



## *f*-Wijsman Deferred Statistical Convergence and Some Asymptotic Results in Metric Spaces

Maya Altınok

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper, Wijsman deferred statistical convergence of sequences of sets in any metric spaces is generalized by the help of modulus function named *f*-Wijsman deferred statistical convergence. Also some new results about this new concept is given.

**Key Words:** Wijsman convergence, deferred density, modulus function, statistically equivalent sequences.

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and Background</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b><i>f</i>-Wijsman Deferred Statistical Convergence</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b><math>WDS_L^f</math>-Equivalence of Sequences of Sets</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Comparison of <math>WD_L^f</math> and <math>WDS_L^f</math>-Equivalence</b>	<b>12</b>

### 1. Introduction and Background

The concept of statistical convergence was first defined by Fast [16] and Steinhaus [35] then reintroduced by Schoenberg [33]. Its popularity in summability theory has increased after the initiator works of Fridy [17] and Šalát [32]. Some authors studied this concept as a nonmatrix summability method [8,9,13,14,15,17,18,33,34].

The asymptotic density of  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is defined by  $\delta(M) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|M(m)|}{m}$ , where  $|M(m)|$  represent the number of elements of  $M(m)$  and express  $M(m) = \{k \leq m : k \in M\}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\xi = (\xi_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is statistical convergent to  $\xi_0$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\delta(\{m : |\xi_m - \xi_0| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

holds (denoted by  $st - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \xi_m = \xi_0$ ).

The concept of convergence of sequences has been extended by several authors such as Aizuru *et al.* [2], Bhardwaj and Dhawan [5,6], Cakalli [7], Connor [8], Et *et al.* [10,11,12], Kucukaslan *et al.* [22], Kucukaslan and Yilmazturk [23], Mursaleen [27] and many others.

In 1932, R. P. Agnew in [1] defined the deferred Cesàro mean  $D_{\varsigma, \vartheta}$  of a sequence  $\xi = (\xi_m)$  by

$$(D_{\varsigma, \vartheta} \xi)_m := \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} \xi_k,$$

where  $\varsigma = (\varsigma_m)$  and  $\vartheta = (\vartheta_m)$  are sequences in  $\mathbb{N}^+$  under which

$$\varsigma_m < \vartheta_m \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_m = \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

For brevity,  $\varsigma$  and  $\vartheta$  will be used instead of  $(\varsigma_m)$  and  $(\vartheta_m)$ , respectively.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 40A05, 40C05.

Submitted February 23, 2023. Published December 05, 2025

[21] Deferred density of  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is defined as follows:

$$\delta_D(M) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} |\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : k \in M\}|.$$

In this paper we take account one of them named Wijsman convergence. Statistical convergence of the sequence of sets was investigated by Nuray and Rhoades [29]. They introduced Wijsman statistical convergences of sequences of sets.

Let  $(X, \kappa)$  be an arbitrary metric space. The symbol  $d_x(T)$  denotes the distance of the point  $x \in X$  to the set  $T$ .i.e.,

$$d_x(T) := \inf\{\kappa(x, t) : t \in T\}.$$

**Definition 1.1** Let  $(X, \kappa)$  be a metric space. For any closed (nonempty) subsets  $A_k, T \subseteq X$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we say that the sequence  $A = (A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is

- Wijsman convergent to the set  $T$  ( $W - \lim A_k = T$ ) if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_x(A_k) = d_x(T),$$

exists for each  $x \in X$  [37].

- Wijsman statistically convergent to  $T$  ( $WS - \lim A_k = T$ ) if the sequence  $(d_x(A_k))$  is statistically convergent to  $d_x(T)$  for each  $x \in X$ .
- Wijsman strongly deferred Cesàro summable to the set  $T$  ( $WD - \lim A_k = T$ ) if for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| = 0$$

holds [3].

- Wijsman deferred statistically convergent to the set  $T$  ( $WDS - \lim A_k = T$ ) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in X$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} |\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$$

holds [3].

In this paper, by using the concept of  $f$ -density which was defined by Aizpuru et. al. [2], we give a generalization of Wijsman deferred density. Then we will define  $f$ -Wijsman deferred statistical convergence for sequences of closed subsets of any metric spaces. For this purpose let us recall the definition of modulus function.

Density by moduli was defined in [2] by using modulus function. They also obtained a generalization of statistical convergence by using this new concept.

A modulus is a function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

- (i)  $f(a) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $f(a + b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$  for all  $a, b \in [0, \infty)$ ,
- (iii)  $f$  is increasing,
- (iv)  $f$  is continuous.

If  $f, g$  are modulus functions and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then  $f \circ g$ ,  $\alpha f + \beta g$  and  $f \vee g$  are also modulus functions.  $f(a) = a^p$  where  $0 < p \leq 1$  is an example of unbounded,  $g(a) = \frac{a}{1+a}$  is an example of bounded modulus.

Modulus function was first defined by Nakano [28]. Many redefined and investigated sequence spaces by the help of modulus function have been introduced by Ruckle [31] and Maddox [24].

**Definition 1.2** ([2]) Let  $f$  be a modulus from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $[0, \infty)$ .  $f$ -density of  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is defined by

$$\delta^f(M) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(|M(m)|)}{f(m)}$$

if the limit exists (note that  $f$  is unbounded).

Note that, if  $M$  is finite, then  $\delta^f(M) = 0$ . Also, if  $\delta^f(M) = 0$ , then  $\delta^f(M^c) = 1$  where  $M^c$  is complement of  $M$ .

A sequence  $(\xi_m)$  is  $f$ -statistical convergent to  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  if,  $\delta^f(\{m \in \mathbb{N} : |\xi_m - \xi_0| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Also, we will give some asymptotic results about  $f$ -Wijsman deferred statistical convergence of sequences of sets in the third section. Now let us recall some basic definitions about asymptotically equivalent.

**Definition 1.3** ([26]) Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_m)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_m)$  be non-negative sequences.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are asymptotically equivalent if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_m}{\beta_m} = 1. \quad (1.2)$$

It is denoted by  $\alpha \sim \beta$ .

By combination the definition of statistical convergence and Definition 1.3 asymptotically statistical equivalent with multiple  $L$  of two non-negative sequences is defined by Patterson in [30] as follows:

**Definition 1.4** ([30]) Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_m)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_m)$  be non-negative sequences.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are asymptotically equivalent with multiple  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \left| \left\{ k \leq m : \left| \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

exists (denoted by  $\alpha \stackrel{S_L}{\sim} \beta$ ).

Also, if  $L = 1$  in (1.3), the sequences  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are called asymptotically statistical equivalent (denoted by  $\alpha \stackrel{S}{\sim} \beta$ ).

Asymptotically equivalent and asymptotically statistical equivalent of sequences of sets is defined by Ulusu and Nuray in [36] as follow:

**Definition 1.5** Let  $(X, \kappa)$  be a metric space. For any closed (nonempty) subsets  $A = (A_k)$ ,  $B = (B_k) \subseteq X$  such that  $d_x(A_k) > 0$  and  $d_x(B_k) > 0$  for each  $x \in X$ . The sequences  $A = (A_k)$  and  $B = (B_k)$  are

- asymptotically equivalent (in the Wijsman sense) with multiple  $L$  if for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} = L, \quad (1.4)$$

(denoted by  $A \stackrel{W_L}{\sim} B$ ) [36].

- asymptotically statistical equivalent (in the Wijsman sense) with multiple  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \left| \left\{ k \leq m : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

(denoted by  $A \stackrel{W_{S_L}}{\sim} B$ ) [36].

## 2. $f$ -Wijsman Deferred Statistical Convergence

In this section, we will build a new concept named  $f$ -Wijsman deferred statistically convergence by the help of modulus functions. Then we will give some results about this new concept.

Throughout the paper,  $f$  will be taken as unbounded modulus function. Also  $(X, \kappa)$  be a metric space, the sets  $A_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T$  be nonempty closed subset of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.1**  $f$ -deferred density of  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is defined as follows:

$$\delta_D^f(M) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : k \in M\}|)$$

if the limit exists [20].

**Definition 2.2** The sequence  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $f$ -Wijsman statistical convergent to  $T$  ( $WS^f - \lim A_k = T$ ) if the sequence  $(d_x(A_k))$  is  $f$ -statistical convergent to  $d_x(T)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.3** The sequence  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $f$ -Wijsman strongly deferred Cesàro summable to the set  $T$  ( $WD^f - \lim A_k = T$ ) if for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f(|d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)|) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

hold.

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $f$  be a modulus and  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then, we have  $f(x) \leq 2f(1)x/\delta$  for each  $x \geq \delta$  [6].

**Theorem 2.1** If  $WD - \lim A_k = T$ , then  $WD^f - \lim A_k = T$ .

**Proof:** Let us assume that  $WD - \lim A_k = T$ . Then for each  $x \in X$

$$D(m) := \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \rightarrow 0, \quad (m \rightarrow \infty)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $f(x) < \varepsilon$  for every  $x$  with  $0 \leq x \leq \delta$ . So, by using Proposition 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f(|d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)|) &= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \left( \sum_{\substack{k=\varsigma+1 \\ |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \leq \delta}}^{\vartheta} f(|d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)|) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \left( \sum_{\substack{k=\varsigma+1 \\ |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| > \delta}}^{\vartheta} f(|d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)|) \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \frac{2f(1)D(m)}{\delta(\vartheta - \varsigma)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $WD^f - \lim A_k = T$ . □

For the converse of Theorem 2.1 let us examine following example:

**Example 2.1** Let  $X$  be the set of real numbers,  $\kappa(x, y)$  be the usual metric on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f(x) = \log(x+1)$ . Let us define a sequence  $(A_k)$  as follows:

$$A_k := \begin{cases} \{\vartheta - \varsigma\}, & k \in (\varsigma, \vartheta] \text{ such that } k = \varsigma + 1 \\ \{0\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f(|d_x(A_k) - d_x(\{0\})|) &= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f(|d_x(A_{\varsigma+1}) - d_x(\{0\})|) \\ &= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f(|d_x(\{\vartheta - \varsigma\}) - d_x(\{0\})|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f(|(x - (\vartheta - \varsigma)) - (x - 0)|) \\ &= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f(\vartheta - \varsigma) = \frac{\log(\vartheta - \varsigma + 1)}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . So,  $WD^f - \lim A_k = \{0\}$ . But for  $x = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} |d_x(A_k) - d_x(\{0\})| &= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} |d_x(A_{\varsigma+1}) - d_x(\{0\})| \\ &= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} ||x - (\vartheta - \varsigma)| - |x - 0|| = \frac{(\vartheta - \varsigma)}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . So,  $WD - \lim A_k \neq \{0\}$ .

In [25], Maddox proved that there exists  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a)}{a}$  for any modulus function  $f$ . By this condition converse of Theorem 2.1 holds.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let us assume  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a)}{a} > 0$  holds. If  $WD^f - \lim A_k = T$ , then  $WD - \lim A_k = T$ .*

**Proof:** As in the proof of Proposition 1 in [25], we have  $\alpha = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a)}{a} = \inf\{\frac{f(a)}{a} : a > 0\}$ . From the description of  $\alpha$ , we have  $f(a) \geq \alpha a$  for all  $a > 0$ .  $0 < \alpha \leq f(1)$ , so we have  $a \leq \alpha^{-1} f(a)$  for all  $a \geq 0$ . Thus,

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \leq \alpha^{-1} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f(|d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)|)$$

holds. If we take limit for  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain  $WD - \lim A_k = T$ . □

**Theorem 2.3** *If  $WD^f - \lim A_k = T$ , then  $WDS - \lim A_k = T$ .*

**Proof:** Let us assume that  $WD^f - \lim A_k = T$ . For every  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f(|d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)|) &\geq \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \left( \sum_{\substack{k=\varsigma+1 \\ |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon}}^{\vartheta} f(|d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)|) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} |\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}| f(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

If we take limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $WDS - \lim A_k = T$ . □

For the converse of Theorem 2.3 let us examine following example:

**Example 2.2** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\kappa(x, y)$  be the usual metric on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f(x) = 2x$ . Let  $(A_k)$  defined as in Example 2.1.  $(A_k)$  is Wijsman Deferred statistical convergent to  $\{0\}$ . Actually, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} |\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(\{0\})| \geq \varepsilon\}| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} = 0.$$

But  $(A_k)$  is not  $f$ -Wijsman Deferred strongly convergent.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f(|d_x(A_k) - d_x(\{0\})|) &= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f(|d_x(A_{\varsigma+1}) - d_x(\{0\})|) \\
&= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f(|d_x(\{\vartheta - \varsigma\}) - d_x(\{0\})|) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f(|(x - (\vartheta - \varsigma)) - (x - 0)|) \\
&= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f(\vartheta - \varsigma) = \frac{2(\vartheta - \varsigma)}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \nrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.4** A sequence  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $f$ -Wijsman deferred statistical convergent to  $T$  ( $WDS^f\text{-}\lim A_k = T$ ) if for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

hold.

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $(X, \kappa)$  be a metric space and the inclusions  $A_k \subseteq B_k \subseteq C_k$  hold for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $A = (A_k)$ ,  $B = (B_k)$  and  $C = (C_k)$ . If  $WDS^f\text{-}\lim A_k = WDS^f\text{-}\lim C_k = T$ , then  $WDS^f\text{-}\lim B_k = T$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x \in X$  be an arbitrary fixed point and consider the saequences  $(d_x(A_k))$ ,  $(d_x(B_k))$  and  $(d_x(C_k))$ . It is clear from the inclusion  $A_k \subseteq B_k \subseteq C_k$  tha the inequality

$$d_x(C_k) \leq d_x(B_k) \leq d_x(A_k)$$

holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . From this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(B_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\} &= \{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : d_x(B_k) \geq d_x(T) + \varepsilon\} \\
&\cup \{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : d_x(B_k) \leq d_x(T) - \varepsilon\} \\
&\subset \{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : d_x(A_k) \geq d_x(T) + \varepsilon\} \\
&\cup \{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : d_x(C_k) \leq d_x(T) - \varepsilon\}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It is also clear that

$$\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\} \supset \{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : d_x(A_k) \geq d_x(T) + \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(C_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\} \supset \{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : d_x(C_k) \leq d_x(T) - \varepsilon\}$$

are true. Also we have

$$\delta_D^f(\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : d_x(A_k) \geq d_x(T) + \varepsilon\}) = 0,$$

$$\delta_D^f(\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : d_x(C_k) \leq d_x(T) - \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

So,

$$\delta_D^f(\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(B_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

□

**Definition 2.5** Let  $(A_k)$  and  $(B_k)$  be sequences of sets.

- If the set  $A = (A_k)$  have a property  $\mathcal{P}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  except a set which has zero  $f$ -deferred density. In this case, we say the sequence  $A = (A_k)$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$   $f$ -deferred almost all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (denoted by “ $f - D - a.a.k$ ”).

- If  $f$ -deferred density of  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : A_k \neq B_k\}$  is zero, then it is said that the sequence  $(A_k)$  is  $f$ -deferred almost all equal to the sequence  $(B_k)$  (denoted by  $(A_k) \equiv (B_k)(f - D - a.a.k)$ ).

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $(A_k) \equiv (B_k)(f - D - a.a.k)$ . Then,  $f$ -Wijsman deferred statistical convergency of the sequence  $(A_k)$  implies  $f$ -Wijsman deferred statistical convergency of the sequence  $(B_k)$ , vice versa.

**Proof:** Assume that  $WDS^f - \lim A_k = T$ . Namely,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

holds for  $x \in X$ .

Since  $A_k \neq B_k(f - D - a.a.k)$ , then we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : A_k \neq B_k\}|) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Also, the set

$$\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(B_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

can be represent as

$$\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : A_k = B_k\} \cup \{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : A_k \neq B_k\} \quad (2.6)$$

for  $k$  when  $|d_x(B_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon$ .

From (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(B_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) = 0$$

and this gives the proof. The converse can be proved by the same way.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1** Let  $(A_k)$ ,  $(B_k)$  and  $(C_k)$  be sequences of sets such that  $A_k \subset B_k \subset C_k(f - D - a.a.k)$ . If  $WDS^f - \lim A_k = WDS^f - \lim C_k = T$ , then  $WDS^f - \lim B_k = T$ .

**Theorem 2.6** If  $W - \lim A_k = T$ , then  $WDS^f - \lim A_k = T$ . But the converse need not to be true.

Every finite set have zero  $f$ -density. So, it is clear that Wijsman convergent sequences are also  $f$ -Wijsman deferred statistical convergent with same limit.

For the converse of Theorem 2.6, let  $X$  be the set of real numbers,  $f(x) = x^p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$  and  $(A_k)$  defined as follows:

$$A_k := \begin{cases} [2, \vartheta - \varsigma], & k \geq 2 \text{ and } k \in (\varsigma, \vartheta] \text{ is a square} \\ \{1\}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This sequence is not Wijsman convergent but

$$\frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(\{1\})| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \leq \frac{f(\sqrt{\vartheta - \varsigma})}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} = \frac{(\sqrt{\vartheta - \varsigma})^p}{(\vartheta - \varsigma)^p} \rightarrow 0$$

when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . So,  $WDS^f - \lim A_k = \{1\}$ .

**Theorem 2.7** If  $WDS^f - \lim A_k = T$ , then  $WDS - \lim A_k = T$  holds.

**Proof:** Let  $WDS^f - \lim A_k = T$ . Suppose that  $(A_k)$  is not Wijsman deferred statistically convergent to  $T$ . Then there exist  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{\vartheta - \varsigma} > 0.$$

So, there exist  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and a sequence  $(m_t) \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m_t = \infty \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\frac{|\{\varsigma(m_t) < k \leq \vartheta(m_t) : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{\vartheta(m_t) - \varsigma(m_t)} \geq \frac{1}{s}$$

for every  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Last inequality can be written as follows:

$$\vartheta(m_t) - \varsigma(m_t) \leq s |\{\varsigma(m_t) < k \leq \vartheta(m_t) : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \quad (2.8)$$

From the third property ( $f$  is increasing) of modulus  $f$  and (2.8) we have

$$f(\vartheta(m_t) - \varsigma(m_t)) \leq sf(|\{\varsigma(m_t) < k \leq \vartheta(m_t) : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|).$$

So

$$\frac{f(|\{\varsigma(m_t) < k \leq \vartheta(m_t) : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|)}{f(\vartheta(m_t) - \varsigma(m_t))} \geq \frac{1}{s} \quad (2.9)$$

holds for every  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . (2.7) and (2.9) imply

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(|\{\varsigma(m) < k \leq \vartheta(m) : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|)}{f(\vartheta(m) - \varsigma(m))} \geq \frac{1}{s},$$

contrary to hypothesis of theorem.  $\square$

From Theorem 2.7 following result obtained:

**Theorem 2.8** *Let  $f_1, f_2$  be unbounded modulus functions. If*

$$WDS^{f_1} - \lim A_k = T \quad \text{and} \quad WDS^{f_2} - \lim A_k = K \quad (2.10)$$

*hold for nonempty closed subsets  $A_k, T, K$  of  $X$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $T = K$ .*

**Proof:** Let us assume that (2.10) hold. By Theorem 2.7 the sequence  $(A_k)$  is Wijsman Deferred statistical convergent to  $T$  and  $K$ . from the uniqueness of this limit we obtain that  $d_x(T) = d_x(K)$  for every  $x \in X$ . It implies that  $T = K$  because  $T$  and  $K$  are closed subsets of  $X$ .

So, we can say that  $f$ -Wijsman deferred statistical limit is unique.  $\square$

Let us assume that following inequqlity holds for the sequences  $\varsigma = \varsigma_m$ ,  $\vartheta = \vartheta_m$ ,  $\varsigma^* = \varsigma_m^*$ , and  $\vartheta^* = \vartheta_m^*$ :

$$\varsigma \leq \varsigma^* < \vartheta^* \leq \vartheta \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the folowing theorems by considering (2.11), we obtain some comparison results.

**Theorem 2.9** *If  $\{k : \varsigma < k \leq \varsigma^*\}$  and  $\{k : \vartheta^* < k \leq \vartheta\}$  are finite sets for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then*

$$WDS^f - \lim A_k = T \text{ w.r.t. } (\varsigma^* \text{ and } \vartheta^*)$$

*implies*

$$WDS^f - \lim A_k = T \text{ w.r.t. } (\varsigma \text{ and } \vartheta).$$

**Proof:** Let us assume that  $WDS^f - \lim A_k = T$  w.r.t.  $(\varsigma^* \text{ and } \vartheta^*)$ . For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\} &= \{\varsigma < k \leq \varsigma^* : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\} \cup \\ &\cup \{\varsigma^* < k \leq \vartheta^* : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\} \\ &\cup \{\vartheta^* < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$



It is also clear that following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}| &\leq |\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta^* : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}| + \\ &+ |\{\varsigma^* < k \leq \vartheta^* : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &+ |\{\vartheta^* < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \end{aligned}$$

holds. From the second and third properties of  $f$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{f(\vartheta^* - \varsigma^*)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \varsigma^* : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \\ &+ \frac{1}{f(\vartheta^* - \varsigma^*)} f(|\{\varsigma^* < k \leq \vartheta^* : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \\ &+ \frac{1}{f(\vartheta^* - \varsigma^*)} f(|\{\vartheta^* < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \end{aligned}$$

holds. If we take limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , it is obtain that

$$\frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) = 0.$$

□

**Theorem 2.10** Under the condition (2.11), if  $\frac{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)}{f(\vartheta^* - \varsigma^*)}$  is bounded  $WDS^f - \lim A_k = T$  w.r.t.  $(\varsigma$  and  $\vartheta)$  implies  $WDS^f - \lim A_k = T$  w.r.t.  $(\varsigma^*$  and  $\vartheta^*)$ .

**Proof:** From the following inclusion and the third property of  $f$

$$\{\varsigma^* < k \leq \vartheta^* : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\} \subset \{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

we have

$$f(|\{\varsigma^* < k \leq \vartheta^* : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \leq f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{f(\vartheta^* - \varsigma^*)} f(|\{\varsigma^* < k \leq \vartheta^* : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \\ &\leq \frac{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)}{f(\vartheta^* - \varsigma^*)} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|\{\varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : |d_x(A_k) - d_x(T)| \geq \varepsilon\}|) \end{aligned}$$

holds. For  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , desired result obtained. □

### 3. $WDS_L^f$ -Equivalence of Sequences of Sets

In this section, our aim is to give a generalization of Definition 1.4 by considering  $f$ -deferred statistical density which is defined in [20]. Then we will give some general results about this new concept.

$A = (A_k)$ ,  $B = (B_k)$  be nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $d_x(A_k) > 0$  and  $d_x(B_k) > 0$  hold for each  $x \in X$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For brevity, let the set of all such subsets be denoted by  $\mathcal{CL}(X)$ .

If  $A_k \subseteq B_k$  holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then it is shown by  $A \prec B$ .

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(X, \kappa)$  be a metric space.  $A, B \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ . It is said that the sequences  $A$  and  $B$  are

- asymptotically  $f$ -statistical equivalent (in the Wijsman sense) with multiple  $L$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(m)} f \left( \left| \left\{ k \leq m : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) = 0$$

(denoted by  $A \stackrel{WS_L^f}{\sim} B$ )

- asymptotically  $f$ -deferred equivalent (in the Wijsman sense) with multiple  $L$  if for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f \left( \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \right) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

(denoted by  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$ ).

- asymptotically  $f$ -deferred statistical equivalent (in the Wijsman sense) with multiple  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

(denoted by  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$ ).

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ . If  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  and  $A \prec C$ , then  $C \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$ .

**Proof:** Let us assume that  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  and  $A \prec C$ . Let  $x \in X$  be an arbitrary fixed point. Since  $A \prec C$ , then

$$d_x(C_k) \leq d_x(A_k)$$

hold for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, the inequality

$$\left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \leq \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right|$$

holds for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the inclusion

$$\left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

holds. So, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , following inequality

$$\left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \leq \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

holds. From the third property of modulus function  $f$

$$f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) \leq f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right)$$

holds. If we divide the inequality by  $f(\vartheta - \varsigma)$  and take limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , it is obtained that  $C \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ . If  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  and  $C \prec B$ , then  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} C$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  and  $C \prec B$ . Let  $x \in X$  be an arbitrary fixed point. Since  $C \prec B$ , then

$$d_x(B_k) \leq d_x(C_k)$$

hold for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . therefore, the inequality

$$\left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(C_k)} - L \right| \leq \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right|$$

holds for sufficiently large  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by the same way above theorem it is obtained that  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} C$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1** Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ . If  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  then  $A \cup C \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  and  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B \cap C$  hold.

For any sequence of sets  $C = (C_k)$  we have  $A_k \subset A_k \cup C_k$  and  $B_k \cap C_k \subset B_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It means that  $A \prec A \cup C$  and  $B \cap C \prec B$ . Hence, the proof of Corollary 3.1 is obtained from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. So it is omitted.

Following theorems are generalizations of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ . If  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  and  $A \prec C$  ( $f - D - a.a.k$ ) then  $C \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$ .

**Proof:** Let us take account  $M = \{k : C_k \subset A_k\}$ . From the assumption,  $\delta_D^f(M) = 0$  holds. So, following inequality

$$\left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \leq \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right|$$

holds  $f - D - a.a.k$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) + \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|M|). \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , it is obtained that  $C \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ . If  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  and  $B \prec C$  ( $f - D - a.a.k$ ), then  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} C$ .

Theorem 3.4 can be proved by following the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ . If  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  and  $A = C$  ( $f - D - a.a.k$ ), then  $C \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$ .

**Proof:** Let us take account the set  $M := \{k : A_k \neq C_k\}$ . From the assumption of this theorem we have  $\delta_D^f(M) = 0$ . Thus, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the following inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} &= \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cap (M^C \cup M) \\ &\subseteq \left( \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cap M^C \right) \\ &\cup \left( \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cap M \right) \\ &\subseteq \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cup M \end{aligned}$$

holds. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(C_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) + \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|M|) \end{aligned}$$

holds. By taking limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , it is obtained that  $C \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ . If  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  and  $B = C$  ( $f - D - a.a.k$ ), then  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} C$ .*

**Proof:** Let us take account the set  $M := \{k : B_k \neq C_k\}$ . From the assumption  $\delta_D^f(M) = 0$ . That is,  $d_x(B_k) = d_x(C_k)$  ( $f - D - a.a.k$ ) satisfied for any  $x \in X$ . So, following inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(C_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} &= \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(C_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cap (M^C \cup M) \\ &\subseteq \left( \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cap M^C \right) \\ &\cup \left( \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(C_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cap M \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Since

$$\left[ \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cap M^C \right] \subseteq \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

and

$$\left[ \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(C_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cap M \right] \subseteq M,$$

then we have

$$\left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(C_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cup M.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(C_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(C_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) + \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f(|M|) \end{aligned}$$

holds. By taking limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , it is obtained that  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} C$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Comparison of $WD_L^f$ and $WDS_L^f$ -Equivalence

In this section,  $WD_L^f$ -equivalence and  $WDS_L^f$ -equivalence will be compared. Also, it will be shown that  $WD_L^f$ -equivalence is equal  $WDS_L^f$ -equivalence under some conditions. This results are generalized versions of some results in [4].

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{CL}(X)$ . Let us assume that  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a)}{a} > 0$ . Then,  $A \stackrel{WD_L^f}{\sim} B$  implies  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$ .*

**Proof:** Assume that  $A \stackrel{WD_L^f}{\sim} B$  i.e.,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f \left( \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \right) = 0.$$

For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f \left( \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \right) &= \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \left( \sum_{\substack{k=\varsigma+1 \\ f \left( \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon}}^{\vartheta} + \sum_{\substack{k=\varsigma+1 \\ f \left( \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \right) < \varepsilon}}^{\vartheta} \right) f \left( \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{\substack{k=\varsigma+1 \\ f \left( \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \right) \geq \varepsilon}}^{\vartheta} f \left( \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \right) \\ &\geq \varepsilon \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds. So we have

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} \sum_{k=\varsigma+1}^{\vartheta} f \left( \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \right) \geq \frac{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} \frac{1}{\vartheta - \varsigma} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right)$$

If we take limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , from the hypothesis we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) = 0.$$

This gives the proof.  $\square$

Following definition is an  $f$ -generalization of properly deferred method which defined in [1].

**Definition 4.1** A method  $D_{\varsigma, \vartheta}^f$  is called properly  $f$ -deferred when  $\varsigma = \{\varsigma(m)\}$  and  $\vartheta = \{\vartheta(m)\}$  satisfy in addition to (1.1), the condition

$$\left\{ \frac{f(\varsigma(m))}{f(\vartheta(m) - \varsigma(m))} \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is bounded.

In the following theorem, it is shown that  $WS_L^f$ -equivalence implies  $WDS_L^f$ -equivalence.

**Theorem 4.2** In order that  $A \stackrel{WS_L^f}{\sim} B$  implies  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  if and only if the method  $D_{\varsigma, \vartheta}^f$  is properly  $f$ -deferred.

**Proof:** Since  $A \stackrel{WS_L^f}{\sim} B$ , then we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(m)} f \left( \left| \left\{ k \leq m : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) = 0.$$

Therefore, following limit

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta)} f \left( \left| \left\{ k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) = 0$$

exists because  $\vartheta(m) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . It is clear from set comparison that the following inequality

$$\left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \leq \left| \left\{ k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

holds for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} f \left( \left| \left\{ \varsigma < k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{f(\vartheta)}{f(\vartheta - \varsigma)} \frac{1}{f(\vartheta)} f \left( \left| \left\{ k \leq \vartheta : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

After taking limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain desired result if and only if  $D_{\varsigma, \vartheta}^f$  is properly  $f$ -deferred.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3** *If  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  w.r.t an arbitrary  $\varsigma$  and  $\vartheta = m$ , then  $A \stackrel{WS_L^f}{\sim} B$  hold.*

**Proof:** Let  $A \stackrel{WDS_L^f}{\sim} B$  for  $\vartheta = m$  and arbitrary  $\varsigma$ . For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m^{q+1} = 0$  and the inequality

$$\varsigma(m) = m^{(1)} > \vartheta(m^{(1)}) = m^{(2)} > \varsigma(m^{(2)}) = m^{(3)} > \dots > \varsigma(m^{(q-1)}) = m^{(q)} \geq 1$$

holds. So, the set  $\left\{ k \leq m : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$  may be represent as

$$\left\{ k \leq m^{(1)} : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cup \left\{ m^{(1)} < k \leq m : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Similarly the left hand set in the union can be represent as

$$\left\{ k \leq m^{(2)} : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cup \left\{ m^{(2)} < k \leq m^{(1)} : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

After some steps (at most  $h$  steps)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ k \leq m^{(q-1)} : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & = \left\{ k \leq m^{(q)} : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \cup \left\{ m^{(q)} < k \leq m^{(q-1)} : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is obtained. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{f(m)} f \left( \left| \left\{ k \leq m : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right) = \sum_{a=0}^q \frac{f(m^{(a)} - m^{(a+1)})}{f(m)} U_a,$$

where

$$U_a := \frac{1}{f(m^{(a)} - m^{(a+1)})} f \left( \left| \left\{ m^{(a+1)} < k \leq m^{(a)} : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right).$$

If we consider a matrix  $S := (s_{m,a})$  as

$$s_{m,a} := \begin{cases} \frac{m^{(a)} - m^{(a+1)}}{m}, & a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, q, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left| \left\{ k \leq m : \left| \frac{d_x(A_k)}{d_x(B_k)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is  $(s_{m,a})$  transformation of the sequence  $(U_a)$ .

Since the matrix  $S = (s_{m,a})$  satisfies Silverman-Toeplitz Theorem (see in [19]) and from assumption on  $A = (A_k)$  and  $B = (B_k)$ . So we have desired result.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 we can give following theorem without proof:

**Theorem 4.4**  $WDS_L^f$ -asymptotically equivalence w.r.t. any  $\varsigma$  and  $\vartheta = m$  is equivalent to  $WS_L^f$ -equivalence if and only if  $\left\{ \frac{f(\varsigma)}{f(m-\varsigma)} \right\}$  is bounded for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## References

1. Agnew, R. P., *On Deferred Cesàro Mean*, Ann. of Math. 33, 413-421, (1932).
2. Aizpuru, A., Listan-Garcia, M. C., Rambla-Barreno, F., *Density by moduli and statistical convergence*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 37, 525-530, (2014).
3. Altınok, M., Inan, B., Küçükaslan, M., *On Deferred statistical convergence of sequences of sets in Metric space*, TJMCS, Article ID 20150050, 9 pages (2015).
4. Altınok, M., Inan, B., Küçükaslan, M., *On asymptotically Wijsman deferred statistical equivalence of sequence of sets*, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 18(2), 803-814, (2020).
5. Bhardwaj, V. K., Dhawan, S., *Density by moduli and lacunary statistical convergence*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 9365037, (2016).
6. Bhardwaj, V. K., Dhawan, S., *Density by moduli and Wijsman lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 25, 1-20, (2017).
7. Cakalli, H., *Lacunary statistical convergence in topological groups*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 26, 113-119, (1995).
8. Connor, J. S., *The statistical and strong p-Cesàro convergence of sequences*, Analysis, 8(1-2), 47-63, (1988).
9. Connor, J. S., *R-type summability methods, Cauchy criteria, p-sets, and statistical convergence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 115, 319-327, (1992).
10. Et, M., Tripathy, B. C., Dutta, A. J., *On pointwise statistical convergence of order of sequences of fuzzy mappings*, Kuwait J. Sci., 41, 17-30, (2014).
11. M. Et, R. Colak, Y. Altin, *Strongly almost summable sequences of order*, Kuwait J. Sci., 41, 35-47, (2014).
12. Et, M., Mohiuddine, S. A., Alotaibi, A., *On  $\lambda$ -statistical convergence and strongly  $\lambda$ -summable functions of order*, J. Inequal. Appl., 469, (2013).
13. Et, M., Kandemir, H.Ş., Çınar, M., *On asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent of order  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of difference double sequences*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 45(18), 12023-12029, (2022).
14. Et, M., Çınar, M., Şengül, H., *On  $\Delta^m$ -asymptotically deferred statistical equivalent sequences of order  $\alpha$* , Filomat, 33(7), 1999-2007, (2019).
15. Et, M., Çınar, M., Şengül Kandemir, H., *Deferred statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  in metric spaces*, AIMS Math., 5(4), 3731-3740, (2020).
16. Fast, H., *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math., 2, 241-244, (1951).
17. Fridy, J., *On statistical convergence*, Analysis, 5, 301-313, (1985).
18. Fridy, J. A., Miller, H. I., *A matrix characterization of statistical convergence*, Analysis, 11, 59-66, (1991).
19. Hardy, G. H., *Divergent Series*, Oxford University Press, 1949.
20. Konca, S., *Asymptotically deferred f-statistical equivalence of sequences*, Filomat, 32(16), 5585-5593, (2018).
21. C. Kosar, M. Küçükaslan, M. Et, *On asymptotically deferred statistical equivalence of sequences*, Filomat, 31(16), 5139-5150, (2017).
22. Küçükaslan, M., Deger, U., Dovgoshey, O., *On the statistical convergence of metric valued sequences*, Ukr. Math. J., 66(5), 796-805, (2014) and Ukr. Math. Zh., 66(5), 712-720, (2014).
23. Kucukaslan, M., Yilmazturk, M., *On deferred statistical convergence of sequences*, Kyungpook Math. J., 56, 357-366, (2016).
24. Maddox, I. J., *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 100, 161-166, (1986).

25. Maddox, I. J., *Inclusion between FK spaces and Kuttner's theorem*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 101, 523-527, (1987).
26. Marouf, M., *Asymtotic Equivalence and Summability*, Internat. J. Math. Sci., 16(4), 755-762, (1993).
27. Mursaleen, M.,  *$\lambda$ -statistical convergence*, Math. Slovaca, 50, 111-115, (2000).
28. Nakano, H., *Concave modulars*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 5, 29-49, (1953).
29. Nuray, F., Rhoades, B. E., *Statistical convergence of sequences of sets*, Fasc. Math., 49, 87-99, (2012).
30. Patterson, R. F., *On Asymototically Statistically Equivalent Sequence*, Demonstratio Math., 36(1), 149-153, (2003).
31. Ruckle, W. H., *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Can. J. math., 25, 973-978, (1973).
32. Šalát, T., *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca, 30(2), 139-150, (1980).
33. Schoenberg, I. J., *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Am. Math. Mon., 66, 361-375, (1959).
34. Şengül, H., Et, M., altın, Y., *f-lacunary statistical convergence and strong f-lacunary summability of order  $\alpha$  of double sequences*, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform., 35(2), 495-506, (2020).
35. Steinhaus, H., *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloq. Math., 2, 73-74, (1951).
36. Ulusu, U., Nuray, F., *On Asymptotically Lacunary Statistical Equivalent Set Sequences*, Journal of Mathematics, vol 2013, ID 310438, 5 pages.
37. Wijsman, R. A., *Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 70, 186-188, (1964).

Maya Altınok,  
Department of Natural and Mathematical Sciences,  
Tarsus University,  
Turkey.  
E-mail address: mayaaltinok@tarsus.edu.tr