



The Strongly $(M, N)_h$ -Convex Functions and Hadamard Inequalities

Lakhlifa Sadek

ABSTRACT: In this study, we introduce the concept of highly $(M, N)_h$ -convex functions with respect to a parameter $\alpha > 0$ and explore their properties. These functions exhibit certain distinct characteristics which we investigate thoroughly. Drawing inspiration from the notion of strongly $(M, N)_h$ -convex functions, we provide a framework for characterizing inner product spaces (IPS). By leveraging the concept of strongly $(M, N)_h$ -convex functions, we present insightful results that shed light on the structure and properties of IPS. Furthermore, we establish that strongly $(M, N)_h$ -convex functions satisfy Hadamard inequalities, which offer valuable insights into their behavior and relationships with other mathematical structures. These inequalities provide bounds and constraints that contribute to a deeper understanding of the geometric and analytical properties of strongly $(M, N)_h$ -convex functions. Overall, our study not only introduces and investigates the properties of highly $(M, N)_h$ -convex functions but also demonstrates their utility in characterizing inner product spaces and establishing fundamental inequalities, thereby contributing to the advancement of mathematical theory and its applications.

Key Words: $(M, N)_h$ -convex functions ($(M, N)_h$ -CF), h -convex functions (h -CF), convex functions (CF), strongly $(M, N)_h$ -convex functions (S- $(M, N)_h$ -CF), Hadamard inequalities (HI).

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Main result	2

1. Introduction

The HI's [1] for CF's state that if $\psi : J \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a CF on J and $k_1, k_2 \in J$ with $k_1 < k_2$, then

$$\psi\left(\frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k_2 - k_1} \int_{k_1}^{k_2} \psi(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{\psi(k_1) + \psi(k_2)}{2}. \quad (1.1)$$

In the last decades, inequality (1.1) has evoked the interest of many mathematicians. Numerous generalizations of this inequality have been obtained see [2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11].

Let the function $h : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. A function ψ is said to be h -CF [12] on J if

$$\psi(\beta t_1 + (1 - \beta)t_2) \leq h(\beta)\psi(t_1) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(t_2), \forall t_1, t_2 \in J, \forall \beta \in (0, 1). \quad (1.2)$$

In the specific case:

- If $h(k) = k$, the function ψ on J is convex functions.
- If $h(k) = k^s$, the function ψ on J is s -convex functions.
- If $h(k) = \frac{1}{k}$, the function ψ on J is Gudunova-Levin functions.
- If $h(k) = 1$, the function ψ on J is P -functions.

For the properties of them can be found see [13,14,15,16,17].

Let us consider the functions $M, N : [k_1, k_2] \rightarrow [k_1, k_2]$ with $[k_1, k_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Youness [18] defined the M -CF:

Definition 1.1 Let $\psi : [k_1, k_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. the function ψ is said to be M -CF on $[k_1, k_2]$ if

$$\psi(\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)M(t_2)) \leq \beta\psi(M(t_1)) + (1 - \beta)\psi(M(t_2)), \forall t_1 \in [k_1, k_2], t_2 \in [k_1, k_2], \forall \beta \in (0, 1).$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A51.

Submitted June 26, 2023. Published October 30, 2025

If $M(t) = t$ for all $t \in [k_1, k_2]$, then the classical convexity. For the properties of the M -CF's can be found see [19,20].

Saleh [21] defined the (M, N) -CF's:

Definition 1.2 Let the function $\psi : [k_1, k_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. ψ is said to be (M, N) -CF on $[k_1, k_2]$ if

$$\psi(\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)) \leq \beta\psi(M(t_1)) + (1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)), \forall t_1 \in [k_1, k_2], t_2 \in [k_1, k_2], \beta \in [0, 1].$$

Definition 1.3 The ψ is called strongly CF on J with modulus $\alpha > 0$, if

$$\psi(\beta t_1 + (1 - \beta)t_2) \leq \beta\psi(t_1) + (1 - \beta)\psi(t_2) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)(t_1 - t_2)^2,$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in J$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

The function ψ is called strongly h -CF [14] with modulus $\alpha > 0$, if

$$\psi(\beta t_1 + (1 - \beta)t_2) \leq h(\beta)\psi(t_1) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(t_2) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)(t_1 - t_2)^2.$$

In [22] have been introduced strongly convex functions, they play an important role in mathematical economics and optimization theory. (see [14,15,22,23,24]).

In this study, we define $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF's defined in normed spaces and discuss some of their characteristics. We provide a representation of highly $(M, N)_h$ -CF's in IPS, and among normed spaces, we give a characterization of IPS that includes the concept of $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF. Inequalities of the HI are introduced for $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF's as a final section. The HI for $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF's are generalized by this result.

2. Main result

The following uses $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ to symbolize a real normed space, F to represent a (M, N) -convex subset of E (Definition 2.1), $M, N : F \rightarrow F$, $h : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are provided functions, and α is a positive constant.

Definition 2.1 F is called (M, N) -convex set if

$$\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2) \in F, \forall t_1, t_2 \in F, \forall \beta \in [0, 1].$$

Definition 2.2 Let the function $\psi : F \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. ψ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF on F with modulus α if

$$\psi(\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)) \leq h(\beta)\psi(M(t_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)\|M(t_1) - N(t_2)\|^2, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in F$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

In the specific case:

- If $h(t) = t$, the function ψ on F is strongly (M, N) -convex functions.
- If $h(t) = t^s$, ($s \in (0, 1)$), the function ψ on F is strongly $(M, N)_s$ -convex functions.
- If $h(t) = \frac{1}{t}$, the function ψ on F is strongly (M, N) -Gudunova-Levin functions.
- If $h(t) = 1$, the function ψ on F is (M, N) - P -functions.
- If $\alpha = 0$, the function ψ on F is $(M, N)_h$ -convex function.

Remark 2.1 Assume that $h(\beta) \geq \beta$ for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$. If ψ is $S-(M, N)$ -CF on J , then for $t_1, t_2 \in J$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)) &\leq \beta\psi(M(t_1)) + (1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)\|M(t_1) - N(t_2)\|^2 \\ &\leq h(\beta)\psi(M(t_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)\|M(t_1) - N(t_2)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so ψ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF on J .

Lemma 2.1 Let $h_1, h_2 : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be given functions such that $h_2(\beta) \leq h_1(\beta)$ for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$. If ψ is $S-(M, N)_{h_2}$ -CF on J , then ψ is $S-(M, N)_{h_1}$ -CF on J .

Proof: Since ψ is $S-(M, N)_{h_2}$ -CF on J , thus for $t_1, t_2 \in J$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)) &\leq h_2(\beta)\psi(M(t_1)) + h_2(1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)\|M(t_1) - N(t_2)\|^2 \\ &\leq h_1(\beta)\psi(M(t_1)) + h_1(1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)\|M(t_1) - N(t_2)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.2 If $\psi_1, \psi_2 : J \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF's on J and $\alpha > 0$, then for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $\psi_1 + \psi_2$ and $\alpha\psi_1$ are $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF on J .

Proof: The proof is evident from the definition of highly $S-(M, N)_h$ -convexity. □

The following lemma which gives some relationships between $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF's and $(M, N)_h$ -CF's in the case where E is a real IPS (that is, the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is induced by an inner product: $\|t\| := \langle t | t \rangle$).

Lemma 2.3 Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a IPS, F be a (M, N) -convex subset of E and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

- (i) If $h(\beta) \leq \beta, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\psi : F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus α , then the function $\varphi = \psi - \alpha\|\cdot\|^2$ is $(M, N)_h$ -CF.
- (ii) If $h(\beta) \leq \beta, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\varphi = \psi - \alpha\|\cdot\|^2$ is $(M, N)_h$ -CF, then the function $\psi : F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is $S-(M, N)$ -CF with modulus α .
- (iii) If $h(\beta) \geq \beta, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\psi : F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus α , then the function $\varphi = \psi - \alpha\|\cdot\|^2$ is $(M, N)_h$ -CF.

Proof: (i) ψ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus α . Using properties of the inner product and assumption $h(\beta) \leq \beta, \beta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)) \\ &= \psi(\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)) - \alpha\|\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)\|^2 \\ &\leq h(\beta)\psi(M(t_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)\|M(t_1) - N(t_2)\|^2 - \alpha\|\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)\|^2 \\ &\leq h(\beta)\psi(M(t_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha(\beta(1 - \beta)[\|M(t_1)\|^2 - 2\langle M(t_1) | N(t_2) \rangle + \|N(t_2)\|^2] \\ &\quad + [\beta^2\|M(t_1)\|^2 + 2\beta(1 - \beta)\langle M(t_1) | N(t_2) \rangle + (1 - \beta)^2\|N(t_2)\|^2]) \\ &= h(\beta)\psi(M(t_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha\beta\|M(t_1)\|^2 - \alpha(1 - \beta)\|N(t_2)\|^2 \\ &\leq h(\beta)\psi(M(t_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha h(\beta)\|M(t_1)\|^2 - \alpha h(1 - \beta)\|N(t_2)\|^2 \\ &= h(\beta)\varphi(M(t_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\varphi(N(t_2)), \end{aligned}$$

which gives that φ is a $(M, N)_h$ -CF on D .

(ii) Since φ is a $(M, N)_h$ -CF, and using the assumption $h(\beta) \leq \beta, \beta \in (0, 1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)) &= \varphi(\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)) + \alpha\|\beta M(t_1) + (1 - \beta)N(t_2)\|^2 \\ &\leq h(\beta)\varphi(M(t_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\varphi(N(t_2)) \\ &\quad + \alpha(\beta^2\|M(t_1)\|^2 + 2\beta(1 - \beta)\langle M(t_1) | N(t_2) \rangle + (1 - \beta)^2\|N(t_2)\|^2) \\ &\leq \beta[\varphi(M(t_1)) + \alpha\|M(t_1)\|^2] + (1 - \beta)[\varphi(N(t_2)) + \alpha\|N(t_2)\|^2] \\ &\quad - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)[\|M(t_1)\|^2 - 2\langle M(t_1) | N(t_2) \rangle + \|N(t_2)\|^2] \\ &= \beta\psi(M(t_1)) + (1 - \beta)\psi(N(t_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)\|M(t_1) - N(t_2)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that ψ is $S-(M, N)$ -CF with modulus α .

(iii) Similar to that, we can demonstrate it. \square

The example that follows demonstrates how crucial it is for the above lemma that E be assumed to be an inner product space.

Exapmle 1 Let the function h such that $h(\beta) = \beta$ for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $E = \mathbb{R}^2$. Let the functions $M, N : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $M(t) = t$ and $N(t) = t$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$\|t\| = \max\{|t_1|, |t_2|\},$$

for $t = (t_1, t_2)$. Let $\psi = \|\cdot\|^2$. Then $\varphi = \psi - \|\cdot\|^2$ is $(M, N)_h$ -CF. However, ψ is not $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus 1. Indeed, for $t_1 = (1, 0)$ and $t_2 = (0, 1)$, so

$$\psi\left(\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{3}{4} = \frac{\psi(t_1) + \psi(t_2)}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\|t_1 - t_2\|^2,$$

which contradicts (2.1).

The assumption that E is an IPS in the Lemma 2.3 is essential. Moreover, it appears that the fact that for every $(M, N)_h$ -CF $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function $\psi = \varphi + \alpha\|\cdot\|^2$ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF characterizes IPS among normed spaces. Similar characterizations of IPS by strongly CF, strongly- h -CF and strongly M -CF's are presented in [14, 15, 24], respectively.

Theorem 2.1 Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space, $F \subset E$ (M, N) -CF and assume that $h(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- i) $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a IPS.
- ii) $\forall \alpha > 0, h(\beta) \geq \beta, \beta \in (0, 1)$, and for every $(M, N)_h$ -CF $\varphi : F \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined on F , $\psi = \varphi + \alpha\|\cdot\|^2$ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus α .
- iii) $\|\cdot\|^2 : E \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus 1.

Proof: From Lemma 2.3 we have The implication i) \Rightarrow ii). To see that ii) \Rightarrow iii) take $\varphi = 0$.

Clearly, φ is $(M, N)_h$ -CF, whence $\psi = \alpha\|\cdot\|^2$ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus α .

Consequently, $\|\cdot\|^2$ is $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus 1. Finally, to prove iii) \Rightarrow i) observe that by the $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF of $\|\cdot\|^2$ and assumption $h(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{M(t_1) + N(t_2)}{2}\right\|^2 \leq \frac{\|M(t_1)\|^2}{2} + \frac{\|N(t_2)\|^2}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\|M(t_1) + N(t_2)\|^2,$$

and hence

$$\|M(t_1) + N(t_2)\|^2 \leq 2\|M(t_1)\|^2 + 2\|N(t_2)\|^2, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in E$. Now, putting $x = M(t_1) + N(t_2)$ and $y = M(t_1) - N(t_2)$ in (2.2), so

$$2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \leq \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2. \quad (2.3)$$

From the conditions (2.2) and (2.3) mean that the norm $\|\cdot\|^2$ satisfies the parallelogram law, which implies, by the Jordan-Von Neumann theorem, that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is an IPS. \square

For $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF's with modulus α , we now provide new HI as follows:

Theorem 2.2 *Let $M, N : [k_1, k_2] \rightarrow [k_1, k_2]$ the continuous functions, let the function $\psi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ Lebesgue integrable and $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus $\alpha > 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \psi\left(\frac{M(k_1) + N(k_2)}{2}\right) + \frac{\alpha}{24h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} (M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N(k_2) - M(k_1)} \int_{M(k_1)}^{N(k_2)} f(\tau) d\tau \\ & \leq [\psi(M(k_1)) + \psi(N(k_2))] \int_0^1 h(\beta) d\beta - \frac{\alpha}{6} (M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Proof: Let ψ $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF, so

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\frac{M(k_1) + N(k_2)}{2}\right) &= \psi\left(\frac{\beta M(k_1) + (1 - \beta)N(k_2)}{2} + \frac{(1 - \beta)M(k_1) + \beta N(k_2)}{2}\right) \\ &\leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) [f(\beta M(k_1) + (1 - \beta)N(k_2)) + \psi((1 - \beta)M(k_1) + \beta N(k_2))] \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{4} (1 - 2\beta)^2 (M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2. \end{aligned}$$

When we integrate the aforementioned inequality throughout the range $(0, 1)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi\left(\frac{M(k_1) + N(k_2)}{2}\right) + \frac{\alpha}{12} (M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 \\ & \leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\int_0^1 \psi(\beta M(k_1) + (1 - \beta)N(k_2)) d\beta + \int_0^1 \psi((1 - \beta)M(k_1) + \beta N(k_2)) d\beta \right]. \end{aligned}$$

we substitute $t = \beta M(k_1) + (1 - \beta)N(k_2)$ and $t = (1 - \beta)M(k_1) + \beta N(k_2)$, the first and second integral respectfully, so

$$\psi\left(\frac{M(k_1) + N(k_2)}{2}\right) + \frac{\alpha}{12} (M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 \leq \frac{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{N(k_2) - M(k_1)} \int_{M(k_1)}^{N(k_2)} \psi(t) dt.$$

To demonstrate the second inequality, we begin by assuming that ψ possesses $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF, which means that for each $\beta \in (0, 1)$, one has

$$\psi(\beta M(k_1) + (1 - \beta)N(k_2)) \leq h(\beta)\psi(M(k_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(N(k_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2.$$

When we integrate the aforementioned inequality throughout the range $(0, 1)$, we get

$$\int_0^1 \psi(\beta M(k_1) + (1 - \beta)N(k_2)) d\beta \leq [\psi(M(k_1)) + \psi(N(k_2))] \int_0^1 h(\beta) d\beta - \alpha(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 \int_0^1 \beta(1 - \beta) d\beta.$$

The prior replacement in this inequality's first side results in

$$\frac{1}{(M(k_1) - N(k_2))} \int_{N(k_2)}^{M(k_1)} \psi(t) dt \leq [\psi(M(k_1)) + \psi(N(k_2))] \int_0^1 h(\beta) d\beta - \frac{\alpha}{6} (M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2,$$

the second inequality of which (2.4). □

Remark 2.2 *If $h(\beta) = \beta, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and $M = N$, then the inequalities (2.4) coincide with the HI for strongly M -CF's proved by Sarikaya in [15].*

Corollary 2.1 Let $M, N : [k_1, k_2] \rightarrow [k_1, k_2]$ the continuous functions, let the function $\psi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ Lebesgue integrable and $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus $\alpha > 0$, with $h(\beta) = \beta^s (s \in (0, 1)), \beta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{s-1}\psi\left(\frac{M(k_1) + N(k_2)}{2}\right) + \frac{\alpha 2^s}{24}(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 &\leq \frac{1}{N(k_2) - M(k_1)} \int_{M(k_1)}^{N(k_2)} \psi(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{\psi(M(k_1)) + \psi(N(k_2))}{s+1} - \frac{\alpha}{6}(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2. \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities are associated HI's for $S-(M, N)_s$ -CF's.

Corollary 2.2 Let $M, N : [k_1, k_2] \rightarrow [k_1, k_2]$ the continuous functions, let the function $\psi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ Lebesgue integrable and $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus $\alpha > 0$, with $h(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta}, \beta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\psi\left(\frac{M(k_1) + N(k_2)}{2}\right) + \frac{\alpha}{48}(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 \leq \frac{1}{N(k_2) - M(k_1)} \int_{M(k_1)}^{N(k_2)} \psi(t) dt.$$

This inequality is associated HI's for $S-(M, N)$ -Godunova-Levin functions.

Corollary 2.3 Let $M, N : [k_1, k_2] \rightarrow [k_1, k_2]$ the continuous functions, let the function $\psi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ Lebesgue integrable and $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus $\alpha > 0$, with $h(\beta) = 1, \beta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(\frac{M(k_1) + N(k_2)}{2}\right) + \frac{\alpha}{24}(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 &\leq \frac{1}{N(k_2) - M(k_1)} \int_{M(k_1)}^{N(k_2)} \psi(t) dt \\ &\leq \psi(M(k_1)) + \psi(N(k_2)) - \frac{\alpha}{6}(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2. \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities are associated HI's for $S-(M, N)$ - P -convex functions.

Theorem 2.3 Let $M, N : [k_1, k_2] \rightarrow [k_1, k_2]$ the continuous functions, let the function $\psi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ Lebesgue integrable and $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF with modulus α , then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{N(k_2) - M(k_1)} \int_{M(k_1)}^{N(k_2)} \psi(t) \psi(k_1 + k_2 - t) dt \\ &\leq [\psi^2(M(k_1)) + \psi^2(N(k_2))] \int_0^1 h(\beta) h(1 - \beta) d\beta + 2\psi(M(k_1))\psi(N(k_2)) \int_0^1 h^2(\beta) d\beta \\ &\quad - 2\alpha(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 [\psi(M(k_1)) + \psi(N(k_2))] \int_0^1 \beta(1 - \beta) h(\beta) d\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{30} (M(k_1) - N(k_2))^4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Proof: ψ $S-(M, N)_h$ -CF, so that for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$

$$\psi(\beta M(k_1) + (1 - \beta)N(k_2)) \leq h(\beta)\psi(M(k_1)) + h(1 - \beta)\psi(N(k_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\psi((1 - \beta)M(k_1) + \beta N(k_2)) \leq h(1 - \beta)\psi(M(k_1)) + h(\beta)\psi(N(k_2)) - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2. \quad (2.7)$$

From Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(\beta M(k_1) + (1 - \beta)N(k_2))\psi((1 - \beta)M(k_1) + \beta N(k_2)) \\ &\leq h(\beta)h(1 - \beta) [\psi^2(M(k_1)) + \psi^2(N(k_2))] + (h^2(\beta) + h^2(1 - \beta)) \psi(M(k_1))\psi(N(k_2)) \\ &\quad - \alpha\beta(1 - \beta)(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 [\psi(M(k_1)) + \psi(N(k_2))] [h(\beta) + h(1 - \beta)] \\ &\quad + \alpha^2\beta^2(1 - \beta)^2 (M(k_1) - N(k_2))^4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Integrating the inequality (2.8) with respect to β over $(0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \psi(\beta M(k_1) + (1-\beta)N(k_2))\psi((1-\beta)M(k_1) + \beta N(k_2))d\beta \\ & \leq [\psi^2(M(k_1)) + \psi^2(N(k_2))] \int_0^1 h(\beta)h(1-\beta)d\beta + 2\psi(M(k_1))\psi(N(k_2)) \int_0^1 h^2(\beta)d\beta \\ & \quad - 2\alpha(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2[\psi(M(k_1)) + \psi(N(k_2))] \int_0^1 \beta(1-\beta)h(\beta)d\beta \\ & \quad + \frac{\alpha^2}{30}(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^4. \end{aligned}$$

We change the variable $t := \beta M(k_1) + (1-\beta)N(k_2)$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we get the required inequality in (2.5). \square

Theorem 2.4 Let $M, N : [k_1, k_2] \rightarrow [k_1, k_2]$ the continuous functions, let the functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ Lebesgue integrable and S -(M, N) $_h$ -CF with modulus $\alpha > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N(k_2) - M(k_1)} \int_{M(k_1)}^{N(k_2)} \psi_1(x)dx \leq H_1(k_1, k_2) \int_0^1 h^2(\beta)d\beta + H_2(k_1, k_2) \int_0^1 h(\beta)h(1-\beta)d\beta \\ & \quad - \alpha(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2 H_3(k_1, k_2) \int_0^1 \beta(1-\beta)h(\beta)d\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{30}(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^4, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(k_1, k_2) &= \psi_1(M(k_1))\psi_2(M(k_1)) + \psi_1(N(k_2))\psi_2(N(k_2)), \\ H_2(k_1, k_2) &= \psi_1(M(k_1))\psi_2(N(k_2)) + \psi_1(N(k_2))\psi_2(M(k_1)), \\ H_3(k_1, k_2) &= \psi_1(M(k_1)) + \psi_1(N(k_2)) + \psi_2(M(k_1)) + \psi_2(N(k_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: $\psi_1, \psi_2 : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ S -(M, N) $_h$ -CF, we get

$$\psi_1(\beta M(k_1) + (1-\beta)N(k_2)) \leq h(\beta)\psi_1(M(k_1)) + h(1-\beta)\psi_1(N(k_2)) - \alpha\beta(1-\beta)(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\psi_2(\beta M(k_1) + (1-\beta)N(k_2)) \leq h(\beta)\psi_2(M(k_1)) + h(1-\beta)\psi_2(N(k_2)) - \alpha\beta(1-\beta)(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2. \quad (2.11)$$

From Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_1(\beta M(k_1) + (1-\beta)N(k_2))\psi_2(\beta M(k_1) + (1-\beta)N(k_2)) \\ & \leq h^2(\beta)\psi_1(M(k_1))\psi_2(M(k_2)) + h^2(1-\beta)\psi_1(N(k_2))\psi_2(N(k_2)) \\ & \quad + h(\beta)h(1-\beta)[\psi_1(M(k_1))\psi_2(N(k_2)) + \psi_1(N(k_2))\psi_2(M(k_1))] \\ & \quad - \alpha\beta(1-\beta)h(\beta)(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2[\psi_1(M(k_1)) + \psi_2(M(k_1))] \\ & \quad - \alpha\beta(1-\beta)h(1-\beta)(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2[\psi_1(N(k_2)) + \psi_2(N(k_2))] \\ & \quad + \alpha^2\beta^2(1-\beta)^2(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^4. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality over the interval $(0, 1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \psi_1(\beta M(k_1) + (1-\beta)N(k_2))\psi_2(\beta M(k_1) + (1-\beta)N(k_2))d\beta \\ & \leq [\psi_1(M(k_1))\psi_2(M(k_1)) + \psi_1(N(k_2))\psi_2(N(k_2))] \int_0^1 h^2(\beta)d\beta \\ & \quad + [\psi_1(M(k_1))\psi_2(N(k_2)) + \psi_1(N(k_2))\psi_2(M(k_1))] \int_0^1 h(\beta)h(1-\beta)d\beta \\ & \quad - \alpha(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^2[\psi_1(M(k_1)) + \psi_2(M(k_1)) + \psi_1(N(k_2)) + \psi_2(N(k_2))] \int_0^1 \beta(1-\beta)h(\beta)d\beta \\ & \quad + \alpha^2(M(k_1) - N(k_2))^4 \int_0^1 \beta^2(1-\beta)^2d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

In the first integral, we substitute $t = \beta M(k_1) + (1-\beta)N(k_2)$, we obtain (2.9). \square

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express their sincere appreciation to the referees for their very helpful suggestions and many kind comments.

References

1. Dragomir, S. S., & Pearce, C. (2003). Selected topics on Hermite-Hadamard inequalities and applications. Science Direct Working Paper, (S1574-0358), 04.
2. Bakula, M. K., & Pecaric, J. (2004). Note on some Hadamard-type inequalities. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 5(3) article 74.
3. Chu, Y. M., Wang, G. D., & Zhang, X. H. (2010). Schur convexity and Hadamard's inequality. Math. Inequal. Appl, 13(4), 725-731.
4. Dragomir, S. S., & Fitzpatrick, S. (1999). The Hadamard inequalities for s-convex functions in the second sense. Demonstratio Mathematica, 32(4), 687-696.
5. Guessab, A., & Schmeisser, G. (2002). Sharp integral inequalities of the Hermite-Hadamard type. Journal of approximation theory, 115(2), 260-288.
6. Set, E., Özdemir, M., & Dragomir, S. (2010). On the Hermite-Hadamard inequality and other integral inequalities involving two functions. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2010, 1-9.
7. Set, E., Özdemir, M., & Dragomir, S. (2010). On Hadamard-type inequalities involving several kinds of convexity. Journal of inequalities and applications, 2010, 1-12.
8. Zhang, X. M., Chu, Y. M., & Zhang, X. H. (2010). The Hermite-Hadamard type inequality of GA-convex functions and its application. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2010, 1-11.
9. Neamah, M. K., Ibrahim, A., Mehdy, H. S., Redhwan, S. S., & Abdo, M. S. (2022). Some new fractional inequalities involving convex functions and generalized fractional integral operator. Journal of Function Spaces, 2022.
10. Aljaaidi, T. A., Pachpatte, D. B., Abdo, M. S., Botmart, T., Ahmad, H., Almalahi, M. A., & Redhwan, S. S. (2021). (k, ψ) -Proportional Fractional Integral Pólya-Szegő-and Grüss-Type Inequalities. Fractal and Fractional, 5(4), 172.
11. Aljaaidi, T. A., Pachpatte, D. B., Shatanawi, W., Abdo, M. S., & Abodayeh, K. (2021). Generalized proportional fractional integral functional bounds in Minkowski's inequalities. Advances in Difference Equations, 2021(1), 419.
12. Varošanec, S. (2007). On h-convexity. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 326(1), 303-311.
13. Sarikaya, M. Z., Set, E., & Ozdemir, M. E. (2010). On some new inequalities of Hadamard type involving h-convex functions. Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.(NS), 79(2), 265-272.
14. Angulo, H., Giménez, J., Moros, A. M., & Nikodem, K. (2011). On strongly h -convex functions. Annals of functional analysis, 2(2), 85-91.
15. Sarikaya, M.Z. On strongly φ_h -convex functions in inner product spaces. Arab. J. Math. 2, 295–302 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40065-013-0069-y>
16. Sarikaya, M. Z., Saglam, A., & Yildirim, H. (2008). On some Hadamard-type inequalities for h-convex functions. J. Math. Inequal, 2(3), 335-341.
17. Zhang, X. M., & Chu, Y. M. (2010). Convexity of the integral arithmetic mean of a convex function. The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 1061-1068.
18. Youness, E. A. (1999). E-convex sets, E-convex functions, and E-convex programming. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 102(2), 439-450.
19. Cristescu, G. (2004). Hadamard type inequalities for ϕ -convex functions. Annals of the University of Oradea, Fascicle of Management and Technological Engineering, CD-Rom Edition, III (XIII).
20. Cristescu, G., Lupsa, L., & Lupsa, L. (2002). Non-connected convexities and applications (Vol. 68). Springer Science & Business Media.
21. Saleh, W. (2022). Hermite-Hadamard type inequality for (E, F)-convex functions and geodesic (E, F)-convex functions. RAIRO-Operations Research, 56(6), 4181-4189.
22. Polyak, B. T. (1966). Existence theorems and convergence of minimizing sequences for extremal problems with constraints. In Doklady Akademii Nauk (Vol. 166, No. 2, pp. 287-290). Russian Academy of Sciences.
23. Merentes, N., & Nikodem, K. (2010). Remarks on strongly convex functions. Aequationes mathematicae, 80(1), 193-199.
24. Nikodem, K., & Pales, Z. (2011). Characterizations of inner product spaces by strongly convex functions. Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 5(1), 83-87.

Lakhlifa Sadek,

*^a Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,
Chennai 602105, Tamilnadu, India*

*^b Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Al-Hoceima, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tetouan,
Morocco.*

E-mail address: lakhlifasadek@gmail.com; l.sadek@uae.ac.ma.