



Existence of Solutions for Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems for Second-Order Impulsive Differential Equations with a Deviating Argument

Samir Benhammou* and Loubna Moutaouekkil

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we study the existence of solutions for a second-order impulsive differential equation with a deviating argument in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} -y'' &= f(t, y(t), y(t - \tau(t)), y'(t)) + e(t), t \in J := [0, 1], \quad t \neq t_k, k = 1, \dots, m, \\ y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) &= I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ y'(t_k^+) - y'(t_k^-) &= \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ y(0) &= y(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < 1$ be given, $f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is a given function, $e, I_k, \bar{I}_k \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Let $J_0 = [0, t_1]$, $(J_k = (t_k, t_{k+1}], k = 1, \dots, m)$, $J' = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$. By using the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder.

Key Words: Impulsive differential equations, Boundary Value Problems, existence of solution, nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Preliminaries	2
3 Main result	4
4 Example	9

1. Introduction

Impulsive differential equations represent a most important field in mathematics for describing various real-world phenomena such as in physics, engineering, medicine, and biology, etc.

Before the resolution of the impulsive differential equations it is important to study the existence of solutions. Thus, a diverse array of methods and analytical techniques has been employed to investigate the existence of solutions in impulsive differential equations.

Agarwal and O'Regan studied in [1] the existence of both unique and multiple solutions for a second-order impulsive differential equation with fixed impulse moments. The problem is formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y''(t) + \phi(t) f(t, y(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1) \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}, \\ \Delta y(t_k) &= I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \Delta y'(t_k) &= J_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ y(0) &= y(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \phi(t) \in C(0, 1), \quad \text{and} \quad I_k, J_k \in C(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Their methodology relies on the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type together with Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem in a cone.

* Corresponding author.

Submitted March 18, 2025. Published August 24, 2025
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34A37, 34K45, 35R12.

In [5] Guo used the fixed point theory to analyze the existence of solutions for a specific class of second-order impulsive differential equations, expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} -x'' &= f(t, x, x'), \\ \Delta x|_{t=t_k} &= I_k(x(t_k)), \\ \Delta x'|_{t=t_k} &= \bar{I}_k(x(t_k), x'(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ ax(0) - bx'(0) &= x_0, \quad cx(1) + dx'(1) = x_0^*. \end{aligned}$$

This study was conducted within a Banach space E , where the function $f \in C(J \times E \times E, E)$, with $J = [0, 1]$ and the impulse instants satisfying $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \dots < t_m < 1$. Additionally, the functions $I_k : E \rightarrow E$ and $\bar{I}_k : E \times E \rightarrow E$ are continuous mappings, $x_0, x_0^* \in E$, and $p = ac + ad + bc \neq 0$. Inspired by the above-mentioned works, in this paper we study the existence of solutions for a second-order impulsive differential equation,

$$\begin{aligned} -y'' &= f(t, y(t), y(t - \tau(t)), y'(t)) + e(t), \quad t \in J := [0, 1], \quad t \neq t_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \Delta y(t_k) &= y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ \Delta y'(t_k) &= y'(t_k^+) - y'(t_k^-) = \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k^-)), \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \\ y(0) &= y(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < 1$ be a partition of the interval $[0, 1]$. $f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ is a given function and $\tau, e, I_k, \bar{I}_k \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ are continuous functions.

Define the subintervals as follows: $J_0 = [0, t_1]$ and $J_k = (t_k, t_{k+1}]$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. Let $J' = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$, and denote by y_k the restriction of any function y to the interval J_k .

To define solutions for (1.1), consider the space $PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ y : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid y_k \in C(J_k, \mathbb{R}) \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, m, \text{ and } y(t_k^-), y(t_k^+) \text{ exist with } y(t_k^-) = y(t_k) \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, m \right\}$. Equipped with the norm

$$\|y\|_{PC} = \max \{ \|y_k\|_{\infty}, k = 0, \dots, m \}, \quad \|y_k\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in J_k} |y(t)|,$$

the space $PC(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space.

Similarly, define

$$PC^1(J, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}) \mid y'_k \in C(J_k, \mathbb{R}) \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, m, \text{ and } y'(t_k^-), y'(t_k^+) \text{ exist with } y'(t_k^-) = y'(t_k) \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

This space becomes a Banach space when it is endowed with the norm

$$\|y\|_{PC^1} = \max \{ \|y\|_{PC}, \|y'\|_{PC} \}.$$

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some results which will be needed in Section 3.

Lemma 2.1 [4] *Let X be a Banach space with $C \subset X$ closed and convex. Assume Ω is a relatively open subset of C with $0 \in \Omega$ and $N : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow C$ is a compact map. Then either,*

- (i) N has a fixed point in $\bar{\Omega}$; or
- (ii) there is a point $y \in \partial\Omega$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ with $y = \lambda N(y)$.

Lemma 2.2 [5] *If $y \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$ satisfies*

$$-y''(t) = f(t, y(t), y'(t)), \quad t \neq t_k (k = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

then

$$y'(t) = y'(0) - \int_0^t f(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds + \sum_{0 < i_k < t} [y'(t_k^+) - y'(t_k)], \quad t \in J,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) = & y(0) + x'(0)t - \int_0^t (t-s)f(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} [y(t_k^+) - y(t_k)] + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} [y'(t_k^+) - y'(t_k)](t-t_k), \quad t \in J.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 $y \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$ is a solution of system (1.1) if and only if $y \in PC^1[J, \mathbb{R}]$ is a solution of equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) = & \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s))ds \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} [I_k(y(t_k)) + (t-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))] \\
 & - t \sum_{k=1}^m [I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))],
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} (1-t)s, & 0 \leq s \leq t, \\ (1-s)t, & t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

Proof: First suppose that $y \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$ is a solution to the boundary value problem (1.1).

It follows from Lemma 2.2, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) = & y(0) + y'(0)t - \int_0^t (t-s)(f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s))ds \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} [I_k(y(t_k)) + (t-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))], \quad t \in J.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

For $t = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(1) = & y(0) + y'(0) - \int_0^1 (1-s)f(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^m [I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k)\tilde{I}_k(y(t_k), y'(t_k))]
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 y'(0) = & \int_0^1 (1-s)f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s))ds \\
 & - \sum_{k=1}^m [I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k)\tilde{I}_k(y(t_k), y'(t_k))]
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Finally, substituting (2.3) into (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
y(t) &= t \int_0^1 (1-s)f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s))ds - t \sum_{k=1}^m \left[I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k) \tilde{I}_k(y(t_k), y'(t_k)) \right] \\
&\quad - \int_0^t (t-s)(f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s))ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left[I_k(y(t_k)) + (t-t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k)) \right] \\
&= t \int_0^t (1-s)f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s))ds + t \int_t^1 (1-s)f(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds \\
&\quad - t \sum_{k=1}^m \left[I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k) \tilde{I}_k(y(t_k), y'(t_k)) \right] - \int_0^t (t-s)(f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s))ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left[I_k(y(t_k)) + (t-t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k)) \right] \\
&= \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s))ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \left[I_k(y(t_k)) + (t-t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k)) \right] \\
&\quad - t \sum_{k=1}^m \left[I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k) \bar{I}_k(y(t_k), y'(t_k)) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $y(t)$ satisfies Equation (2.1).

Conversely, suppose $y \in PC^1[J, E]$ is a solution of (2.1).

Clearly,

$$\Delta y|_{t=t_k} = I_k(y(t_k)) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

Direct differentiation implies, for $t \neq t_k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
y'(t) &= - \int_0^t s(f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s))ds \\
&\quad + \int_t^1 (1-s)(f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s))ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k)) \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^m \left[I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k)) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$y''(t) = -f(t, y(t), y(t-\tau(t)), y'(t)) - e(t)$$

so $y \in C^2[J', E]$ and

$$\Delta y'|_{t=t_k} = \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k)) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

□

3. Main result

In this section, we examine the existence of solutions to problem (1.1). To proceed, we introduce the following conditions:

(H1) $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and $\tau, e, I_k, \bar{I}_k \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

(H2) The function f has the decomposition

$$f(t, x, y, z) = h(t, x) + g(t, y) + p(t, z)$$

such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{|h(t, x(t))|}{|x(t)|} = r_0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{|g(t, x(t - \tau(t)))|}{|x(t - \tau(t))|} = r_1, \quad \text{and} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{|p(t, x(t))|}{|x(t)|} = r_2, \quad (3.3)$$

where $r_i \geq 0, i = 0, 1, 2$ are all constants, g, h , and p are continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

(H3) There are constants c_k and \bar{c}_k such that for each $y \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$, the inequalities $|I_k(y)| \leq c_k$ and $|I_k(y')| \leq \bar{c}_k$ hold for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

(H4) $r_0 + r_1 + r_2 < 1$.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that the hypotheses (H1)–(H4) are satisfied. Then, the problem (1.1) has at least one solution y .*

Proof: *In order to reformulate the problem as a fixed point problem, define the mapping $N \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} Ny(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)(f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s))ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} [I_k(y(t_k)) + (t - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))] \\ &\quad - t \sum_{k=1}^m [I_k(y(t_k)) + (1 - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We will show that N is completely continuous. The proof will be given in several steps.

Step 1. N is continuous.

Let y_n be a sequence in $PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\|y_n - y\|_{PC^1} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$. We will prove that $\|N(y_n) - N(y)\|_{PC^1} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Ny)'(t) &= \int_0^1 G'(t, s)(f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s))ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k)) - \sum_{k=1}^m [I_k(y(t_k)) + (1 - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ny_n)(t) - (Ny)(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 |G(t, s)(f(s, y_n(s), y_n(s - \tau(s)), y'_n(s))) - G(t, s)(f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau(s)), y'(s)))| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |[I_k(y_n(t_k)) + (t - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k))] - [I_k(y(t_k)) + (t - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))]| \\ &\quad + t \sum_{k=1}^m |[I_k(y_n(t_k)) + (1 - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k))] - t \sum_{k=1}^m [I_k(y(t_k)) + (1 - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))]|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ny_n)'(t) - (Ny)'(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 |G'(t, s)(f(s, y_n(s), y_n(s - \tau(s)), y'_n(s))) - G'(t, s)(f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau(s)), y'(s)))| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |\bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k)) - \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m |[I_k(y_n(t_k)) + (1 - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k))] - \sum_{k=1}^m [I_k(y(t_k)) + (1 - t_k) \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))]|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Since f, I, \bar{I} are continuous operators, then the right-hand sides in (3.6) and (3.7) tend to zero when $y_n \rightarrow y$. Thus N is continuous.

Step 2: N Maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$. Let

$$y \in D = \{y \in PC^1(J, \mathbb{R}) : \|y\|_{PC^1} \leq q\}.$$

For each $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Ny(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 G(t, s) |f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau(s)), y'(s)) + e(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |I_k(y(t_k))| + (t - t_k) |\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))| \\ &\quad + t \sum_{k=1}^m |I_k(y(t_k))| + (1 - t_k) |\bar{I}_k(y'(y_k))| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G(t, s) |h(s, y(s))| ds + \int_0^1 G(t, s) |g(s, y(s - \tau(s)))| ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 G(t, s) |p(s, y'(s))| ds + \int_0^1 G(t, s) |e(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (t - t_k) \bar{c}_k] + \sum_{k=1}^m [tc_k + t(1 - t_k) \bar{c}_k]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Let

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1 - r_0 - r_1 - r_2}{6}.$$

By using the hypothesis (H4), we see $\varepsilon > 0$. One can find from assumptions (3.1)-(3.3) that there is a constant $D > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|h(t, y)|}{|x|} &< (r_0 + \varepsilon), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], |y| > D, \\ \frac{|g(t, y)|}{|y|} &< (r_1 + \varepsilon), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], |y| > D, \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{|p(t, y)|}{|y|} &< (r_2 + \varepsilon), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], |y| > D, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t, y)| &< (r_0 + \varepsilon) |y|, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], |y| > D, \\ |g(t, y)| &< (r_1 + \varepsilon) |y|, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], |y| > D, \quad \text{and} \\ |p(t, y)| &< (r_2 + \varepsilon) |y|, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], |y| > D. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{t : t \in [0, T], |y(t)| \leq D\}, \\ \Delta_2 &= \{t : t \in [0, T], |y(t)| > D\}, \\ \Delta_3 &= \{t : t \in [0, T], |y(t - \tau(t))| \leq D\}, \\ \Delta_4 &= \{t : t \in [0, T], |y(t - \tau(t))| > D\}, \\ \Delta_5 &= \{t : t \in [0, T], |y'(t)| \leq D\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \Delta_6 &= \{t : t \in [0, T], |y'(t)| > D\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have from (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Ny(t)| &\leq \int_{\Delta_1} G(t,s)|h(s,y(s))|ds + \int_{\Delta_2} G(t,s)|h(s,y(s))|ds + \int_{\Delta_3} G(t,s)|g(s,y(s-\tau(s)))|ds \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Delta_4} G(t,s)|g(s,y(s-\tau(s)))|ds + \int_{\Delta_5} G(t,s)|p(s,y'(s))|ds + \int_{\Delta_6} G(t,s)|p(s,y'(s))|ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 G(t,s)|e(s)|ds + \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (t-t_k)\bar{c}_k] + \sum_{k=1}^m [tc_k + t(1-t_k)\bar{c}_k].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Ny(t)| &\leq (r_0 + r_1 + 2\varepsilon) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,s)ds \|y\|_{PC} + (r_2 + \varepsilon) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,s)d\|y'\|_{PC} \\
 &\quad + [g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \int_0^1 G(t,s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (t-t_k)\bar{c}_k] + \sum_{k=1}^m [tc_k + t(1-t_k)\bar{c}_k] \\
 &\leq (r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) \|y\|_{PC^1} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,s)ds + [g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \int_0^1 |G(t,s)|ds \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (t_k + 1)\bar{c}_k] \\
 &\leq q(r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,s)ds + [g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \int_0^1 G(t,s)ds \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (t_k + 1)\bar{c}_k]
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_D &= \max_{t \in [0,T], |x| \leq D} |g(t,x)|, \quad h_D = \max_{t \in [0,T], |x| \leq D} |h(t,x)|, \quad \text{and} \\
 p_D &= \max_{t \in [0,T], |x| \leq D} |p(t,x)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|Ny\|_{PC} \leq M_1, \tag{3.10}$$

where $M_1 := [q(r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) + g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,s)ds + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (t_k + 1)\bar{c}_k]$. Similarly, from (3.5) we can get

$$\|(Ny)'\|_{PC} \leq M_2, \tag{3.11}$$

where $M_2 := [q(r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) + g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 |G'(t,s)|ds + \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (t_k + 2)\bar{c}_k]$. Then from (3.10) and (3.11) we have

$$\|(Ny)\|_{PC^1} \leq M, \tag{3.12}$$

where $M = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$.

Step 3: N maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets. Let $t, \bar{t} \in J$, $\bar{t} < t$ and D be a bounded set of $PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ as in step 2. Let $y \in D$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Ny)(t) - (Ny)(\bar{t})| &\leq \int_0^1 |G(t,s) - G(\bar{t},s)| |(f(s,y(s),y(s-\tau(s)),y'(s)) + e(s))| ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} | [I_k(y_n(t_k)) + (t-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k))] - \sum_{0 < t_k < \bar{t}} [I_k(y(t_k)) + (\bar{t}-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))] | \\
&\quad + t \sum_{k=1}^m | [I_k(y_n(t_k)) + (1-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k))] - \bar{t} \sum_{k=1}^m [I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))] | \\
&\leq \int_0^1 |G(t,s) - G(\bar{t},s)| [q(r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) + g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < \bar{t}} |(t-\bar{t})\bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k)) - \sum_{\bar{t} < t_k < t} [I_k(y(t_k)) + (\bar{t}-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))] | \\
&\quad + (t-\bar{t}) \sum_{k=1}^m | [I_k(y_n(t_k)) + (1-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k))] | \\
&\leq \int_0^1 |G(t,s) - G(\bar{t},s)| [q(r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) + g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < \bar{t}} |(t-\bar{t})\bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k)) - \sum_{\bar{t} < t_k < t} [I_k(y(t_k)) + (\bar{t}-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))] | \\
&\quad + (t-\bar{t}) \sum_{k=1}^m | [I_k(y_n(t_k)) + (1-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'_n(t_k))] | \\
&\leq \int_0^1 |G(t,s) - G(\bar{t},s)| [q(r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) + g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \\
&\quad + (t-\bar{t}) \sum_{0 < t_k < \bar{t}} \bar{c}_k + \sum_{\bar{t} < t_k < t} [c_k + (\bar{t}-t_k)\bar{c}_k] \\
&\quad + (t-\bar{t}) \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (1-t_k)\bar{c}_k].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

And similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Ny)'(t) - (Ny)'(\bar{t})| &\leq \int_0^1 |G'(t,s)(f(s,y(s),y_n(s-\tau(s)),y'(s))) - G'(\bar{t},s)(f(s,y(s),y(s-\tau(s)),y'(s)))| ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k)) - \sum_{0 < t_k < \bar{t}} \bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))| \\
&\leq \int_0^1 |G'(t,s)(f(s,y(s),y_n(s-\tau(s)),y'(s))) - G'(\bar{t},s)(f(s,y(s),y(s-\tau(s)),y'(s)))| ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{\bar{t} < t_k < t} |\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))| \\
&\leq \int_0^1 |G'(t,s) - G'(\bar{t},s)| [q(r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) + g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] + \sum_{0 < t_k < \bar{t}} \bar{c}_k.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

As $t - \bar{t} \rightarrow 0$. The right-hand sides of the above inequalities tend to zero.

As a consequence of Steps 1, 2 and 3 together with the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, we can conclude that $N : PC^1(J, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PC^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ is completely continuous.

A priori estimate. Now we show that there exists a constant M' such that $\|y\|_{PC^1} \leq M'$, where y is

a solution to the problem (1.1). Let y represent a solution to problem (1.1), then by lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t,s)(f(s,y(s),y(s-\tau(s)),y'(s))+e(s))ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} [I_k(y(t_k)) + (t-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))] \\ &\quad - t \sum_{k=1}^m [I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))], \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Then, by the same way, in step 2, we can prove that:

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq (r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) \|y\|_{PC^1} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 |G(t,s)|ds + [g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 |G(t,s)|ds \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (t_k + 1)\bar{c}_k] \\ &:= A_1 \|y\|_{PC^1} + B_1, \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} |y'(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 |G'(t,s)(f(s,y(s),y(s-\tau(s)),y'(s))+e(s))|ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} |\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))| + \sum_{k=1}^m |[I_k(y(t_k)) + (1-t_k)\bar{I}_k(y'(t_k))]| \\ &\leq (r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + 3\varepsilon) \|y\|_{PC^1} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 |G'(t,s)|ds + [g_D + h_D + p_D + \|e\|_\infty] \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 |G'(t,s)|ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m [c_k + (t_k + 2)\bar{c}_k] \\ &:= A_2 \|y\|_{PC^1} + B_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|y\|_{PC^1} = \max(\|y\|_{PC}, \|y'\|_{PC}) \leq A \|y\|_{PC^1} + B.$$

Where $A = \max\{A_1, A_2\}$ and $B = \max\{B_1, B_2\}$.

From hypothesis H_4 , we deduce that

$$\|y\|_{PC^1} \leq \frac{B}{1-A} := M',$$

Set

$$\Omega = \{y \in C([0, t_1], \mathbb{R}) : \|y\| < M' + 1\}.$$

Due to the specific selection of Ω , there exists no point y on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ that satisfies the equation $y = \lambda N(y)$ for any λ in the interval $(0, 1)$. As a result of Lemma 2.1, we can conclude that the operator N possesses a fixed point y within Ω , and this fixed point is a solution to problem (1.1). \square

4. Example

In this section, we present an example to demonstrate the application of Theorem 3.1. Let us consider the impulsive differential equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
-y''(t) &= \frac{1}{4}y'(t) + \frac{1}{5}y(t) - \frac{1}{10}y\left(t - \frac{1}{25}\sin t\right) + \cos t, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad t \neq t_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, 4, \\
y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-) &= \frac{1}{5}\sin(y(t_k)), \quad k = k = 1, \dots, 4, \\
y'(t_k^+) - y'(t_k^-) &= \frac{1}{5}\sin(y'(t_k)), \quad k = k = 1, \dots, 4, \\
y(0) &= y(1) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Corresponding to problem (1.1), we have $m = 4$, $h(x) = \frac{1}{4}y$, $g(x) = \frac{1}{5}y$, $p(x) = \frac{1}{10}y$, $\tau(t) = \frac{1}{25}\sin t$, and $e(t) = \cos t$, $I_k(y(t)) = \bar{I}_k(y(t)) = \frac{1}{5}\cos(y(t))$. Then we have

$$r_0 + r_1 + r_2 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{10} < 1$$

We can easily show that all conditions $H1$ – $H4$ of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Thus, by applying Theorem 3.1, it follows that problem (4.1) has at least one solution.

References

1. R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *Multiple nonnegative solutions for second order impulsive differential equations*, Applied Mathematics and Computation. 114, 1, 51–59 (2000).
2. A. Anane, O. Chakrone, and L. Moutaouekkil, *Periodic solutions for p -Laplacian neutral functional differential equations with multiple deviating arguments*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ., 2012, 148, 1–12 (2012).
3. M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, and S. Ntouyas, *Impulsive differential equations and inclusions*, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York (2006).
4. B. C. Dhage, *On some nonlinear alternatives of Leray-Schauder type and functional integral equations*, Archivum Mathematicum. 42, 1, 11–23 (2006).
5. D. J. Guo, *Existence of solutions of boundary value problems for nonlinear second order impulsive differential equations in Banach spaces*, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications. 181, 2, 407–421 (1994).
6. V. Lakshmikantham, D. Bainov, and P. S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific. 6 (1989).
7. X. Li, X. Lin, D. Jiang, and X. Zhang, *Existence and multiplicity of positive periodic solutions to functional differential equations with impulse effects*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications. 62, 4, 683–701 (2005).
8. D. O'Regan, *Existence theory for nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, Springer Science and Business Media. 398 (1997).
9. A. M. Samoilenko and N. A. Perestyuk, *Impulsive differential equations*, World Scientific (1995).

Samir Benhammou,
 Department of Mathematics and Computer,
 Faculty of Science,
 University Mohammed First,
 Morocco.
 E-mail address: samir.benhammou@ump.ac.ma

and

Loubna Moutaouekkil,
 Department of Mathematics and Computer,
 Faculty of Science,
 University Mohammed First,
 Morocco.
 E-mail address: loubna.anits@yahoo.fr