



α_i -properties, selection principles and the ideals

Sumit Singh*, Geetanjali Raiya and Manoj Kumar Rana

ABSTRACT: Kočinac [8] introduced several α_i -properties as a selection principles and there were motivated by Arhangel'skii [1] α_i -local properties. In this paper, we identify some classes \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of open covers in topological spaces, topological groups, hyperspaces and abstract boundedness for which the Kočinac $\alpha_i(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -properties are closely related and often equivalent to $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, using the notion of an ideal. Further we introduce the ideal form of Hurewicz-bounded topological group and characterize it using these α_i -properties.

Key Words: Selection principles, α_i -properties, γ -cover, ω -cover, topological group, hyperspace, boundedness, ideal, topological space.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Preliminaries	2
3 Open covers and α_i-properties using ideals	3
4 \mathcal{I}-Hurewicz bounded topological groups	5
5 Hyperspace and α_i-properties using ideals	7
6 Abstract boundedness and α_i-properties using ideals	8

1. Introduction

In 1972, Arhangel'skii [1] introduced the α_i -properties in the following way. A countable collection \mathbb{A} of convergent sequences of X is said to be a sheaf in X if all members of \mathbb{A} converge to the same point of X , which is said to be the vertex of the sheaf \mathbb{A} . Let \mathbb{A} be a sheaf in X with vertex $x \in X$. Then there exists a sequence B converging to x such that:

- (α_1): if $A \in \mathbb{A}$, then $|A \setminus B| < \aleph_0$, where, for a set C , $|C|$ denotes the cardinality of C ,
- (α_2): if $A \in \mathbb{A}$, then $A \cap B$ is an infinite subsequence of A and B ,
- (α_3): $|\{A \in \mathbb{A}, A \cap B \text{ is an infinite subsequence of } A \text{ and } B\}| = \aleph_0$,
- (α_4): $|\{A \in \mathbb{A}, A \cap B \neq \emptyset\}| = \aleph_0$.

Motivated by these α_i -properties, Kočinac [8] introduced following α_i -properties in the form of selection principles.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be sets of families of subsets of an infinite set X . The symbol $\alpha_i(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, denotes the following selection principles:

For each sequence $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of infinite elements of \mathcal{A} there is an element $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that:

1. $\alpha_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $A_n \setminus B$ is finite;
2. $\alpha_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $A_n \cap B$ is infinite;
3. $\alpha_3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $A_n \cap B$ is infinite;
4. $\alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $A_n \cap B$ is nonempty.

* Corresponding author

Submitted January 29, 2020. Published April 14, 2025
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54D20, 54B20, 54D55, 54H11.

The $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ [18] denotes the following selection principle:

$S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of elements of \mathcal{A} there is a sequence $(b_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_n \in A_n$ and $\{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

The following implications directly follows from the definitions:

$\alpha_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \alpha_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \alpha_3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.

Tsaban [29] investigated the Kočinac α_i -properties and made some observations on these properties.

Recently, the investigation of relative selection principles was commenced by Kočinac, Konca and Singh ([9, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]). Kočinac identified some classes \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} for which $\alpha_i(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -properties are equivalent to $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ in topological spaces, topological groups and hyperspaces. The purpose of this paper is to identify some more classes \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} for which these $\alpha_i(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -properties are closely related and often equivalent to $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, using the notion of an ideal.

The paper is organized so that introduction is followed by six sections. In Section-2, we familiarize the reader with the basic notions of different types of open covers and their analogues open covers using ideals. In Section-3, we give several characterization of α_i -properties in terms $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ selection principle and the game $G_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. Briefly we find some classes \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of open covers in topological spaces such that the α_i -properties, $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and the game $G_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ becomes equivalent. In Section-4, we define the ideal version of Hurewicz bounded topological group and characterize it these α_i -properties. Further several classes of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in topological group also find such that α_i -properties and $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ becomes equivalent. In Section-5, it is shown that $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$ satisfies $\alpha_i(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$, $i = 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$ satisfies $S_1(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$ if and only if X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$ also the same analogue result is shown for $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$. In Section-6 we find some classes \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of open covers in abstract boundedness spaces such that the α_i -properties, $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and the game $G_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ becomes equivalent.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper X is a Hausdorff topological space, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers and by an open cover we mean the countable open cover unless otherwise stated.

There is an infinite long game corresponding to $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denoted by $G_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ [18]. Two Players, ONE and TWO, who play a round for each natural number n . In the n -th round ONE chooses a set A_n from \mathcal{A} and TWO responds by choosing an element $b_n \in A_n$. TWO wins the play $(A_1, b_1, \dots, A_n, b_n, \dots)$ if $\{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$; otherwise ONE wins.

It can easily be seen that if ONE does not have a winning strategy in the game $G_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, then the corresponding selection hypothesis $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is true. However the converse implication is not always true (see [8]).

In topological spaces, various special families of open covers have been studied in the literature in relations of selection principles, We refer the interested reader to the survey papers [19, 10, 30]. We now recall some classes of open covers which we will use through out the paper.

An open cover \mathcal{U} of a space X is called an ω -cover [6] (k -cover) if every finite (compact) subset of X is contained in a member of \mathcal{U} and X is not a member of \mathcal{U} . An open cover \mathcal{U} of a space X is called an γ -cover [6] if \mathcal{U} is infinite and each $x \in X$ belongs to all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$. An open cover \mathcal{U} of a space X is called an γ_k -cover if \mathcal{U} is infinite and each compact subset of X is contained in all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and X is not a member of \mathcal{U} .

A family \mathcal{I} of subsets of a non-empty set Y is said to be an ideal in Y if (i) $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ implies $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ and (ii) $A \in \mathcal{I}$, $B \subset A$ implies $B \in \mathcal{I}$. An ideal is said to be admissible ideal or free ideal of Y if $\{y\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $y \in Y$. If \mathcal{I} is a proper ideal in Y , then the family of sets $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset Y : \text{there exists } A \in \mathcal{I} : M = Y \setminus A\}$ is a filter in Y . Throughout the paper \mathcal{I} will stand for proper admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} . We denote the ideal of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} by \mathcal{I}_{fin} .

Now we consider the following covers defined by Das et. al [4, 5] using the ideals of \mathbb{N} . A countable open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of a space X is said to be an $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover [4] if for each $x \in X$ the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \notin U_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. A countable open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of a space X is said to be an $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_k$ -cover [5] if $X \notin \mathcal{U}$ and for each compact subset C of X , the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : C \not\subseteq U_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. An open cover \mathcal{U} of a X is said to be \mathcal{I} -groupable [4] (\mathcal{I} - ω -groupable, \mathcal{I} - k -groupable) if it can be expressed in the form $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$, where \mathcal{U}_n 's are finite, pairwise disjoint and for each $x \in X$ (any finite $F \subset X$, any compact $K \subset X$), $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \notin \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ ($\{n \in \mathbb{N} : F \not\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \not\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$). When \mathcal{I}

is an admissible ideal, every γ -cover of X is \mathcal{I} - γ -cover and every γ_k -cover of X is \mathcal{I} - γ_k -cover but the converse is not true (see [Example 2.1, [4]]).

A subset \mathcal{V} of a cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of a space X is \mathcal{I} -dense [4] in \mathcal{U} if the set $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < m_4 < \dots\}$ of indices of elements from \mathcal{V} belongs to $F(\mathcal{I})$ and further if $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow M$ be the bijection given by $f(i) = m_i$, then $f(A) \in \mathcal{I}$ if and only if $A \in \mathcal{I}$.

Throughout the paper each infinite subset of \mathcal{I} - γ -cover and \mathcal{I} - γ_k -cover are taken to be \mathcal{I} -dense. Since every \mathcal{I} -dense subset of \mathcal{I} - γ -cover of X is \mathcal{I} - γ -cover of X (see [Lemma 2.2, [4]]) also every \mathcal{I} -dense subset of \mathcal{I} - γ_k -cover of X is \mathcal{I} - γ_k -cover of X (see Lemma 4.1, [5]). Thus throughout the paper it is clear that each infinite subset of \mathcal{I} - γ -cover and \mathcal{I} - γ_k -cover are \mathcal{I} - γ -cover and \mathcal{I} - γ_k -cover respectively.

We use the following notations:

1. \mathcal{O} denote the collection of open covers of X ;
2. Ω denote the collection of ω -covers of X ;
3. \mathcal{K} denote the collection of k -covers of X ;
4. Γ denote the collection of γ -covers of X ;
5. Γ_k denote the collection of γ_k -covers of X ;
6. $\mathcal{I} - \Gamma$ denote the collection of \mathcal{I} - γ -covers of X ;
7. $\mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k$ denote the collection of \mathcal{I} - γ_k -covers of X ;
8. $\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{I}-gp}$ denote the collection of \mathcal{I} groupable-covers of X .

3. Open covers and α_i -properties using ideals

In this section we identify some classes \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} for which these $\alpha_i(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -properties, $i=2, 3, 4$, are equivalent to $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $G_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ using the ideal notions in topological spaces.

Theorem 3.1 *For a space X the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X satisfies $\alpha_2(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
2. X satisfies $\alpha_3(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
3. X satisfies $\alpha_4(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
4. X satisfies $S_1(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
5. ONE has no winning strategy in the game $G_1(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$ on X .

Proof: (3) \Rightarrow (4). Let $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of ω -covers of X . Assume that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define $\mathcal{V}_n = \{U_{1,m_1} \cap U_{2,m_2} \dots \cap U_{n,m_n} : n < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_n, U_{i,m_i} \in \mathcal{U}_i, i \leq n\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{V}_n is a ω -cover of X . Since \mathcal{I} -dense subset of \mathcal{I} - γ -cover is \mathcal{I} - γ -cover (see [4]) and by (3), there is an increasing sequence $\{n_1 < n_2 < \dots\}$ in \mathbb{N} such that $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{V}_{n_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a \mathcal{I} - γ -cover of X and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $V_{n_i} \in \mathcal{V}_{n_i}$. Let for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $V_{n_{i+1}} = U_{1,m_1} \cap U_{2,m_2} \dots \cap U_{n_{i+1},m_{n_{i+1}}}$, $U_{j,m_j} \in \mathcal{U}_j$, $j \leq n_{i+1}$. Let $n_0 = 0$. For each $i \geq 0$, each n with $n_i < n \leq n_{i+1}$. Let $O_n = U_{n,m_{n_{i+1}}}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $O_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and the set $\{O_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a \mathcal{I} - γ -cover of X . So X satisfies $S_1(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Consider the following strategy σ for ONE in $G_1(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$. Let in the first round ONE chooses $\sigma(\phi) = \{U_{(1)}, U_{(2)}, \dots, U_{(n)}, \dots\}$, a ω -cover of X . Suppose for each finite sequence t of natural numbers of length $\leq m$, U_t has been defined. Define now $\{U_{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m, k)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ as the set $\sigma(U_{(n_1)}, U_{(n_1, n_2)}, \dots, U_{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)}) \setminus \{U_{(n_1)}, U_{(n_1, n_2)}, \dots, U_{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)}\}$. Clearly for each finite sequence t of natural numbers, the set $\{U_{(t, n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a ω -cover of X . By (4) for each t choose $n_t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{U_{(t, n_t)} : t \text{ is a finite sequence of natural numbers}\}$ is an \mathcal{I} - γ -cover of X . Define inductively the sequence

$n_1 = n_\phi$, $n_{k+1} = n_{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}$ for $k \geq 1$. Since every infinite subset of $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ cover is \mathcal{I} -dense and \mathcal{I} -dense subset of $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover is $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover (see [4]). Thus the sequence $U_{(n_1)}, U_{(n_1, n_2)}, \dots, U_{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)}, \dots$ of moves of TWO is actually a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover of X which shows that σ is not a winning strategy for ONE in the game $G_1(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Let $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of ω -cover of X and let for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. From each \mathcal{U}_n form a sequence of ω -covers of X in accordance with the strategy σ for ONE defined in the following way: ONE'S first move is $\sigma(\phi) = \mathcal{U}_1$. Let TWO now chooses a member $U_{1,m_{i_1}} \in \mathcal{U}_1$. Then ONE chooses the ω -cover $\mathcal{V}(1, m_{i_1}) = \{U_{1,m} \cap U_{2,m} : m \geq m_{i_1}\}$ and plays $\sigma(U_{1,m_{i_1}}) = \mathcal{V}(1, m_{i_1})$. If TWO now takes a set $U_{1,m_{i_2}} \cap U_{2,m_{i_2}} \in \mathcal{V}(1, m_{i_1})$ then ONE plays $\sigma(U_{1,m_{i_1}}, U_{2,m_{i_2}}) = \mathcal{V}(2, m_{i_2}) = \{U_{1,m} \cap U_{2,m} \cap U_{3,m} : m \geq m_{i_2}\}$, which is still ω -covers of X . TWO then chooses a set $U_{1,m_{i_3}} \cap U_{2,m_{i_3}} \cap U_{3,m_{i_3}} \in \sigma(U_{1,m_{i_1}}, U_{2,m_{i_2}})$ and so on. ONE and TWO proceed in this way for all $n \geq 2$. Since σ is not a winning strategy for ONE so there exists a σ -play :

$\sigma(\phi), U_{1,m_{i_1}}; \sigma(U_{1,m_{i_1}}), U_{1,m_{i_2}} \cap U_{2,m_{i_2}}; \sigma(U_{1,m_{i_1}}, U_{2,m_{i_2}}), U_{1,m_{i_3}} \cap U_{2,m_{i_3}} \cap U_{3,m_{i_3}}; \dots$ lost by ONE. In other words, TWO's moves $U_{1,m_{i_1}}, U_{1,m_{i_2}} \cap U_{2,m_{i_2}}, U_{1,m_{i_3}} \cap U_{2,m_{i_3}} \cap U_{3,m_{i_3}}; \dots$ form a sequence which forms a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover of X . Subsequently the sequence $U_{1,m_{i_1}}, U_{1,m_{i_2}} \cap U_{2,m_{i_2}}, U_{1,m_{i_3}} \cap U_{2,m_{i_3}} \cap U_{3,m_{i_3}}; \dots$ forms a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover of X and obviously it contains infinitely many element from each \mathcal{U}_n , which shows that (1) holds. \square

On the lines of preceding theorem, we can prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.2 *For a non-compact space X the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X satisfies $\alpha_2(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
2. X satisfies $\alpha_3(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
3. X satisfies $\alpha_4(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
4. X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
5. ONE has no winning strategy in the game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$ on X .

Theorem 3.3 *For a non-compact space X the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X satisfies $\alpha_2(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$;
2. X satisfies $\alpha_3(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$;
3. X satisfies $\alpha_4(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$;
4. X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$;
5. ONE has no winning strategy in the game $G_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$ on X .

We also have following result.

Theorem 3.4 *For a space X and $\mathcal{B} \in \{\mathcal{I} - \Gamma, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k\}$ the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X satisfies $\alpha_2(\Gamma_k, \mathcal{B})$;
2. X satisfies $\alpha_3(\Gamma_k, \mathcal{B})$;
3. X satisfies $\alpha_4(\Gamma_k, \mathcal{B})$;
4. X satisfies $S_1(\Gamma_k, \mathcal{B})$.

Proof: (3) \Rightarrow (4). Consider the sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of γ_k -covers of X . Let $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ define $V_{n,m} = U_{1,m} \cap U_{2,m} \cap \dots \cap U_{n,m}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\mathcal{V}_n = \{V_{n,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a γ_k -cover of X , since each \mathcal{U}_n is a γ_k -cover of X . By (3) there is an increasing sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ in \mathbb{N} and a cover $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{n_i, m_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $V_{n_i, m_i} \in \mathcal{V}_{n_i}$. Put $n_0 = 0$. For each $i \geq 0$, each j with $n_i < j \leq n_{i+1}$ and each $V_{n_{i+1}, m_{i+1}} = U_{1, m_{i+1}} \cap \dots \cap U_{n_{i+1}, m_{i+1}}$, put $H_j = U_{j, m_{i+1}}$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $H_j \in \mathcal{U}_j$ and the set $\mathcal{H} = \{H_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is in \mathcal{B} , since \mathcal{H} is refined by \mathcal{V} which is in \mathcal{B} . So, X satisfies $S_1(\Gamma_k, \mathcal{B})$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Consider the sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of γ_k -covers of X . Let $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_{n,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Choose an increasing sequence $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_p, \dots$ of positive integers and for each n and each k_i consider $\mathcal{V}(n, k_i) = \{U_{n,m} : m \geq k_i\}$. Then for each $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{V}(n, k_i)$ is a γ_k -cover of X . Apply (4) to the sequence $(\mathcal{V}(n, k_i) : n, i \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a sequence $(V_{n, k_i} : n, i \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each $(n, i) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, $V_{n, k_i} \in \mathcal{V}(n, k_i)$ and the set $\mathcal{W} = \{V_{n, k_i} : n, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence the X satisfies $\alpha_2(\Gamma_k, \mathcal{B})$, since \mathcal{W} is chosen in such a way that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{U}_n \cap \mathcal{W}$ is infinite. \square

In a similar way as Theorem 3.4, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5 *For a space X the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X satisfies $\alpha_2(\Gamma, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
2. X satisfies $\alpha_3(\Gamma, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
3. X satisfies $\alpha_4(\Gamma, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
4. X satisfies $S_1(\Gamma, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$.

4. \mathcal{I} -Hurewicz bounded topological groups

Now we shall present the ideal version of certain covers in topological groups using the α_i -properties. For instance we introduce the ideal version of Hurewicz-bounded topological group called \mathcal{I} -Hurewicz-bounded topological group and characterize it in the form of α_i -properties. Let $\mathbb{F}(X)$ and $\mathbb{K}(X)$ stand for the class of nonempty finite sets and compact sets of X , respectively.

Throughout this section $(G, *, \tau)$ or G denotes the topological group with the identity element e . Let \mathcal{B}_e be a local base at e . For each $U \in \mathcal{B}_e$ with $U \neq G$ define

$$o(U) = \{x * U : x \in G\}$$

$$\mathcal{O}(e) = \{o(U) : U \in \mathcal{B}_e\}$$

$$\omega(U) = \{F * U : F \in \mathbb{F}(G)\}$$

$$\Omega(e) = \{\omega(U) : U \in \mathcal{B}_e \text{ and there is no } F \in \mathbb{F}(G) \text{ with } F * U = G\}$$

$$k(U) = \{K * U : K \in \mathbb{K}(G)\}$$

$$\mathcal{K}(e) = \{k(U) : U \in \mathcal{B}_e \text{ and there is no } K \in \mathbb{K}(G) \text{ with } K * U = G\}$$

It is clear from the definitions, $\mathcal{O}(e) \subset \mathcal{O}$; $\Omega(e) \subset \Omega$; $\mathcal{K}(e) \subset \mathcal{K}$.

In [2], Hurewicz-bounded topological groups have been studied. A topological group G is Hurewicz-bounded if for each sequence $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of open neighborhoods of e , there is a sequence $(F_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of finite subsets of G such that for each $g \in G$, $g \in F_n * U_n$ for all but finitely many n . Equivalently, G is Hurewicz-bounded if it satisfies the selection principle $S_1(\Omega(e), \Gamma)$.

Now we define ideal version of a Hurewicz-bounded topological group (for the related study see [20, 31]).

Definition 4.1 A topological group G is said to be \mathcal{I} -Hurewicz-bounded (in short, \mathcal{I} HB) if for each sequence $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of open neighborhoods of e , there is a sequence $(F_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of finite subsets of G such that for each $g \in G$, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : g \notin F_n * U_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Equivalently, G is said to be \mathcal{I} HB if it satisfies the selection principle $S_1(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$.

Theorem 4.1 *For a topological group G the following statements are equivalent:*

1. G satisfies $\alpha_2(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;

2. G satisfies $\alpha_3(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
3. G satisfies $\alpha_4(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
4. G satisfies $S_1(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
5. G satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$.

Proof: Since (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3), (4) \Rightarrow (3) and (4) \Rightarrow (5) are obvious and (3) \Rightarrow (1) can similarly prove as the proof of (3) \Rightarrow (4). Thus we only prove (3) \Rightarrow (4) and (5) \Rightarrow (4).

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Consider the sequence $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of elements of \mathcal{B}_e . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $V_n = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_n$ be a member of \mathcal{B}_e . Apply (3) to the sequence $(V_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ in \mathbb{N} and finite sets $F_{n_i} \subset G$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\{F_{n_i} * V_{n_i} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ cover of G . If $n_0 = 0$, then for each positive integer n with $n_{i-1} < n \leq n_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, put $F_n = F_{n_i}$ and U_n to be n -th component in the representation $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_{n_i}$ of V_{n_i} . Evidently, $\{F_n * U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ cover of G . Thus the sequence $(F_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ witnesses for $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ that G satisfies $S_1(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (4). Consider the sequence $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of elements of \mathcal{B}_e . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $V_n \in \mathcal{B}_e$ so that $V_n^2 \subset U_n$. Apply (5) to the sequence $(V_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a sequence $(K_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of compact subsets of G such that $\{K_n * V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ cover of G . Now for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick a finite set F_n in G such that $K_n \subset F_n * V_n$. Then for each n , $K_n * V_n \subset (F_n * V_n) * V_n \subset F_n * U_n$ and it concludes that $\{F_n * U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ cover of G . \square

The proof of following theorem is similar to Theorem 4.1, thus omitted.

Theorem 4.2 *For a topological group G the following statements are equivalent:*

1. G satisfies $\alpha_2(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$;
2. G satisfies $\alpha_3(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$;
3. G satisfies $\alpha_4(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$;
4. G satisfies $S_1(\Omega(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$;
5. G satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$.

Now we prove some more characterization on $\mathcal{I}HB$ using the $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ selection principle. Let G and H be topological space with H a subspace of G . We shall use the following notion in our next results.

1. \mathcal{O}_G : The collection of open covers of G .
2. \mathcal{O}_{GH} : The collection covers of H by sets open in G .

Theorem 4.3 *Let H be a subgroup of topological group G . Then following are equivalent:*

1. $S_1(\Omega_G(e), \mathcal{O}_{GH}^{\mathcal{I}-gp})$;
2. $S_1(\Omega_H(e), \mathcal{O}_H^{\mathcal{I}-gp})$.

Proof: We only prove (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $(\omega(U_n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence in $\Omega_H(e)$. Since each $U_n \in \mathcal{B}_e$ (in H). Choose for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_n \in \mathcal{B}_e$ (in G) such that $U_n = T_n \cap H$. Now choose $S_n \in \mathcal{B}_e$ (in G) such that $S_n^{-1} * S_n \subset T_n$. Apply (1) to the sequence $(\omega(S_n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ we get for each n a finite set $F_n \subset G$ such that for each element $x \in H$ there is an $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \notin F_n * S_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. So $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \in F_n * S_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$. For each n , and for each $f \in F_n$, choose a $h_f \in H$ as $h_f \in H \cap f * S_n$ if nonempty, $h_f = e$ otherwise. Then put $H_n = \{h_f : f \in F_n\}$, a finite subset of H . For each n , $H_n * U_n \in \omega(U_n) \in \Omega_H(e)$. Let $x \in H$. Then $x \in F_n * S_n$ for some n as every member of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ is nonempty. Choose $f_x \in F_n$ so that $x \in f_x * S_n$. Then evidently $H \cap f_x * S_n$ is nonempty, and so $h_{f_x} \in H$ is defined as an element of this intersection. Since $h_{f_x} \in f_x * S_n$, $f_x \in h_{f_x} * S_n^{-1}$, thus $x \in h_{f_x} * S_n^{-1} * S_n \subset h_{f_x} * T_n$. Now $h_{f_x}^{-1} * x \in H \cap T_n = U_n$, $x \in h_{f_x} * U_n \subset H_n * U_n$. Therefore $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \in F_n * S_n\} \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \in H_n * U_n\}$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.1 *If G has property $S_1(\Omega_G(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_G)$, then for each infinite subgroup H of G , has the property $S_1(\Omega_H(e), \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_H)$.*

5. Hyperspace and α_i -properties using ideals

In this section we shall present the ideal version of certain covers in hyperspaces using the α_i -properties.

For a space X , the collection of all closed subsets of X is denoted by 2^X . Let $\mathbb{F}(X)$ and $\mathbb{K}(X)$ stand for the class of nonempty finite sets and compact sets of X , respectively. If A is a subset of X and \mathcal{A} is a family of subsets of X , then we write

$$A^+ = \{F \in 2^X : F \subset A\}, \mathcal{A}^+ = \{A^+ : A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Notice that we use same symbol F to denote a closed subset of X and the point F in 2^X ; from the context it will be clear what F is.

The *upper Fell topology* \mathbb{F}^+ on 2^X is the topology whose base is the collection

$$\{(K^C)^+ : K \in \mathbb{K}(X)\} \cup \{2^X\}.$$

The *upper Vietoris topology* \mathbb{V}^+ has basic open sets of the form U^+ , U open in X . It is clear that $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$ and $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$ are considered as subspaces of $(2^X, \mathbb{V}^+)$.

Theorem 5.1 *For a space X and an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of X . The following statements hold:*

1. \mathcal{U} is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover of X if and only if \mathcal{U}^+ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover of $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$;
2. \mathcal{U} is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_k$ -cover of X if and only if \mathcal{U}^+ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_k$ -cover of $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$;
3. \mathcal{U} is a $\mathcal{I} - \omega$ -groupable cover of X if and only if \mathcal{U}^+ is a $\mathcal{I} - \omega$ -groupable cover of $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$;
4. \mathcal{U} is a $\mathcal{I} - k$ -groupable cover of X if and only if \mathcal{U}^+ is a $\mathcal{I} - k$ -groupable cover of $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$.

Proof: (1) Let \mathcal{U} be a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover of X and let $F \in (\mathbb{F}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$. The set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : F \not\subseteq U_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. This means $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : F \not\subseteq U_n^+\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for $U_n^+ \in \mathcal{U}^+$, that is \mathcal{U}^+ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover of $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$.

(2) Similar to (1).

(3) Let \mathcal{U} is a $\mathcal{I} - \omega$ -groupable cover of X and let $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$ be a partition of \mathcal{U} witnessing that fact. Let $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_p\}$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{F}(X)$. Then $F = F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_p$ is a finite subset of X and thus $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : F \not\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_p\} \not\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n^+\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus \mathcal{U}^+ is a $\mathcal{I} - \omega$ -groupable cover of $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$.

(4) Similar to (3). □

To prove our next theorem we need following lemma from [8].

Lemma 5.1 [8] *For a space X and an open cover \mathcal{W} of $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$ the following holds: \mathcal{W} is an ω -cover of $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W}) = \{U \subset X : U \text{ is open in } X \text{ and } U^+ \in W \text{ for some } W \in \mathcal{W}_n\}$ is a k -cover of X .*

Theorem 5.2 *For a space X the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$ satisfies $\alpha_2(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
2. $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$ satisfies $\alpha_3(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
3. $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$ satisfies $\alpha_4(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
4. $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathbb{V}^+)$ satisfies $S_1(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
5. X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_k)$.

Proof: The equivalence of (1), (2), (3) and (4) are follows from Theorem 3.1.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Consider the sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of k -covers of X . Then $(\mathcal{U}_n^+ : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a sequence of ω -cover of $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$. For some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m\}$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{K}(X)$. Then $K = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \dots \cup K_m$ is a compact subset of X and thus there is $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $K \subset U$, that is for each $i \leq m$, $K_i \subset U$ and thus $K_i \in \mathcal{U}^+$. Therefore $\{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m\} \subset \mathcal{U}^+$ and \mathcal{U}_n is an ω -cover of $\mathcal{K}(X)$. Apply (4) on each n , there is an element $U_n^+ \in \mathcal{U}_n^+$ such that the set $\mathcal{U}^+ = \{U_n^+ : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ -cover of $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$. To prove (5), it is sufficient to prove that $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_k$ cover of X . Then for each compact subset K of X , the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \not\subseteq U_n^+\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Consequently, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \subseteq U_n^+\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$. Let $K \subseteq U_n^+$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $K \subset U_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \subseteq U_n^+\} \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \subset U_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$. Thus it shows that $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_k$ cover of X .

(5) \Rightarrow (4). Consider the sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of ω -cover of $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{W}_n = \{W \subset X : W \text{ is open in } X \text{ and } W^+ \in U \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$. By Lemma 5.1, each \mathcal{W}_n is a k -cover of X . Apply (5) to the sequence $(\mathcal{W}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a sequence $(W_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $W_n \in \mathcal{W}_n$ and the set $\mathcal{W} = \{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_k$ cover of X . For each $W_n \in \mathcal{W}$, pick $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ so that $W_n^+ \in U_n$. To prove (4), it is sufficient to prove that $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_k$ cover of $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$. Then for each $K \in \mathbb{K}(X)$, the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \subset W_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Consequently, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \subseteq W_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$. Let $K \subseteq W_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $K \in W_n^+ \in U_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \subseteq W_n\} \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : K \in U_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$. Thus it shows that $(U_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_k$ cover of $(\mathbb{K}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$. \square

Lemma 5.2 [8] *For a space X and an open cover \mathcal{W} of $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$ the following holds: \mathcal{W} is an ω -cover of $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W}) = \{U \subset X : U \text{ is open in } X \text{ and } U^+ \in W \text{ for some } W \in \mathcal{W}_n\}$ is an ω -cover of X .*

We can similarly prove the following theorem, by using Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 5.3 *For a space X the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$ satisfies $\alpha_2(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
2. $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$ satisfies $\alpha_3(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
3. $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$ satisfies $\alpha_4(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
4. $(\mathbb{F}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$ satisfies $S_1(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
5. X satisfies $S_1(\Omega, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$.

6. Abstract boundedness and α_i -properties using ideals

In 1949, S. T. Hu [7] were introduced and studied an abstract boundedness in any topological space.

A family \mathbb{B} of nonempty closed subsets of a space X is said to be an abstract boundedness (or, boundedness) if it is closed for finite unions, closed hereditary and contains all singletons. If \mathbb{B} is a boundedness in a space X and \mathcal{U} is an open cover of X , then \mathcal{U} is said to be a \mathbb{B} -cover if each $B \in \mathbb{B}$ is contained in an element of \mathcal{U} and $X \notin \mathcal{U}$. \mathcal{U} is called $\gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover [16, 13] if it is infinite and each $B \in \mathbb{B}$ is contained in all but finitely many elements of \mathcal{U} .

Now we introduce the ideal version of the $\gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover called $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover. A countable open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of a space X is said to be an $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover if for each $B \in \mathbb{B}$, the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : B \not\subseteq U_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

For a given boundedness \mathbb{B} in a space, the collection of all \mathbb{B} -cover (resp. $\gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$, $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$) denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}$ (resp. $\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$, $\mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$).

Lemma 6.1 *An \mathcal{I} -dense subset of an $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X is also an $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X .*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X and $\{U_{n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an \mathcal{I} -dense subset of \mathcal{U} . Suppose that $\{U_{n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not an $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X . Then there is a set $B \in \mathbb{B}$ for which the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : B \notin U_{n_k}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$. But $\{n_k \in \mathbb{N} : B \notin U_{n_k}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ from the definition of \mathcal{I} -dense. Now observe that $\{n_k \in \mathbb{N} : B \notin U_{n_k}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ from the definition of $\mathcal{I} \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : B \notin U_n\}$. Since \mathcal{U} is an $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X , so $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : B \notin U_n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ and so $\{n_k \in \mathbb{N} : B \notin U_{n_k}\} \in \mathcal{I}$, a contradiction. \square

If an infinite subset of $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X is \mathcal{I} -dense, then by above lemma, every infinite subset of $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X is $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X . We give several results related to boundedness without proof, since the proofs are quite similar to Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 5.3.

Theorem 6.1 *For a space X the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X satisfies $\alpha_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
2. X satisfies $\alpha_3(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
3. X satisfies $\alpha_4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
4. X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
5. ONE has no winning strategy in the game $G_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$ on X .

Theorem 6.2 *If an infinite subset of $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X is \mathcal{I} -dense, then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X satisfies $\alpha_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$;
2. X satisfies $\alpha_3(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$;
3. X satisfies $\alpha_4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$;
4. X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$;
5. ONE has no winning strategy in the game $G_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$ on X .

Theorem 6.3 *For a space X the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X satisfies $\alpha_2(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
2. X satisfies $\alpha_3(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
3. X satisfies $\alpha_4(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
4. X satisfies $S_1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
5. ONE has no winning strategy in the game $G_1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$ on X .

Theorem 6.4 *If an infinite subset of $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_{\mathbb{B}}$ -cover of X is \mathcal{I} -dense, then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. X satisfies $\alpha_2(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$;
2. X satisfies $\alpha_3(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$;
3. X satisfies $\alpha_4(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$;
4. X satisfies $S_1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$;
5. ONE has no winning strategy in the game $G_1(\Gamma_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma_{\mathbb{B}})$ on X .

Consider \mathbb{B} as a subspace of $(2^X, \mathcal{V}^+)$ and denote this space by $(\mathbb{B}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$. Then we have the following.

Theorem 6.5 *For a space X the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $(\mathbb{B}(X), \mathcal{V}^+)$ satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$;
2. X satisfies $S_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathcal{I} - \Gamma)$.

Acknowledgments

The first author acknowledges the support by the Anusandhan National Research Foundation (ANRF), Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, under the project file no.: EEQ/2023/000490.

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her several comments and valuable suggestions.

References

1. Arhangel'skii, A.V., *The frequency spectrum of a topological space and the classification of spaces*, Soviet Math. Doklady. 13, 1185-1189, (1972).
2. Babinkostova, L., Kočinac, Lj.D.R., Scheepers, M., *Combinatorics of open covers (XI)*, Topology Appl. 154, 1269-1280, (2007).
3. Caserta, A., Maio, G.D., Kočinac, Lj.D.R., Meccariello, E., *Application of k -covers II*, Topology Appl. 153, 3277-3293, (2006).
4. Das, P., *Certain types of open covers and selection principles using ideals*, Houston J. Math. 39(2), 637-650, (2013).
5. Das, P., Chandra, D., *Some further results on $\mathcal{I} - \gamma$ and $\mathcal{I} - \gamma_k$ -covers*, Topology Appl. 16, 2401-2410, (2013).
6. Gerlits, J., Nagy, Zs., *Some properties of $C(X)$, I*, Topol. Appl. 14, 151-161, (1982).
7. Hu, S.T., *Boundedness in a topological space*, Journal Math. Pures Appl. 28, 287-320, (1949).
8. Kočinac, Lj.D.R., *Selection principles related to α_i -properties*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics 12(3), 561-571, (2008).
9. Lj.D.R. Kočinac, S. Konca, S. Singh, *Set star-Menger and set strongly star-Menger spaces*, Mathematica Slovaca, **72** (2022), 185-196.
10. Kočinac, Lj.D.R., *Selected results on selection principles*, in: Sh. Rezapour (Ed.), Proceedings of the 3rd Seminar on Geometry and Topology, July 15-17, Tabriz, Iran, 71-104, (2004).
11. Maio, G.D., Meccariello, E., Naimpally, S., *Bounded topologies*, Applied General Topology 4, 421-444, (2003).
12. Maio, G.D., Kočinac, Lj.D.R., Meccariello, E., *Selection principles and hyperspace topologies*, Topology Appl. 153, 912-923, (2005).
13. Maio, G.D., Kočinac, Lj.D.R., *Some covering properties of hyperspaces*, Topology Appl. 17-18, 1959-1969, (2008).
14. Maio, G.D., Kočinac, Lj.D.R., *Statistical convergence in topology*, Topology Appl. 156, 28-45, (2008).
15. Maio, G.D., Kočinac, Lj.D.R., Meccariello, E., *Application of k -covers*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 22, 1151-1160, (2006).
16. Maio, G.D., Kočinac, Lj.D.R., *Boundedness in topological spaces*, Math. Vensik 60, 137-148, (2008).
17. Naimpally, S.A. Warrack B.D., *Proximity spaces*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 59, Cambridge, 1970.
18. Scheepers, M., *The combinatorics of open covers (I): Ramsey theory*, Topol. Appl. 69, 31-62, (1996).
19. Scheepers, M., *Selection principles and covering properties in topology*, Note di Mathematica 22, 3-41, (2003).
20. Singh, S., Tyagi, B.K., Bhardwaj, M., *An ideal version of star- C -Hurewicz covering property*, Filomat 33(19), 6385-6393, (2019).
21. S. Singh, *Remarks on set-Menger and related properties*, Topology Appl., **280** (2020), Article No. 107278
22. S. Singh, *A note on set-star- K -Menger spaces*, Math. Slovaca, **72** (6) (2022), 1597-1604
23. S. Singh, *Set starcompact and related spaces*, Afr. Math., **32** (2021), 1389-1397
24. S. Singh, *On set-star- K -Hurewicz spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **28** (3) (2021), 361-372
25. S. Singh, *On set-star- K -Menger spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **100** (2022), 87-100.
26. S. Singh, *On set star-Menger spaces*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, **46** (11) 2023, 2465-2473.
27. S. Singh, *On set star- C -Menger spaces*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 2025, 1-15, DOI: 10.2989/16073606.2025.2457694.
28. S. Singh, *On set star-Lindelöf spaces*, Appl. Gen. Topology., **23** (2) (2022), 315-323.
29. Tsaban, Boaz., *On the Kočinac α_i -properties*, Topology Appl. 155, 141-145, (2007).
30. Tsaban, Boaz., *Some new directions in infinite-combinatorial topology*, in: J. Bagaria, S. Todorćević (Eds.), Set Theory, in: Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 225-255, (2006).
31. Tyagi, B.K., Singh, S., Bhardwaj, M., *Ideal analogues of some variants of Hurewicz property*, Filomat 33(9), 2725-2734, (2019).

Sumit Singh,
Department of Mathematics,
Ramjas College, University of Delhi
University Enclave, Delhi-110007
India.
E-mail address: `sumitkumar405@gmail.com`, `sumit@ramjas.du.ac.in`

and

Geetanjali Raiya,
Department of Mathematics,
Janki Devi Memorial College
University of Delhi, Delhi-110060
India.
E-mail address: `geetanjalaraiya@gmail.com`

and

Manoj Kumar Rana,
Department of Mathematics,
Ramjas College, University of Delhi
University Enclave, Delhi-110007
India.
E-mail address: `mkrana.du@gmail.com`