



Some Inequalities for Polynomials with a Multiple Zero at Origin*

M. Y. Mir[†], S. L. Wali and W. M. Shah

ABSTRACT: If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n with origin as a zero of some multiplicity, then the estimate for $|P'(z)|$ in terms of $|P(z)|$ on $|z| = 1$, when all other zeros of $P(z)$ lie in $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, is known [A. Aziz and W. M. Shah, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **7**(3)(2004), 379-391]. In this paper we prove some results in case $P(z)$ has a zero of multiplicity $s \geq 0$ at origin and all other zeros in $|z| \geq k$, $k \geq 1$, as well as on $|z| = k$, $k \leq 1$. We also consider lacunary-type polynomials of degree n , by which we generalize some earlier well known results.

Key Words: Polynomial, Inequalities, Restricted zeros.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Basic Lemmas	2
3	Main Results	2
4	Proofs of Theorems	3

1. Introduction

For each positive integer n , let \mathcal{P}_n denote the linear space of all polynomials $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n c_j z^j$ of degree at most n over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and P' be its derivative, then concerning the estimate of $|P'(z)|$ in terms of $|P(z)|$ on $|z| = 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have the following famous result due to Bernstein [4].

If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.1)$$

The result is sharp and equality holds only when $P(z)$ is a constant multiple of z^n . Inequality (1.1) is an immediate consequence of a famous result due to Bernstein on the derivative of a trigonometric polynomial (for reference see [4], [11]-[13]). This inequality can be sharpened if there is a restriction on the zeros of $P(z)$. In fact, if $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < 1$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|, \quad (1.2)$$

whereas in reverse direction, if $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| > 1$, then (1.2) can be replaced by

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.3)$$

Both the inequalities are sharp and equality in each holds for the polynomials $P(z) = \alpha z^n + \beta$, where $|\alpha| = |\beta|$. Inequality (1.2) was conjectured by Erdős and latter verified by Lax [8], whereas inequality (1.3) is due to Turán [14].

* The author first is highly thankful to the funding agency DST-INSPIRE for their financial support.

[†] Corresponding author

Submitted May 28, 2023. Published March 24, 2025
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30A15, 26D07, 30C10.

If $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \quad (1.4)$$

and in reverse direction, if $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| > k$, $k \leq 1$, then

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.5)$$

Both these inequalities are sharp and extremal polynomial is $P(z) = (z+k)^n$. The above inequalities (1.4) and (1.5) are due to Malik [9].

Concerning the estimate of $|P'(z)|$ in terms of $|P(z)|$ on $|z| = 1$ for a polynomial $P(z)$ having some zeros at the origin and the rest in $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, Aziz and Shah [3] proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ have all zeros in the disc $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, with s -fold zero at the origin, then*

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n+ks}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.6)$$

The result is sharp and equality holds for $P(z) = z^s(z+k)^{n-s}$.

Inequality (1.6) was further refined by various authors from time to time (for reference see [10]). For lacunary-type polynomials Dewan and Hans [5] proved.

Theorem 1.2 *If $P(z) = c_n z^n + \sum_{i=\eta}^n c_{n-i} z^{n-i}$, $1 \leq \eta \leq n$, is a polynomial of degree n having all zeros on $|z| = k$, $k \leq 1$, then*

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{k^{n-\eta+1}} \left(\frac{n|c_n|k^{2\eta} + \eta|c_{n-\eta}|k^{\eta-1}}{\eta|c_{n-\eta}|(1+k^{\eta-1}) + n|c_n|k^{\eta-1}(1+k^{\eta+1})} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \quad (1.7)$$

2. Basic Lemmas

The following lemma, which we require for the proof of first two theorems is due to Aziz [1].

Lemma 2.1 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $P^*(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})}$, then for $|z| = 1$ and for every real α ,*

$$|P'(z)|^2 + |(P^*(z))'|^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{2} (M_\alpha^2 + M_{\alpha+\pi}^2), \quad (2.1)$$

where $M_\alpha = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |P(e^{\frac{i(\alpha+2k\pi)}{n}})|$ and $M_{\alpha+\pi}$ is obtained from M_α by replacing α by $\alpha + \pi$.

3. Main Results

It is natural to ask what happens to the bound in case of discrete norm for the polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n having a zero of some multiplicity at origin and the rest of the zeros in $|z| \leq k$ or $|z| \geq k$, $k > 0$. As an answer to this question, here we prove the following results which generalize a results due to Aziz [1].

Theorem 3.1 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ have origin as its zero of multiplicity $s \geq 0$ and all other zeros lie in the disc $|z| \geq 1$, then for $|z| = 1$*

$$|P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \left[M_\alpha^2 + M_{\alpha+\pi}^2 + \frac{2}{n} s |P(z)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where M_α and $M_{\alpha+\pi}$ are defined in Lemma 2.1.

As a generalization of Theorem 3.1, we prove the following :

Theorem 3.2 *If $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ have all zeros in the disc $|z| \geq k$, $k \geq 1$ except s -fold zero at origin, then for $|z| = 1$*

$$|P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \left\{ M_\alpha^2 + M_{\alpha+\pi}^2 + \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{n+2ks-nk}{1+k} \right) |P(z)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where M_α and $M_{\alpha+\pi}$ are defined in Lemma 2.1.

Next we prove the following result which is an extension of Theorem 1.2 to the polynomials of the type $P(z) = z^s (c_{n-s} z^{n-s} + \sum_{t=\eta}^{n-s} c_{n-s-t} z^{n-s-t})$, $1 \leq \eta \leq n-s$, $0 \leq s \leq n-1$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $P(z) = z^s (c_{n-s} z^{n-s} + \sum_{t=\eta}^{n-s} c_{n-s-t} z^{n-s-t})$, $1 \leq \eta \leq n-s$, $0 \leq s \leq n-1$ be a polynomial of degree n , having all zeros on $|z| = k$, $k \leq 1$ except s -fold zeros at origin, then*

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{k^{n-s-\eta+1}} \left(\frac{(n-s)L + sT}{\eta |c_{n-s-\eta}| (1+k^{\eta-1}) + (n-s) |c_{n-s}| k^{\eta-1} (1+k^{\eta+1})} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|,$$

where

$$L = (n-s) |c_{n-s}| k^{2\eta} + \eta |c_{n-s-\eta}| k^{\eta-1}$$

and

$$T = k^{n-s-\eta+1} \left(\eta |c_{n-s-\eta}| (1+k^{\eta-1}) + (n-s) |c_{n-s}| k^{\eta-1} (1+k^{\eta+1}) \right).$$

Remark 3.1 For $s = 0$, Theorem 3.3 reduces to the result due to Dewan and Hans [5] and for $\eta = 1$, $s = 0$, Theorem 3.3 reduces to the result of Dewan and Mir [6].

4. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Since all the zeros of the polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n lie in $|z| \geq 1$ and origin is its zero of multiplicity $s \geq 0$, therefore we can write

$$P(z) = z^s h(z), \quad (4.1)$$

where $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-s$ having all zeros in $|z| \geq 1$. We write

$$h(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-s} (z - z_j),$$

where z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-s} are zeros of $h(z)$, $|z_j| \geq 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n-s$. Therefore

$$\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} \frac{1}{z - z_j}$$

From (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} &= s + \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)}, \\ &= s + \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} \frac{z}{z - z_j}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$\Re \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} = s + \Re \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} \frac{z}{z - z_j}, \quad |z_j| \geq 1. \quad (4.2)$$

It can be easily verified that for $|z| = 1$ and $|z_j| \geq 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-s$,

$$\Re \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} \frac{z}{z - z_j} \leq \frac{n-s}{2}.$$

Using this in (4.2), we get

$$\Re \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \leq \frac{n+s}{2}. \quad (4.3)$$

Now if $P^*(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})}$, then it can be easily verified that for $|z| = 1$

$$|(P^*(z))'| = |nP(z) - zP'(z)|. \quad (4.4)$$

This gives by using inequality (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(P^*(z))'}{P(z)} \right|^2 &= \left| n - \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \right|^2 \\ &= n^2 + \left| \frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} \right|^2 - 2n \Re \left(z \frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} \right) \\ &\geq \left| \frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} \right|^2 - ns, \quad |z| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\left\{ |P'(z)|^2 - ns|P(z)|^2 \right\} \leq |(P^*(z))'|^2 \quad |z| = 1. \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2|P'(z)|^2 - ns|P(z)|^2 &\leq |(P^*(z))'|^2 + |P'(z)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{n^2}{2} (M_\alpha^2 + M_{\alpha+\pi}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we get for $|z| = 1$

$$|P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \left[M_\alpha^2 + M_{\alpha+\pi}^2 + \frac{2}{n} s |P(z)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.2: Since $P(z)$ has all zeros in $|z| \geq k$, $k \geq 1$, except s -fold zero at origin, therefore

$$P(z) = z^s f(z).$$

Let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-s} be the zeros of $f(z)$, so that we can write

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-s} (z - z_j), \quad |z_j| \geq k \geq 1.$$

This gives as in the proof of Theorem 3.1

$$\Re \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} = s + \Re \sum_{j=1}^{n-s} \frac{z}{z - z_j}. \quad (4.6)$$

Since $k \geq 1$, it can be easily verified that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z}{z - z_j} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{1 + k},$$

Using this in (4.6) we get

$$\Re \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \leq \frac{n + ks}{1 + k}. \quad (4.7)$$

Again as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain by using inequality (4.7) for $|z| = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(P^*(z))'}{P(z)} \right|^2 &= n^2 + \left| \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \right|^2 - 2n \Re \left(\frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \right) \\ &\geq n^2 + \left| \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \right|^2 - 2n \frac{n + ks}{1 + k} \\ &= \left| \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} \right|^2 + \frac{n(nk - n - 2ks)}{1 + k}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$|P'(z)|^2 + \left\{ \frac{n(nk - n - 2ks)}{1 + k} \right\} |P(z)|^2 \leq |(P^*(z))'|^2. \quad (4.8)$$

From inequality (4.8), it easily follows by using Lemma 2.1

$$\left\{ 2|P'(z)|^2 + \left\{ \frac{n(nk - n - 2ks)}{1 + k} \right\} |P(z)|^2 \right\} \leq \frac{n^2}{2} (M_\alpha^2 + M_{\alpha+\pi}^2). \quad (4.9)$$

From (4.9) we conclude that for $|z| = 1$,

$$|P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \left\{ M_\alpha^2 + M_{\alpha+\pi}^2 + \frac{2}{n} \left(\frac{n + 2ks - nk}{1 + k} \right) |P(z)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This completes proof of Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.3: Let $P(z) = z^s \phi(z)$, where

$$\phi(z) = (c_{n-s} z^{n-s} + \sum_{t=\eta}^{n-s} c_{n-s-t} z^{n-s-t}),$$

is a polynomial of degree $n - s$, having all its zeros on $|z| = k, k \leq 1$. Using inequality (1.7) for $\phi(z)$, we get

$$\max_{|z|=1} |\phi'(z)| \leq \frac{n-s}{k^{n-s-\eta+1}} \left(\frac{(n-s)|c_{n-s}|k^{2\eta} + \eta|c_{n-s-\eta}|k^{\eta-1}}{\eta|c_{n-s-\eta}|(1+k^{\eta-1}) + (n-s)|c_{n-s}|k^{\eta-1}(1+k^{\eta+1})} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |\phi(z)|. \quad (4.10)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} zP'(z) &= sz^s \phi(z) + z^{s+1} \phi'(z) \\ &= sP(z) + z^{s+1} \phi'(z). \end{aligned}$$

For $|z| = 1$, we have

$$|P'(z)| \leq s|P(z)| + |\phi'(z)|.$$

This implies

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq s \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \max_{|z|=1} |\phi'(z)|. \quad (4.11)$$

Combining (4.10) and (4.11), we get using $\max_{|z|=1} |\phi(z)| = \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \\ & \leq s \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \frac{n-s}{k^{n-s-\eta+1}} \left(\frac{(n-s)|c_{n-s}|k^{2\eta} + \eta|c_{n-s-\eta}|k^{\eta-1}}{\eta|c_{n-s-\eta}|(1+k^{\eta-1}) + (n-s)|c_{n-s}|k^{\eta-1}(1+k^{\eta+1})} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

On solving the above inequality, we get

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{k^{n-s-\eta+1}} \left(\frac{(n-s)L + sT}{\eta|c_{n-s-\eta}|(1+k^{\eta-1}) + (n-s)|c_{n-s}|k^{\eta-1}(1+k^{\eta+1})} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|,$$

where L, T are defined in the statement of theorem.

This completely proves Theorem 3.3.

Acknowledgment. The authors are highly grateful to the referees for their useful suggestions.

Statement of Ethics. The authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. A. Aziz, *A refinement of an inequality of S. Bernstein*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **142**(1989), 1-10.
2. A. Aziz and Q. G. Mohammad, *Simple proof of a Theorem of Erdős and Lax*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **80** (1980), 119-122.
3. A. Aziz and W. M. Shah, *Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **7**(3)(2004), 379-391.
4. S. Bernstein, *Sur la limitation des dérivées des polynomes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris., **190**(1930), 338-340.
5. K. K. Dewan, S. Hans, *On maximum modulus for the derivative of a polynomial*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **63**(2009), 55-62.
6. K. K. Dewan, A. Mir, *Note on a theorem of S. Bernstein*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Math. **31** (2007), 691-695.
7. C. Frappier, Q. I. Rahman and St. Rusheweyh, *New Inequalities for Polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **288**(1985), 69-99.
8. P. D. Lax, *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős on the derivative of a polynomial*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **50**(1944), 509-513.
9. M. A. Malik, *On the derivative of a polynomial*, J. London. Math. Soc., **2**(1)(1969), 57-60.
10. M. Y. Mir, S. L. Wali and W. M. Shah, *Generalization of some differential inequalities for polynomials*, J. Cont. Math. Anal., **58**(2023), 81-88.
11. G. V. Milovanovic, D. S. Mitrinovic and Th. M. Rassias; *Topics in Polynomials, Extremal Polynomials, Inequalities, Zeros*. (Singapore, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ)1994.
12. G. Polya and G. Szego, *Problems and Theorems in Analysis*, Vol. 1, Springer, New York, 1972.
13. Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Analytic theory of polynomials*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
14. P. Turán, *Über die Ableitung von Polynomen*, Compositio Math., **7**(1939), 89-95.

M. Y. Mir,

S. L. Wali,

W. M. Shah,

Department of Mathematics,

Central University of Kashmir,

Ganderbal-191201 India.

E-mail address: myousf@cukashmir.ac.in

E-mail address: shahlw@yahoo.co.in

E-mail address: wali@cukashmir.ac.in