(3s.) **v. 2025 (43)** : 1–7. ISSN-0037-8712 doi:10.5269/bspm.76333

# Prime Submodules (Modules) relative to an Ideal

Inaam Mohammad Ali and Maysoun A. Hamel\*

ABSTRACT: In this study, we present the concepts of prime submodules relative to an ideal and prime modules relative to an ideal. Let W be a unital left R-module.

A proper submodule H of W is called prime submodule relative to a proper ideal I of R, if whenever  $a \in R$ ,  $x \in W$ ,  $ax \in H$ , then either  $x \in H + IW$  or  $a \in (H : W) + I$ . W is named a prime module relative to I provided (0) is a prime submodule relative to I. Many properties of prime submodules (modules) relative to an ideal are given in this paper.

Key Words: prime submodule, prime submodule relative to an ideal, prime module relative to an ideal.

#### Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Prime Submodules relative to an Ideal	2
3	Prime Modules relative to an Ideal	5
4	Conclusion	6

# 1. Introduction

In this work, R denotes a commutative ring with unity and W is a left R-module. It is well known that the notion of primer ideals is generalized to prime submodules, also a module W is named prime module if the zero submodule is prime. They played an important rote to in ring theory and module theory. These concepts are introduced by J.Dauns, G.Desal and C.P.Lu,see [1,2,3].

Since the emergence of These concepts was studied by many reasearchers see [4,5]. Also many generalizations presented see [6]. Recently several authors gave numerous concepts related with that pervious notions [7] – [25].

Moreover as dual notions of prime submodules and prime modules considered by various authors, see [26,27,28,29]. For the sake of completeness, some notations will be listed in this paper:  $A \leq W(A < W)$  stands for A is a submodule of W (A is a proper submodule of W). For any two submodules A and B of W, and D is an ideal of D (D is an ideal of D in the ideal of

In this study, the notions of prime submodule (prime module) relative to an ideal are introduced where if W is an R-module, H < W, I < R, H is named a prime submodule relative to I if whenever  $a \in R$ ,  $x \in W$ ,  $ax \in H$  then  $x \in H + IW$  or  $a \in (H :_R W)$ . W is termed a prime module relative to I if (0) is a prime submodule relative to I. In section 2, many properties and characterizations of prime submodules relative to an ideal are introduced, see Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4. The image and inverse image of prime submodules relative to an ideal are considered, see 2.8 and 2.9. Furthermore, the direct sum of prime submodules relative to an ideal is discussed in section 3, several properties of prime modules relative to an ideal are established.

Submitted March 28, 2025. Published July 29, 2025 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B40, 35L70.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author

### 2. Prime Submodules relative to an Ideal

**Definition 2.1** Let W be an R-module, H < W, I < R. H is designated a prime submodule relative to I (shortly p. s. r. to I) if for each  $a \in R$ ,  $m \in W$ ,  $am \in N$ , then either  $m \in H + IW$  or  $a \in (H :_R W) + I$ . An ideal J of a ring is (H : W) + I named a prime ideal relative to I (briefly p. i. r. to I) if J is a p.s.r. to I of R as R-module, that is J is a p.i.r to I if whenver  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab \in I$  implies  $a \in I + J$  or  $b \in I + J$ .

## Remark 2.1

- 1. obviously every prime submodule is a p.s.r. to I for any I < R.
- 2. The contrary of (1) may be not hold, for instance consider  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $H = \langle \overline{4} \rangle$ ,  $I = 2\mathbb{Z}$ , H is not a prime submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . Now,  $H + I\mathbb{Z}_{12} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$ ,  $(H :_Z \mathbb{Z}_{12}) + I = 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\overline{4} = 2 \cdot \overline{2} \in H \text{ and } \overline{2} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \ \overline{0} = 3 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \ \overline{0} = 4 \cdot \overline{3} \in H \text{ and } \overline{3} \notin \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \text{ but } 4 \in (H : \mathbb{Z}_{12}) + I = 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

- $\overline{0} = 8 \cdot \overline{3} \in H \text{ and } \overline{3} \notin \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \text{ but } \overline{8} \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \overline{8} = 4 \cdot 2 \in H \text{ and } \overline{2} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{8} = 2 \cdot \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in H \text{ and } \overline{4} \in \mathcal{A} = \langle \overline{2} \rangle, \overline{4} \in$
- $\overline{0} = 2 \cdot \overline{6} \in H$  and  $\overline{6} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle$ ,  $\overline{0} = 6 \cdot \overline{2} \in H$  and  $\overline{2} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle$ . Thus H is a p.s.r to I.
- 3. If I = (0), then a submodule H is prime if and only if H is p.s.r to I.
- 4. If I, J < R with  $J \subseteq I$  and H < W, then H is a p.s.r to J implies H is a p.s.r to I.
- 5. The converse of part (4) may not be true, for instance: consider  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $H = \langle \overline{4} \rangle$ ,  $I = 2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $J = 4\mathbb{Z}$ , H is a p.s.r to I but it is not a p.s.r to J, since  $\overline{4} = 2 \cdot \overline{2} \in H$ ,  $\overline{2} \notin J\mathbb{Z}_{12} = \langle \overline{4} \rangle$  and  $2 \notin (H :_Z \mathbb{Z}_{12}) + J = 4\mathbb{Z} + 4\mathbb{Z} = 4\mathbb{Z}$ .
- 6. Let H < W, I < R with  $I \subseteq (H :_R W)$  then H is a prime submodule of W is identical with H is a p.s.r to I.
- 7. Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$ , for any  $H < \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$ ,  $I < \mathbb{Z} \cdot (H :_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}) = 0$  and  $I\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$ . Hence for any  $am \in H$ ,  $m \in H + I\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$ . So that H is a p.s.r to any  $0 \neq I < R$ .

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose W is an R-module, H < W and I < R. then H is a p.s.r to I is selfsame ( tanlamount), for each J < R, K < W,  $J \cdot K \le H$  implies  $K \le H + IW$  or  $J \subseteq (H : W) + I$ .

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$  Assume  $JK \leq H$  and there exists  $x \in K$  with  $x \notin H + IW$ . As  $jx \in H$  for any  $j \in J$ , then  $j \in (H:W) + I$  (because H is a p.s.r to I), thus  $J \subseteq (H:W) + I$ 

 $\Leftarrow$  Let  $am \in H$  where  $a \in R$ ,  $m \in W$ . Then  $\langle a \rangle \langle m \rangle \subseteq H$  and so by hypothesis, either  $\langle m \rangle \subseteq H + IW$  or  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq (H:W) + I$ . Thus  $m \in H + IW$  or  $a \in (H:W) + I$ .

The following result give more characterization for a p.s.r to I.

**Proposition 2.1** Let W be an R-module, H < W, and I < R. Accordingly the next asserations are selfsame tanlamount carhun:

- 1. H is a p.s.r to I.
- 2.  $(H:_W r) + IW = H + IW, \forall r \notin (H:_R W) + I$ .
- 3.  $(H :_R W) + I = (H :_R (c)) + I, \forall c \in w, c \notin H + IW.$

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $m + x \in (H :_W r) + IW$ ,  $r \notin (H :_R W) + I$ ,  $m \in (H :_W r)$  and  $x \in IW$ . Hence  $rm \in H$  and as H is a p.s.r to I, either  $m \in H + IW$  or  $r \in (H :_R W) + I$ . For that resason  $m \in H + IW$  since  $r \notin (H :_R W)$ . Thus  $(H :_W r) + IW \subseteq H + IW$ . Let  $h + x \in H + IW$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $x \in IW$ . Then  $rh \in H$  and so  $h \in (H :_W r)$ . Thus  $h + x \in (H :_W r) + IW$ ; that  $H + IW \subseteq (H :_W r) + IW$ .

- $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $rm \in H$  with  $m \in W$ ,  $r \in R$  such that  $r \notin (H :_R W) + I$ . Then  $m \in (H :_W r)$  and so  $m + x \in (H :_W r) + IW$  for any  $x \in IW$ . It follows that  $m + x \in H + IW$ . Which implies that  $m \in H + IW$ .
- $(1)\Rightarrow (3)\ Let\ r+i\in (H:_R(c))+I,\ where\ r\in (H:_R(c)),\ i\in I\ and\ c\notin H+IW.\ Then\ rc\in H.\ As\ H\ is\ a\ s.p.r\ to\ I,\ then\ r\in (H:_RW)+I.\ Thus\ (H:_R(c))+I\subseteq (H:_RW)+I.\ If\ r+i\in (H:_RW)+i,\ where\ r\in (H:_RW),\ i\in I,\ then\ rW\subseteq H.\ Since\ rc\in rW\ for\ any\ c\in W,\ so\ that\ rc\in H;\ that\ is\ r\in (H:_R(c)).\ As\ a\ result\ r+i\subseteq (H:_R(c))+I.\ Thus\ (H:_RW)+I\subseteq (H:_R(c))+I$
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $rm \in H$ , where  $r \in R$ ,  $m \in W$ . Suppose that  $m \notin H + IW$ . Then  $r \in (H :_R (m))$  and so  $r \in (H :_R (m)) + I$ . By condition (3),  $r \in (H :_R W) + I$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Let W be a finitely generated (f. g) multiplication R-module and H < W. Then H is a p.s.r to I selfsame ( $H :_R W$ ) is a p.i.r to I.

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$  Assume  $ab \in (H:_R W)$ , where a and b in R, which ensure guarantee  $\langle a \rangle$   $\langle b \rangle$   $W \subseteq H$ . Since H is a p.s.r to I, so either  $\langle b \rangle$   $W \subseteq H+IW$ , or  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq (H:W)+I$ , by Theorem 2.3. If  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq (H:W)+I$ , then  $a \in (H:_R W)+I$ , and nothing to prove. If  $\langle b \rangle W \subseteq H+IW = (H:W)W+IW = ((H:W)+I)W$ . But W is a multiplication f. g R-module, hence  $\langle b \rangle \subseteq ((H:_R W)+I) + ann_R W$  by [14]. This implies  $\langle b \rangle \subseteq (H:W)+I$ , since  $annW \subseteq (H:_R W)$ . Thus  $b \in (H:W)+I$ .

 $\Leftarrow \ \, \text{To prove $H$ is a $p.s.r$ to $I$. Let $a \in R$, $m \in W$ and $am \in H$. Hence $\langle a \rangle \langle m \rangle \subseteq H$. Since $W$ is a multiplication $R$-module there exists $J < R$ with $\langle m \rangle = JW$, also $H = (H:_RW)W$. Hence $\langle a \rangle JW \subseteq (H:W)W$. As $W$ is a $f$. $g$ multiplication $R$-module, so by $[31]$ $\langle a \rangle J \subseteq (H:_RW) + ann $W$. Hence $\langle a \rangle J \subseteq (H:_RW)$ since $ann W \subseteq (H:_RW)$. Beside this $(H:_RW)$ is a $p.i.r$ to $I$ by hypothesis, we conclude that either $\langle a \rangle \subseteq (H:_RW) + I$ or $J \subseteq (H:W) + I$; which means either $a \in (H:_RW) + I$ or $\langle m \rangle = JW \subseteq (H:W)W + IW = H + IW$. Thus either $a \in (H:_RW) + I$ or $m \in H + IW$.}$ 

As an application of Theorem 2.5, for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  (n > 1), every submodule H of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , and  $I < \mathbb{Z}$ . H is a p.s.r to I if and only if  $(H :_{\mathbb{Z}} Z_n)$  is a p.i.r to I.

**Remark 2.2** The condition W is f. g multiplication R-module is necessary in Theorem 2.5.

**Example 2.1** Let  $W = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  as Z-module,  $I = 6\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $H = (0) + (\overline{0})$ . Then

$$(H:_{\mathbb{Z}}W) = ((0) + (\overline{0}):_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) = (0).$$

So (H:W) is a p.i.r to I. Now,  $8(0,\overline{1})=(0,\overline{0})\in H$ , but  $(0,\overline{1})\notin H+IW=6\mathbb{Z}\oplus(\overline{0})$ , also  $8\notin(H:_{\mathbb{Z}}W)+I=(0)+6\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus H is not a p.s.r to I.

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $f: W \to L$  be an R-epimorphism, H < W and I < R. If A is a p.s.r to I and  $ker \ f \subseteq H$  then f(H) is a p.s.r to I.

**Proof:** Let  $a \in R$ ,  $y \in L$  with  $ay \in f(H)$ . Then ay = f(h) for some  $h \in H$ . As f is an epimorphism, y = f(x) for some  $x \in W$ . Thus af(x) = f(h); that  $ax - h \in \ker f \subseteq H$ , so that  $ax \in H$ . But H is a p.s.r to I, hence either  $x \in H + IW$  or  $a \in (H : W) + I$ . Consequently  $f(x) \in f(H) + IL$  or  $a \in (f(H) : L) + I$  since  $((H :_R W) : (f(H) :_R f(W)) = (f(H) : L)$ . Thus f(H) is a p.s.r to I.

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $f: W \to L$  be an R-epimorphism, I < R. If K is a p.s.r to I in L and  $kerf \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$ , then  $f^{-1}(K)$  is a p.s.r to I in W.

**Proof:** Let  $a \in R$ ,  $m \in W$  and  $am \in f^{-1}(K)$ . Then  $af(m) \in K$ . Since K is a p.s.r to I in W, so either  $f(m) \in K + IL$  or  $a \in (K : W) + I$ . If  $f(m) \in K + IL$ . Since f is an epimorphism,  $K = ff^{-1}(K)$  and L = f(W). Thus  $f(m) \in ff^{-1}(K) + If(W)$ ; that is  $f(m) \in f(f^{-1}(K) + IW)$  and so f(m) = f(x) for some  $x \in f^{-1}(K) + IW$  and hence  $m - x \in kerf \subseteq f^{-1}(K) \subseteq f^{-1}(K) + IW$ . As consequently  $m \in f^{-1}(K) + IW = f^{-1}(K) + If^{-1}(L)$ . If  $a \in (K : W) + I$ , then  $a \in (f^{-1}(K) : f^{-1}(L)) + I$ . But  $(K :_R W) = (f^{-1}(K) :_R f^{-1}(L))$  (since f is an epimorphism and  $kerf \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$  thus,  $a \in (K : W) + I$  and  $f^{-1}(K)$  is a p.s.r to I.

**Theorem 2.3** If W is a f. g R-module, S is a multiplicative closed subset of R (m.c.s), H < W and I < R. If H is a p.s.r to I in W, then  $S^{-1}H$  is a p.s.r to  $S^{-1}I$  in  $S^{-1}R$ -module  $S^{-1}W$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1}R$ ,  $\frac{m}{t} \in S^{-1}W$ ,  $\frac{a}{s}\frac{m}{t} \in S^{-1}H$ . Then  $\exists h \in H, t_1 \in S$  such that  $\frac{am}{st} = \frac{h}{t_1}$  and so there exists  $s_1 \in S$  and  $at_1s_1m = ss_1th \in H$ , i.e.,  $at_1s_1m \in H$ . As H is a p.s.r to I in W, either  $m \in H + IW$  or  $at_1s_1 \in (H :_R W) + I$ . As a deduction either  $\frac{m}{t} \in S^{-1}(H + IW) = S^{-1}(H) + S^{-1}I \cdot S^{-1}W$  or  $\frac{a}{s} = \frac{at_1s_1}{at_1s} \in S^{-1}[(H :_R W) + I] = S^{-1}(H :_R W) + S^{-1}I$ , but W is a f. g R-module implies  $S^{-1}(H :_R W) = (S^{-1}(H) :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}W)$  so  $\frac{a}{s} \in (S^{-1}H :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}W) + S^{-1}I$ . Thus  $S^{-1}H$  is a p.s.r to  $S^{-1}I$  in  $S^{-1}W$ .

**Proposition 2.4** Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be R-module,  $H < W_1$ , I < R. Then H is a p.s.r to I if and only if  $H \oplus W_2$  is a p.s.r to I in  $W_1 \oplus W_2$ .

**Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$  Let  $a \in R$ ,  $(m_1, m_2) \in W_1 \oplus W_2$  with  $a(m_1, m_2) \in H \oplus W_2$ . Then  $am_1 \in H$  and  $am_2 \in W_2$ . Since H is a p.s.r to I either  $m_1 \in H + IW_1$  or  $a \in (H :_R W_1) + I$ . It follows that  $(m_1, m_2) \in (H + IW_1) \oplus (W_2 + IW_2)$  or  $a \in (H \oplus W_2 :_R W_1 \oplus W_2)$ . Thus  $(m_1, m_2) \in (H \oplus W_2) + I(W_1 \oplus W_2)$ . Therefore  $H \oplus W_2$  is a p.s.r to I in  $W_1 \oplus W_2$ .

 $\Leftarrow$  Let  $\rho: W_1 \oplus W_2 \to W_1$  be the natural projection. Then  $\rho(H \oplus W_2) = H$  and so H is a p.s.r to I by Proposition 2.7.

**Proposition 2.5** Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be R-modules such that  $ann_RN_1 + ann_RN_2 = R$  and H is a p.s.r to I < R in  $N_1 \oplus N_2$ . Then either:

- 1.  $H = L \oplus K$ , for some  $L < N_1, K < N_2$  which are p.s.r to I in  $W_1, W_2$  respectively.
- 2.  $H = L \oplus N_2$ , for some p.s.r to L in  $N_1$ .
- 3.  $H = N_1 \oplus K$ , for some p.s.r to K in  $N_2$ .

**Proof:** Since  $ann_RN_1 + ann_RN_2 = R$ , we conclude that there exist  $L \leq N_1$  and  $K \leq N_2$ , with  $H = L \oplus K$ . But  $H < N_1 \oplus N_2$  yields 3 cases.

- 1.  $L < N_1$  and  $K < N_2$ ,
- 2.  $L < N_1 \text{ and } K = N_2$ ,
- 3.  $L = N_1$  and  $K < N_2$ .

Case 1.  $H = L \oplus K$ ,  $L < N_1$  and  $K < N_2$ . First to prove L is a p.s.r to I in W, let  $am \in L$ , where  $a \in R$  and  $m \in W$ . then  $a(m,0) \in L \oplus N_2 = H$ . since H is a p.s.r to I, hence either  $(m,0) \in (L \oplus K) + I(N_1 \oplus N_2)$  or  $a \in (L \oplus K :_R N_1 \oplus N_2) + I$ ; that is either  $(m,0) \in (L+IN_1) \oplus (K+IN_2)$  or  $a \in ((L:_R N_1) \cap (K:_R N_2)) + I$ . Thus, either  $m \in L + IW$  or  $a \in (L:_R N_1) + I$  and so L is a p.s.r to I in  $N_1$ .

Similarly, one can show that K is a p.s.r to I.

Case 2, and Case 3: By Proposition 2.7, L is a p.s.r to I and K is a p.s.r to I.

It is well known a ring R is a Noetherian whenever every ideal is f. g.

From [32], R is Noetherian ring if every prime ideal is f. g. We obtained that:

**Proposition 2.6** Let R be a ring, I < R. Then R is Noetherian identical with every p.i. r to I is f. g. **Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$  Since R is Noetherian implies every ideal is f. g, hence every p.i. r to I.

 $\Leftarrow$  Assume P be a prime ideal. Then P is a p.i.r to I and so P is f. g and so R is Noetherian.

Remember that for a f. g module W, W is Noetherian if and only if every prime submodule of W is f. g [3].

**Proposition 2.7** Let W be a f. g module, I < R, W is Noetherian identical with every p.s.r to I be f. g. **Proof:**  $\Rightarrow$  It is clear, since very submodule of Noetherian module is f. g.  $\Leftarrow$  Since every prime submodule is a p.s.r to I, hence every prime is f. g. Thus W is Noetherian.  $\Box$ 

# 3. Prime Modules relative to an Ideal

**Definition 3.1** Let W be an R-module, I < R. W is nominated a prime module relative to I (p.m.r to I) if the zero submodule is a p.s.r to I in W.

If (0) is a p.i.r to I in R. A ring R is known as a prime ring relative to I

## Remark 3.1

- 1. A module W is a p.m.r to I if whenever  $a \in R$ ,  $m \in W$ , am = 0, then either  $m \in IW$  or  $a \in annW + I$
- 2. If I = (0) then an R-module W is a p.m.r to I selfsame W is a prime module.
- 3.  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is not a p.m.r to  $I=4\mathbb{Z}$ . since  $0=2\cdot\overline{6}\in(\overline{0}), \overline{6}\notin(0)+I\mathbb{Z}_{12}=\left\langle\overline{4}\right\rangle$  and  $2\notin(\overline{0}:_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}_{12})+4\mathbb{Z}=4\mathbb{Z}$ .
- 4.  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is a p.m.r to  $I=2\mathbb{Z}$ , since  $\overline{0}=3\cdot\overline{4}, \overline{4}\in I\mathbb{Z}_{12}=\left\langle \overline{2}\right\rangle$ .  $\overline{0}=4\cdot\overline{3}, 4\in ann\mathbb{Z}_{12}+2\mathbb{Z}=2\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\overline{0}=2\cdot\overline{6}, \overline{6}\in I\mathbb{Z}_{12}=\left\langle \overline{2}\right\rangle$ .  $\overline{0}=6\cdot\overline{2}, 6\in ann\mathbb{Z}_{12}+2\mathbb{Z}=2\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\overline{0}=3\cdot\overline{8}, \overline{8}\in I\mathbb{Z}_{12}=\left\langle \overline{2}\right\rangle$ .  $\overline{0}=8\cdot\overline{3}, 8\in ann\mathbb{Z}_{12}+2\mathbb{Z}=2\mathbb{Z}$ .
- 5. Every prime module is p.m.r to I (I < R), but not conversely, see part 4.

**Proposition 3.1** For an R- module W, K < W, J < R and I < R. W is a p.m.r to I commensurate with JK = (0) designate  $K \subseteq IW$  or  $J \subseteq ann \ W + I$ .

**Proof:** As a result directly by Theorem 2.3, by considering H = (0).

**Proposition 3.2** Let W be an R-module, I < R. The next assertions are identical:

- 1. W is a p.m.r to I.
- 2.  $ann_W(r) \subseteq IW, \forall r \notin ann_R(m) + I$

**Proof:** It concludes by Proposition 2.4.

It is famous that W a prime module selfsame with  $ann_R(m) = ann_R W, \forall m \in W - (0)$  if and only if  $ann_R N = ann_R W, \forall 0 \neq N \leq M$ . We have the following:

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose W is an R-module, I < R, the next assertions are identical:

- 1. W is a p.m.r to I.
- 2.  $ann_R(m) + I = ann_R W + I, \forall m \notin IW$ .
- 3.  $ann_R H + I = ann_R W + I, \forall H \nleq IW.$

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) It is clear that  $ann(M) \supseteq ann(W)$ ,  $\forall m \notin IW$ . Hence  $ann_R(m)+I \supseteq ann_RW+I$ ,  $\forall m \notin IW$ . Now, let  $r+i \in ann_R(m)+I$  where  $r \in ann_R(m)$ ,  $i \in I$ , such that rm=0. As W is a p.m.r to I, and  $m \notin IW$ ,  $r \in ann_RW$  and hence  $r+i \in ann\ W+I$ .

- $(2)\Rightarrow (3)$  Since ann  $W\subseteq ann\ H$ ,  $\forall H\leq W$  and  $H\neq 0$ . Then ann  $W\subseteq ann\ H$ ,  $\forall H\nleq IW$  and clearly ann  $W+I\subseteq ann\ H+I$ . Let  $a\in ann_RH+I$ , so a=r+i for some  $r\in ann_RH$ ,  $i\in I$ . hence rh=0 for each  $h\in H$ . As  $H\nleq IW$ ,  $\exists h_1\in H$  and  $h_1\notin IW$ . It follows that  $rh_1=0$  ans so  $r+i\in ann_R(h_1)+I$ . but by condition 2,  $ann_R(h_1)+I=ann_RW+I$ , hence  $a=r+i\in ann\ W+I$ . Thus  $ann_RH+I\subseteq ann_RW+I$ .
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $r \in R$ ,  $m \in W$ , and rm = 0. Suppose that  $m \notin IW$ , hence  $r \in ann_R(m) \subseteq ann(m) + I$ . but by condition 3,  $ann_R(m) + I = ann_R(W) + I$ . Thus  $r \in ann_RW + I$  and so W is a p.m.r to I. Remember that when W is a prime R-module, yields  $ann_RH$  is a prime ideal in R, with a certain condition I, we prove analogous result for p.m.r. to I.

**Proposition 3.3** Let W be an R-module, I a maximal ideal in R,  $H \leq W$  and  $H \nleq IW \neq W$  If W is a p.m.r to I, then  $ann_RH$  is a p.i.r to I.

**Proof:** Let  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in ann_R H, H \nleq IW$ . Then abh = 0, for any  $h \notin IW$ . As W is a p.m.r to I, either  $bh \in IW$  or  $a \in ann \ W + I$ . if  $a \in ann_R W + I$ , then there is nothing to prove. If  $bh \in IW$ , but I is a maximal ideal and  $IW \neq W$  indicates IW is a prime submodule [5] So that either  $h \in IW$  or  $b \in (IW :_R W)$ . Thus  $b \in (IW :_R W)$  since  $h \notin IW$ . On the other hand  $(IW :_R W) = I$ , hence  $b \in I$  which implies  $b \in ann_R H + I$ . therefore  $ann_R H$  is a p.s.r to I.

**Proposition 3.4** Suppose that M is a f. g R-module, S is a multiplicative closed subset of R and I < R. if M is a p.m.r to I, implies the  $S^{-1}R$  module  $S^{-1}M$  is a p.m.r to  $S^{-1}I$ .

**Proof:** It follows by Proposition 2.9, by taking H = (0).

#### 4. Conclusion

Most properties of prime submodules and prime modules generalized to prime submodules relative to an ideal, and prime modules relative to an ideal. However, some properties verified with extra condition.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the residents for the research.

#### References

- 1. J. Dauns, Prime modules and one-sided ideals, Pacific Journal of Mathemetics, 47, 401-412, (1973).
- 2. G. Desale and W.K. Nicholson, Endoprimitive rings. J. of Algebra, 70,548-560, (1981).
- 3. C.P. Lu, Prime submodules of modules. Math. Univ. Sancti Pauli, 33, 61-69, (1984).
- 4. D.Pust-Ylmaz, Prime submodules, ph.D.Thesis presented to university of lasgow, Scotland, April 1997.
- 5. Yucel T. and M.Alkan, Prime modules and prime submodules, 31, 5253-5261, (2003).
- 6. Hadi, I. M. A., *Prime submodules (modules) and some of their generalizations.* Baghdad, Iraq,Bookstore for Printing, Publishing, and Translating, Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research , 2023.
- 7. Fatima D.Jand Alaa A.E., 2-prime submodules, Iraqi J. of Science, 63.3605-3611, (2022).
- 8. Fatima D.J and Alaa A.E., 2- quasi-pime submodules, Samarra J.pure Applied Science, 4, 28-35, (2022).
- 9. E.evim and U.TEKir, On S-prime submodules, Trukish Journal of Mathematics, 43, 1036-1046, (2019).
- F-Farrzalipour,R-Ghaseminejad and M.Sayed saddeghi, Almost S-prime submodules, J. of algebra and erlated topics, 11, 27-42, (2023).
- 11. H.Sabar, T. Alraqad and R. Abu-Dawwas, On graded prime submodules, Aims math.J., 6, 2510 -2524, (2020).
- 12. Mohammed Q.Rahman, A.A.Elew and Mustfa M.H., Weakly 2-prime submodules, Iraqi J.of scicenc, 65, 954-962, (2024).
- R.N.Kandish, M.J.Nikmehr and A.Yassime, More on 2-prime ideals of commutative rings, Bull Korean Math.Soc., 57, 117-126, (2020).
- U. TEKir, A.ytemmmn Pekin and Ozgekilic, S-Semiprime submodules and S-Reduced odules, J. Of mthematics, 2020, 1-7, (2020).
- 15. F.Farzalipour, Some remaks on S-Strongly prime submodules, Saa Paulo J. Of athematical science, 18, 190-205, (2024).
- 16. H. Ansari Toroghi and S.S.pourmortazavi, On S- primary submodules, Int. Electronc, J. Algebra, 31, 74-89, (2022).
- 17. H. K.Mohmmed Ali and O. Khudayer, Restrict Nearly primary submodules, Wasit J. of pure science, 1, (2022).
- 18. M. F.Khalf and O.baida A., Weakly semiprimary submodules, Int.J. Nonlinear anal. App., 3, 185-190, (2022).
- 19. H.A.Ramadhan and N.S.Almothafar, Small semiprimary submodules, J. of phy conf.series, (2021).
- 20. H.A.Ramadhan and N. S.Almothafar, On Small semiprime submodules, Iraqi Academics syndicate international conference for pure and applied science, J. Pf phy. Conference series, (2021).
- 21. K.F. AL-zoubi and S.Algheiri, On gr-Quasi semiprime submodules, The Eurasia proceeding of sciences, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (Epstem), 22, 373-377, (2023).
- S. Yavuz, B.Al Erosy ,U. TEKir and c.yetkin celike, On S-2-prime ideals in commutative rings, Mathematics:16636, 12, 1636-1648, (2024).

- 23. Koc and suot, On weakly 2- prime ideals in commutayive rings, Taylor and Francis(on line), comm.in Algebra, 49, 3387-3397, (2021).
- 24. W.Alkasaaheh and M. Bataineh, Generalizations of S-prime ideals, wsaan Transactions on Math., 20, 694-699, (2021).
- 25. A.bdul Alkalik, On small primary modules, Iraqi journa of science, 62, 1307-1313,(2021).
- 26. Osama N., On S-2-obsorbing primary submodules, Filmat, 35, 1477-1485, (2021).
- 27. F.Farshaddifar, S-Second submodule of a module, Algebra and discret athematics, 32, 197-210, (2021).
- 28. S.Zainb and A.Ghaleb, On semisecond modules, Ibn Al-Haitham J.of pure and applied mathematics, 33, 81-94, (2020).
- 29. Maysoun.A. Hamel and I.M. A. Hadi, S-small second submodules, AIP Conf. Proc., (2024).
- 30. I. M. A. Hadi, Maysoun. A. Hamel and M. Kh. Abbas, Small second submodules, AIP Conf. Proc., (2024).
- 31. Patrick F.Smith, Some Remarks on multiplication modules, Arch, Der., Math., 50, 223-235, (1988).
- 32. Cohen, I. S., Commutative rings with restricted minimum condition, Duke Math. J., 17, 27-42, (1950).

Inaam Mohammad Ali,

Department of Mathematics,

University of Baghdad, Collage of Education for pure science Ibn-Alhaitham,

Iraq.

E-mail address: Innam 1976@yahoo.com

and

Maysoun A. Hamel,

Department of Mathematics,

University of Baghdad, Collage of Education for pure science Ibn-Alhaitham,

Iraq.

E-mail address: maysoon.a.h@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq