



On Analytic Functions Subclass Defined by Differential Operator

A. Shashikala, B. Venkateswarlu* and Sujatha

ABSTRACT: In this work, we present a novel differential operator-defined a specific set of negative-coefficient analytic univalent functions. For this class, we get subordination results, integral means inequalities, extreme points, and coefficient inequalities.

Key Words: Univalent, coefficient estimates, subordination, differential operator.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Coefficient Estimates	2
3 Extreme Points	4
4 Integral Means Inequalities	5
5 Subordination Results	6

1. Introduction

The functions of type \ddot{A} of class $\ddot{u}(\beta)$ are indicated in the sections that follow.

$$\ddot{u}(\beta) = \beta + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \ddot{a}_{\iota} \beta^{\iota} \quad (1.1)$$

which are in the open unit disc, are analytical $\ddot{E} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{C} : |\beta| < 1\}$.

If a function $\ddot{u}(\beta)$ in the class \ddot{A} satisfies the inequality, it is said to be in the class $\ddot{S}\ddot{T}(\ell)$ of starlike functions of order ℓ in \ddot{E} ,

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\beta \ddot{u}'(\beta)}{\ddot{u}(\beta)} > \ell, \right\} \quad (0 \leq \ell < 1) \quad (\beta \in \ddot{E}). \quad (1.2)$$

Keep in mind that the class of starlike function is $\ddot{S}\ddot{T}(0) = \ddot{S}\ddot{T}$.

Indicate by \ddot{T} the subclass of \ddot{A} made up of the function \ddot{u} with the following form.

$$\ddot{u}(\beta) = \beta - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \ddot{a}_{\iota} \beta^{\iota} \quad (\ddot{a}_{\iota} \geq 0). \quad (1.3)$$

Silverman introduced and researched this subclass in great detail [5].

Let \ddot{A} be one of the class \ddot{u} 's function. The differential operator proposed by Deniz and Ozkan [1] is defined here,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda}^0 \ddot{u}(\beta) &= \ddot{u}(\beta) \\ D_{\lambda}^1 \ddot{u}(\beta) &= D_{\lambda} \ddot{u}(\beta) = \lambda \beta^3 (\ddot{u}(\beta))''' + (2\lambda + 1) \beta^2 (\ddot{u}(\beta))'' + \beta \ddot{u}'(\beta) \\ D_{\lambda}^3 \ddot{u}(\beta) &= D_{\lambda} (D_{\lambda}^1 \ddot{u}(\beta)) \\ D_{\lambda}^m &= D_{\lambda} (D_{\lambda}^{m-1} \ddot{u}(\beta)) \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C50.

Submitted August 28, 2025. Published October 07, 2025

in which $m \in \ddot{N}_0 =: \ddot{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. In the occurrence when \ddot{u} provides by (1.1), it can be shown from the operator $D_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)$ it is to see that

$$D_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta) = \beta + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) \ddot{a}_\iota \beta^\iota \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) = \iota^{2m} [\lambda(\iota - 1) + 1]^m. \quad (1.6)$$

If $\ddot{u} \in \ddot{T}$ is given by (1.2) then we have

$$D_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta) = \beta - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) \ddot{a}_\iota \beta^\iota \quad (1.7)$$

where (1.5) yields $\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)$.

Motivated by Murugusunderamoorthy and Magesh [4], we define the following new class in this using the operator $D_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)$.

Let \ddot{S}_λ^m be the subclass of \ddot{A} made up of function of the form (1.1) for $\tau \geq 0$, $0 \leq v < 1$ and satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} \right) \geq \tau \left| (D_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta))' - \frac{D_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} \right| + v \quad (1.8)$$

where $\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$ is given by (1.6).

We also allowed $\ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v) = \ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v) \cap \ddot{T}$.

In this paper, for the function in the class $\ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$, In addition to coefficient, extreme point, and integral means inequalities, we obtain subordination result for the function $\ddot{u} \in \ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$ class. Moreover, the function's class subordination result.

2. Coefficient Estimates

Theorem 2.1 *The form (1.1) of a function $\ddot{u}(\beta)$ be is in $\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$ if*

$$\sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\iota - 1)] \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota| \leq 1 - v \quad (2.1)$$

where $\tau \geq 0$, $0 \leq v < 1$, and $\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)$ is given by (1.7).

Proof: It's enough to demonstrate that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau \left| (\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta))' - \frac{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - v \\
& \text{we have} \\
& \tau \left| (\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta))' - \frac{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} - 1 \right\} \\
& \leq \tau \left| (\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta))' - \frac{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} - 1 \right\} \\
& \leq \tau \left| \frac{\sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} (\iota-1) \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) \ddot{a}_\iota \beta^\iota}{\beta} \right| + \left| \frac{\sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} C_{\mu,b}^{m,n} \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) \ddot{a}_\iota \beta^\iota}{\beta} \right| \\
& \leq \tau \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} (\iota-1) \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota| + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota| \\
& = \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\iota-1)] \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota|.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The final expression has $(1 - v)$ as its upper bound if

$$\sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\iota-1)] \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota| \leq 1 - v$$

and the theorem has been fully proved. \square

We derive sufficient and necessary conditions for functions in $\ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$ as in the subsequent theorem.

Theorem 2.2 For $\tau \geq 0$, $0 \leq v < 1$, a function \ddot{u} the structure (1.2) is assigned to the class $\ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$ within the occurrence when

$$\sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\iota-1)] \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota| \leq 1 - v.$$

Proof: Assume that $\ddot{u}(\beta)$ in the following way (1.2) belongs inside the class $\ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$ then.

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} \right\} - \tau \left| (\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta))' - \frac{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^m \ddot{u}(\beta)}{\beta} \right| \geq v.$$

Equivalently

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota| \beta^{\iota-1} \right] - \tau \left[\sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} (\iota-1) \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) \ddot{a}_\iota \beta^{\iota-1} \right] \geq v.$$

\square

As $|\beta| \rightarrow 1$, allowing β to be actual numbers, we obtain

$$1 - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota| - \tau \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} (\iota-1) \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota| \geq v$$

which implies

$$\sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\iota-1)] \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota) |\ddot{a}_\iota| \leq 1 - v$$

where $\tau \geq 0$, $0 \leq v < 1$, $\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)$ is given by (1.7) and the sufficiency originates with Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.1 *If $\ddot{u} \in \ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$ then*

$$|\ddot{a}_\iota| \leq \frac{(1-v)}{[1+\tau(\iota-1)]\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}.$$

This holds true for the function

$$\ddot{u}(\beta) = \beta - \frac{(1-v)}{[1+\tau(\iota-1)]\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}\beta^\iota$$

$\tau \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq v < 1, \quad \Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)$ is given by (1.7).

3. Extreme Points

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\ddot{u}_1(\beta) = \beta$ and $\ddot{u}_\iota(\beta) = \beta - \frac{(1-v)}{[1+\tau(\iota-1)]\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}\beta^\iota, \quad \iota \geq 2$ for $\tau \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq v < 1$ and $\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)$ is given by (1.7). Subsequently, $\ddot{u}(\iota)$ belong to the class $\ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$ if and only if it can be represented as $\ddot{u}(\beta) = \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \ddot{u}_\iota(\beta)$, where $\lambda_\iota \geq 0$ and $\sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota = 1$ are located.*

Proof: If $\ddot{u}(\beta) = \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \ddot{u}_\iota(\beta)$ with $\lambda_\iota \geq 0$ and $\sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{u}(\beta) &= \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \ddot{u}_\iota(\beta) = \lambda_1 \ddot{u}_1(\beta) + \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \ddot{u}_\iota(\beta) \\ &= \left(1 - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota\right) \beta + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \left[\lambda_\iota \left(\beta - \frac{(1-v)}{[1+\tau(\iota-1)]\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}\beta^\iota\right)\right] \\ &= \beta - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-v)}{[1+\tau(\iota-1)]\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}\beta^\iota. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\tau(\iota-1)]\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}{1-v} \frac{1-v}{[1+\tau(\iota-1)]\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)} \lambda_\iota \\ = \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota = 1 - \lambda_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\ddot{u}(\beta) \in \ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$.

Conversely, suppose $\ddot{u}(\beta) \in \ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$. Then corollary 2.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a}_\iota &\leq \frac{(1-v)}{[1+\tau(\iota-1)]\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}, \quad \iota \geq 2 \\ \text{set } \lambda_n &= \frac{[1+\tau(\iota-1)]\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}{(1-v)} \ddot{a}_\iota, \quad \iota \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_\iota = 1 - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{u}(\mathfrak{B}) &= \mathfrak{B} - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \ddot{a}_\iota \mathfrak{B}^\iota = \mathfrak{B} - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \frac{(1-v)}{[1 + \tau(\iota-1)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)]} \\ &= \mathfrak{B} - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \mathfrak{B} + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \ddot{u}_\iota(\mathfrak{B}) \\ &= \mathfrak{B} - \left[1 - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \right] \mathfrak{B} + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \ddot{u}_\iota(\mathfrak{B}) \\ &= \lambda_1 \ddot{u}_1(\mathfrak{B}) + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \ddot{u}_\iota(\mathfrak{B}) = \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \lambda_\iota \ddot{u}_\iota(\mathfrak{B}). \end{aligned}$$

□

The theorem has been fully demonstrated.

4. Integral Means Inequalities

The notion of analytical functions being subordinated at once \ddot{g} and \ddot{h} having $\ddot{g}(0) = \ddot{h}(0)$, \ddot{g} then \ddot{g} is a subordinate of \ddot{h} , as shown through $\ddot{g} \prec \ddot{h}$, if a function known as analytical exists that $\omega(0) = 0$, $|\omega(\mathfrak{B})| < 1$ and $\ddot{g}(\mathfrak{B}) = \ddot{h}(\omega(\mathfrak{B}))$, for all $\mathfrak{B} \in \ddot{E}$.

Lemma 4.1 *Assuming that $\ddot{g}(\mathfrak{B}) \prec \ddot{h}(\mathfrak{B})$ and $\ddot{u}(\mathfrak{B})$ are both analytical functions contained in \ddot{E} , consequently*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g(r\theta^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |\ddot{u}(r\theta^{i\theta})|^p d\theta, \quad (0 \leq r < 1, \quad p > 0).$$

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose $\ddot{u}(\mathfrak{B}) \in \ddot{T}\ddot{S}_\lambda^m(\tau, v)$, $p > 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $0 \leq v < 1$ and $\ddot{u}_2(\mathfrak{B})$ is defined by*

$$\ddot{u}_2(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{B} - \frac{(1-v)}{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}.$$

Consequently, for $\mathfrak{B} = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq r < 1$, we find

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\ddot{u}(\mathfrak{B})|^p d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |\ddot{u}_2(\mathfrak{B})|^p d\theta. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof: For $\ddot{u}(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{B} - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \ddot{a}_\iota \mathfrak{B}^\iota$, (4.1) is similar to demonstrating that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathfrak{B} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \ddot{a}_n \mathfrak{B}^n \right|^p d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathfrak{B} - \frac{(1-v)}{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)} \mathfrak{B}^2 \right|^p d\theta, \quad (p > 0).$$

Using the subordination theorem of Little Wood (Lemma 4.1,) It would be adequate to demonstrate that

$$1 - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} |\ddot{a}_\iota| \mathfrak{B}^{\iota-1} \prec 1 - \frac{(1-v)}{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)} \mathfrak{B}. \quad (4.2)$$

Setting

$$1 - \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} |\ddot{a}_\iota| \mathfrak{B}^{\iota-1} \prec 1 - \frac{(1-v)}{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)} w(\mathfrak{B})$$

we obtain

$$w(\mathfrak{B}) = \frac{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}{(1-v)} \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \ddot{a}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota-1}.$$

Moreover, with $w(0) = 0$ is analytic in \ddot{E} . Additionally, it is sufficient to demonstrate that $w(\mathfrak{B})$ satisfies $|w(\mathfrak{B})| < 1$, $\mathfrak{B} \in \ddot{E}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} |w(\mathfrak{B})| &= \left| \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}{(1-v)} \ddot{a}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota-1} \right| \\ &\leq |\mathfrak{B}| \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}{(1-v)} |\ddot{a}_{\iota}| \leq |\mathfrak{B}| < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

As a result, the subordination (4.2) derives from the inequality (4.3), proving the theorem. \square

5. Subordination Results

Definition 5.1 A complex number sequence $\{\ddot{b}_{\iota}\}_{\iota=1}^{\infty}$ is perceived as subordinate (Subordination factor sequence) if, for every $\ddot{u}(\mathfrak{B}) = \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \ddot{a}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota}$, $\ddot{a}_1 = 1$ is convex, regular, and univalent in \ddot{E} . It concludes as

$$\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \ddot{b}_{\iota} \ddot{a}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota} \prec \ddot{u}(\mathfrak{B}), \mathfrak{B} \in \ddot{E}.$$

Lemma 5.1 Only in the case that Subordinating factor sequence $\{\ddot{b}_{\iota}\}_{\iota=1}^{\infty}$ corresponds to this

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \ddot{b}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota} \right\} > 0, \mathfrak{B} \in \ddot{E}.$$

Theorem 5.1 Considering the function $\ddot{u} \in \ddot{S}_{\lambda}^m(\tau, v)$ and $\ddot{g}(\mathfrak{B})$ in the classification of convex functions C , then

$$\frac{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{2[(1-v) + (1+\alpha)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)]} (\ddot{u} * \ddot{g})(\mathfrak{B}) \prec \ddot{g}(\mathfrak{B}) \quad (5.1)$$

where $\tau \geq 0$, $0 \leq v < 1$, with $\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)$ is given by (1.7)

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\ddot{u}(\mathfrak{B})\} > -\frac{(1-v) + (1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{(1+\alpha)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}; \mathfrak{B} \in \ddot{E}. \quad (5.2)$$

The constant $\frac{(1+\tau)c\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{2[(1-v) + (1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)]}$ is the best estimate.

Proof: Let $\ddot{u} \in \ddot{S}_{\lambda}^m(\tau, v)$ and suppose that $\ddot{g}(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{B} + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \ddot{c}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota} \in C$, then

$$\frac{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{2[(1-v) + (1+\alpha)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)]} (\ddot{u} * \ddot{g})(\mathfrak{B}) = \frac{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{2[(1-v) + (1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)]} \left(\mathfrak{B} + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \ddot{c}_{\iota} \ddot{a}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota} \right).$$

According to definition $\ddot{a}_1 = 1$, the subordination outcome is valid if

$$\left\{ \frac{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)}{2[(1-v) + (1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)]} \right\}_{\iota=1}^{\infty}$$

is an ordered sequence of subordinating factors where, in the context of $\ddot{a}_1 = 1$. \square

Lemma 5.2 *This can be translated into the inequality that follows*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{(1-v) + (1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)} \ddot{a}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota} \right\} > 0, \quad \mathfrak{B} \in \ddot{E}. \quad (5.3)$$

We now have $|\mathfrak{B}| = r < 1$, for which

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{(1-v) + (\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)} \ddot{a}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{(1-v) + (\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)} \mathfrak{B} + \frac{\sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} (\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2) \ddot{a}_{\iota} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota}}{(1-v) + (\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)} \right\} \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{(1-v) + (\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)} r - \frac{\sum_{\iota=1}^{\infty} (\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2) \ddot{a}_{\iota} r^{\iota}}{(1-v) + (\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)} \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{(1-v) + (\tau+1)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)} r - \frac{1-v}{(1-v) + (1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)} r \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Given that $1 + \tau(\iota - 1)\Phi^m(\lambda, \iota)$ is a function which grows as $\iota \geq 2$ and based on (2.1).

This establishes the subordination result (5.1), which is also supported by the inequality (5.3), as stated by Theorem 5.1. From (5.1), the inequality (5.2) is obtained by taking

$$\ddot{g}(\mathfrak{B}) = \frac{\mathfrak{B}}{1-\mathfrak{B}} = 2 + \sum_{\iota=2}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}^{\iota} \in C.$$

Now we consider the function $\ddot{u}(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{B} - \frac{(1-v)}{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)} \mathfrak{B}^2$ where $\tau \geq 0$, $0 \leq v < 1$ and clearly $\ddot{U} \in \ddot{S}_{\lambda}^m(\tau, v)$. For this function (5.1) becomes

$$\frac{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{2[(1-v) + (1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)]} \ddot{U}(\mathfrak{B}) \prec \frac{\mathfrak{B}}{1-\mathfrak{B}}.$$

It is easily verified that

$$\min \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{2[(1-v) + (1+\tau)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)]} \ddot{U}(\mathfrak{B}) \right\} \geq \frac{-1}{2}, \quad \mathfrak{B} \in \ddot{E}.$$

This show that the constant $\frac{(1+\alpha)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)}{2[(1-\beta) + (1+\alpha)\Phi^m(\lambda, 2)]}$ is best possible.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their sincere thanks to the respected referee (s) and the editor for their valuable comments, which helped them to improve the presentation of the article. Also, thanks to Dr. P. T. Reddy for his helpful suggestions.

Competing interests

The author declares no competing interests.

References

1. Deniz, O and Ozkan, Y. Subclasses of analytic functions defined by new differential operator, Acta.Uni.Apul. 40, 85-95(2014). doi:10.17114/j.aa.2014.40.07
2. Libera, R.J. Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (16), 755-758, (1965). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1965-0178131-2>

3. Littlewood, J.E. *On inequalities in theory of functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc.,(23),481-519,(1925),
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/plms/s2-23.1.481>
4. Murugusundaramoorthy, G. *Subordination results for spirallike functions associated with Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function*, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 23(2), 97-103, (2012). doi:10.1080/10652469.2011.562501
5. Silverman, H. *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51(1), 109-116, (1975).
<http://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1975-0369678-0>
6. Wilf, H.S. *Subordinating factor sequence for convex maps of the unit circle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12, 689-693, (1961).
<http://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1961-0125214-5>

A. Shashikala,
Department of Mathematics,
Aditya College of Engineering and Technology
Kamakshipura- 560 089, Bengaluru North,
Karnataka, India.
orcid: 0000-0003-1918-6127
E-mail address: shashikalachonu@gmail.com

and

B.Venkateswarlu (Corresponding author),
Department of Mathematics,
GSS, GITAM University
Doddaballapur- 562 163, Bengaluru North,
Karnataka, India.
orcid: 00000-0003-3669-350X
E-mail address: bvlmaths@gmail.com

and

Sujatha,
Department of Mathematics,
GSS, GITAM University
Doddaballapur- 562 163, Bengaluru North,
Karnataka, India.
orcid: 0000-0002-2109-3328
E-mail address: sujathavaishnavy@gmail.com