(3s.) **v. 2025 (43)** : 1–7. ISSN-0037-8712 doi:10.5269/bspm.78612

The third natural representation of the symmetric groups

Maysaa Zaki*, Raneen Sabah Haraj, Dunya Mohamed Hameed

ABSTRACT: The intension of this work is to analyze the third natural representation module, $M^3(n) = KS_n x_1 x_2 x_3$ and we concentrate our work on finding exact sequence for the KS_n -submodules.

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 The third natural representation module of S_n

1. Introduction

Let K be a field of characteristic P (which may be zero or a prime number) and x_1, \ldots, x_n be linearly independent commuting indeterminate. KS_n denote the group algebra of the symmetric group S_n over K.

We are interesting in the following cyclic KS_n -submodules of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ which are finite dimensional vector spaces over K.

The rth – natural representation module $M^r(n)$, r = 1, 2, 3 defined by $M^r(n) = KS_nx_1x_2...x_r$ $(0 \le r \le n)$.

The permutation module M(n-r,r), $n \geq 3$, has a K-basis $B^r(n) = \{x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\dots x_{i_r} \mid 1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \dots \leq i_r \leq n\}$ and dim $M^r(n) = \binom{n}{r}$.

And $M_0^r(n)$ the KS_n -submodule of $M^r(n)$ which consist of all polynomials of the form

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq n} k_{i_1 \ldots i_r} x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_r}, \quad \text{with } \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq n} k_{i_1 \ldots i_r} = 0$$

and $M_0^r(n)$ has a K-basis $B_0^r(n) = \{x_i x_j x_k - x_1 x_2 x_3 \mid (i, j, k) \neq (1, 2, 3)\}$ with dim = $\binom{n}{r} - 1$. $S_k(n-r,r)$ the KS_n -submodule of $M_k(n-r,r)$ over K generated by

$$\{(x_i, x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r})(x_i - x_k) \mid 1 < i_1 < \dots < i_r < n, \ j \neq k\}.$$

In $n \ge 6$ the spect module $S_k(n-r,1^r)$ generated by $KS_n(x_{i+1}-x_i)$. We can apply the same idea for the concepts appear in [5-8] also [9-13].

2. The third natural representation module of S_n

Throughout this work we will be interesting in the following KS_n -homomorphism. $h_3: M(n-3,3) \to K$ which is defined by

$$h_3\left(\sum_{i_1i_2i_3\leq n}k_{i_1i_2i_3}x_{i_1}x_{i_2}x_{i_3}\right) = \sum_{i_1i_2i_3\leq n}k_{i_1i_2i_3}.$$

 $d_2: M(n-3,3) \to M(n-2,2)$ which is defined by

$$d_2(x_i x_j x_s) = \sum_{\beta=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} (x_i x_j x_s),$$

1

^{*} Corresponding author. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B40, 35L70. Submitted August 24, 2025. Published September 17, 2025

and $\overline{d_2}$ is the restriction of d_2 to $M_0(n-3,3)$, i.e. $\overline{d_2}: M_0(n-3,3) \to M_0(n-2,2)$. The KS_n -homomorphism $\mu_2: S_k(n-r,1^r) \to M(n-r,r), r=2,3$ defined by

$$\mu_2(a(x_{i_1}, x_i, x_j)) = d_2(x_i x_j x_j).$$

Let d_2 be the restriction of the KS_n -homomorphism d_2 to the KS_n -submodule $M_0(n-3,3)$ i.e.

$$\overline{d_2}: M_0(n-3,3) \to M_0(n-2,2)$$

defined by:

$$\overline{d_2}(x_ix_jx_t - x_rx_sx_t) = d_2(x_ix_jx_t - x_rx_sx_t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(x_ix_jx_t - x_rx_sx_t).$$

$$= x_i x_j + x_i x_t + x_j x_t - x_r x_s - x_r x_t - x_s x_t \in B_0(n-3,3).$$

Lemma (2.1): If K is a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$, then $M_0(n-2,2)$ is a KS_n -submodule of $Im(d_2)$. **Proof:**

$$d_2(x_1x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_4 - x_1x_2x_3 - x_1x_2x_4)$$

$$= (x_1x_3 + x_1x_4 + x_3x_4 + x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_4 - x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 - x_2x_3 - x_1x_2 - x_1x_4 - x_2x_4) \in \operatorname{Im}(d_2).$$

Hence, $2x_3x_4 - 2x_1x_2 = 2(x_3x_4 - x_1x_2) \in \text{Im}(d_2)$, which implies that $(x_3x_4 - x_1x_2) \in \text{Im}(d_2)$ since $p \neq 2$.

Thus $KS_n(x_3x_4 - x_1x_2)$ is a KS_n -submodule of $Im(d_2)$, but $M_0(n-2,2) = KS_n(x_3x_4 - x_1x_2)$. Therefore $M_0(n-2,2)$ is a KS_n -submodule of $Im(d_2)$ when $p \neq 2$. \square

Theorem (2.2): If p = 3 and $n \ge 6$ then $Im(d_2) = d_2(M_0(n-3,3)) = M_0(n-2,2)$. **Proof:**

$$M_0(n-2,2) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(d_2)$$
 by Lemma (2.1).

And $\text{Im}(d_2) \subseteq M_0(n-2,2)$ since $d_2(x_i x_j x_k) = x_i x_j + x_i x_k + x_j x_k \in M_0(n-2,2)$.

Thus $Im(d_2) = M_0(n-2,2)$.

Now $(2x_1x_3x_4 + 2x_2x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4) \in M_0(n-3,3)$, and

$$d_2(2x_1x_3x_4 + 2x_2x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4) = d_2(2x_1x_3x_4) + d_2(2x_2x_3x_4) + d_2(x_1x_2x_3) + d_2(x_1x_2x_4).$$

$$=x_1x_3+x_1x_4+2x_3x_4+x_2x_3+x_2x_4+2x_3x_4+x_1x_2+x_1x_3+x_2x_3+x_1x_2+x_1x_4+x_2x_4.$$

Therefore $KS_n(x_3x_4 - x_1x_2)$ is a KS_n -submodule of $d_2(M_0(n-3,3))$. Hence $M_0(n-2,2) = KS_n(x_3x_4 - x_1x_2) \subseteq d_2(M_0(n-3,3)) \subseteq M_0(n-2,2)$.

Thus $d_2(M_0(n-3,3)) = M_0(n-2,2)$. \square

Corollary (2.3): If p = 3, then the KS_n -homomorphism

$$\overline{d_2}: M_0(n-3,3) \to M_0(n-2,2) isonto.$$

Theorem (2.4): If p = 3, then the following sequence of KS_n -modules is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow S_K(n-3,3) \xrightarrow{\text{incl.}} M_0(n-3,3) \xrightarrow{d_2} M_0(n-2,2) \longrightarrow 0$$
 (1)

Proof: The sequence (1) is exact since $\overline{d_2}$ is onto by corollary (2.3), and incl. is one to one. And $S_K(n-3,3) \subseteq \ker(\overline{d_2})$, since:

$$\overline{d_2}((x_2-x_1)(x_4-x_3)(x_6-x_5)))$$

$$= d_2(x_2x_4x_6 - x_2x_4x_5 - x_2x_3x_6 + x_2x_3x_5 - x_1x_4x_6 + x_1x_4x_5 + x_1x_3x_6 - x_1x_3x_5)$$

$$= x_2x_4 + x_2x_6 + x_4x_6 - x_2x_4 - x_2x_5 - x_4x_5 - x_2x_3 - x_2x_6 - x_3x_6 + x_4x_5 - x_2x_6 - x_3x_6 - x_3x_6 + x_4x_5 - x_2x_6 - x_3x_6 -$$

 $x_2x_3 + x_3x_5 + x_2x_5 - x_1x_4 - x_1x_6 + x_4x_6 + x_1x_5 + x_4x_5 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_6 + x_3x_6 - x_1x_3 - x_1x_5 - x_3x_5 = 0.$

We prove the reverse inclusion by counting the dimensions:

$$\dim_K \ker d_2 = \dim_K M_0(n-3,3) - \dim_K M_0(n-2,2)$$

$$= \binom{n}{3} - 1 - \binom{n}{2} - 1 = \binom{n}{3} - \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) - 3n(n-1)}{6} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-5)}{6} = \dim_K S_K(n-3,3).$$

Hence $\ker(\overline{d_2}) = S_K(n-3,3) = \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{incl}). \square$

Corollary (2.5): If p = 3 then

$$M_0(n-3,3)/S_K(n-3,3) \cong M_0(n-2,2).$$

Lemma (2.6): If $p \neq 2$ and $p \neq 3$ then the KS_n -homomorphism

$$d_2: M(n-3,3) \rightarrow M(n-2,2) isonto.$$

Proof: Since $p \neq 2$, then $M_0(n-2,2) \subseteq \text{Im}(d_2)$ by lemma (2.1). And if $p \neq 3$, then $\text{Im}(d_2) \nsubseteq M_0(n-2,2)$, since

$$d_2(x_1x_2x_3) = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \notin M_0(n-2,2).$$

Thus $M_0(n-2,2) \subseteq \text{Im}(d_2)$. But $\dim_K M_0(n-2,2) = \binom{n}{2} - 1 < \binom{n}{2} = \dim_K M(n-2,2)$, so

$$\dim_K \operatorname{Im}(d_2) \le \dim_K M(n-2,2) = \binom{n}{2}.$$

Thus $\dim_K \operatorname{Im}(d_2) = \dim_K M(n-2,2)$. Hence $\operatorname{Im}(d_2) = M(n-2,2)$, since $\operatorname{Im}(d_2)$ is a KS_n -submodule of M(n-2,2). Thus d_2 is onto. \square

Remark (2.7): If p = 2, then $Im(d_2) = Im(\mu_2)$. Therefore:

$$\dim_K \operatorname{Im}(d_2) = \dim_K \operatorname{Im}(\mu_2) = \binom{n-1}{2} \neq \binom{n}{2} = \dim_K M(n-2,2).$$

Thus d_2 is not onto when p=2.

Theorem (2.8): If $p \neq 2$ and $p \neq 3, n \geq 6$ then the following sequence of KS_n -modules is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow S_K(n-3,3) \xrightarrow{\text{incl.}} M(n-3,3) \xrightarrow{d_2} M(n-2,2) \longrightarrow 0$$
 (2)

Proof: Since incl. is one to one and d_2 is onto, by Remark (2.7). Then, we prove the exactness only on M(n-3,3).

 $S_K(n-3,3) \subseteq \ker(d_2)$, since:

$$d_2((x_2-x_1)(x_4-x_3)(x_6-x_5))=0.$$

As we proved in theorem (2.4), we prove the reverse inclusion by counting the dimensions:

$$\dim_K \ker(d_2) = \dim_K M(n-3,3) - \dim_K M(n-2,2) = \binom{n}{3} - \binom{n}{2}$$
$$= \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) - 3n(n-1)}{6} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-5)}{6} = \dim_K S_K(n-3,3).$$

Thus $\ker(d_2) = S_K(n-3,3) = \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{incl})$. Hence the sequence (2) is exact. \square Corollary (2.9): If $p \neq 2$ and $p \neq 3$, then $M(n-3,3)/S_K(n-3,3) \cong M(n-2,2)$.

Theorem (2.10): If $p \neq 2$ and $p \neq 3$, then $d_2(M_0(n-3,3)) = M_0(n-2,2)$.

Proof: Clearly $d_2(M_0(n-3,3)) \subseteq M_0(n-2,2)$. We prove the reverse inclusion by counting the dimensions.

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k d_2(M_0(n-3,3)) &= \dim_k M_0(n-2,2) - \dim_k \ker(d_2) \text{ since } \ker(d_2) = S_K(n-3,3) \\ \dim_k M_0(n-3,3) &= \binom{n}{3} - 1 - \frac{n(n-1)(n-5)}{6} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6} - \frac{n(n-1)(n-5)}{6} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)(n-2-n+5)}{6} - 1 = \frac{n(n-1)(3)}{6} - 1 \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1 = \binom{n}{2} - 1 = \dim_k M_0(n-2,2). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (2.11): If p = 3 then $\dim_k \ker(d_2) = \dim_k S_K(n-3,3) + 1$. **Proof:** If p = 3 then $\operatorname{Im}(d_2) = M_0(n-2,2)$, by theorem (2.2)

$$\dim_k \ker(d_2) = \dim_k M_0(n-3,3) - \dim_k \operatorname{Im}(d_2) = \binom{n}{3} - \binom{n}{2} - 1 = \binom{n}{3} - \binom{n}{2} + 1$$
$$= \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 1 = \frac{n(n-1)(n-5)}{6} + 1 = \dim_k S_K(n-3,3) + 1.$$

Theorem (2.12): If $p \nmid (n-4)$ then $d_2g_3 = I_{S_K(n-2,2)}$, where $g_3: S_K(n-2,2) \to M(n-3,3)$ Since $g_3(((x_2-x_1)(x_4-x_3))) = g_3(x_2x_4-x_2x_3-x_1x_4+x_1x_3) = x_2x_4(x^{(n)}-x_2x_4)-x_2x_3(x^{(n)}-x_2-x_3)-x_1x_4(x^{(n)}-x_1-x_4)+x_1x_3(x^{(n)}-x_1-x_3) = x_1x_2x_4+x_2x_3x_4+x_2x_4x_5+\cdots+x_2x_4x_n-x_1x_2x_3-x_2x_3x_4-x_2x_3x_5-\cdots-x_2x_3x_n-x_1x_2x_4-x_1x_3x_4-x_1x_4x_5-\cdots-x_1x_4x_n+x_1x_2x_3+x_1x_3x_4+x_1x_3x_5+\cdots+x_1x_3x_n$ Then

$$d_2g_3(((x_2 - x_1)(x_4 - x_3))) = -x_4x_5 - x_4x_6 - \dots - x_4x_n + x_3x_5 + x_3x_6 + \dots + x_3x_n + x_4x_5 + x_4x_6 + \dots + x_4x_n - x_3x_5 - x_3x_6 - \dots - x_3x_n - x_2x_n + x_1x_5 + x_1x_6 + \dots + x_1x_n + x_2x_5 + x_2x_6 + \dots + x_2x_n - x_1x_5 - x_1x_6 - \dots - x_1x_n + (n-4)x_2x_4 - (n-4)x_2x_3 - (n-4)x_1x_4 + (n-4)x_1x_3 = (n-4)(x_2x_4 - x_2x_3 - x_1x_4 + x_1x_3) = (n-4)(x_2 - x_1)(x_4 - x_3)$$

Thus $\frac{1}{(n-4)}d_2g_3(((x_2-x_1)(x_4-x_3))) = (x_2-x_1)(x_4-x_3)$ since $p \nmid (n-4)$ i.e. $d_2g_3 = I_{S_K(n-2,2)}$.

Lemma (2.13): If p = 2, then $\overline{d_2}(M_0(n-3,3)) = S_k(n-2,2)$

Proof: $d_2(x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_2x_3) = x_1x_2 + x_1x_4 + x_2x_4 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 = x_1x_4 + x_2x_4 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 = (x_2 + x_1)(x_4 + x_3)$ But $(x_2 + x_1)(x_4 + x_3)$ generates $S_k(n - 2, 2)$ over KS_n . And $(x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_2x_3)$ generates $M_0(n - 3, 3)$ over KS_n . Thus $\text{Im}\overline{d_2} = S_K(n - 2, 2)$ i.e. $\overline{d_2}$ is onto.

Corollary (2.14): If p = 2, then $\mathbb{M}_0(n-3,3)/\ker(\bar{d}_2) \cong \mathcal{S}_{k(n-2)}$.

Remark (2.15): Let \overline{g}_3 denotes the restriction of the KS_n -homomorphism g_3 to S(n-2,2), i.e. $\overline{g}_3 = g_3 \Big|_{S_k(n-2,2)} : S_k(n-2,2) \to M_0(n-3,3)$, defined by $\overline{g}_3 \Big((x_2-x_1)(x_4-x_3) \Big) = g_3(x_2x_4-x_2x_3-x_1x_4+x_1x_3) = (x_2x_4(x^{(n)}-x_2-x_3)-x_2x_3(x^{(n)}-x_2-x_3)-x_1x_4(x^{(n)}-x_1-x_4)+x_1x_3(x^{(n)}-x_1-x_3))$. **Theorem (2.16)** If p=2 and n is odd then the following sequence of KS_n -modules is exact and splits

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(\mu_3) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{incl.}} M_0(n-3,3) \xrightarrow{\overline{d}_2} S_k(n-2,2) \longrightarrow 0$$
(3)

proof Since p=2, then by lemma (2.13) \overline{d}_2 is onto. And incl. is one to one.

$$\operatorname{Im}(\mu_3) = \operatorname{Im}(d_3) \quad [3]$$

$$Im(d_3) = \ker(d_2).$$

Thus $\operatorname{Im}(\mu_3) = \ker(d_2)$. But $\ker(d_2) = \ker(\overline{d_2})$, since $\ker(\overline{d_2}) \subseteq \ker(d_2)$ and:

$$\dim_K \ker(d_2) = \dim_K M(n-3,3) - \dim_K \operatorname{Im}(d_2) = \binom{n}{3} - \binom{n-1}{2}.$$

And

$$\dim_K \ker(\overline{d}_2) = \dim_K M_0(n-3,3) - \dim_K S_k(n-2,2) = \binom{n}{3} - 1 - \binom{n-1}{2} - 1 = \binom{n}{3} - \binom{n-1}{2}.$$

Hence $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{incl.}) = \operatorname{Im}(\mu_3) = \ker(d_2) = \ker(\overline{d}_2)$. Thus the sequence (3) is exact. Now, since p = 2 and n is odd then there is a KS_n -homomorphism

$$\overline{g}_3: S_k(n-2,2) \longrightarrow M_0(n-3,3)$$

such that

$$\overline{d}_2\overline{g}_3 = d_2\Big|_{M_0(n-3,3)} g_3\Big|_{S_k(n-2,2)} = I_{S_k(n-2,2)},$$

as we proved in theorem (2.12). Thus the exact sequence (3) splits when p=2 and n is odd. Corollary (2.17) If p=2 and n is odd then

$$M_0(n-3,3) = \text{Im}(\mu_3) \oplus \text{Im}(g_3).$$

proof: Since p = 2 and n is odd then by theorem (2.16) the exact sequence (1) splits, and as in the proof of theorem (2.16) there is a KS_n -homomorphism

$$\overline{q}_3: S_k(n-2,2) \to M_0(n-3,3)$$

such that $\overline{d}_2\overline{g}_3 = I_{S_k(n-2,2)}$, thus $M_0(n-3,3)$ is decomposable into the direct sum of $\ker(\overline{d}_2)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\overline{g}_3)$. i.e.

$$M_0(n-3,3) = \ker(\overline{d}_2) \oplus \operatorname{Im}(\overline{g}_3).$$

But $\ker(\overline{d}_2) = \operatorname{Im}(\mu_3)$, since the sequence (3) is exact. Thus $M_0(n-3,3) = \operatorname{Im}(\mu_3) \oplus \operatorname{Im}(g_3)$. **Theorem (2.18)** The following sequence of KS_n -modules is exact

$$0 \longrightarrow M_0(n-3,3) \xrightarrow{\text{incl.}} M(n-3,3) \xrightarrow{h_3} K \longrightarrow 0$$
 (4)

And it is split if and only if $p \nmid \binom{n}{3}$.

Proof: Since incl. is one to one and for each $k \in K$ we have $h_3(kx_1x_2x_3) = k$ which means that h_3 is onto. And $\ker(h_3) = \{x \in M(n-3,3) : h_3(x) = 0\} = \{\sum_{1 \le i < j < l \le n} k_{ijl}x_ix_jx_l : \sum_{1 \le i < j < l \le n} k_{ijl} = 0\} = M_0(n-3,3) = \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{incl.})$. Thus the sequence (2) is exact. Now, assume that $p \nmid \binom{n}{3}$ and let $f_3 : K \to M(n-3,3)$ defined by $f_3(k) = \frac{k}{\binom{n}{3}}T^{(n)}$. f_3 is a KS_n -homomorphism, since for each $\sum_{\tau \in S_n} k_\tau \tau \in KS_n$, we have

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_n} k_{\tau} \tau f_3(k) = \left(\sum_{\tau \in S_n} k_{\tau} \tau\right) \frac{k}{\binom{n}{3}} T^{(n)} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} k_{\tau} \frac{k}{\binom{n}{3}} T^{(n)} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \frac{k_{\tau} k}{\binom{n}{3}} T^{(n)} = f_3 \left(\sum_{\tau \in S_n} k_{\tau} \tau k\right).$$

Now $h_3f_3(1) = h_3\left(\frac{1}{\binom{n}{3}}T^{(n)}\right) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{3}}h_3(T^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{3}}\binom{n}{3} = 1$. i.e. $h_3f_3 = 1_K$, thus the exact sequence (2) splits. Conversely, suppose that the exact sequence (2) splits. Let $f: K \to M(n-3,3)$ be a

 KS_n -homomorphism, and let $f(1) = \sum_{1 \le i < j < l \le n} k_{ijl} x_i x_j x_l$. Since f(1) is invariant under each transposition $T = (x_r x_s)$ of S_n such that $1 \le r < s \le n$. i.e. Tf(1) = f(T1) = f(1) for each transposition of S_n , then

$$0 = f(T1) - Tf(1) = \sum_{1 \le i < j < l \le n} k_{ijl} (x_i x_j x_l - T x_i x_j x_l).$$

But if i=r, j=s then $x_ix_jx_l-Tx_ix_jx_l=x_sx_rx_l-x_rx_sx_l=0$, and if i=r, l=s then $x_ix_jx_l-Tx_ix_jx_l=x_sx_jx_i-x_ix_jx_s=0$, and if j=r, l=s then $x_ix_jx_l-Tx_ix_jx_l=x_ix_sx_r-x_ix_rx_s=0$, and if i,j,l,r,s are distinct integers then $x_ix_jx_l-Tx_ix_jx_l=x_ix_jx_l-x_ix_jx_l=0$. Hence:

$$0 = f(T1) - Tf(1) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n \\ j \ne r, s}} k_{ijr}(x_r x_i x_j - T x_r x_i x_j) + \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n \\ j \ne r, s}} k_{ijs}(x_s x_i x_j - T x_s x_i x_j).$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n \\ j \ne r, s}} k_{ijr}(x_r x_i x_j - x_s x_i x_j) + \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n \\ j \ne r, s}} k_{ijs}(x_s x_i x_j - x_r x_i x_j).$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le n \\ i \ne r, s}} (k_{ijr} - k_{ijs})(x_r x_i x_j - x_s x_i x_j), \quad (1 \le r < s \le n).$$

Equating the coefficients we have $k_{ijr} - k_{ijs} = 0$, such that $1 \le i, j, r, s \le n$ and i, j, r, s are distinct, which implies that $k_{ijr} = k_{ijs} = k$ (say), such that $1 \le i, j, r, s \le n$ and i, j, r, s are distinct. Hence

$$f(1) = \sum_{1 \le i < j < l \le n} k_{ijl} x_i x_j x_l = k \sum_{1 \le i < j < l \le n} x_i x_j x_l = k T^{(n)}.$$

Now, since the exact sequence (4) splits, then there exist a KS_n -homomorphism $f_3: K \to M(n-3,3)$ such that $h_3f_3 = 1_K$ and $f_3(1) = kT^{(n)}$.

Hence
$$1 = h_3 f_3(1) = h_3(kT^{(n)}) = kh_3(T^{(n)}) = k\binom{n}{3} = k\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$$
. which implies that

$$p \nmid \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$$
, i.e. $p \nmid \binom{n}{3}$.

Corollary (2.19) If p doesn't divide $\binom{n}{3}$, then M(n-3,3) is the direct sum of $M_0(n-3,3)$ and $KT^{(n)}$. **proof**: From theorem (2.18) and its proof we have M(n-3,3) is decomposable into the direct sum of $\ker(h_3)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(f_3)$, i.e.

$$M(n-3,3) = \ker(h_3) \oplus \operatorname{Im}(f_3).$$

But $\ker(h_3) = M_0(n-3,3)$, since the sequence (4) is exact, and $\operatorname{Im}(f_3) = KT^{(n)}$, as we proved in corollary (2.17). Hence

$$M(n-3,3) = M_0(n-3,3) \oplus KT^{(n)}$$
.

Corollary (2.20) If p divides $\binom{n}{3}$ then $M_0(n-3,3)$ is not the direct summand of M(n-3,3).

Proof: Assume that $M_0(n-3,3)$ is the direct summand of M(n-3,3) when $p \mid \binom{n}{3}$. This means that there exists a KS_n -submodule L of M(n-3,3) such that

$$M(n-3,3) = M_0(n-3,3) \oplus L.$$

This means that the exact sequence (4) splits, then by theorem (2.18) we have $p \nmid \binom{n}{3}$, and this contradicts the assumption. Therefore $M_0(n-3,3)$ is not the direct summand of M(n-3,3) when $p \mid \binom{n}{3}$.

Theorem (2.21) If K is a field of char. p=2, then the following sequence of KS_n -modules is exact

$$0 \longrightarrow S(n-3,1,1,1) \xrightarrow{\mu_3} M(n-3,3) \xrightarrow{d_2} M^2(n) \xrightarrow{h_2} K \longrightarrow 0.$$

References

- 1. E. Al-Rubayee, On the representation of the symmetric groups, M.Sc. Thesis, College of Education Almustansirya University, 1990.
- 2. H. K. Farahat, On the natural representation of the symmetric groups, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc., vol. 5, part 3, 1962, 121–136.
- 3. M. Al-Jilihawi, On the natural representations of the symmetric groups, M.Sc. Thesis, College of Education Almustansirya University, 1996.
- 4. M. H. Peel, On the second natural representation of the symmetric groups, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc., vol. 10, part 1, 1969, 25–37.
- 5. Saheb K. Al-Saidy, Rabeaa G. Abtan, Haider J. Ali, More on MC-Functions, The Journal of the College of Basic Education (CBEJ), vol. 21, no. 89, 2015, 149–156.
- A. A. Abdulah, R. G. A. Al-Aleyawee, Some new results on Shi arrangement, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2023, 2834(1), 080133.
- 7. R. G. Abtan, R. S. Haraj, Hyperfactored of the arrangements $A(G_{24})$ and $A(G_{27})$, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021, 1818(1), 012144.
- 8. S. J. Kadhum, A. I. Abdul-Nabi, N. S. Jasim, Compute some concepts in PG(3,8), Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 2022, 25(2), 599–604.
- 9. S. J. Kadhum, N. S. Jasim, A. I. Abdul-Nabi, Results for the (b, t)-blocking sets in PG(2, 8), AIP Conference Proceedings, 2023, 2845(1), 050044.
- 10. Auday Hekmat Mahmood, Maysaa Zaki Salman, A note on relatively commuting mappings of prime and semiprime rings, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 18(2023), no. 2, 153–162.
- 11. D. M. Hameed, Diagonal matrix of the tensor product ($p \ge 3$ prime), Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 2025, 28(2), 457–461.
- 12. S. M. Salih, D. M. Hameed, Jordan permuting 3-left (resp. right) u-centralizers on prime semi-rings, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 2025, 28(4), 1221–1226.
- 13. D. Mohamed Hameed, I. Zamil Mushtt, Invariant factors of the tensor product of (C_{a3}) , IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2020, 928(4), 042038.

Maysaa Zaki,

Department of Mathematics,

College of Education, Al-Mustansiriyah University,

Baghdad, Iraq.

E-mail address: maysaa-zaki@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

and

Raneen Sabah Haraj ,

Department of Electrical Engineering,

University of Technology,

Baghdad, Iraq.

E-mail address: raneen.s.haraj@uotechnology.edu.com

and

Dunya Mohamed Hameed,

Department of Mathematics,

College of Education, Al-Mustansiriyah University,

Baghdad, Iraq.

E-mail address: dunyamahamed@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq