



## Characterization of Pairwise $C$ -Lindelöf Spaces

Hend M. Bouseliana

**ABSTRACT:** This article presents representation of pairwise  $C$ -Lindelöf spaces, some of which make use of filter-base. Sufficient conditions for a space to be pairwise  $C$ -Lindelöf spaces are studied

**Key Words:** A bitopological space, pairwise  $C$ -Lindelöf,  $B$ - $P$ -space, adherent convergent.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Preliminaries</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Pairwise <math>C</math>-Lindelöf Spaces</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4 Conclusion</b>	<b>5</b>

### 1. Introduction

In 1963, Kelly [5] established the idea of bitopology. Certain spaces provided its two (arbitrary) topologies. Many concepts in topological spaces have been generalised to bitopological spaces such as separation axioms, covering properties, mappings and others (see [2] [3] and [6]).

The Lindelöfness notion was also introduced and various generalizations of this concept have been studied and investigated separately for distinct basis and aims (see [6] and [7]).

Similarly, the notion of  $C$ -compact space was introduced in bitopology by many authors (see [1] [4] and [9]). Further, the properties of  $C$ -compactness has been extended to bitopology (see the details in [9] and [10]).

In the present study we are concern with the pairwise  $C$ -Lindelöf spaces and provide different characterizations of these spaces. Throughout this paper, all spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  (or simply  $X$ ) always mean topological spaces and bitopological spaces, respectively. In this work, we use the notation  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  to denote certain properties with respect to topology  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$  as bitopological spaces, where  $i, j = 1, 2$ . By  $\tau_i$ -open set, we shall mean the open set with respect to topology  $\tau_i$  in  $X$ . By  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $X$ , we mean that the cover of  $X$  by  $\tau_i$ -open sets in  $X$ . The reader may consult Kelly 1963 for the detail notations and discussions.

### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [6]. A bitopological space  $X$  is called  $\tau_i$ - $P$ -space if any countable intersection of  $\tau_i$ - $\alpha$ -open sets is  $\tau_i$ - $\alpha$ -open.  $X$  is said  $P$ -space if it is  $\tau_i$ - $P$ -space for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Definition 2.2** [7].  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise Hausdorff iff for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$  there are a  $\tau_1$ -open set  $U$  and a  $\tau_2$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.3** [7]. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space, then a subset  $U$  is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open set if  $U = \tau_i\text{-int}(\tau_j\text{-cl}(U))$ . Similarly,  $U$  is said pairwise regular open if it is both  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular open and  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -regular open.

**Definition 2.4** [6]. A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $P$ -space if countable intersection of  $\tau_i$ -open sets in  $X$  is  $\tau_j$ -open.  $X$  is said  $B$ - $P$ -space if it is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $P$ -space and  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $P$ -space.

**Definition 2.5** [9] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -compact if given  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $A$  of  $X$  and  $\tau_i$ -open covering  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of  $A$ , there is a finite number of elements of  $I$ , with  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n \tau_j - cl(U_\alpha)$ .  $X$  is called pairwise- $C$ -compact if it is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $C$ -compact and  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $C$ -compact.

### 3. Pairwise $C$ -Lindelöf Spaces

According to definition 2.5, we generalize pairwise  $C$ -compact spaces to pairwise  $C$ -Lindelöf as the following.

**Definition 3.1** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf if given  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $A$  of  $X$  and  $\tau_i$ -open covering  $U_\alpha : \alpha \in I$  of  $A$ , there is a countable number of elements of  $I$ , say  $I_0$ , with  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \tau_j - cl(U_\alpha)$ .  $X$  is called pairwise  $C$ -Lindelöf if it is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf and  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf.

**Definition 3.2** In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the family  $U_\alpha : \alpha \in I$  is called  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular-open cover if each  $U_\alpha$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular-open set for all  $\alpha \in I$ .  $U_\alpha : \alpha \in I$  is said to be pairwise regular open cover if it is both  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular-open and  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -regular-open.

**Proposition 3.1** The bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf if and only if for any  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $A$  of  $X$  and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular-open cover  $U_\alpha : \alpha \in I$  of  $A$ , there is a countable number of elements of  $I$ , say  $I_0$ , such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \tau_j - cl(U_\alpha).$$

**Proof:**  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose the condition holds. Now, let  $A$  be  $\tau_i$ -closed subset of  $X$  and  $U_\alpha : \alpha \in I$  be  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $A$ . Thus  $\{\tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(U_\alpha)) : \alpha \in I\}$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -regular-open cover of  $A$ , so there is a countable number of elements of  $I$ , say  $I_0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} A &\subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \tau_j - cl(\tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(U_\alpha))) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \tau_j - cl(U_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf.

$\Rightarrow$  Consider that  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf. So the condition follows from the definition of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöfness. □

**Theorem 3.1** For a bitopological  $\tau_i - P$ -space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , if  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf, then if  $A$  is a  $\tau_i$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $F$  is a collection of  $\tau_i$ -closed sets of  $X$  with  $(\cap F) \cap A = \emptyset$ , then there is a countable number of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ , say  $F_n$  and  $n \in N$ , with  $\cap_{n \in N} (\tau_j - int(F_n)) \cap A = \emptyset$ .

**Proof:** Consider  $A$  be a  $\tau_i$ -closed subset of a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $F$  be a collection of  $\tau_i$ -closed sets of  $X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\cap F) \cap A &= \emptyset. \\ \Rightarrow A &\subset X - (\cap F) \\ &= \cup \{X - F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $\mathcal{U} = \{U : U = X - F, F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a collection of  $\tau_i$ -open sets of  $X$  covering  $A$ . Since  $A$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed subset of a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf space, there is a countable number of elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} A &\subset \bigcup_{n \in N} \tau_j - cl(U_n) \\ A &\subset \bigcup_{n \in N} \tau_j - cl(X - F_n) \\ A &\subset X - \cap_{n \in N} (\tau_j - int(F_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\cap_{n \in N} (\tau_j - int(F_n)) \cap A = \emptyset$ . □

**Example 3.1** Let  $X$  be a set and  $card(X) = 2^c$  where  $c = card(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\tau_1$  be a co-countable topology on  $X$  consisting of  $\emptyset$  and all subsets of  $X$  whose complements have cardinality at most  $c$  and let  $\tau_2$  be a co-finite topology on  $X$ . So  $(X, \tau_{cof}, \tau_{coc})$  is  $(\tau_{cof}, \tau_{coc})$ - $C$ -Lindelöf but is not  $p$ -Lindelöf (see [6]).

**Remark 3.1** From definition 2.5 and definition 3.1, it is obviously that every pairwise  $C$ -compact is pairwise  $C$ -Lindelöf but not the converse by the following example.

**Example 3.2** Let  $\Omega$  denotes the set of ordinals which are less than or equal to the first uncountable ordinal number  $\omega_1$ , i.e.,  $\Omega = [0, \omega_1]$ . This  $\Omega$  is an uncountable well-ordered set with a largest element  $\omega_1$ , having the property that if  $\alpha \in \Omega$  with  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , then  $\{\beta \in \Omega : \beta \leq \alpha\}$  is countable. Since  $\Omega$  is a totally ordered space, it can be provided with its order topology. Let  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$  be an order topology on  $\Omega$ . Thus  $(\Omega, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a bitopological space. Since  $(\Omega, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p$ -Lindelöf (see [7]), it is pairwise  $C$ -Lindelöf. But  $(\Omega, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not pairwise  $C$ -compact (see [1] and [4]).

**Definition 3.3** In a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $X$  is said to be  $\tau_i\tau_j$ -regular if, for each point  $x$ , for any point  $x \in X$  and each  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $P$  such that  $x \notin P$ , there exist  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  and a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $P \subseteq V$ , and  $\tau_j(U) \cap \tau_j(V) = \emptyset$ .  $X$  is  $p^*$ -regular if it is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -regular and  $\tau_2\tau_1$ -regular.

**Definition 3.4** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_i\tau_j$ -normal if, given a  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $A$  and a  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $B$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , there exist a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U$  and a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .  $X$  is  $p^*$ -normal if it is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -normal and  $\tau_2\tau_1$ -normal.

**Theorem 3.2** If  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $P$ -space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_i\tau_j$ -regular and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf, then it is  $\tau_i\tau_j$ -normal.

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be  $\tau_i$ -closed and  $B$  be  $\tau_i$ -closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is  $\tau_i\tau_j$ -regular, then, for any  $a \in A$ , there is a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U_a$  and a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $V_a$  such that  $a \in U_a, B \subseteq V_a$ , and  $\tau_j(U_a) \cap \tau_j(V_a) = \emptyset$ . The family  $\{U_a : a \in A\}$  is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $A$ . Because  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf, there is a countable subfamily  $\{U_{a_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(U_{a_n}).$$

Consider that  $W = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{a_n}$ . Since  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $P$ -space,  $W$  is  $\tau_j$ -open set containing  $B$ . Let  $Q = X - \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(V_{a_n})$ . Thus,  $Q$  is  $\tau_j$ -open set containing  $A$  and  $W \cap Q = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $X$  is  $\tau_i\tau_j$ -normal.  $\square$

**Definition 3.5** [3]. A function  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be  $i$ -continuous if it is continuous.  $f$  is called continuous if it is  $i$ -continuous for each  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a continuous function from  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf space to a pairwise Hausdorff and  $(\sigma_j, \sigma_i)$ - $P$ -space. Then for each  $\tau_i$ -closed (resp.  $\tau_j$ -closed) subset  $A \subset X$ ,  $f(A)$  is  $\sigma_i$ -closed (resp.  $\sigma_j$ -closed).

**Proof:** Consider  $A$  be  $\tau_i$ -closed subset of  $X$  such that  $a \in Y$  and  $a \notin f(A)$ . For each  $y \in f(A)$ , pick a  $\sigma_i$ -open set  $V_y$  such that  $a \notin \sigma_j - cl(V_y)$ . The family  $\{f^{-1}(V_y) : y \in f(A)\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $A$  and because of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöfness of  $X$ , there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(V_y)).$$

Because  $f$  is  $i$ -continuous, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &\subset f(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(V_y))) \\ &\subset f(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(\tau_j - cl(V_y))) \\ &= f(f^{-1}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_j - cl(V_y))). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$f(A) \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_j - cl(V_y).$$

Since  $Y$  is  $(\sigma_j, \sigma_i)$ - $P$ -space,  $W = Y - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_j - cl(V_y)$  is  $\sigma_i$ -open set such that  $a \in W$  and  $W \cap f(A) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $f(A)$  is  $\sigma_i$ -closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a continuous function. If  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -C-Lindelöf space, then  $Y$  is  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ -C-Lindelöf.*

**Proof:** consider  $F$  be  $\sigma_j$ -closed set and  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be  $\sigma_i$ -open cover of  $F$ . Because  $f$  is  $i$ -continuous,  $\{f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}$  is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $f^{-1}(F)$ . Since  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -C-Lindelöf space, there is a countable collection  $\Delta_0 \in \Delta$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(F) &\subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \tau_j - cl(f^{-1}(U_\alpha)) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} f^{-1}(\sigma_j - cl(U_\alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $F \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} (\sigma_j - cl(U_\alpha))$ . □

In [4] and [8], the ideas of adherent point and filter-base have been introduced and studied in topological spaces. We extend these notions to bitopological spaces as follows.

**Definition 3.6** *Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $A \subset X$ , then an element  $x \in X$  is said to be  $\tau_i$ -adherent point of a set  $A$  if any  $\tau_i$ -open set  $G$  containing  $x$  such that  $G \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . The element  $x \in X$  is said to be adherent point of  $A$  if it is both  $\tau_i$ -adherent point for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

**Definition 3.7** *let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space.  $\tau_i$ -open filter-base  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  (i.e. a filter-base composed exclusively of  $\tau_i$ -open sets of  $X$ ) is said to be  $\tau_i$ -adherent convergent if any  $\tau_i$ -open neighborhood of the  $\tau_i$ -adherent set of  $\mathcal{F}$  includes a member of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .*

**Theorem 3.4** *A bitopological  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -P-space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -C-Lindelöf if and only if each  $\tau_i$ -open filter base is  $\tau_i$ -adherent convergent.*

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$  Consider  $\mathcal{F}$  be  $\tau_i$ -open filter base of  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -C-Lindelöf space  $X$ . Let  $W$  be the  $\tau_i$ -adherent set of  $\mathcal{F}$ . So we have  $W = \bigcap \{\tau_i - cl(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . If  $D$  is  $\tau_i$ -open neighborhood of  $W$ , we get  $X - D$  is  $\tau_i$ -closed set.

Let  $x \in X - D$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} x \in X - W &\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap \{\tau_i - cl(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\}. \\ &\Rightarrow x \in X - \bigcap \{\tau_i - cl(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} X - D &\subset X - \bigcap \{\tau_i - cl(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\} \\ &= \bigcup \{X - \tau_i - cl(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{X - \tau_i - cl(U) : U \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $X - D$ . Since  $X$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -C-Lindelöf space, we get

$$\begin{aligned} X - D &\subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(X - \tau_i - cl(U_n)). \\ &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (X - \tau_j - int(\tau_i - cl(U_n))) \\ &= X - \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - int(\tau_i - cl(U_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - int(\tau_i - cl(U_n)) \subset D$ . Because  $U_n \subset \tau_j - int(\tau_i - cl(U_n))$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - int(\tau_i - cl(U_n)) \subset D.$$

This implies that  $D$  contains a point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $D \in \mathcal{F}$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose that  $X$  is not  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -C-Lindelöf space, so there exists  $\tau_i$ -closed set  $C \subset X$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  covering of  $C$  consisting of  $\tau_i$ -open sets of  $X$  such that, for every countable subcollection  $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $C \subset X - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(V_n)$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{P} = \{X - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(V_n) : V_n \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . Since  $X$  is  $(\tau_j, \tau_i)$ -P-space,  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\tau_i$ -open filter base on  $X$  and  $(\bigcap \mathcal{P}) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x$  be  $\tau_i$ -adherent point of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that

$$x \in \{\tau_i - cl(X - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_j - cl(U_n)) : U_n \in \mathcal{V}\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{X - \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(U_n)) : U_n \in \mathcal{V}\} \\ = \{X - \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(U_n)) : U_n \in \mathcal{V}\} \implies x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(U_n)) \implies x \in C.$$

Then,  $C \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_i - int(\tau_j - cl(U_n))$ . Thus the  $\tau_i$ -adherent set of  $\mathcal{P}$  is hold in  $X - C$  that is  $\tau_i$ -open neighborhood but there is not any element of  $\mathcal{P}$  is contained in  $X - C$  which leads to a contradiction. Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $C$ -Lindelöf space.  $\square$

#### 4. Conclusion

In current paper, we introduced the  $c$ -Lindelöfness in bitopological spaces namely; pairwise  $c$ -Lindelöf space as a generalization of  $C$ -compactness. Also, some necessary notions such as adherent point and filter-base have been defined and studied in bitopology in sense of convergence concept. Hopefully, these results which obtained in this work will encourage the researchers for further studies the  $C$ -paracompactness and  $C$ -paralindelöfness in bitopological settings.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the referees by your suggestions.

#### References

1. James E Joseph, Myung H. Kwack and Bhamini M. P. Nayarl. *Sequentially Functionally Compact and Sequentially C-Compact Spaces*. Scientiae Mathematicae, Vol.2, No. 2:187-194,(1999).
2. Hend M. Bouseliana and Kiliçman A. *Implications of some types of pairwise closed graphs*. Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics. Vol. 41, N 5, pp. 1131-1139,(2022).
3. Hend M. Bouseliana and Kiliçman A. *Mappings on pairwise para-Lindelöf bitopological Spaces*. Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society 23 :377-381,(2015).
4. Herrington L. L. and Paul E. Long. *Characterizations of C-Compact Spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 52 :417-426,(1975)
5. Kelly J. C. *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13 (3): 71-89,(1963).
6. Kiliçman A. and Salleh Z. *On pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces*. Topology Appl. 154 (8) :1600-1607,(2007).
7. Kiliçman A. and Salleh Z. *On pairwise Almost Regular-Lindelöf Spaces*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae. 70(3) : 285-298,(2009).
8. Sakai S.A *Note on C-Compact Spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad., (46) : 917-920,(1970).
9. Swart J. *Pairwise C-Compact Spaces*. Kyungpook Math. J., 19(1) : 33-38,(1979).
10. Swart J. *On Bitopological C-compactness*. Kyungpook Math. J. 22(1) : 41-43,(1982).

Hend M. Bouseliana,  
 Department of Mathematics,  
 Faculty of Science, University of Tripoli,  
 Tripoli, Libya.  
 E-mail address: h.bouseliana@uot.edu.ly