



On some low separation axioms in bitopological spaces

M. Caldas, S. Jafari, S. A. Ponmani and M. L. Thivagar

ABSTRACT: Recently, in 2003, Caldas et al. [1] introduced the notions of $\Lambda_\delta-T_i$ and $\Lambda_\delta-R_i$ topological spaces for $i = 1, 2$ as a version of the known notions of T_i and R_i ($i = 1, 2$) topological spaces [8] and [2]. In this paper, we extend $\Lambda_\delta-T_i$ and $\Lambda_\delta-R_i$ to bitopological spaces for $i = 1, 2$ and define the notions of pairwise $\Lambda_\delta-T_i$ and $\Lambda_\delta-R_i$ bitopological spaces for $i = 1, 2$. In this context, we study some of the fundamental properties of such spaces. Moreover, we investigate their relationship to some other known separation axioms.

Key Words: bitopological spaces, δ -open sets, δ -closure, pairwise $\Lambda_\delta-R_0$, pairwise $\Lambda_\delta-R_1$.

Contents

1 Introduction	69
2 (τ_i, τ_j)-(Λ, δ)-open sets and associated separation axioms	70
3 Pairwise $\Lambda_\delta-R_0$ spaces	73
4 Pairwise $\Lambda_\delta-R_1$ spaces	75

1. Introduction

The concept of bitopological spaces was introduced by Kelly [3] in 1963. In 1943, Shanin [8] introduced the separation axioms R_0 and R_1 in topological spaces. Murdeshwar and Naimpally [[5], Definition 6.9 and Definition 6.11] offered the notions pairwise R_0 and pairwise R_1 bitopological spaces. Recently, Caldas et al. [1] introduced the notions of $\Lambda_\delta-T_0$, $\Lambda_\delta-T_1$, $\Lambda_\delta-R_0$ and $\Lambda_\delta-R_1$ topological spaces as a version of the known notions of R_0 and R_1 topological spaces. In this paper, we offer the pairwise versions of $\Lambda_\delta-T_0$, $\Lambda_\delta-T_1$, $\Lambda_\delta-R_0$ and $\Lambda_\delta-R_1$ in bitopological spaces and investigate their fundamental properties.

Throughout the present paper, the space (X, τ_1, τ_2) always means a bitopological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. For a subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , $\tau_i-Cl(A)$ (resp. $\tau_i-Int(A)$) denotes the closure (resp. interior) of A with respect to τ_i for $i = 1, 2$. The complement of A is denoted by $A^c (= X \setminus A)$.

2. (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open sets and associated separation axioms

Definition 1. A subset A of a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be τ_i - Λ_δ -set if $A = \tau_i$ - $\Lambda_\delta(A)$ where τ_i - $\Lambda_\delta(A) = \cap\{G \in \delta(X, \tau_i)/A \subset G\}$, $i = 1, 2$.
In what follows, by a space we mean a bitopological space.

Definition 2. Let A be a subset of a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

(i) A is called a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set if $A = T \cap C$, where T is a τ_i - Λ_δ -set and C is a τ_j - δ -closed set where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. The complement of a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set is called (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open. We denoted the collection of all (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open sets (resp. (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed sets) by $\Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ (resp. by $\Lambda_\delta C(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$).

(ii) A point $x \in (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is called a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -cluster point of A if for every (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set U of (X, τ_1, τ_2) containing x , $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$. The set of all (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -cluster points is called the (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closure of A and is denoted by $A^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let A and B be subsets of a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . For the (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closure where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$, the following properties hold.

- (1) $A \subset A^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$.
- (2) $A^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} = \cap\{F/A \subset F \text{ and } F \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)\text{-closed}\}$.
- (3) If $A \subset B$, then $A^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \subset B^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$.
- (4) A is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed if and only if $A = A^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$.
- (5) $A^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed.

Lemma 2.2. Let A be a subset of a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then the following hold.

- (1) If A_k is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed for each $k \in I$, then $\cap_{k \in I} A_k$ is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.
- (2) If A_k is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open for each $k \in I$, then $\cup_{k \in I} A_k$ is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Definition 3. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a space, $A \subset X$. Then the (τ_i, τ_j) - Λ_δ -kernel of A , denoted by (τ_i, τ_j) - $\Lambda_\delta Ker(A)$, is defined to be the set (τ_i, τ_j) - $\Lambda_\delta Ker(A) = \cap\{G \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)/A \subset G\}$. where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Lemma 2.3. For any two subsets A, B of a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) ,

- (1) $A \subset B$ implies (τ_i, τ_j) - $\Lambda_\delta Ker(A) \subset (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\Lambda_\delta Ker(B)$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.
- (2) (τ_i, τ_j) - $\Lambda_\delta Ker((\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\Lambda_\delta Ker(A)) = (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\Lambda_\delta Ker(A)$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Lemma 2.4. For any two points x, y of a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , $y \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\Lambda_\delta Ker(\{x\})$ if and only if $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let $y \notin (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - $\Lambda_\delta Ker(\{x\})$. Then there exists a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set V containing x such that $y \notin V$. Hence $x \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$. The converse is similarly shown.

Proposition 2.5. *If (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a space and $A \subset X$, then $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}\Lambda_\delta\text{Ker}(A) = \{x \in X / \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*

Proof. Let $x \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}\Lambda_\delta\text{Ker}(A)$ and suppose that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \cap A = \emptyset$. Then $x \notin X \setminus \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ which is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open set containing A . This is impossible, since $x \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}\Lambda_\delta\text{Ker}(A)$. Consequently, $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Next, let $x \in X$ such that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and suppose that $x \notin (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}\Lambda_\delta\text{Ker}(A)$. Then there exists a $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open set U containing A and $x \notin U$. Let $y \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \cap A$. Hence U is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -neighbourhood of y which does not contain x . By this contradiction $x \in (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}\Lambda_\delta\text{Ker}(A)$.

Definition 4. *A space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called*

- (i) *pairwise $\Lambda_\delta\text{-}T_0$ if for each pair of distinct points in X , there is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open set containing one of the points but not the other, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*
- (ii) *pairwise $\Lambda_\delta\text{-}T_1$ if for each pair of distinct points x and y in X , there is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open U in X containing x but not y and a $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open set V in X containing y but not x , where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*
- (iii) *pairwise $\Lambda_\delta\text{-}T_2$ if for each pair of distinct points x and y in X , there exist a $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open set U and $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open set V such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*

Remark 2.6. *If a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise $\Lambda_\delta\text{-}T_i$, then it is pairwise $\Lambda_\delta\text{-}T_{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2$.*

Theorem 2.7. *A space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise $\Lambda_\delta\text{-}T_0$ if and only if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*

Proof. Sufficiency: Suppose that $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$ and $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$. Let z be a point of X such that $z \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ but $z \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$. We claim that $x \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$. For it, if $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$ then $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \subset \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. And this contradicts the fact that $z \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$. Consequently, x belongs to the $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open set $[\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}]^c$ to which y does not belong.

Necessity: Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a pairwise $\Lambda_\delta\text{-}T_0$ space and x, y be any two distinct points of X . There exists a $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open set G containing x or y , say x but not y . Then G^c is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -closed set which does not contain x but contains y . Since $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$ is the smallest $(\tau_j, \tau_i)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -closed set containing y (Lemma 2.1), $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset G^c$, and so $x \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$. Consequently, $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Theorem 2.8. *A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise $\Lambda_\delta\text{-}T_1$ if and only if the singletons are $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -closed sets, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*

Proof. Suppose that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise $\Lambda_\delta\text{-}T_1$ and x be any point of X . Let $y \in \{x\}^c$. Then $x \neq y$ and so there exists a $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open set U_y such that $y \in U_y$ but $x \notin U_y$. Consequently, $y \in U_y \subset \{x\}^c$ i.e., $\{x\}^c = \bigcup \{U_y / y \in \{x\}^c\}$ which is $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-}(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open.

Conversely, suppose that $\{p\}$ is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed for every $p \in X$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Now $x \neq y$ implies $y \in \{x\}^c$. Hence $\{x\}^c$ is a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set containing y but not containing x . Similarly $\{y\}^c$ is a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -open set containing x but not y . Therefore, X is a pairwise Λ_δ - T_1 space.

Definition 5. A space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ -symmetric if for x and y in X , $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$ implies $y \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Definition 6. A subset A of a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a (τ_i, τ_j) - Λ_δ -generalized closed set (briefly (τ_i, τ_j) - Λ_δ -g-closed) if $A^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Lemma 2.9. Every (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set is (τ_i, τ_j) - Λ_δ -g-closed, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Remark 2.10. The converse of Lemma 2.9 is not true as shown in the following example.

Example 2.11. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$. Then $\{c\}$ is (τ_1, τ_2) - Λ_δ -g-closed but not (τ_1, τ_2) - (Λ, δ) -closed.

Theorem 2.12. A space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ -symmetric if and only if $\{x\}$ is (τ_i, τ_j) - Λ_δ -g-closed for each $x \in X$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. Assume that $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$ but $y \notin \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$. This implies that the complement of $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ contains y . Therefore, the set $\{y\}$ is a subset of the complement of $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$. This implies that $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$ is a subset of the complement of $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$. Now the complement of $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ contains x which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that $\{x\} \subset D \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$, but $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ is not a subset of D . This means that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ and the complement of D are not disjoint. Let $y \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \cap (X \setminus D)$. Now we have $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$ which is a subset of the complement of D and $x \notin D$. But this is a contradiction.

Corollary 2.13. If a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - T_1 space, then it is pairwise Λ_δ -symmetric.

Proof. In a pairwise Λ_δ - T_1 space singleton sets are (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed by Theorem 2.8, and therefore, (τ_i, τ_j) - Λ_δ -g-closed by Lemma 2.9. By Theorem 2.12, the space is pairwise Λ_δ -symmetric.

Remark 2.14. The converse of Corollary 2.13 is not true as shown in the following example.

Example 2.15. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Then X is pairwise Λ_δ -symmetric but not pairwise Λ_δ - T_1 since X and \emptyset are the only (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open sets for $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Corollary 2.16. *For a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) the following are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ -symmetric and pairwise Λ_δ - T_0 ;
- (2) (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - T_1 .

Proof. By Corollary 2.13 and Remark 2.6, it suffices to prove only (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $x \neq y$ and by pairwise Λ_δ - T_0 , we may assume that $x \in G_1 \subset \{y\}^c$ for some $G_1 \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$. Then $x \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$. Therefore, we have $y \notin \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$. There exists a $G_2 \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_j, \tau_i)$ such that $y \in G_2 \subset \{x\}^c$. Therefore, (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a pairwise Λ_δ - T_1 space.

Theorem 2.17. *For a pairwise Λ_δ -symmetric space (X, τ_1, τ_2) the following are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - T_0 ;
- (2) (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - T_1 .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Follows from Corollary 2.16.
(2) \Rightarrow (1) : Follows from Remark 2.6.

3. Pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 spaces

Definition 7. *A space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise R_0 [5] if for each τ_i -open set G , $x \in G$ implies τ_j -Cl($\{x\}$) $\subset G$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*

Definition 8. *A space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 if for each (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set G , $x \in G$ implies $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset G$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*

Proposition 3.1. *In a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 ;
- (2) for any (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set F and a point $x \notin F$, there exists $U \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_j, \tau_i)$ such that $x \notin U$ and $F \subset U$ for $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$;
- (3) for any (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set F and $x \notin F$, then $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \cap F = \emptyset$, for $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let F be a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set and $x \notin F$. Then by (1), $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset X \setminus F$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Let $U = X \setminus \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, then $U \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_j, \tau_i)$ and also $F \subset U$ and $x \notin U$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let F be a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set and a point $x \notin F$. Then by (2), there exists $U \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_j, \tau_i)$ such that $F \subset U$ and $x \notin U$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Since $U \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_j, \tau_i)$, $U \cap \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} = \emptyset$. Then $F \cap \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} = \emptyset$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let $G \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ and $x \in G$. Now $X \setminus G$ is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed and $x \notin X \setminus G$. By (3), $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \cap (X \setminus G) = \emptyset$ and hence $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset G$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Therefore, the space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 .

Proposition 3.2. *A space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 if and only if for each pair x, y of distinct points in X , $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)} = \emptyset$ or $\{x, y\} \subset \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$.*

Proof. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 . Suppose that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)} \neq \emptyset$ and $\{x, y\}$ is not a subset of $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$. Let $s \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$ and $x \notin \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$. Then $x \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$ and $x \in X \setminus \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)} \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_2, \tau_1)$. But $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)}$ is not a subset of $X \setminus \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$ since this is a contradiction. Hence for each pair x, y of distinct points in X , $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)} = \emptyset$ or $\{x, y\} \subset \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$. Conversely, let U be a (τ_1, τ_2) - (Λ, δ) -open set and $x \in U$. Suppose that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$ is not a subset of U . So there is a point $y \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$ such that $y \notin U$ and $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap U = \emptyset$. Since $X \setminus U$ is (τ_1, τ_2) - (Λ, δ) -closed and $y \in X \setminus U$. Hence $\{x, y\}$ is not a subset of $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$ and thus $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.3. *In a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 ;
- (2) For any $x \in X$, $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $\Lambda_\delta \text{Ker}(\{x\}$, for $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$;
- (3) For any $x \in X$, $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $\Lambda_\delta \text{Ker}(\{x\}$, for $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$;
- (4) For any $x, y \in X$, $y \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ if and only if $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, for $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$;
- (5) For any (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set F , $F = \cap \{G/G \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - (Λ, δ) -open set and $F \subset G\}$, for $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$;
- (6) For any (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set G , $G = \cup \{F/F \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - (Λ, δ) -closed set and $F \subset G\}$, for $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$;
- (7) For every $A \neq \emptyset$ and each $G \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ such that $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$, there exists a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -closed set F such that $F \subset G$ and $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $x, y \in X$. Then by Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 3.2, $y \in (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $\Lambda_\delta \text{Ker}(\{x\}) \Leftrightarrow x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \Leftrightarrow y \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$. Hence $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} = (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $\Lambda_\delta \text{Ker}(\{x\})$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Straightforward.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): For any $x, y \in X$, if $y \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$, then $y \in (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - $\Lambda_\delta \text{Ker}(\{x\})$ by (3). Then by Lemma 2.4, $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, for $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. The converse follows by the same token.

(4) \Rightarrow (5): Let F be a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set and $H = \cap \{G/G \text{ is a } (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - (Λ, δ) -open set and $F \subset G\}$. Clearly $F \subset H$. Let $x \notin F$. Then for any $y \in F$, we have that $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \subset F$. Hence follows that $x \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$. Now by (4), $x \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ implies $y \notin \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$. There exists a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -open set G_y such that $y \in G_y$ and $x \notin G_y$. Let $G = \bigcup_{y \in F} \{G_y/G_y \text{ is } (\tau_j, \tau_i)$ - (Λ, δ) -open, $y \in G_y$ and $x \notin G_y\}$. Thus, there exists a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -open set G such that $x \notin G$ and $F \subset G$. Hence, $x \notin H$. Therefore, $F = H$.

(5) \Rightarrow (6): Straightforward.

(6) \Rightarrow (7): Let $A \neq \emptyset$ and G be a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set and $x \in A \cap G$. By (6), $G = \bigcup \{F/ \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - (Λ, δ) -closed and $F \subset G\}$. It follows that there is a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set F such that $x \in F \subset G$. Hence $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$.

(7) \Rightarrow (1): Let G be a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set and $x \in G$, then $\{x\} \cap G \neq \emptyset$. Therefore by (7), there exists a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -closed F such that $x \in F \subset G$ and $\{x\} \cap F \neq \emptyset$, which implies $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset G$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Therefore, (X, τ_1, τ_2)

is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 .

Remark 3.4. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a space. Then for each $x \in X$, let $bi-\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} = \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$ and $bi-\Lambda_\delta Ker(\{x\}) = (\tau_1, \tau_2)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(\{x\}) \cap (\tau_2, \tau_1)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(\{x\})$.

Proposition 3.5. If a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 then for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, either $bi-\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} = bi-\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)}$ or $bi-\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} \cap bi-\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 space. Suppose that $bi-\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} \neq bi-\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)}$ and $bi-\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} \cap bi-\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} \neq \emptyset$. Let $s \in bi-\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} \cap bi-\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)}$ and $x \notin bi-\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} = \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_2, \tau_1)}$. Then $x \notin \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. and $x \in X \setminus \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. But $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$ is not a subset of $X \setminus \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ since $s \in bi-\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} \cap bi-\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)}$. Thus (X, τ_1, τ_2) is not a pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 space which is a contradiction to our assumption. Hence we have either $bi-\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} = bi-\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)}$ or $bi-\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} \cap bi-\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)} = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.6. In a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 ;
- (2) For any (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set $F \subset X$, $F = (\tau_j, \tau_i)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(F)$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$;
- (3) For any (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set $F \subset X$ and $x \in F$, $(\tau_j, \tau_i)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(\{x\}) \subset F$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$;
- (4) For any $x \in X$, $(\tau_j, \tau_i)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(\{x\}) \subset \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let F be (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed and $x \notin F$. Then $X \setminus F$ is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open containing x . Since (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 , $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset X \setminus F$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Therefore, $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \cap F = \emptyset$ and by Proposition 2.5, $x \notin (\tau_j, \tau_i)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(F)$. Hence $F = (\tau_j, \tau_i)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(F)$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let F be a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set containing x . Then $\{x\} \subset F$ and $(\tau_j, \tau_i)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(\{x\}) \subset (\tau_j, \tau_i)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(F)$. From (2), it follows that $(\tau_j, \tau_i)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(\{x\}) \subset F$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Since $x \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ and $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$ is (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed in X , by (3) it follows that $(\tau_j, \tau_i)-\Lambda_\delta Ker(F) \subset \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): It follows from Theorem 3.3.

4. Pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 spaces

Definition 9. A space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 if for each $x, y \in X$, $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, there exist disjoint sets $U \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_j, \tau_i)$ and $V \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ such that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \subset U$ and $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset V$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proposition 4.1. If (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 , then it is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 .

Proof. Suppose that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 . Let U be a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set and $x \in U$. Then for each point $y \in X \setminus U$, $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)}$. Since (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 , there exists a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set U_y and a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -open set V_y such that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset U_y$, $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \subset V_y$ and $U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Let $A = \bigcup \{V_y \mid y \in X \setminus U\}$. Then $X \setminus U \subset A$, $x \notin A$ and A is a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -open set. Therefore, $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset X \setminus A \subset U$. Hence (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 .

Proposition 4.2. *A space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 if and only if for every pair of points x and y of X such that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, there exists a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set U and (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -open set V such that $x \in V$, $y \in U$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*

Proof. Suppose that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 . Let x, y be points of X such that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Then there exist a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set U and a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -open set V such that $x \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \subset V$ and $y \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset U$. On the other hand, suppose that there exists a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -open set U and (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -open set V such that $x \in V$, $y \in U$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Since every pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 space is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 , $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \subset V$ and $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset U$. Hence the claim.

Proposition 4.3. *A pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 if for each pair of points x and y of X such that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} = \emptyset$, there exist disjoint sets $U \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ and $V \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_j, \tau_i)$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.*

Proof. It follows directly from Definition 8 and Proposition 3.5.

Theorem 4.4. *In a space (X, τ_1, τ_2) , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 ;
- (2) For any two distinct points $x, y \in X$, $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$ implies that there exist a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set F_1 and a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -closed set F_2 such that $x \in F_1$, $y \in F_2$, $x \notin F_2$, $y \notin F_1$ and $X = F_1 \cup F_2$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose that (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_1 . Let $x, y \in X$ such that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$. By Proposition 4.2, there exist disjoint sets $V \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ and $U \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_j, \tau_i)$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Then $F_1 = X \setminus V$ is a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set and $F_2 = X \setminus U$ is a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -closed set such that $x \in F_1$, $x \notin F_2$, $y \notin F_1$, $y \in F_2$ and $X = F_1 \cup F_2$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $x, y \in X$ such that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \neq \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)}$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Hence for any two distinct points x, y of X , $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \cap \{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} = \emptyset$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Then by Proposition 3.2, (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 . By (2), there exists a (τ_i, τ_j) - (Λ, δ) -closed set F_1 and a (τ_j, τ_i) - (Λ, δ) -closed set F_2 such that $X = F_1 \cup F_2$, $x \in F_1$, $y \in F_2$, $x \notin F_2$ and $y \notin F_1$. Therefore, $x \in X \setminus F_2 = U \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_j, \tau_i)$ and $y \in X \setminus F_1 = V \in \Lambda_\delta O(X, \tau_i, \tau_j)$ which implies that $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_i, \tau_j)} \subset U$, $\{y\}^{(\Lambda, \delta)(\tau_j, \tau_i)} \subset V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$. Hence (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise Λ_δ - R_0 .

References

1. M. Caldas and S. Jafari, On some low separation axioms in topological space, *Houston Journal of Math.* 29(2003), 93-104.
2. A. S. Davis, Indexed systems of neighbourhoods for general topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 68(1961), 886-893.
3. J. C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3)(13)(1963),71-89.
4. A. S. Mashhour, F. H. Khedr and S. N. El-Deeb, Five separation axioms in bitopological spaces, *Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut. Univ.* 11(1) (1982), 53-67.
5. M. G. Murdeshwar and S. A. Naimpally, R_1 -topological spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 9(1966), 521-523.
6. S. A. Naimpally, On R_0 -topological spaces, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math.* 10(1967), 53-54.
7. T. M. Nour, A note on five separation axioms in bitopological spaces, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.* 26(7) (1995), 669-674.
8. N. A. Shanin, On separation in topological spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 38 (1943), 110-113.

M. Caldas
Departamento de Matemática Aplicada
Universidade Federal Fluminense
Rua Mário Santos Braga, s/n
24020-140, Niterói, RJ- BRAZIL
gmamccs@vm.uff.br

S. Jafari
College of Vestsjaelland South Herrestraede 11
4200 Slagelse - DENMARK
jafari@stofanet.dk

S. A. Ponmani
Department of Mathematics,
Jayaraj Annapackiam College for Women,
Periyakulam, Theni (Dt.)-625601,
Tamilnadu, INDIA.
athisayaponmani@yahoo.co.in

M. L. Thivagar
Department of Mathematics
Arul Anandar College
Karumathur, Madurai (Dt.)-625514
Tamilnadu, INDIA.
mlthivagar@yahoo.co.in