



## Existence of multiple nontrivial solutions for $2n$ -th order discrete boundary value problem

Anouar El-Allaly\*, Omar Hammouti and Mounir Mekrou

**ABSTRACT:** We established the existence of multiple solutions for a class of nonlinear  $2n$ -th-order discrete boundary value problems by using variational methods and critical point theory. One application is included to illustrate our theoretical finding.

**Key Words:** Discrete boundary value problems,  $2n$ -th order, variational methods, critical point theory.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Preliminary lemmas</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3 Proof of the main results</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4 Application</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5 Acknowledgments</b>	<b>8</b>

### 1. Introduction

Let  $n \geq 1$  be a positive integer. In this work we study the following nonlinear  $2n$ -th order boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \Delta^{2k} w(t-k) = g(t, w(t)), & t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ \Delta^i w(-(n-1)) = \Delta^i w(N-(n-1)), & i \in [0, 2n-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $N \geq n$  is an integer,  $[1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  denotes the discrete interval  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ ,  $\Delta$  is the forward difference operator defined by  $\Delta u(t) = u(t+1) - u(t)$ ,  $\Delta^0 u(t) = u(t)$ ,  $\Delta^i u(t) = \Delta^{i-1}(\Delta u(t))$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2n$  and  $g : [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function in the second variable, i.e., for any fixed  $t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  a function  $g(t, \cdot)$  is continuous.

A solution of (1.1) is a function  $w : [-(n-1), N+n]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies both equations of (1.1).

Let  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N-1}$  be the eigenvalues of the linear boundary value problem corresponding to the problem (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \Delta^{2k} w(t-k) = \lambda w(t), & t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ \Delta^i w(-(n-1)) = \Delta^i w(N-(n-1)), & i \in [0, 2n-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

From [4], it can be concluded that the issue (1.2) has precisely  $N$  real eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j \in [0, N-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  which satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_j = \mu_0 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \mu_l \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l j}{N}\right), & j \in [0, N-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ \lambda_j = \lambda_{N-j}, & j \in [1, N-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \end{cases}$$

with  $\mu_l = (-1)^l \sum_{j=l}^n C_{2j}^{j+l}$  for any  $l \in [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

\* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 39A10, 34B08, 34B15, 58E30.

Submitted May 06, 2025. Published September 01, 2025

Since  $\lambda_j = \lambda_{N-j}$ , for any  $j \in [1, N-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  the problem (1.2) has  $q+1$  different eigenvalues, where  $q = \frac{N-1}{2}$  when  $N$  is odd, or  $q = \frac{N}{2}$  when  $N$  is even. Consequently, this notation can be used to write these eigenvalues in the following way

$$0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_q.$$

In the sequel  $\varphi_j$ , for any  $j \in [0, N-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , denotes the correspondent orthonormal eigenfunction of  $\lambda_j$ . Further, the symbol  $\text{span}\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_j\}$  stands for the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear subspace of  $E_N$  generated by  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_j$ , where  $E_N$  is defined in (2.1).

We want to focus on the fact that the problem (1.1) can be seen as discrete counterpart of the following  $2n$ -th order differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{d^{2k} w(t)}{dt^{2k}} = g(t, w(t)), & t \in ]0, 1[, \\ w^{(i)}(0) = w^{(i)}(1), & i \in [0, 2n-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{cases}$$

It is widely recognized that effective mathematical modeling of significant problems across various research fields, such as computer science, neural networks, biological systems, and population dynamics, is based on nonlinear difference equations, and the study leads to enormous findings. Many existing results of nontrivial solutions for differential equations have been obtained in recent years due to the fast development of studying the boundary value problems for differential equations, where various methods and techniques have been used, for example, fixed point theorems methods, coincidence degree theory, and topological degree theory. For more details, see [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 14]. Critical point theory and variational methods are powerful tools to investigate the existence of solutions to various problems in differential equations [8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15 – 25].

This paper is concerned with proving the existence and multiplicity of critical point theory and variational techniques solutions to discrete nonlinear  $2n$ -th order problems (1.1). The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains some preliminary lemmas, whereas the main results will be proved in section 3. Section 4 includes an illustrative application.

The following theorems are the key findings of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1** *Assume that*

(G<sub>1</sub>) *there exists  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma < \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l}$  such that*

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2G(t, x)}{x^2} \leq \gamma \quad \text{for every } t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}},$$

*where  $G(t, x) = \int_0^x g(t, s) ds$  for  $(t, x) \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R}$ ;*

(G<sub>2</sub>) *there exists an integer  $p \in [1, q]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2G(t, x)}{x^2} > \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi lp}{N}\right), \quad \text{for every } t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}},$$

*where  $q = \frac{N-1}{2}$  when  $N$  is odd, or  $q = \frac{N}{2}$  when  $N$  is even.*

*Then, the problem (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution.*

**Theorem 1.2** Assume that  $(G_1)$  and  $(G_3)$  hold, where

$(G_3)$  there exists an integer  $p \in [1, q]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2G(t, x)}{x^2} > \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi lp}{N}\right)$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2G(t, x)}{x^2} < \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l(p+1)}{N}\right),$$

for every  $t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Then, the problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

**Theorem 1.3** Assume that  $(G_1)$ ,  $(G_4)$  and  $(G_5)$  hold, where

$$(G_4) \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2G(t, x)}{x^2} > \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi lq}{N}\right), \text{ for every } t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}};$$

$(G_5)$   $g(t, x)$  is odd in  $x$ , i.e.,  $g(t, -x) = -g(t, x)$  for  $(t, x) \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Then the problem (1.1) has at least  $2q$  nontrivial solutions.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

In the present paper, we define a vector space  $E_N$  by

$$E_N = \{w : [-(n-1), N+n]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \Delta^i w(-(n-1)) = \Delta^i w(N-(n-1)), \ i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2n-1\}, \quad (2.1)$$

$E_N$  can be equipped with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w, v \rangle &= \sum_{t=1}^N w(t)v(t), \quad \forall w, v \in E_N \\ \|w\| &= \left( \sum_{t=1}^N |w(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall w \in E_N. \end{aligned}$$

We also put, for every  $w \in E_N$

$$\|w\|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |w(t)|. \quad (2.2)$$

**Remark 2.1** It is easy to see that, for any  $w \in E_N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(-(n-1)) &= w(N-(n-1)) \\ w(-(n-1)+1) &= w(N-(n-1)+1) \\ w(-(n-1)+2) &= w(N-(n-1)+2) \\ &\vdots \\ w(0) &= w(N) \\ w(1) &= w(N+1) \\ &\vdots \\ w(n) &= w(N+n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Clearly,  $(E_N, \|\cdot\|)$  is an  $N$  dimensional reflexive Banach space. Since it is isomorphic to the finite dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . When we say that the vector  $w = (w(1), \dots, w(N)) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we understand that  $w$  can be extended to a vector in  $E_N$  so that (2.3) holds, that is,  $w$  can be extended to the vector

$$(w(N - (n - 1)), w(N - (n - 1) + 1), \dots, w(N), w(1), w(2), \dots, w(N), w(1), \dots, w(n)) \in E_N$$

and when we write  $E_N = \mathbb{R}^N$ , we mean the elements in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  have been extended in the above sense.

For  $w \in E_N$ , let the functional  $\Psi$  be denoted by

$$\Psi(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^N \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta^k w(t - k)|^2 - \sum_{t=1}^N G(t, w(t)), \quad (2.4)$$

Then, it is easy to see that  $\Psi \in C^1(E_N, \mathbb{R})$  and its derivative  $\Psi'(w)$  at  $w \in E_N$  is given by

$$\Psi'(w).v = \sum_{t=1}^N \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta^k w(t - k) \Delta^k v(t - k) - g(t, w(t))v(t) \right] \quad \text{for any } v \in E_N. \quad (2.5)$$

By [4, Lemma 2.3],  $\Psi'$  can be written as

$$\Psi'(w).v = \sum_{t=1}^N \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \Delta^{2k} w(t - k) - g(t, w(t)) \right] v(t), \quad \text{for any } v \in E_N.$$

Thus, finding solutions of (1.1) is equivalent to finding critical point of the functional  $\Psi$ .

From [4],  $\Psi$  can be rewritten as

$$\Psi(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^n A_k w, w \right\rangle - \sum_{t=1}^N G(t, w(t)), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\sum_{k=0}^n A_k = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_n & a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{N-(n+1)} & a_{N-n} & a_{N-(n-1)} & \cdots & a_{N-2} & a_{N-1} \\ a_{N-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & a_{N-n} & \cdots & a_{N-3} & a_{N-2} \\ a_{N-2} & a_{N-1} & a_0 & \cdots & a_{n-3} & a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & a_n & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & a_{N-4} & a_{N-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & a_{N-1} & a_0 & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & a_{N-2} & a_{N-1} & a_0 \end{pmatrix}_{N \times N},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_l &= (-1)^l \sum_{j=l}^n C_{2j}^{j+l}, & l \in [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ a_l &= 0, & l \in [n+1, N-(n+1)]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ a_l &= (-1)^{N-l} \sum_{j=N-l}^n C_{2j}^{j+N-l}, & l \in [N-n, N-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.2** *The eigenvalues of the problem (1, 2) are exactly the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\sum_{k=0}^n A_k$ , then for every  $w \in E_N$ ,*

$$\left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \right] \|w\|^2 \leq \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^n A_k w, w \right\rangle \leq \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l q}{N}\right) \right] \|w\|^2.$$

The space of continuously Fréchet-differentiable functionals from  $E$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition 2.1** Let  $E$  be a real Banach space, and  $\Psi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ .  $\Psi$  is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale (PS) condition if any sequence  $(y_t) \subset E$  for which  $(\Psi(y_t))$  is bounded and  $\Psi'(y_t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , possesses a convergent subsequence. The sequence  $(y_t)$  is called a (PS) sequence.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [3]) *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$  where  $E_2$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $E$ . Suppose that  $\Psi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies the (PS) condition,  $\Psi(0) = 0$  and for some  $r > 0$*

$$\Psi(y) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } y \in E_1, \quad \|y\| \leq r,$$

$$\Psi(y) \leq 0, \quad \text{for } y \in E_2, \quad \|y\| \leq r.$$

*Assume also that  $\Psi$  is bounded below and  $\inf_{u \in E} \Psi(u) < 0$ . Then  $\Psi$  possesses at least two nontrivial critical points.*

**Lemma 2.2** (see [5]) *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $\Phi \in C^1(E; \mathbb{R})$  be an even, bounded from below functional that satisfies the Palais-Smale (PS) condition. Suppose that  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and there is a subset  $K \subset E$  such that  $K$  is homeomorphic to  $S^{r-1}$  via an odd mapping, where  $S^{r-1}$  is the  $r-1$  dimensional unit sphere and  $\sup_{u \in K} \Phi(u) < 0$ . Then  $\Phi$  has at least  $r$  disjoint pairs of nontrivial critical points.*

### 3. Proof of the main results

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** From  $(G_1)$  there exists a constant  $\sigma > 0$  such that

$$\frac{2G(t, x)}{x^2} \leq \gamma + \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } (t, |x|) \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times ]\sigma, +\infty[,$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  stisfying

$$\varepsilon < \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} - \gamma. \quad (3.1)$$

Thus,

$$G(t, x) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + \varepsilon)x^2, \quad \text{for every } (t, |x|) \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times ]\sigma, +\infty[, \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, by the continuity of  $x \rightarrow G(t, x)$ , there exists  $\xi_t$  such that

$$|G(t, x)| \leq \xi_t, \quad \text{for every } (t, |x|) \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, \sigma]. \quad (3.3)$$

For any  $w \in E_N$ , let  $S_1 = \{t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} : |w(t)| > \sigma\}$  and  $S_2 = \{t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} : |w(t)| \leq \sigma\}$ . Using (2.6), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^n A_k w, w \right\rangle - \sum_{t=1}^N G(t, w(t)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \right] \|w\|^2 - \sum_{t \in S_1} G(t, w(t)) - \sum_{t \in S_2} G(t, w(t)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} - \gamma - \varepsilon \right] \|w\|^2 - \sum_{t=1}^N \zeta_t, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $w \in E_N$ .

Then, in view of (3.1),  $\Psi(w) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|w\| \rightarrow +\infty$  which means that  $\Psi$  is coercive and bounded from below, hence there is a minimum point of  $\Psi$  at some  $w_0 \in E_N$ , i.e.,  $\Psi(w_0) = \inf_{w \in E_N} \Psi(w)$ , which is a critical point of  $\Psi$  and it is a solution of the problem (1.1).

Now, we prove that  $w_0$  is nontrivial. Using  $(G_2)$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$G(t, x) > \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi lp}{N} \right) \right] x^2 \quad \text{for } (t, |x|) \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, \delta],$$

On the other hand, from the Cauchy Schwartz inequality, one has

$$|w(t)| \leq \sum_{t=1}^N |w(t)| \leq \sqrt{N} \|w\| \quad \text{for every } t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\|w\|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}} |w(t)| \leq \sqrt{N} \|w\|, \quad \forall w \in E_N.$$

Hence, for every  $w \in \bar{B}(0, \rho_1) \cap E_1$ , where  $\rho_1 > 0$  and  $E_1 = \text{span}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_p\}$  we have

$$\|\omega\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{N} \rho_1.$$

Consequently, if we take  $\rho_1 < \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{N}}$ , we obtain

$$G(t, w(t)) > \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi lp}{N} \right) \right] w^2(t) \quad \text{for every } t \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, if  $w \in E_1$ , there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $w = \sum_{j=1}^p a_j \varphi_j$ .

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^n A_k w, w \right\rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^p \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi lj}{N} \right) \right] a_j^2 \\ &\leq \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi lp}{N} \right) \right] \|w\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Using (2.6), (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\Psi(w) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi lp}{N} \right) \right] (\|w\|^2 - \|w\|^2) = 0, \quad \text{for every } w \in \bar{B}(0, \rho_1) \cap E_1.$$

Now, if  $\Psi(w) = 0$ , every  $w \in E_1$  with  $\|w\| \leq \rho_1$  is a solution of the problem (1.1). If  $\Psi(w) < 0$ , for any  $w \in \overline{B}(0, \rho_1) \cap E_1$ , then  $\inf_{w \in E_N} \Psi(w) < 0$ , therefore  $\Psi(w_0) < 0$ . The proof of Theorem is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.**

We will use Lemma 2.1 to prove this result.

From the proof of Theorem 1.1,  $\Psi$  is coercive hence every  $(PS)$  sequence  $(u_n)$  is bounded. In view of the fact that the dimension of  $E_N$  is finite,  $\Psi$  satisfies  $(PS)$  conditions.

Moreover,  $\Psi(w) \leq 0$ , for every  $w \in \overline{B}(0, \rho_1) \cap E_1$  for some  $0 < \rho_1 < \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{N}}$ , where  $E_1 = \text{span}\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_p\}$ .

Now, we prove that there exists  $\rho_2 > 0$  such that

$$\Psi(w) \geq 0, \text{ for every } w \in \overline{B}(0, \rho_2) \cap E_2 \text{ where } E_2 = \text{span}\{\varphi_{p+1}, \dots, \varphi_q\}.$$

Let  $w \in E_2$ , then there exist  $b_{p+1}, b_{p+2}, \dots, b_q \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $w = \sum_{j=p+1}^q b_j \varphi_j$ .

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^n A_k w, w \right\rangle &= \sum_{j=p+1}^q \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^j + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l j}{N}\right) \right] b_j^2 \\ &\geq \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^j + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l(p+1)}{N}\right) \right] \|w\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\Psi(w) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^j + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l(p+1)}{N}\right) \right] \|w\|^2 - \sum_{t=1}^N G(t, w(t)).$$

Further, from  $(G_3)$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$G(t, x) < \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^j + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l(p+1)}{N}\right) \right] x^2, \text{ for every } (t, |x|) \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, \delta].$$

This, taking  $\rho_2 < \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{N}}$ , for every  $w \in \overline{B}(0, \rho_2) \cap E_2$ , we have

$$\Psi(w) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^j + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l(p+1)}{N}\right) \right] (\|w\|^2 - \|w\|^2) = 0.$$

Thus, by choosing  $\rho = \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ , we deduce that

$$\Psi(w) \leq 0, \text{ for } w \in \overline{B}(0, \rho) \cap E_1,$$

and

$$\Psi(w) \geq 0, \text{ for } w \in \overline{B}(0, \rho) \cap E_2.$$

If  $\inf_{w \in E_N} \Psi(w) \geq 0$ , then  $\Psi(w) = \inf_{w \in E_N} \Psi(w) = 0$  for every  $w \in \overline{B}(0, \rho) \cap E_1$ , which implies that all  $w \in E_1$  with  $\|w\| \leq \rho$  are solutions of the problem (1.1).

If  $\inf_{w \in E_N} \Psi(w) < 0$ , then all conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. Then, the problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** From  $(G_4)$ , there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$G(t, x) \geq \frac{1}{2} (F_0 - \varepsilon) x^2 \text{ for } (t, |x|) \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, \mu], \quad (3.6)$$

where  $F_0 = \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2G(t, x)}{x^2}$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < F_0 - \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l q}{N}\right) \right]$ .

Put

$$K = \left\{ w \in E_N \mid \|w\| = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{N}} \right\}.$$

It is clear to see that  $|w(t)| \leq \mu$ , for any  $w \in K$ .

Let  $\Psi$  be defined in (2.6). Then, for any  $w \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(w) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l q}{N}\right) \right] \|w\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (F_0 - \varepsilon) \|w\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu^2}{N} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l q}{N}\right) - F_0 + \varepsilon \right] < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain  $\sup_{w \in K} \Psi(w) < 0$ . On the other hand, from the proof of theorem 1.1,  $\Psi$  is bounded from below and satisfies the (PS) conditions.

Let  $S^{q-1}$  be the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  and define

$$T : K \longrightarrow S^{q-1} \quad \text{by} \quad T(w) = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\mu} w.$$

Then,  $T$  is an odd homeomorphism between  $K$  and  $S^{q-1}$ . Hence, all conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied, so  $\Psi$  has at least  $2q$  nontrivial critical points, which are nontrivial solutions of problem (1.1).

#### 4. Application

Let us consider the function

$$g(t, x) = \frac{\lambda_p + \lambda_{p+1}}{2} \frac{x}{1 + x^4} - tx^3, \quad (t, x) \in [1, N]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

where  $\lambda_j = \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2l}^l + 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=l}^n (-1)^l C_{2k}^{k+l} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi l j}{N}\right)$  for  $j \in \{p, p+1\}$ .

The corresponding primitive is given by

$$G(t, x) = \int_0^x g(t, s) ds = \frac{\lambda_p + \lambda_{p+1}}{4} \cdot \arctan(x^2) - \frac{t}{4} x^4.$$

It is obvious that

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2G(t, x)}{x^2} = -\infty.$$

On the other hand, we expand  $\arctan(x^2)$  near zero

$$\arctan(x^2) = x^2 - \frac{1}{3} x^6 + o(x^6).$$

Then,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2G(t, x)}{x^2} = \frac{\lambda_p + \lambda_{p+1}}{2}.$$

Therefore,  $(G_1)$  and  $(G_3)$  are satisfied, then the problem (1.1) possesses at least two nontrivial solutions.

#### 5. Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for carefully reading, valuable comments and suggestions to improve the earlier version of the paper.



## References

1. Agarwal, R. P., *Difference Equations and Inequalities, Theory, Methods, and Applications*, 2nd-th., Marcel Dekker, New York, (2000).
2. Bai, L., and Zhang, G., *Nontrivial solutions for a nonlinear discrete elliptic equation with periodic boundary conditions*, Appl. Math. Comput. 210(2), 321–333, (2009).
3. Brezis, H., *Remarks on finding critical points*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 44, 939–963, (1991).
4. El Amrouss, A. E., and Hammouti, O., *Spectrum of discrete  $2n$ -th order difference operator with periodic boundary conditions and its applications*, Opuscula Math. 41(4), 489–507, (2021).
5. El Amrouss, A. E., and Hammouti, O., *Multiplicity of solutions for the discrete boundary value problem involving the  $p$ -Laplacian*, Arab J. Math. Sci., (2021).
6. El Amrouss, A. E., and Hammouti, O., *Existence of multiple solutions to a discrete  $2n$ -th order periodic boundary value problem via variational method*, Sci. Bull. Ser. A 83(3), 159–170, (2021).
7. Galewski, M., and Smejda, J., *Some remarks on nonlinear discrete boundary value problems*, Demonstratio Math. 3, 575–587, (2012).
8. Goeleven, D., Motreanu, D., and Panagiotopoulos, P. D., *Multiple solutions for a class of eigenvalue problems in hemivariational inequalities*, Nonlinear Anal. 29, 9–26, (1997).
9. Graef, J. R., Kong, L., and Wang, M., *Existence of multiple solutions to a discrete fourth order periodic boundary value problem*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Suppl., 291–299, (2013).
10. Hammouti, O., *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for nonlinear  $2n$ -th order difference boundary value problems*, J. Elliptic Parabolic Equa., 1–17, (2022).
11. Hammouti, O., Makran, N., and Taarabti, S., *Multiplicity of solutions for discrete  $2n$ -th order periodic boundary value problem*, J. Elliptic Parabolic Equa. 9(2), 1195–1209, (2023).
12. Hammouti, O., Taarabti, S., and Agarwal, R. P., *Anisotropic discrete boundary value problems*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 17(1), 232–248, (2023).
13. He, X. M., and Wu, X., *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for nonlinear second order difference boundary value problems*, Comput. Math. Appl. 57, 1–8, (2009).
14. Kelly, W. G., and Peterson, A. C., *Difference Equations, An Introduction with Applications*, 2nd ed., Academic Press, New York, (2001).
15. Kong, L., and Wang, M., *Multiple and particular solutions of a second order discrete boundary value problem with mixed periodic boundary conditions*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 47, 1–13, (2020).
16. Lian, F. Y., *Multiple solutions for boundary value problems of a discrete generalized Emden-Fowler equation*, Appl. Math. Lett. 23, 8–12, (2010).
17. Liu, J., Wang, S., Zhang, J., and Zhang, F., *Nontrivial solutions for discrete boundary value problems with multiple resonance via computations of the critical groups*, Nonlinear Anal. 75, 3809–3820, (2012).
18. Mawhin, J., *Bounded solutions of some second order difference equations*, Georgian Math. J. 14, 315–324, (2007).
19. Otta, J., and Stehlik, P., *Multiplicity of solutions for discrete problems with double-well potentials*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 186, 1–14, (2013).
20. Stehlik, P., *On variational methods for periodic discrete problems*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 14(3), 259–273, (2008).
21. Yang, Y., and Zhang, J., *Existence and multiple solutions for a nonlinear system with a parameter*, Nonlinear Anal. 70, 2542–2548, (2009).
22. Yu, J. S., Guo, Z. M., and Zou, X. F., *Periodic solutions of second order self-adjoint difference equations*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 71, 146–160, (2005).
23. Yu, J. S., and Guo, Z. M., *On boundary value problems for a discrete generalized Emden-Fowler equation*, J. Differential Equations 231, 18–31, (2006).
24. Zhou, Z., Yu, J. S., and Chen, Y. M., *Periodic solutions of a  $2n$ -th-order nonlinear difference equation*, Sci. China Ser. A 53, 41–50, (2010).
25. Zhuang, W., Chen, Y., and Cheng, S., *Monotone methods for a discrete boundary problem*, Comput. Math. Appl. 32(12), 41–49, (1996).

*Anouar El-Allaly,*

*Laboratory of Modeling, Applied Mathematics, and Intelligent Systems (L2MASI), Department of Mathematics,  
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Dhar Al Mahraz,  
Fez, Morocco.*

*E-mail address:* `anoaur.elallaly@usmba.ac.ma`

*and*

*Omar Hammouti,*

*Laboratory of Modeling, Applied Mathematics, and Intelligent Systems (L2MASI), Department of Mathematics,  
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Dhar Al Mahraz,  
Fez, Morocco.*

*E-mail address:* `omar.hammouti.83@gmail.com`

*and*

*Mounir Mekhour,*

*Laboratory of Modeling, Applied Mathematics, and Intelligent Systems (L2MASI), Department of Mathematics,  
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Dhar Al Mahraz,  
Fez, Morocco.*

*E-mail address:* `mounir.mekhour@usmba.ac.ma`