



New class of cyclic contraction and fixed point results in b -metric spaces

V. Gupta^{*}, A.H. Ansari, N. Mani and N. Sharma

ABSTRACT: Notion of C -class cyclic contractive mapping is introduced in this communication. Further a new fixed point result in b -metric spaces is investigated and as applications of our main results we have deduce some corollaries. Examples are also given here to notify the importance and novelty of our main result.

Key Words: C -class function, cyclic contraction, b -metric spaces, fixed point.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Contents

1	Introduction and preliminaries	1
2	Main Results	3
3	Applications	6
4	Conclusion	7

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Bourbaki [1] and Bakhtin [2] works inspired Czerwik [3] to give an extension and wider-class of metric spaces. Czerwik [3] named and initiated the hypothesis of b -metric space and defined the following definition.

Definition 1.1 [3] *Let W be a non-empty set and $\Omega : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a functional satisfying following assertions:*

1. $\Omega(s, l) = 0$ iff $s = l$,
2. $\Omega(s, l) = \Omega(l, s)$,
3. $\Omega(s, m) \leq \lambda[\Omega(s, l) + \Omega(l, m)]$, where $\lambda \geq 1$ is a fixed real

for all $s, l, m \in W$. Then Ω is b -metric and the pair (W, Ω) is known as b -metric space.

If we let $\lambda = 1$, in general, we obtain the usual definition of metric spaces but conversely, every metric is not a b -metric. Aghajani et al. [4] have justified this statement by presenting a suitable example. Here one of most significant point to note is that b -metric is discontinuous.

Boriceanu [5], in 2008, initiate the notion of closure, compactness, completeness, and convergences in a b -metric spaces.

Definition 1.2 [5] *Let the pair (W, Ω) be a b -metric space. Then sequence $\{s_n\} \in W$ is called*

1. *b -convergent if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(s_n, s) = 0$ for all $s \in W$*
2. *b -Cauchy if and only if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(s_n, s_m) \rightarrow 0$.*

For some more results on fixed point theorems in b -metric and other spaces we refer to [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21] and references there in. Aghajani et al. [4] derived a lemma (see Lemma [4]) to discuss the convergence in b -metric spaces. Han and Hieu [9] initiated the notions of generalized cyclic contractive mapping as follows.

^{*} Corresponding author

Submitted March 04, 2025. Published August 12, 2025

Definition 1.3 [9] Let (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric space, S and T be two nonempty subset of W . Let $Y = S \cup T$ and define a function $P : Y \rightarrow Y$. Then P is called a generalized cyclic contractive mapping if:

- (i) $Y = S \cup T$ is a cyclic representation of Y w.r.t. P , i.e., $P(S) \subset T$ and $P(T) \subset S$.
- (ii) $\psi(\lambda^4 \Omega(Ps, Pv)) \leq \varphi(\psi(M(s, v))) + LN(s, v)$
for all $(s, v) \in S \times T$ or $(s, v) \in T \times S$, where ψ is control function, $\varphi \in \Phi_u$, $L \geq 0$ be a constant and

$$M(s, v) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \Omega(s, v), \Omega(s, Ps), \Omega(v, Pv), \\ \frac{\Omega(s, Pv) + \Omega(v, Ps)}{2s}, \\ \frac{\Omega(P^2 s, s) + \Omega(P^2 s, Pv)}{2s}, \\ \Omega(P^2 s, Ps), \Omega(P^2 s, v), \Omega(P^2 s, Pv) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$N(s, v) = \min\{\Omega(s, Ps), \Omega(v, Ps), \Omega(P^2 s, P^2 v)\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 [9] Let (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric space, S and T be two nonempty closed subset of W . Let $Y = S \cup T$ and $P : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a generalized cyclic contractive mapping. Then P has a unique fixed point in $S \cap T$.

Huang et al. [20] for contractive maps derived few results to get invariant points. They also discussed both (P property, T-stability of Picard's iteration) for such mappings. Additionally, with some initial conditions, they gave applications for two distinct classes of ODE and provided a concise mathematical expression of solutions to these ordinary differential equations. In continuity, Pant and Panicker [19] for admissible maps, obtained some fixed point results and displayed an application to a quadratic non linear integral equation for existence and uniqueness of solution under some assumptions is also given. Ansari [7,8] initiated a C -class notion as major generalization of Banach contraction principle. Ansari in [7] defined a family of ultra distance function and denoted by Φ_u .

Definition 1.4 [7] Let $H : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a map. Then function H is known as C -class function, if it is continuous and satisfies:

1. $H(b_1, b_2) \leq b_1$;
2. $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \implies$ either $b_1 = 0$ or $b_2 = 0$; for all $b_1, b_2 \in [0, \infty)$.

Set \mathcal{C} as the family of C -class function.

Few more properties of C -class functions are given in [7].

Example 1.1 [7] Some examples of C class function, for all $b_1, b_2 \in [0, \infty)$, are:

1. $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 - b_2$, $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \implies b_2 = 0$;
2. $H(b_1, b_2) = mr$, $0 < m < 1$, $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \implies b_1 = 0$;
3. $H(b_1, b_2) = \frac{b_1}{(1+b_2)^h}$; $h \in (0, \infty)$, $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \implies b_1 = 0$ or $b_2 = 0$;
4. $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \log_{b_2+a} a$, $a > 1$, $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \implies b_1 = 0$ or $b_2 = 0$;
5. $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 - (\frac{1+b_1}{2+b_1})(\frac{b_2}{1+b_2})$, $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \implies b_2 = 0$;
6. $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \beta(b_1)$, $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \implies b_1 = 0$;
7. $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 - \frac{b_2}{b_1+b_2}$, $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \implies b_2 = 0$;
8. $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 - (\frac{2+b_2}{1+b_2})b_2$, $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \implies b_2 = 0$.

9. $H(b_1, b_2) = \frac{b_1}{(1+b_1)^\lambda}$; $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1$ implies $b_1 = 0$.

Recently, Gupta, Mani and Ansari [21] for a C -class mapping, gave a sufficient condition for the existence and uniqueness of fixed points satisfied generalized contraction. Saini, Gupta and Mani [22] develops and deduced a result for two maps using C -class function satisfying weak rational expression. Over the past decade, numerous researchers have presented a variety of results employing diverse methodologies; some of these includes [24]

Following lemma is useful in deriving our main result.

Lemma 1.1 [23] *Let (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric space, and let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence in W . If there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ satisfying*

$$\Omega(s_n, s_{n+1}) \leq r\Omega(s_{n-1}, s_n) \quad \forall \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $\{s_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

In this article, first a C -class cyclic contractive mappings defined and then a fixed point theorem in b -metric spaces with the help of monotone triplet (ψ, φ, H) is proved. In next section, as an applications, we have deduce corollaries.

2. Main Results

Throughout assume that $\lambda \geq 1$. First start with a definition and supported examples.

Definition 2.1 *We say 3-tuples (ψ, φ, H) are monotone, if for any $s, v \in [0, \infty)$*

$$s \leq v \implies H(\psi(s), \varphi(s)) \leq H(\psi(v), \varphi(v)).$$

where ψ is a control function, $H \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi \in \Phi_u$.

Example 2.1 Let $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 - b_2$, $\varphi(s) = \sqrt{s}$,

$$\psi(s) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{s}, & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ s^2, & \text{if } s > 1 \end{cases},$$

then triplet (ψ, φ, H) is monotone.

Example 2.2 Let $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 - b_2$, $\varphi(s) = s^2$

$$\psi(s) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{s}, & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ s^2, & \text{if } s > 1 \end{cases},$$

then triplet (ψ, φ, H) is not monotone.

We introduce the following definition of C -class cyclic contraction.

Definition 2.2 *Let (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric-space, and let $S \neq \emptyset, T \neq \emptyset \subset W$. Let $Y = S \cup T$ and $P : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a map. We say P is C -class cyclic generalized contractive mapping if:*

- (A1) $Y = S \cup T$ is a cyclic representation of Y w.r.t. P , that is, $P(S) \subset T$ and $P(T) \subset S$.
- (A2) There exists a constant $L \geq 0$ satisfying

$$\psi(\lambda\Omega(Ps, Pv)) \leq H(\psi(M(s, v)), \varphi(M(s, v))) + LN(s, v) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $(s, v) \in S \times T$ or $(s, v) \in T \times S$, where $H \in \mathcal{C}$, ψ (infinite altering distance function [18]), $\varphi \in \Phi_u$ such that (ψ, φ, H) is monotone,

$$M(s, v) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega(s, v), \Omega(v, Pv), \Omega(s, Ps), \\ \frac{\Omega(s, Pv) + \Omega(v, Ps)}{\lambda}, \\ \frac{\Omega(P^2s, s) + \Omega(P^2s, Pv)}{\lambda}, \\ \Omega(P^2s, Ps), \Omega(P^2s, v), \Omega(P^2s, Pv) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$N(s, v) = \min\{d(s, Ps), \Omega(v, Ps), \Omega(P^2s, P^2v)\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2.1 *Let (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric space and let $S \neq \phi, T \neq \phi \subset W$ are closed. Let $Y = S \cup T$ and selfmap P defined on Y is a C -class cyclic generalized contraction. Then P has a unique fixed point in $S \cap T$.*

Proof: Let $s_0 \in S$. We construct the sequence $\{s_n\}$ in W by $s_{n+1} = Ps_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $s_0 \in S, s_1 = Ps_0 \in P(S) \subset T$. So, $s_2 = Ps_1 \in P(T) \subset S$. Continuing this process for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$s_{2n} \in S \text{ and } s_{2n+1} \in T \quad (2.4)$$

Step -1: Claim that $\{s_n\}$ is b -Cauchy sequence.

Suppose, there exists $k \geq 0$ s.t. $s_{k+1} = s_k$, then $Ps_k = s_k$, that is, s_k is an invariant point of P .

Next assume $s_n \neq s_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$. From (2.4), we have $(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}) \in T \times S$. Since P is a C -class generalized cyclic contractive mapping, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\lambda(\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}))) &= \psi(\lambda\Omega(Ps_{2n-1}, Ps_{2n})) \\ &\leq H(\psi(M(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n})), \varphi(M(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}))) \\ &\quad + LN(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}) \\ &\leq \psi(M(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n})) + LN(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} M(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n-1}, Ps_{2n-1}), \Omega(s_{2n}, Ps_{2n}), \\ \frac{\Omega(s_{2n-1}, Ps_{2n}) + \Omega(s_{2n}, Ps_{2n-1})}{\lambda}, \\ \frac{\Omega(P^2 s_{2n-1}, s_{2n-1}) + \Omega(P^2 s_{2n-1}, Ps_{2n})}{\lambda}, \\ \Omega(P^2 s_{2n-1}, Ps_{2n-1}), \Omega(P^2 s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \\ \Omega(P^2 s_{2n-1}, Ps_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}), \\ \frac{\Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n+1}) + \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n})}{\lambda}, \\ \frac{\Omega(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n-1}) + \Omega(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1})}{\lambda}, \\ \Omega(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}), \\ \frac{\Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}) + \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})}{2} \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \max \{ \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}) &= \min \{ \Omega(s_{2n-1}, Ps_{2n-1}), \Omega(s_{2n}, Ps_{2n-1}), \Omega(P^2 s_{2n-1}, P^2 s_{2n}) \} \\ &= \min \{ \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2}) \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\lambda(\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}))) &\leq \psi \{ \max \{ \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) \} \} \\ &\quad + L(0), \\ &\leq \psi \{ \max \{ \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) \} \}. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $\max \{ \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}), \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) \} = \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})$, then from (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})) &\leq \psi(\lambda\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq H(\psi(\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})), \varphi(\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}))). \end{aligned}$$

which yields that $\psi(\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})) = 0$, or $\varphi(\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})) = 0$. We derive $\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) = 0$. It is a contradiction. Thus, for all $n \geq 1$, we have $M(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}) = \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n})$.

Hence from (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})) &\leq \psi(\lambda\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq H(\psi(\Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n})), \varphi(\Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}))). \end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of ψ , we get

$$\lambda(\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})) \leq \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}).$$

Consequently, we get

$$\Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \Omega(s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}) \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\Omega(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, from (2.6) and (2.7) for all n , we have

$$\Omega(s_{n+1}, s_n) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \Omega(s_n, s_{n-1})$$

Since $\lambda \geq 1$, on applying Lemma 1.1, we get that $\{s_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Completeness of W implies that $\{s_n\}$ converges to some point $u \in W$.

We shall prove that $u \in S \cap T$. Since $\{s_{2n}\} \subset S$, $\{s_{2n+1}\} \subset T$ and $S \neq \emptyset, T \neq \emptyset \subset W$ are closed, thus, $u \in S \cap T$.

Step II. Claim that fixed point of P is u . i.e. $Pu = u$.

Since $(s_{2n}, u) \in S \times T$ and P is a C -class generalized cyclic contractive mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\lambda\Omega(s_{2n+1}, Pu)) &= \psi(\lambda\Omega(Ps_{2n}, Pu)) \\ &\leq H(\psi(M(s_{2n}, u)), \varphi(M(s_{2n}, u))) + LN(s_{2n}, u) \\ &\leq \psi(M(s_{2n}, u)) + LN(s_{2n}, u) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} M(s_{2n}, u) &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega(s_{2n}, u), \Omega(s_{2n}, Ps_{2n}), \Omega(u, Pu) \\ \frac{\Omega(s_{2n}, Pu) + \Omega(u, Ps_{2n})}{\lambda}, \\ \frac{\Omega(P^2 s_{2n}, s_{2n}) + \Omega(P^2 s_{2n}, Pu)}{\lambda}, \\ \Omega(P^2 s_{2n}, Ps_{2n}), \Omega(P^2 s_{2n}, u), \Omega(P^2 s_{2n}, Pu) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega(s_{2n}, u), \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}), \Omega(u, Pu), \\ \frac{\Omega(s_{2n}, Pu) + \Omega(u, s_{2n+1})}{\lambda}, \\ \frac{\Omega(s_{2n+2}, s_{2n}) + \Omega(s_{2n+2}, Pu)}{\lambda}, \\ \Omega(s_{2n+2}, s_{2n+1}), \Omega(s_{2n+2}, u), \Omega(s_{2n+2}, Pu) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega(s_{2n}, u), \Omega(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}), \Omega(u, Pu) \\ \frac{sd(s_{2n}, u) + sd(u, Pu) + \Omega(u, s_{2n+1})}{\lambda} \\ \frac{\Omega(s_{2n+2}, s_{2n}) + sd(s_{2n+2}, u) + sd(u, Pu)}{\lambda} \\ \Omega(s_{2n+2}, s_{2n+1}), \Omega(s_{2n+2}, u), \Omega(s_{2n+2}, Pu) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(s_{2n}, u) &= \min \{ \Omega(s_{2n}, Ps_{2n}), \Omega(u, Ps_{2n}), \Omega(P^2 s_{2n}, P^2 u) \} \\ &= \min \{ \Omega(s_{2n}, u), \Omega(u, s_{2n+1}), \Omega(s_{2n+2}, P^2 u) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.9) and (2.10) on letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup M(s_{2n}, u) &= \Omega(u, Pu) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup N(s_{2n}, u) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\Omega(u, Pu) - sd(u, s_{2n+1})) &\leq \psi(sd(s_{2n+1}, Pu)) \\ &\leq \psi(\lambda\Omega(s_{2n+1}, Pu)).\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

On taking the upper limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12) and (2.8), and using (2.11), we obtain

$$\psi(\Omega(u, Pu)) \leq \psi(\Omega(u, Pu)),$$

which yields that $\Omega(u, Pu) = 0$, i.e $u = Pu$.

Step III. Next we prove that u is a unique fixed point of P . Assume that v is also a fixed point of P , that is, $Pv = v$. Then, $v \in S \cap T$. Therefore, $(u, v) \in S \times T$. Since P is a C -class generalized cyclic contractive mapping, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\lambda\Omega(u, v)) &= \psi(\lambda\Omega(Pu, Pv)) \\ &\leq H(\psi(M(u, v)), \varphi(M(u, v))) + LN(u, v),\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

where,

$$M(u, v) = \Omega(u, v), \text{ and } N(u, v) = 0.$$

Then (2.13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\Omega(u, v)) &\leq \psi(\lambda\Omega(u, v)) \\ &\leq H(\psi(\Omega(u, v)), \varphi(\Omega(u, v))),\end{aligned}$$

which yields consequently that $\Omega(u, v) = 0$, i.e. $u = v$. So, u is a unique fixed point of P . This accomplished our result. \square

3. Applications

As an application of our main result, we give several corollaries. Some of them are novel in literature. If we take $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1\beta(b_1)$ in Theorem 2.1, we get a new result.

Corollary 3.1 *Let triplet (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric space, $S \neq \emptyset, T \neq \emptyset \subset W$ are closed. Let $Y = S \cup T$ and P be a selfmap defined on Y . Assume that assertion (A1) holds and there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ satisfying*

$$\psi(\lambda\Omega(Ps, Pv)) \leq \psi(M(s, v))\beta(\psi(M(s, v))) + LN(s, v)$$

for all $(s, v) \in S \times T$ or $(s, v) \in T \times S$, where ψ (infinite altering distance function [18]), $M(s, v)$ and $N(s, v)$ are defined in (2.2) and (2.3) and $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be function. Then P has a unique fixed point in $S \cap T$.

If we let $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 - \gamma(b_1)$ in Theorem 2.1, we find below result.

Corollary 3.2 *Let triplet (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric space, $S \neq \emptyset, T \neq \emptyset \subset W$ are closed. Let $Y = S \cup T$ and P be a selfmap defined on Y . Assume that assertion (A1) holds and there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ satisfying*

$$\psi(\lambda\Omega(Ps, Pv)) \leq \psi(M(s, v)) - \gamma(\psi(M(s, v))) + LN(s, v)$$

for all $(s, v) \in S \times T$ or $(s, v) \in T \times S$, where $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\gamma(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$, ψ (infinite altering distance function [18]), $M(s, v)$ and $N(s, v)$ are defined in (2.2) and (2.3). Then P has a unique fixed point in $S \cap T$.

on letting $H(b_1, b_2) = kb_1$, $0 < k < 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the corollary as follow.

Corollary 3.3 Let triplet (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric space, $S \neq \emptyset, T \neq \emptyset \subset W$ are closed. Let $Y = S \cup T$ and P be a selfmap defined on Y . Assume that assertion (A1) holds and there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ satisfying

$$\psi(\lambda\Omega(Ps, Pv)) \leq k\psi(M(s, v)) + LN(s, v)$$

for all $(s, v) \in S \times T$ or $(s, v) \in T \times S$, where ψ (infinite altering distance function [18]), $M(s, v)$ and $N(s, v)$ are defined in (2.2) and (2.3). Then P has a unique fixed point in $S \cap T$.

If we assume that $H(b_1, b_2) = \alpha(b_1)$ in Theorem 2.1, then we obtain one more valuable result.

Corollary 3.4 Let triplet (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric space, $S \neq \emptyset, T \neq \emptyset \subset W$ are closed. Let $Y = S \cup T$ and P be a selfmap defined on Y . Assume that assertion (A1) holds and there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ satisfying

$$\psi(\lambda\Omega(Ps, Pv)) \leq \alpha(\psi(M(s, v))) + LN(s, v)$$

for all $(s, v) \in S \times T$ or $(s, v) \in T \times S$, where ψ (infinite altering distance function [18]), $M(s, v)$ and $N(s, v)$ are defined in (2.2) and (2.3) and $\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an upper semi-continuous function such that $\alpha(0) = 0$, and $\alpha(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. Then P has a unique fixed point in $S \cap T$.

on setting $H(b_1, b_2) = b_1 - b_2$ in Theorem 2.1, we deduce following generalized result.

Corollary 3.5 Let triplet (W, Ω, λ) be a b -metric space, $S \neq \emptyset, T \neq \emptyset \subset W$ are closed. Let $Y = S \cup T$ and P be a selfmap defined on Y . Assume that assertion (A1) holds and there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ satisfying

$$\psi(\lambda\Omega(Ps, Pv)) \leq \psi(M(s, v)) - \varphi(M(s, v)) + LN(s, v)$$

for all $(s, v) \in S \times T$ or $(s, v) \in T \times S$, where ψ (infinite altering distance function [18]), $\varphi \in \Phi_u$, $M(s, v)$ and $N(s, v)$ are defined in (2.2) and (2.3). Then P has a unique fixed point in $S \cap T$.

4. Conclusion

In this finding, we have defined a new definition of C' -class contractive mapping, and then derived a result Theorem 2.1. Our introduction is new. We have deduced some corollaries as a simple application of our main finding (Theorem 2.1). Some of results given here are easily derived with help of our auxiliary function, but in nature these results are proved with additional assumption or condition on mappings or on set.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the editors, anonymous referees and the whole staff of the journal for processing this manuscript.

References

1. Bourbaki, N., *Topologie generale*, Herman: Paris, France, (1974).
2. Bakhtin, I.A., *The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces*, Funct. Anal., 30, 26–37, (1989).
3. Czerwik, S., *Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostrav., 1, 5–11, (1993).
4. Aghajani, A., Abbas, M., Roshan, J.R., *Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b -metric spaces*, Math. Slovaca, 64, 941–960, (2014).
5. Boriceanu, M., *Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b -metric spaces*, Int. J. Modern Math., 4, 285–301, (2009).
6. Khan, M. S., Swaleh, M., Sessa, S., *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 30, 1–9, (1984).
7. Ansari, A.H., *Note on φ - ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point*, The 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics And Applications, 377 - 380, (2014).
8. Ansari, A.H., Chandok, S., Ionesco, C., *Fixed point theorems on b -metric spaces for weak contractions with auxiliary functions*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 1-17, (2014).
9. Han, B.T.N., Hieu, N.T., *A fixed point theorem for generalized cyclic contractive mappings in b -metric spaces*, Facta Universitatis Ser. Math. Inform., 31, 399–415, (2016).

10. Gupta, V., Mani, N., *Existence and uniqueness of fixed point for contractive mapping of integral type*, International Journal of Computing Science and Mathematics, 4, 72–83, (2013).
11. Gupta, V., Shatanawi, W., Mani, N., *Fixed point theorems for (ψ, β) - Geraghty contraction type maps in ordered metric spaces and some applications to integral and ordinary differential equations*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., (2016).
12. Hussain, N., Shah, M.H., *KKM mappings in cone b-metric spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., 62, 1677–1684, (2011).
13. Kumam, P., Sintunavarat, W., *The existence of fixed point theorems for partial set-valued quasi-contractions in b -metric spaces and related results*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Article Id: 226, (2014).
14. Olatinwo, M.O., *Some results on multi-valued weakly Jungck mappings in b -metric space*. Cent. Eur. J. Math., 6, 610–621, (2008).
15. Pacurar, M., *Sequences of almost contractions and fixed points in b -metric spaces*. Analele Universitatii de Vest, Timisoara Seria Matematica Informatica XLVIII, 3, 125–137, (2010).
16. Shah, M.H., Hussain, N., *Nonlinear contractions in partially ordered quasi b -metric spaces*. Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 27, 117–128, (2012).
17. Sintunavarat, W., Plubtieng, S., Katchang, P., *Fixed point result and applications on b -metric space endowed with an arbitrary binary relation*. Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Article Id: 296, (2013).
18. Dhamodharan, D., Rohen, Y., Ansari, A.H., *Fixed point theorems of C-class functions in S_b -metric spaces*, Res. Fixed Point Theory Appl., Article Id: 2018018, 1–20, (2018).
19. Pant, R., Panicker, R., *Geraghty and Ćirić type fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 9, 5741–5755, (2016).
20. Huang, H., Deng, G., Rednovic, S., *Fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces with applications to differential equations*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 20, 52, (2018).
21. Gupta, V., Mani, N., Ansari, A.H., *Generalized integral type contraction and common fixed point theorems using an auxiliary function*, Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications, 27, 263–275, (2018).
22. Saini, R.K., Mani, N., Gupta, V., *Modified integral type weak contraction and common fixed point theorem with an auxiliary function*, Soft Computing: Theories and Applications, 742, 133–121, (2019).
23. Suzuki, T., *Basic inequality on a b-metric space and its applications*, J. Inequal. Appl., 256, 1-11, (2017).
24. Parashar, S., Kumar, M., Kumar, P., Shukla, R., *Controlled metric space and fixed point theorems for Jaggi–Suzuki–Type hybrid contraction*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2025, Article Id: 7150080, (2025).

Vishal Gupta (Corresponding Author),
 Department of Mathematics,
 Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University),
 Mullana-133207, Haryana, India
 E-mail address: vishal.gmn@gmail.com, vgupta@mmumullana.org

and

Arslan Hojat Ansari,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University,
 Karaj, Iran
 E-mail address: analsisamirmath2@gmail.com

and

Naveen Mani,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Chandigarh University, Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab,
 India.
 E-mail address: naveenmani81@gmail.com

and

Naveen Sharma,

*Ph.D Scholar, Department of Mathematics,
Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University),
Mullana-133207, Haryana, India; and
Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Govt. PG College, Sector-1, Panchkula-134109,
Haryana, India
E-mail address: nsharma.maths@gmail.com, mathnaveen158@gmail.com*