Characterisation of Traces of Besov-Type Spaces and Assumptions	4
3.1 Characterisation of Traces of Besov-type spaces	5
3.2 Basic assumptions	5
4 Main Results	6

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give the regularity for the solutions of regular elliptical systems in the type-Besov space $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}$. So, this paper is the general case of [10,5] where the author obtained the regularity for the solutions of regular elliptic boundary value problems in the scalar case in the spaces $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}$.

Note also that the paper generalizes [9] where the author shows a priori estimates for solutions of regular elliptic systems in BMO spaces and its local version Campanato spaces.

We first mention the well-known work for Campanato’s variational systems [4] which obtained results concerning local and global regularity for solutions $v \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ under Dirichlet limit conditions of second-order strongly linear elliptical systems of the following form:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \langle A_{ij}(x).D_j v \setminus D_i \Phi \rangle dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \langle f_i(x) \setminus D_i \Phi \rangle dx, \quad \forall \Phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

In this work, they showed the following regularity result: $\|Dv\|_{BMO} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO}$. That is mean if $f \in BMO(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{nN})$ then $Dv \in BMO(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{nN})$.

In this paper, we deal with inhomogeneous and non-variational systems. Take for example, the following classical regular elliptic system of the second order

$$\begin{cases} Mv = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v|_{\Omega} = \varphi & \text{in } \Gamma = \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

With;

- $M = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} a_{\alpha}^{ij}(x) D_x^{\alpha}$,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35B40, 35L70.
 Submitted February 13, 2023. Published March 19, 2026

where a_α^{ij} is the $N \times N$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a multi-index, $D_x^\alpha = D_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots D_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$ is the derivation and $D_{x_j}^{\alpha_j} = \frac{1}{i^{\alpha_j}} \times \frac{\partial^{\alpha_j}}{\partial x_j^{\alpha_j}}$.

- v , f and φ are the vector-valued functions in \mathbb{R}^N .
- Ω is an open and bounded regular domain in \mathbb{R}^N .

A very precise choice of the indices s, τ, p, q in Besov type space $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}$, allow us to find the BMO space and therefore the work done in [9].

We show that the method used for the scalar case in [10] to estimates the solution of degenerate elliptic problems can be adapted for the case of elliptical systems, in addition, this work generalizes the result of the above example to the elliptic systems in the sense of Douglis and Nirenberg [6] and to the general spaces defined in [7] and [9]. The result proven in this work makes the objective of several applications in geophysics more precisely in the study of Shallow waters.

The plan of the paper is the following: in the second section, we give the main definitions on Besov-type spaces and lemmas which helped us in the proof of our main result as well as we recall some results proved in old papers about derivatives, compactness and interpolation. In section 3, we give a characterization of the traces at the edge of the Besov-type space as well as the main assumptions before stating the result. Finally, section 4 deals with the main result as well as the proof of this result; we follow a method of Peetre used in the scalar case [10]. This method consists of doing a partial Fourier transform with respect to the tangential direction on the system of equations, and reducing our problem to an isomorphism theorem for a system of ordinary differential operators to estimate the tangential derivatives of the solution, then do an interpolation inequality to control the normal derivatives of the solution.

2. Mathematical Preliminaries

For our work to be meaningful, we must use a decomposition called Littlewood-Paley of utility: Denote $x = (t, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $\xi = (\tau, \xi')$ its a dual variable and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty$, $\varphi \geq 0$ such that.

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi(\xi) = 1 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq 1, \\ \varphi(\xi) = 0 & \text{if } |\xi| \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

i.e., $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n / |\xi| \leq 2\}$.

For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, on pose $\varphi_j(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)$.

We can verifie easily that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j(\xi) = 1,$$

with $\theta_j = \varphi_j - \varphi_{j-1}$.

Multiply the above equality by \hat{u} and apply the inverse Fourier transform, we obtain the formula of nonhomogeneous partition of $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \dot{\Delta}_j u = u = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\theta_k \mathcal{F}u].$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}_j &= \varphi(2^{-j} |D_x|); \dot{S}_j' = \varphi(2^{-j} |D_{x'}|); \dot{S}_j'' = \varphi(2^{-j} |D_t|), \\ \dot{S}_{-1} &= \dot{S}_{-1}' = \dot{S}_{-1}'' = 0, \\ \text{and } \dot{\Delta}_j &= \dot{S}_j - \dot{S}_{j-1}; \dot{\Delta}_j' = \dot{S}_j' - \dot{S}_{j-1}'; \dot{\Delta}_j'' = \dot{S}_j'' - \dot{S}_{j-1}'' \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1 ([10].) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. We denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^s$ the space of $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (i) \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \dot{\Delta}_j u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ (ii) \varepsilon_j = 2^{sj} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^p} \text{ est dans } l^q. \end{cases}$$

The space $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^s$ is associated with the norm:

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^s} = \|(\varepsilon_j)_j\|_{l^q} = \begin{cases} (\sum_{j \geq 0} \varepsilon_j^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} = (\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{sjq} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^p}^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{si } q < \infty, \\ \sup_{j \leq 0} \varepsilon_j & \text{si } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2 ([8].) Let $s, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $p, q \in]0, +\infty]$. The space of Besov-type is noted $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is the set of all tempered distributions $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that $\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} < \infty$, with

$$\begin{cases} \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = \sup_B \frac{1}{|B|^\tau} \left\{ \sum_{j \geq J+} 2^{sjq} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u(x)\|_{L^p}^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} & q < \infty, \\ \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = \sup_B \frac{1}{|B|^\tau} \sup_{j \geq J+} 2^{sj} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u(x)\|_{L^p(B)} & q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B of radius less than 2^{-J} , $J \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $x = (t, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We denoted by $L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$ and we not $L^p(\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$ is the space of functions which of L^p with respect to the first variable t and of type Besov $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}$ with respect to the second variable x' .

Definition 2.3 ([8].) Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \geq 0, 0 < p, q < \infty$, the space of anisotropic Besov-type noted by $L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$ is the set of all tempered distributions $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that $\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}))} < \infty$, with

$$\begin{cases} \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \sup_{B'_j} \frac{1}{|B'_j|^\tau} \left\{ \sum_{j \geq J+} 2^{sjq} \|\dot{\Delta}'_j u(x)\|^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} & q < \infty, \\ \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} = \sup_{B'_j} \frac{1}{|B'_j|^\tau} \sup_{j \geq J+} 2^{sj} \|\dot{\Delta}'_j u(x)\|_{L^p(B_{j'})} & q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B of radius less than 2^{-J} , $J \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.4 ([10].) The classical Sobolev spaces

$$W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}); D_t^k \in L^p(\mathbb{R}); \forall 1 \leq k \leq t_j\}.$$

Definition 2.5 ([10].) We define the Weighted anisotropic Besov-type where the properties of differentiability in the directions x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are different from those in the direction t by

$$W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j, \tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})), D_t^k u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-k, \tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))\},$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \geq 0, 1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ and $1 \leq k \leq t_j$. The standard practice norm in these spaces is:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W_k^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} &= \left\{ \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1, \tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|tu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+2, \tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right. \\ &\quad + \|tD_t u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1, \tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|D_t u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ &\quad \left. + \|tD_t u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.6 ([10].) For $s \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \geq 0$, and $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$, we not $\mathcal{B}_{p,q,k}^{s+2,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_{p,q,k}^{s+2,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), tD_t^2 u, tD_{x_j} D_{x_k} u \text{ and } tD_{x_j} D_t u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \right\}.$$

This space is endowed with the norm:

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q,k}^{s+2,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} + \|tD_t^2 u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} + \|tD_{x_j} D_{x_k} u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} + \|tD_{x_j} D_t u\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}.$$

Now, we recall some essential lemmas needed for the proof of the main results.

Lemma 2.7 ([12].) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $A < 0$. If $(a_{j\nu})_{j,\nu}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers satisfying $(a_{j\nu})_j \in l^p$ for any $\nu \geq 1$, then

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\nu \geq 1} 2^{vA} a_{j\nu} \right)^p \lesssim \sup_{\nu \geq 1} \sum_{j \geq 1} a_{j\nu}^p.$$

Lemma 2.8 ([8], [13].) Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s < m$, if $v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$, such that $D_t^m v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-m,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$, then $v \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\exists C > 0$ such that we have the following inequality:

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|D_t^m v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-m,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \varepsilon^{-1} \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}.$$

Lemma 2.9 ([11].) $\exists C > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any $v \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, [resp. $W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$] we have for any $k = 0, \dots, t_j - 1$ the following inequality

$$\|D_t^k v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\{ \varepsilon \|D_t^{t_j} v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{-k}{t_j-k}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.10 ([8].) Let $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, and there exists $C_1 > 0$ satisfying for any $v \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ [resp.; $L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))$] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} &\leq C_0 \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \|v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} + C_1 \|v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q,u}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \\ &\left[\text{resp.}, \|\varphi v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C_0 \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right. \\ &\left. + C_1 \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.11 ([8].) Let $s_1 \leq s_2 < s_3$ be three real numbers, and let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $u \leq p$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $v \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_3,\tau}$, [resp.; $L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_3,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))$] we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_2,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} &\lesssim \varepsilon \|v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_3,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{s_2-s_1}{s_3-s_2}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}, \\ &\left[\text{resp.}, \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_2,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \lesssim \varepsilon \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_3,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right. \\ &\left. + \varepsilon^{-\frac{s_2-s_1}{s_3-s_2}} \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3. Characterisation of Traces of Besov-Type Spaces and Assumptions

For all $u \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, we consider t_j the traces of u defined for $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by

$$\gamma_l u(x') = \frac{\partial^l u}{\partial v^l} \Big|_{\Gamma} = D_t^l u(0, x'); \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$$

for $l = 0, \dots, t_j - 1$.

We pose $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{t_j-1})$. Notons que ce opérateur est continue de $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ vers

$$\prod_{l=0}^{t_j-1} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-1-\frac{l}{p},\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

For $i = 1, \dots, m_+$, let σ_i be an integer such that $\sigma_i \leq -1$. We put

$$(B_{ij}(x'; D_{x'}) \cdot \gamma)u = \sum_{l=0}^{t_j-1} B_{ijl}(x'; D_{x'}) \gamma_l u.$$

The operator $B_{ijl}(x', D_{x'})$ is a differential operator of order less than or equal to $\sigma_i + t_j - l$.

If $\sigma_i + t_j - l < 0$, we pose $B_{ijl} = 0$.

We denote

$$B_\gamma \equiv B(x', D_{x'}) \gamma \equiv (B_{ij}(x', D_{x'}) \gamma)_{i=1, \dots, m_+; j=1, \dots, N}.$$

This operator is continuous from $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ to $\prod_{l=0}^{t_j-1} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-\sigma_i-\frac{l}{p},\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

Consider $B_{ij}^0 \gamma$, the principal part of operator $B_{ij} \gamma$, given by $B^0 \gamma = B^0(x', D_{x'}) \gamma = (B_{ij}^0 \gamma)_{i=1, \dots, m} \text{ et } j=1, \dots, N$.

3.1. Characterisation of Traces of Besov-type spaces

Theorem 3.1 ([15,8]) *Let $t_j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $l \in \{0, \dots, t_j - 1\}$. For $v \in W_{loc}^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, the series $\sum_{j \geq 0} D_i^l \dot{\Delta}_j' v(0, \cdot)$ converges in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and defines an element $\gamma_l v$ belonging to $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1-\frac{l}{u},\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

In addition the mapping $v \mapsto \gamma_l v$ is continuous and surjective from $W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1-\frac{l}{u},\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Also, there exists an extension operator R_0 from $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1-\frac{l}{u},\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that

$$\gamma_l v \circ R_0 = Id_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1-\frac{l}{u},\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In particular, if $s \geq 0$, the operator γ_l is bounded and surjective from $\mathcal{B}_{p,q,k}^{s+2,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ to $\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+l-\frac{l}{u},\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. For the proof, it is the same thing as in the classical case, see [8], [10].

3.2. Basic assumptions

Assumption (H1) The operator $M(x; D_x)$ is elliptic for $t > 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}

$$\forall (\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

$$\det(M_{i,j}^0(0; \xi', \tau))_{i,j=1, \dots, N} \neq 0.$$

Assumption (H2) For all $x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, the complex variable polynomial θ

$$P(\theta) = \det(M_{i,j}^0(0; \xi', \theta))_{i,j=1, \dots, N},$$

admits $m_+(\xi)$ complex roots with positive imaginary part.

Assumption (H3) Suppose the following problem for all $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

$$\begin{cases} M^0(t, 0; \xi', D_t) v(t) = 0, \\ B^0(0, \xi') \gamma v = 0, \\ v \in \prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+). \end{cases}$$

admits only one solution $v \equiv 0$.

With M^0 is the principal part of the operator M .

Corollary 3.2 [2] *Under the above assumptions, we have*

$$M : \prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+),$$

is a Fredholm operator with index equal to m_+ .

4. Main Results

Theorem 4.1 *Let s, τ be two nonnegative numbers and $1 \leq p, q < \infty, u \leq p$. Suppose that the hypotheses $H(1) - H(3)$ are holds for any compact K in $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$. Then there exists a constant C_K such that, for $v \in \prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ with the support of v in K , we have:*

$$\|v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C_M \left\{ \|Mv\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+s_i, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|\gamma_0 v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+\sigma_i - \frac{1}{p}, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1})} + \|v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-1, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right\}.$$

Proof. To establish Theorem 4.1, we will have to go through two steps: first, we will prove the following Proposition which will allow us to give an estimate of the derivatives quasi tangential solutions, and the second step based on a Lemma that we will state it later and will make it possible to estimate the normal derivatives

Proposition 1 *Let s, τ two nonnegative real numbers and let $1 \leq p, q < +\infty$. Under the Assumptions (H1)-(H3), for any compact set K in $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$, there exists a constant $C_K > 0$, such that for any $v \in \prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$ with $\text{supp}(v) \subset K$, we have:*

$$\|v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}))} \leq C_K \left\{ \|Mv\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}))} + \|\gamma v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{m_+} \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-\sigma_i - \frac{1}{p}, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1})} + \|v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-1, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}))} \right\}.$$

Proof. We are going to reduce our problem and show the proposition for a homogeneous system of operators with constant coefficients thanks to the Lemmas 2.8, Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.11 as well thanks to the Korn technique (See [3]).

So, we write $M^0 \equiv M^0(D_{x'}, D_t) = (M_{ij}^0(0; D_{x'}, D_t))$ and $B^0 \gamma = B^0(0, D_{x'}) \gamma$, with

$$M_{ij}^0(0; D_{x'}, D_t) = \sum_{k+|\alpha'|=s_i+t_j} a_{\alpha'}^{ij}(0) D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^k.$$

Using the corollary 3.2 and like [7] and [2], we can show that under the hypotheses H(1)-H(3) we have, $\forall \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}$, the operator $(M^0(\xi', D_t), B^0(0, \xi') \gamma)$ is invertible from $\prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to $\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{m_+}$ and if $K_{\xi'}$ its inverse, then the mapping $\xi' \mapsto K_{\xi'}$ is \mathcal{C}^∞ from $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}$ to $\mathcal{B}(\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{m_+}; \prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))$ and for all multi-index $\alpha', \exists C_{\alpha'} > 0$ such that for all $\xi'; \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi'| \leq 2$ and for $(f, g) \in \prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{m_+}$, we have

$$\|D_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} K_{\xi'}(f, g)\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{\alpha'} \|(f, g)\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \quad (4.1)$$

First of all, we are going to prove that for any sufficiently large integer $L \geq 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any ball $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ of radius 2^{-J} , $J \in \mathbb{Z}$ centered at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(B; W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\ & \leq C \left\{ \|M^0 v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^p(2B; W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} + \|B^0 \gamma v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{m_+} L^p(2B)} \right. \\ & \quad + |B|^{1/p} \sum_{\nu \geq -J+1} 2^{-2\nu M} |F_\nu|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\|M^0 v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^p(F_\nu; W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \|B^0 \gamma v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{m_+} L^p(F_\nu)} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

True for any $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))$ with support of the tangential Fourier transform of v is belongs to the annulus $\frac{1}{2} < |\xi'| < 2$. With, $F_\nu = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; 2^\nu < |x' - x'_0| < 2^{\nu+1}\}$ For this, we apply the operator $(M^0(\xi', D_t), B(0, \xi')\gamma)$ to the relation:

$$\mathcal{F}v(., \xi') = \int e^{-iy' \cdot \xi'} v(., y') dy'$$

to obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned} M^0(\xi', D_t) \mathcal{F}v(., \xi') &= \mathcal{F}M^0v(., \xi') = \int e^{-iy' \cdot \xi'} (M^0v)(., y') dy' \\ B^0(0, \xi') \gamma \mathcal{F}v(., \xi') &= \mathcal{F}B^0\gamma v(\xi') = \int e^{-iy' \cdot \xi'} (B^0\gamma v)(y') dy'. \end{aligned}$$

We apply $K_{\xi'}$ to the system to obtain

$$\mathcal{F}v(., \xi') = \int e^{-iy' \cdot \xi'} K_{\xi'}(M^0v(., y'), B^0\gamma v(y')) dy'.$$

Let $\Phi \in C_0^\infty$ equal to 1 for $\frac{1}{2} < |\xi'| < 2$ and, we integrate by parts with respect to ξ' , we obtain

$$v(., x') = \iint \frac{e^{i(x'-y') \cdot \xi'}}{(1 + |x' - y'|^2)^L} (I - \Delta_{\xi'})^L \{ \Phi(\xi') K_{\xi'}(M^0v(., y'), B^0\gamma v(y')) \} \frac{dy' d\xi'}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}.$$

By (4.1) yields:

$$\|v(., x')\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |x' - y'|^2)^L} \| (M^0v(., y'), B^0\gamma v(y')) \|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{m+}} dy'.$$

Now, we integrate with respect to $x' \in B$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v\|_{L^p(B; \prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_{x' \in B} \left(\int_{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |x' - y'|^2)^L} \times \| (M^0v(., y'), B^0\gamma v(y')) \|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{m+}} dy' \right)^p dx' \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

By the decomposition of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} defined by $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} = 2B' \cup_{\nu \geq -J+1} F'_\nu$, with $F'_\nu = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^\nu \leq |x' - x'_0| \leq 2^{\nu+1}\}$ and $B' = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x' - x'_0| < 2^{-J}, J \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v\|_{L^p(B; \prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_{x' \in B} \left(\int_{2B} \frac{1}{(1 + |x' - y'|^2)^L} \chi_{2B}(y') \times \| (M^0v(., y'), B^0\gamma v(y')) \|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{m+}} dy' \right)^p dx' \right\}^{1/p} \\ &+ C \left\{ \int_{x' \in B} \left(\sum_{\nu \geq -J+1} \int_{F'_\nu} \frac{1}{(1 + |x' - y'|^2)^L} \times \| (M^0v(., y'), B^0\gamma v(y')) \|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{m+}} dy' \right)^p dx' \right\}^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the second member of the above inequality is a norm L^p of a convolution product of a function of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ (for large L) and a function of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$; on the other hand, for the second term

we notice that for $x' \in B, y' \in F_\nu$ and $|x' - y'| \sim |x'_0 - y'| \sim 2^\nu$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|v\|_{L^p(B; \prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\
& \leq C \left\{ \|M^0 v\|_{L^p(2B; \prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} + \|B^0 \gamma v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{m+L} L^p(2B)} \right\} \\
& + C |B|^{1/p} \sum_{\nu \geq -J+1} 2^{-2\nu L} \int_{y' \in F_\nu} \|(M^0 v(\cdot, y'), B^0 \gamma v(y'))\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{m+}} dy' \\
& \leq C \left\{ \|M^0 v\|_{L^p(2B; \prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} + \|B^0 \gamma v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{m+L} L^p(2B)} \right\} + C |B|^{1/p} \sum_{\nu \geq -J+1} 2^{-2\nu M} |F_\nu|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times \left(\int_{y' \in F_\nu} \|(M^0 v(\cdot, y'), B^0 \gamma v(y'))\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{m+}}^p dy' \right)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

So that inequality (4.2) is proved.

Now, let $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ v_N \end{pmatrix} \in \prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p, q}^{s, \tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$ with $\text{supp } v \subset K$, such that K is a compact set of

\mathbb{R}_+^n . For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we pose $v_k = \Delta'_k v(2^{-k}x)$; $k \geq 1$, then v belongs to $\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; W^{t_j, p})$

$$(M_{ij}^0 v^j)_k = 2^{k(s_i + t_j)} M_{ij}^0 v_k^j \quad \text{and} \quad (B_{ij}^0 \gamma v^j)_k = 2^{k(\sigma_i + t_j)} B_{ij}^0 \gamma v_k^j. \quad (4.3)$$

We apply inequality (4.2) for each u_k , $k \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|v_k\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(B; W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\
& \leq C \left\{ \|M^0 v_k\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^p(2B; W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} + \|B^0 \gamma v_k\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{m+L} L^p(2B)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |B|^{1/p} \sum_{\nu \geq -J+1} 2^{-2\nu M} |F_\nu|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\|M^0 v_k\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^p(F_\nu; W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} + \|B^0 \gamma u_k\|_{\prod_{i=1}^m L^p(F_\nu)} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The operator Δ'_k commutes with the derivation then with the operator with constant coefficients M^0 , so using (4.3) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_k\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(B; W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left\| v_k^j \right\|_{L^p(B; W^{t_j, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} \left\| D_t^r v_k^j \right\|_{L^p(B; L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} 2^{kn/p} 2^{-kr} \left\| \Delta'_k D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k}B)}. \\
\|M^0 v_k\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^p(2B; W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| (M^0 v_k)^i \right\|_{L^p(2B; W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{-k(s_i + t_j)} (M_{ij}^0 v^j)_k \right\|_{L^p(2B; W^{-s_i, p}(\mathbb{R}_+))} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{kn/p} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{-k(s_i + t_j + r)} \Delta'_k D_t^r (M_{ij}^0 v^j) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k+1}B)}.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B^0 \gamma v_k\|_{\prod_{i=1}^{m_+} L^p(2B)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \left\| (B^0 \gamma v_k)^i \right\|_{L^p(2B)} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{-k(\sigma_i+t_j)} (B_{ij}^0 \gamma v^j)_k \right\|_{L^p(2B)} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(n-1)/p} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{-k(\sigma_i+t_j)} \dot{\Delta}'_k B_{ij}^0 \gamma v^j \right\|_{L^p(2^{-k+1}B)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above equalities into (4.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} 2^{-kr} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k}B)} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{-k(s_i+t_j+r)} \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r L_{ij}^0 v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k+1}B)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{-k(\sigma_i+t_j+1)} \dot{\Delta}'_k B_{ij}^0 \gamma v^j \right\|_{L^p(2^{-k+1}B)} \right. \\
&\quad + |B|^{1/p} \sum_{\nu \geq -J+1} 2^{-2\nu M} |F_\nu|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{-k(s_i+t_j+r)} \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r L_{ij}^0 v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k}F_\nu)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{-k(\sigma_i+t_j+1)} \dot{\Delta}'_k B_{ij}^0 \gamma v^j \right\|_{L^p(2^{-k}F_\nu)} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we now replace u^j by $2^{k(s+t_j)} v^j$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} 2^{k(s+t_j-r)} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k}B)} \leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{k(s-s_i-r)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r L_{ij}^0 v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k+1}B)} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \dot{\Delta}'_k B_{ij}^0 \gamma v^j \right\|_{L^p(2^{-k+1}B)} + |B|^{1/p} \sum_{\nu \geq -J+1} 2^{-2\nu M} |F_\nu|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad \left. \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{k(s-s_i-r)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r L_{ij}^0 v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k}F_\nu)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \dot{\Delta}'_k B_{ij}^0 \gamma v^j \right\|_{L^p(2^{-k}F_\nu)} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} 2^{k(s+t_j-r)} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k}B)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{k(s-s_i-r)} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 u)^i \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k+1}B)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma u)^i \right\|_{L^p(2^{-k+1}B)} \\
&\quad + |B|^{1/p} \sum_{\nu \geq -J+1} 2^{-2\nu M} |F_\nu|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{k(s-s_i-r)} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 u)^i \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2^{-k}F_\nu)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma u)^i \right\|_{L^p(2^{-k}F_\nu)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We pose $k = J + k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu = \nu - k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then ball 2^{-k} becomes B_k , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} 2^{k(s+t_j-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p \\
& \leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{k(s-s_i-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 v) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2B_K)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma u) \right\|_{L^p(2B_K)}^p \right. \\
& + |2^k B_K| \left(\sum_{\mu \geq -K+1} 2^{(\mu+K)(-2M+(n-1)(1-\frac{1}{p}))} 2^{\mu \frac{\lambda}{p}} \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} \frac{2^{k(s-s_i-r)}}{|F_\mu|^{\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)p}}} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 u) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times F_\mu)} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \frac{2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)}}{|F_\mu|^{\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)p}}} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma u) \right\|_{L^p(F_\mu)} \right] \right)^p \left. \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} 2^{k(s+t_j-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p \\
& \leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{k(s-s_i-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 v) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2B_K)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma v) \right\|_{L^p(2B_K)}^p \right. \\
& + 2^{(k-K)(-2N+n-1)p} 2^{-K\lambda} \left(\sum_{\mu \geq 1} 2^{\mu(-2M+(n-1)(1-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{\lambda}{p})} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} \frac{2^{k(s-s_i-r)}}{|F_{\mu-K}|^{\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)p}}} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 v) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times F_{\mu-K})} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \frac{2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)}}{|F_{\mu-K}|^{\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)p}}} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma v) \right\|_{L^p(F_{\mu-K})} \right] \right)^p \left. \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We pose $A_M = -2M + (n-1)(n-\frac{1}{p}) + \frac{\lambda}{p}$ and multiply by $\frac{1}{|B_k|^\tau}$ and sum for $j, k \geq \max(K^+, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{k \geq \max(K^+, 1)} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} 2^{k(s+t_j-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p \\
& \leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{k \geq K^+} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{k(s-s_i-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 v) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2B_K)}^p \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{k \geq K^+} \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma v) \right\|_{L^p(2B_K)}^p \\
& + \sum_{k \geq K^+} \left(\sum_{\mu \geq 1} 2^{\mu A_M} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} \frac{2^{k(s-s_i-r)}}{|F_{\mu-K}|^{\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)p}}} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 v) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times F_{\mu-K})} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \frac{2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)}}{|F_{\mu-K}|^{\frac{\lambda}{(n-1)p}}} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma v) \right\|_{L^p(F_{\mu-K})} \right] \right)^p \left. \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.7 for obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{k \geq \max(K+1)} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} 2^{k(s+t_j-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p \\
& \leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{k \geq K^+} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{k(s-s_i-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 v)^i \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2B_K)}^p \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{k \geq K^+} \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma v)^i \right\|_{L^p(2B_K)}^p \\
& \quad + \sup_{\mu \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq K} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} \frac{2^{k(s-s_i-r)p}}{|F_{\mu-K}|^\tau} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 v)^i \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times F_{\mu-K})}^p \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \frac{2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)p}}{|F_{\mu-K}|^\tau} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma v)^i \right\|_{L^p(F_{\mu-K})}^p \right] \left. \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We add the terms associated with $k = 0$ to the left of the above inequality, and like $F_{\mu-K} \subset B_{K-\mu-1}$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{k \geq K^+} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} 2^{k(s+t_j-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p \\
& \leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{k \geq K^+} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} 2^{k(s-s_i-r)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 v)^i \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times 2B_K)}^p \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{k \geq K^+} \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} 2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)p} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma v)^i \right\|_{L^p(2B_K)}^p \\
& \quad + \sup_{\mu \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq (K-\mu-1)+i=1} \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} \left[\sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} \frac{2^{k(s-s_i-r)p}}{|F_{\mu-K}|^\tau} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k D_t^r (M^0 v)^i \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_{K-\mu-1})}^p \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \frac{2^{k(s-\sigma_i-1/p)p}}{|F_{\mu-K}|^\tau} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_k (B^0 \gamma v)^i \right\|_{L^p(B_{K-\mu-1})}^p \right] \left. \right\} + R_0^K,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_0^K = \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_0 D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p.$$

Taking the supremum over K and B_K yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} \left\| D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-r,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \\
& \leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{-s_i} \left\| D_t^r (M^0 v)^i \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-s_i-r,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p + \sum_{i=1}^{m_+} \left\| (B^0 \gamma v)^i \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-\sigma_i-\frac{1}{p},\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^p \right\} + R_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_0 = \sup_{K, B_K} \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_0 D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p.$$

Finally, we have

$$\|v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \leq C \left\{ \|M^0 v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_i,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p + \|B^0 \gamma v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_i - \sigma_i - \frac{1}{p}, \tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^p \right\} + R_0. \quad (4.5)$$

To estimate the terms of R_0 , we write

$$R_0^K = \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_0 D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p + \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{j=1}^N \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_0 D_t^{t_j} v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p.$$

To estimate the first term of R_0^K , we use Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j-1} \left\| \dot{\Delta}'_0 D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r=0}^{t_j-1} \left\| D_t^r v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_j+t_j-r-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \varepsilon^p \left\| D_t^{t_j} v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p + \sum_{r=0}^{t_j-1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{rp}{t_j-r}} \left\| v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_j+t_j-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \right\} \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \varepsilon^p \left\| v^j \right\|_{W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p + C'_\varepsilon \left\| v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s_j+t_j-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the second term of R_0^K we return to the equation $M^0 v = f$.

$\forall i = 1, \dots, N$, $\sum_{j=1}^N L_{ij}^0 v^j = f^i$, so $\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r+|\alpha'|=s_i+t_j} a_{r,\alpha'}^{ij}(0) D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^r v^j = f^i$, here $f^i = (M^0 u)^i$.
Thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_{s_i+t_j,\alpha'}^{ij}(0) D_t^{s_i+t_j} v^j = f^i - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\substack{r+|\alpha'|=s_i+t_j \\ 0 \leq r \leq s_i+t_j-1}} a_{r,\alpha'}^{ij}(0) D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^r v^j$$

We apply $D_t^{-s_i}$ to both sides of this inequality, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_{s_i+t_j,\alpha'}^{ij}(0) D_t^{t_j} v^j = D_t^{-s_i} f^i - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\substack{r'+|\alpha'|=t_j \\ -s_i \leq r' \leq t_j-1}} a_{r'+s_i,\alpha'}^{ij}(0) D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^{r'} v^j. \quad (4.6)$$

The ellipticity hypothesis gives that the matrix $A = (a_{s_i+t_j,\alpha'}^{ij}(0))_{i,j}$ is invertible. Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^T v &= (D_t^{t_j} v^j)_j, \quad D_t^{-s_i} f = (D_t^{-s_i} f^i)_i, \\ V &= (v^i)_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\substack{r'+|\alpha'|=t_j \\ -s_i \leq r' \leq t_j-1}} a_{r'+s_i,\alpha'}^{ij}(0) D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^{r'} v^j, \end{aligned}$$

and using (4.6), we obtain

$$D_t^T v = A^{-1} D_t^{-S} f - A^{-1} V$$

and then

$$\dot{\Delta}'_0 D_t^T v = A^{-1} \dot{\Delta}'_0 D_t^{-S} f - A^{-1} \dot{\Delta}'_0 V.$$

To estimate the second term of R_0^K , we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \sum_{j=1}^N \left\| \Delta'_0 D_t^{t_j} v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p \\
&= \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \left\| \Delta'_0 D_t^T v \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p \\
&\leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \left\| \Delta'_0 D_t^{-S} f \right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p + \frac{1}{|B_K|^\tau} \left\| \Delta'_0 V \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times B_K)}^p \right\} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \left\| D_t^{-S} f \right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p + \left\| V \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \right\} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \left\| f \right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p + \left\| V \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| V \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{r'+|\alpha'|=t_j} \left\| D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^{r'} v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=10 \leq r' \leq t_j-1}^N \sum_t \left\| D_t^{r'} v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-r'-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way as for (4.5) there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| V \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \varepsilon^p \left\| D_t^{t_j} v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p + \sum_{r'=0}^{t_j-1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{r'p}{t_j-r'}} \left\| v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \right\} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \varepsilon^p \left\| v^j \right\|_{W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p + C'_\varepsilon \left\| v^j \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Finally, by using (4.4) and (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
R_0 \leq C & \left\{ \left\| f \right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N W^{-s_i,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p + \varepsilon^p \left\| v \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \right. \\
& \left. + C'_\varepsilon \left\| v \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}^p \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Putting together the inequality (4.3) and choosing arbitrarily $\varepsilon > 0$ small, we get the Proposition 1 for the system $(M^0, B^0\gamma)$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have the following lemma to estimate the normal derivative of solution.

Lemma 4.2 *Let s, τ be two nonnegative real numbers and $1 \leq p, q < +\infty$. For any compact set K in $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$, there exists a constant $C_K > 0$, such that for any $v \in \prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ avec $\text{supp}(v) \subset K$, we have:*

$$\left\| v \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C_K \left\{ \left\| Mv \right\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+s_i,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \left\| v \right\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}))} \right\}.$$

Proof. Same idea of the proof of Proposition 1 we can restrict ourselves to the operator M^0 . First take $0 \leq s < 1$. We have

$$\|v^j\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = \|D_t^{t_j} v^j\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \sum_{\substack{k+|\alpha'| \leq t_j \\ 0 \leq k \leq t_j-1}} \|D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^k v^j\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

The interpolation Lemma 2.9 gives, for $k + |\alpha'| < t_j$, $0 \leq k \leq t_j - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^k v^j\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ & \leq C \left\{ \|D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^k v^j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \|D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^{k+1} v^j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right\} \\ & \leq C \left\{ \|D_t^k v^j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-k,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} + \|D_t^{k+1} v^j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j-k-1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right\} \\ & \leq C \|v^j\|_{W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

To estimate $\|D_t^k v^j\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$, we return again to the equation $M^0 v = f$, to get

$$D_t^T v = A^{-1} D_t^{-s} f - A^{-1} V,$$

and with aid of (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_t^T v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \|D_t^{t_j} v^j\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \|D_t^{-s_i} (M^0 v)^i\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \sum_{\substack{k+|\alpha'|=t_j \\ 0 \leq k \leq t_j-1}} \|D_{x'}^{\alpha'} D_t^k v^j\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right\} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \|(M^0 v)^i\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-s_i,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^N \|v^j\|_{W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Then the Lemma 4.2 is proved for $0 \leq s < 1$.

Now, for $s \geq 0$, we write $s = q + r$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq r < 1$.

We do a proof by induction on q . This is true for the case $q = 0$. Assuming that the estimate of Lemma 4.2 is true for all q , we show that it holds for $q + 1$. Let K be a compact set of $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and $u \in \prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with $\text{supp } u \subset K$. We notice that $v^j \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ if and only if $v^j \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $D_{x_k} v^j \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $D_t^{t_j} v^j \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Thus

$$\|v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1+t_j}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C \left\{ \|v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \|D_{x_k} u\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|D_t^T v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right\}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\|D_{x_k} v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+t_j}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C_K \left\{ \|M^0 v\|_{\prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-s_i+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \|v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right\}. \quad (4.12)$$

We substitute in (4.12) v by $D_{x_k} v$; $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and the coefficients of M^0 are constant, so we obtain

$$\|D_t^T v\|_{\prod_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \|(M^0 v)^i\|_{\mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s-s_i+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + \sum_{j=1}^N \|v^j\|_{W^{t_j,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}_{p,q}^{s+1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))} \right\}. \quad (4.13)$$

Finally, we combine the inequalities (4.12) and (4.13) in (4.11) to obtain the inequality of Lemma 4.2 for $s + 1 = q + 1 + r$. The Theorem 4.1 is a consequence of Proposition 1 and Lemma 4.2.

References

1. P. Bolley and J. Camus; *Sur une classe d'opérateurs elliptiques et dégénérés plusieurs variables*. Mémoires de la S.M.F., tome 34 (1973), p. 55-140.
2. P. Bolley - J. Camus, *Etude d'une classe de systèmes d'opérateurs elliptiques et dégénérés*, Publications des séminaires de mathématiques de l'Université de Rennes I, (1973).
3. P. Bolley, J. Camus et B. Helffer, *Sur une classe d'opérateurs partiellement hypoelliptiques*. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 55, 131-171 (1976).
4. S. Campanato, *Sistemi ellittici in forma divergenza. Regolarità all'interno*, Quaderni Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa (1980).
5. O. Debbaj, *Régularité L_p Des Problèmes Aux Limites De Type Hermite Et Tricomi*. Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 1991, vol. 16, no 1, p. 1-29.
6. A. Douglis - L. Nirenberg, *Interior estimates for elliptic systems of partial differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 8, pp. 503-538 (1955).
7. A. El Baraka; *Optimal BMO and $L^{p,\lambda}$ estimates for solutions of elliptic boundary value problems*. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 2005, vol. 30, No 1A, p.85.
8. A. El Baraka, M. Masrour; *Regularity results for solutions of linear elliptic degenerate boundary-value problems*. Arabian Journal of Mathematics, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40065-020-00278-x> (2020).
9. A. El Baraka; *BMO Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic systems*. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol 2006(2006), No. 101,pp. 1-21.
10. A. El Baraka, M. Masrour, *A-priori estimates near the boundary for solutions of a class of degenerate elliptic problems in Besov-type spaces*. Moroc. J. Pure Appl. Anal. 3(2), 149172 (2017).
11. A. El Baraka, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of PDE and interpolation inequalities*. Annales des sciences mathématiques du Québec. Université du Québec à Montréal, Département de mathématiques et informatique, 27, 1, 13-45 (2003).
12. A. El Baraka, *An embedding theorem for Campanato spaces*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 2002, 66, 1-17 (2002).
13. A. El baraka; *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of PDE and interpolation inequalities*. Annales des sciences mathématiques du Qubec. Universit du Qubec Montral, Dpartement de mathmatiques et informatique, 2003. p. 13-45.
14. N. Shimakura, *Problèmes aux limites généraux du type elliptique dégénéré*. Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University, 9, 2, 275-335 (1969).
15. R. S. Strichartz, *Traces of BMO-Sobolev spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83, 3, (1981) 509- 513.

Halima Srhiri,

LMACS Laboratory, FST of Beni-Mellal,

Sultan Moulay slimane University, Morocco.

E-mail address: halima.srhiri.1998@gmail.com