



## Sliding Contact Problem with Wear for Thermoviscoelastic Material

N. Bensebaa\* and N. Lebri

**ABSTRACT:** We study a quasistatic sliding contact problem with wear between a viscoelastic with long memory and a rigid moving foundation. We model the wear with a version of Archard's law. Thermal effects is taken into account. We establish a variational formulation of the model and we prove the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution. The proofs are based on the nonlinear equations involving the monotone operators, the classical result of nonlinear first order evolution inequalities, and the fixed-point arguments. We also establish the dependent results with respect to certain data.

**Key Words:** Thermo-viscoelastic material, long memory, sliding contact, wear, evolution equation, weak solution, fixed point.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Notation and Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Mechanical and Variational Formulations</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4 Existence and Uniqueness Result</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5 Convergence Results</b>	<b>10</b>

### 1. Introduction

Problems related to thermoelastic contact arise naturally in many situations, especially those involving industrial processes when two or more materials may come into contact or may lose contact as a result of thermoelastic expansion or contraction. Such thermoelastic phenomena can be divided into three parts: static, quasistatic and dynamic.

The quasistatic case with various boundary conditions has been widely studied by [14, 15]. A quasistatic contact problem for viscoelastic material involving the thermal effects was studied in [2, 3, 4, 5].

Contact problems using viscoelastic with long memory have been studied in [1, 11, 8] and contact problems for viscoelastic material with long memory and with electric effects was studied in [10, 12, 13]. There are various models of contact with thermoviscoelastic and thermo-elastic-viscoplastic materials studied, associated with a large number of the boundary conditions, in this work, we are interested of the contact with one of the boundary conditions which is the wear, it is one of the processes that reduces the lifetime of modern machine elements. It represents the unwanted removal of materials from surfaces of contacting bodies occurring in relative motion. Wear arises when a hard rough surface digs into it, and its asperities plough a series of grooves.

The aim of this paper is to make a coupling of a thermo-viscoelastic problem with long memory and a sliding contact problem with wear. The studied problem is in process quasistatic and we model the material behavior with a thermo-viscoelastic constitutive law with long memory and we assume the contact is maintained during the movement and it is a sliding contact with wear which is modeled by a version of Archard's law. We drive a variational formulation and prove the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution, and finally we study the dependence of the solution with respect to the data.

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we present notation and some preliminaries. The model is described in section 3 where the variational formulation is given. In section 4, we present our

---

\* Corresponding autor.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 74M15, 74F05, 74H20, 74H25.

Submitted September 21, 2025. Published December 19, 2025

existence and uniqueness result and the proof is based on the nonlinear equation involving the monotone operator, on classical result of nonlinear first order evolution inequalities and the fixed point arguments. In section 5, we study the dependence of the solution with respect to certain data.

## 2. Notation and Preliminaries

In this section we present some notation which we shall use in the study of a sliding contact problem and preliminary material.

We denote by  $\mathbb{S}^d$  the space of second order symmetric tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We recall that the inner products and the corresponding norms on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbb{S}^d$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} u.v &= u_i v_i, & \|v\| &= (v.v)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \sigma.\tau &= \sigma_{ij} \tau_{ij}, & \|\tau\| &= (\tau.\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \forall \sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{S}^d \end{aligned}$$

Here and everywhere in this paper the indices  $i, j$  run between 1 to  $d$ , the summation over repeated indices is used and the index which follows a comma represents the partial derivative. We use the classical notation for  $L^p$  and Sobolev spaces associated to  $\Omega$  and  $\Gamma$ . We use the following spaces :

$$\begin{aligned} H &= L^2(\Omega)^d = \{v = (v_i) / v_i \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \\ \mathcal{H} &= \{\sigma = (\sigma_{ij}) / \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji} \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \\ H_1 &= \{u = (u_i) / \varepsilon(u) \in \mathcal{H}\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_1 &= \{\sigma \in \mathcal{H} / Div\sigma \in H\}, \end{aligned}$$

where, the spaces  $H$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $H_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_1$  are real Hilbert spaces endowed with the following canonical inner products

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v)_H &= \int_{\Omega} u.v dx, & (\sigma, \tau)_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\Omega} \sigma.\tau dx, \\ (u, v)_{H_1} &= (u, v)_H + (\varepsilon(u), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}}, & (\sigma, \tau)_{\mathcal{H}_1} &= (\sigma, \tau)_{\mathcal{H}} + (Div\sigma, Div\tau)_H, \end{aligned}$$

and the associated norms  $\|\cdot\|_H$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{H_1}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ , respectively. Here and below we use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(v) &= (\varepsilon_{ij}(v)), & \varepsilon_{ij}(v) &= \frac{1}{2}(v_{i,j} + v_{j,i}) & \forall v \in H^1(\Omega)^d, \\ Div\tau &= (\tau_{i,j,j}) & \forall \tau \in \mathcal{H}_1. \end{aligned}$$

For every element  $v \in H_1$  we also write  $v$  for the trace of  $v$  on  $\Gamma$  and we denote by  $v_\nu$  and  $v_\tau$  the normal and tangential components of  $v$  on  $\Gamma$  given by  $v_\nu = v.\nu$ ,  $v_\tau = v - v_\nu\nu$ . We also denote by  $\sigma_\nu$  and  $\sigma_\tau$  the normal and the tangential traces of a function  $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_1$ , and we recall that when  $\sigma$  is a regular function then  $\sigma_\nu = (\sigma\nu).\nu$ ,  $\sigma_\tau = \sigma\nu - \sigma_\nu\nu$ .

Let  $T > 0$ . For every real Banach space  $X$  we use the notation  $C(0, T; X)$  and  $C^1(0, T; X)$  for the space of continuous and continuously differentiable functions from  $[0, T]$  to  $X$ , respectively;  $C(0, T; X)$  is a real Banach space with the norm  $\|f\|_{C(0, T; X)} = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|f(t)\|_X$ , while  $C^1(0, T; X)$  is a real Banach space

with the norm  $\|f\|_{C^1(0, T; X)} = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|f(t)\|_X + \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\dot{f}(t)\|_X$ . Finally, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we use the standard notation for the Lebesgue spaces  $L^p(0, T; X)$  and for the Sobolev spaces  $W^{k,p}(0, T; X)$ . Moreover, if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are real Hilbert spaces then  $X_1 \times X_2$  denotes the product Hilbert space endowed with the canonical inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{X_1 \times X_2}$ .

Let  $X$  be a real Hilbert space, and let  $A : X \rightarrow X$  and  $B : X \rightarrow X$  be given nonlinear operators, let  $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$  be a continuous function and let  $u_0$  be the initial data. we have the following nonlinear equation: find a function  $u : [0, T] \rightarrow X$  such that

$$Au(t) + Gu(t) = f_\eta(t) \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(0) = u_0. \tag{2.2}$$

We have the following existence and uniqueness result, can be found in [18].

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $X$  be a real Hilbert space and assume that  $A : X \rightarrow X$  is a strongly monotone Lipschitz continuous operator and  $B : X \rightarrow X$  is a Lipschitz continuous operator. Then, for each  $f \in C(0, T; X)$  and  $u_0 \in X$  there exists a unique solution  $u \in C^1([0, T]; X)$  which satisfies (2.1) – (2.2).*

### 3. Mechanical and Variational Formulations

We consider a thermo-viscoelastic body which occupies a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d = 2, 3$ ) with a Lipschitz continuous boundary  $\Gamma$  that is divided into three disjoint measurable parts  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$ , such that  $\text{meas } \Gamma_1 > 0$ . Let  $T > 0$  and let  $[0, T]$  be time interval of interest. The body is clamped on  $\Gamma_1 \times (0, T)$ , so the displacement field vanishes there. A surface tractions of density  $f_2$  act on  $\Gamma_2 \times (0, T)$ , and a body force of density  $f_0$  acts in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ . The contact between the body and the moving rigid foundation, over the contact surface  $\Gamma_3$ , is a sliding contact with wear and is maintained during the movement. Moreover the process is quasistatic, i.e. the inertial terms are neglected in the equation of motion. We use a thermo-viscoelastic constitutive law with long memory given by

$$\sigma = \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}) + \mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u) + \int_0^t M(t-s)\varepsilon(u(s))ds - \mathcal{M}\theta. \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\sigma$  denotes the stress tensor,  $u$  represents the displacement field,  $\dot{u}$  is the velocity,  $\varepsilon(u)$  is the small strain tensor, and  $M$  is relaxation fourth order tensor. Here  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are nonlinear operators describing the purely viscous and the elastic properties of the material, respectively.  $\theta$  is the temperature field and  $\mathcal{M} = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^d$  is the thermal expansion tensor. We use dots for derivatives with respect to the time variable  $t$ . The constitutive law (3.1) became thermo-viscoelastic constitutive law when  $M = 0$  and its given by

$$\sigma = \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}) + \mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u) - \mathcal{M}\theta.$$

The evolution of the temperature field  $\theta$  is governed by the heat equation (see [2]), obtained from the conservation of energy which has the following differential equation

$$\dot{\theta} - \text{div}(K\nabla\theta) = q - \mathcal{M}\nabla\dot{u},$$

where  $K = (k_{ij})$  represents the thermal conductivity tensor,  $\text{div}(K\nabla\theta) = (k_{ij}\theta_{,i})_{,i}$  and  $q$  represents the density of volume heat sources.

The associated temperature boundary condition on  $\Gamma_3$  is given by

$$k_{ij}\theta_{,i}n_j = -k_e(\theta - \theta_F) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, T),$$

where  $\theta_F$  is the temperature of the foundation,  $k_e$  is the heat exchange coefficient between the body and the obstacle.

Thus, the classical formulation of the mechanical problem corresponding to the quasistatic contact of a viscoelastic material with a long memory, taking into account the heat generation is as follows.

**Problème P.** Find a displacement field  $u : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , a stress field  $\sigma : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow S^d$  and a temperature field  $\theta : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\sigma = \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}) + \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(u)) + \int_0^t M(t-s)\varepsilon(u)ds - \mathcal{M}\theta \quad (3.2)$$

$$\text{Div}\sigma + f_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\dot{\theta} - \text{div}(K\nabla\theta) = q - \mathcal{M}\nabla\dot{u} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (3.4)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, T), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\sigma\nu = f_2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 \times (0, T), \quad (3.6)$$

$$-\sigma_\nu = \beta |u_\nu| \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, T), \quad (3.7)$$

$$u_\tau = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, T), \quad (3.8)$$

$$k_{ij}\theta_{,i}n_j = -k_e(\theta - \theta_F) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3 \times (0, T), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\theta = 0 \quad \text{on } (\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) \times (0, T), \quad (3.10)$$

$$u(0) = u_0 \text{ and } \theta(0) = \theta_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.11)$$

We now provide some comments on equations and conditions (3.2) – (3.11).

First, (3.2) represents the thermo-viscoelastic constitutive law with long memory. (3.3) represents the equilibrium equations for the stress field. (3.4) represents the evolution differential equation for the temperature. (3.5) and (3.6) represent the displacement and traction boundary conditions, respectively. Conditions (3.7) and (3.8) are the sliding contact conditions with the wear. Condition (3.9) represents the temperature boundary condition. (3.10) means that the temperature vanishes on  $(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2) \times (0, T)$ . Denoting by  $u_0, \theta_0$  the given initial displacement field and initial temperature field, respectively. To simplify the notation, we do not indicate explicitly the dependence of various functions on the variables  $x \in \Omega \cup \Gamma$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ . To obtain a variational formulation of the problem (3.2) – (3.11), we need additional notations. Let us consider the closed subspace of  $H_1$  defined by

$$V = \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega)^d / v = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, v_\tau = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_3 \right\},$$

and

$$E = \left\{ \gamma \in H^1(\Omega) / \gamma = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \right\}.$$

denote the closed subspace of  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

Since  $\text{meas}(\Gamma_1) > 0$ , the following Korn's inequality holds:

$$\|\varepsilon(v)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq C_k \|v\|_{H_1} \quad \forall v \in V, \quad (3.12)$$

where  $C_k > 0$  is a constant which depends only on  $\Omega$  and  $\Gamma_1$ . On the space  $V$ , we consider the inner product and the associated norm given by

$$(u, v)_V = (\varepsilon(u), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \|v\|_V = \|\varepsilon(v)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (3.13)$$

It follows from Korn's inequality that  $\|\cdot\|_{H_1}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_V$  are equivalent norms on  $V$ . Therefore  $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$  is a real Hilbert space. Moreover, by the Sobolev trace theorem and (3.13), there exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  depending only on the domain  $\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_3$  such that

$$\|v\|_{L^2(\Gamma_3)^d} \leq C_0 \|v\|_V \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (3.14)$$

In the study of the mechanical problem (3.2) – (3.11), we assume that the viscosity operator  $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^d$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) \text{ There exists a constant } L_{\mathcal{A}} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \|\mathcal{A}(x, \varepsilon_1) - \mathcal{A}(x, \varepsilon_2)\| \leq L_{\mathcal{A}} \|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2\| \quad \forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{S}^d, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ (b) \text{ There exists a constant } m_{\mathcal{A}} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ (\mathcal{A}(x, \varepsilon_1) - \mathcal{A}(x, \varepsilon_2)) \cdot (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \geq m_{\mathcal{A}} \|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2\|^2, \quad \forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{S}^d, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ (c) \ x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, \varepsilon) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable on } \Omega \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}^d, \\ (d) \ x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, 0) \in \mathcal{H}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.15)$$

The elasticity operator  $\mathcal{G} : \Omega \times S^d \rightarrow S^d$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) \text{ There exists a constant } L_{\mathcal{G}} > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \|\mathcal{G}(x, \varepsilon_1) - \mathcal{G}(x, \varepsilon_2)\| \leq L_{\mathcal{G}} \|\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2\| \\ \forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in S^d, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega. \\ (b) \text{ The mapping } x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(x, \varepsilon) \text{ is Lebesgue measurable on } \Omega, \text{ for any } \varepsilon \in S^d. \\ (c) \text{ The mapping } x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(x, 0) \in \mathcal{H}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.16)$$

The relaxation operator satisfies

$$M \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H}_\infty). \quad (3.17)$$

Where  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  is the space of fourth order tensor fields

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty = \{\zeta = (\zeta_{ijkl}) / \zeta_{ijkl} = \zeta_{jikl} = \zeta_{klij} \in L^\infty(\Omega) \mid 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq d\},$$

which is a real Banach space with the norm

$$\|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq d} \|\zeta_{ijkl}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$$

and, moreover,

$$\|\zeta\tau\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq d \|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \|\tau\|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{H}_\infty, \tau \in \mathcal{H}$$

The densities of body forces and surface tractions satisfy

$$f_0 \in C(0, T; H), \quad f_2 \in C(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_2)^d), \quad (3.18)$$

The function  $\beta$  has the following properties

$$\beta \in L^\infty(\Gamma_3) \quad \beta(x) \geq \beta_* > 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_3. \quad (3.19)$$

The thermal tensors and the heat source density satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M} = (m_{ij}), & m_{ij} = m_{ji} \in L^\infty(\Omega). \\ K = (k_{ij}), & k_{ij} = k_{ji} \in L^\infty(\Omega), k_{ij}\zeta_i\zeta_i \geq c_k\zeta_i\zeta_i, \\ & \text{for some } c_k > 0, \text{ for all } (\zeta_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d. \\ q \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

Finally, the boundary and initial data verify

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &\in V, & \theta_0 &\in E, \\ \theta_F &\in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_3)), & k_e &\in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^+). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

We define the functions  $f : [0, T] \rightarrow V$  and  $q : [0, T] \rightarrow W$  by

$$(f(t), v) = \int_{\Omega} f_0(t) \cdot v dx + \int_{\Gamma_3} f_2(t) \cdot v da. \quad \forall v \in V, \forall t \in [0, T], \quad (3.22)$$

Next, we denote by  $j : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the functional defined by

$$j(u, v) = \int_{\Gamma_3} \beta |u_\nu| \cdot v_\nu da \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (3.23)$$

We note that condition (3.18) implies

$$f \in C(0, T; V). \quad (3.24)$$

Using standard arguments, we obtain the variational formulation of the mechanical problem (3.2) – (3.11).

**Problem PV.** Find a displacement field  $u : [0, T] \rightarrow V$  and a temperature field  $\theta : [0, T] \rightarrow E$  such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u(t)), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + \left( \int_0^t M(t-s) \varepsilon(u(t)) ds, \varepsilon(v) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &- (\mathcal{M}\theta(t), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + j(\dot{u}(t), v) = (f(t), v)_V. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\dot{\theta}(t) + K\theta(t) = R\dot{u}(t) + Q(t) \quad \text{in } E' \quad (3.26)$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta_0, \quad (3.27)$$

where  $K : E \rightarrow E'$ ,  $R : V \rightarrow E'$  and  $Q : [0, T] \rightarrow E'$  are given by

$$(K\tau, \omega)_{E' \times E} = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\Omega} k_{ij} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_i} dx + \int_{\Gamma_3} k_e \tau \omega da,$$

$$(Rv, \omega)_{E' \times E} = - \int_{\Omega} m_{ij} \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \omega dx,$$

$$(Q(t), \omega)_{E' \times E} = \int_{\Gamma_3} k_e \theta_F(t) \omega da + \int_{\Omega} q(t) \omega dx,$$

for all  $v \in V, \tau, \omega \in E$ .

#### 4. Existence and Uniqueness Result

Now, we propose our existence and uniqueness result.

**Theorem 4.1** *Assume that (3.15) – (3.21) hold. Then, if  $\|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} < \frac{m_A}{C_0^2}$  the Problem PV has a unique solution which satisfies*

$$u \in C^1([0, T], V), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\theta \in W^{1,2}(0, T; E') \cap L^2(0, T; E) \cap C(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \quad (4.2)$$

The functions  $u$  and  $\theta$  which satisfy (3.25) – (3.27) are called a weak solution of the contact problem  $P$ . We conclude that, under the assumptions (3.15) – (3.21), the mechanical problem (3.2) – (3.11) has a unique weak solution satisfying (4.1) – (4.2). The regularity of the weak solution is given by (4.1) – (4.2) and in term of stresses,

$$\sigma \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H}_1). \quad (4.3)$$

Indeed, it follows from (3.25) that  $Div \sigma(t) + f_0 = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and therefore the regularity (4.1) and (4.2) of  $u$  and  $\theta$ , combined with (3.15) – (3.21) implies (4.3).

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is carried out in several steps that we prove in what follows, everywhere in this section we suppose that assumptions of Theorem 4.1 hold, and we consider that  $C$  is a generic positive constant which is independent of time and whose value may change from one occurrence to another.

Let  $\eta \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  be given, in the first step we consider the following variational problem.

**Problem  $PV_\eta$ .** Find a displacement field  $u_\eta : [0, T] \rightarrow V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}_\eta(t)), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u_\eta(t)), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\eta(t), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} \\ + j(\dot{u}_\eta(t), v) = (f(t), v - \dot{u}_\eta)_V. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

$$u_\eta(0) = u_0. \quad (4.5)$$

We have the following result for the problem.

**Lemma 4.1** *There exists  $\beta_0$  depending only on  $\Omega, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_3$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  such that if  $\|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} < \beta_0$ , the problem  $PV_\eta$  has a unique solution  $u_\eta \in C^1([0, T], V)$ .*

**Proof:** We define the operators  $A : V \rightarrow V$ ,  $G : V \rightarrow V$  and the function  $f_\eta : [0, T] \rightarrow V$  by

$$(Au, v)_V = (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(u), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + j(u, v) \quad (4.6)$$

$$(Gu, v)_V = (\mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$(f_\eta, v)_V = (f(t), v)_V - (\eta, \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (4.8)$$

for all  $u, v \in V$  et  $t \in [0, T]$ .

We use (4.6) and (3.15)(a) to find that

$$\|Au_1 - Au_2\| \leq \left( L_{\mathcal{A}} + C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|_V, \quad (4.9)$$

which shows that  $A$  is Lipschitz continuous.

It follows from (4.6) and (3.15) (b) that

$$(Au_1 - Au_2, u_1 - u_2)_V \geq \left( m_{\mathcal{A}} - C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|_V^2, \quad (4.10)$$

which shows that  $A$  is a strongly monotone operator on  $V$ , if  $\|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} < \frac{m_{\mathcal{A}}}{C_0^2}$ .

From (3.16)(a) and (4.7), we have

$$\|Gu_1 - Gu_2\| \leq L_G \|u_1 - u_2\|_V \quad (4.11)$$

i.e, that  $G$  is a Lipschitz continuous operator on  $V$

From (4.9) and (4.10)  $A$  is a strongly monotone and Lipschitz continuous operator then from (4.11)  $G$  is a Lipschitz continuous operator. We use (3.18), we find that the function  $f$  defined by (3.22) satisfies  $f \in C([0, T], V)$  and keeping in mind that  $\eta \in C([0, T], \mathcal{H})$ , we deduce by (4.8) that  $f_\eta \in C([0, T], V)$ , and  $u_0 \in V$  and it follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists a unique function  $u_\eta \in C^1([0, T], V)$  such that

$$A\dot{u}_\eta(t) + Gu_\eta(t) = f_\eta(t) \quad (4.12)$$

$$u_\eta(0) = u_0. \quad (4.13)$$

We use (4.6), (4.7), (4.12) and (4.13) to see that  $u_\eta$  is the unique solution to  $PV_\eta$ .  $\square$

Let  $u_\eta : [0, T] \rightarrow V$  be the function defined by

$$u = \int_0^t v_\eta(s) ds + u_0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.14)$$

In the second step, let  $\eta \in C([0, T], \mathcal{H})$ , we use the displacement field  $u_\eta$  obtained in lemma 4.1 and we consider the following variational problem.

**Problem  $PV_\theta$ .** Find the temperature field  $\theta_\eta : [0, T] \rightarrow E$ . such that

$$\dot{\theta}_\eta(t) + K\theta_\eta(t) = R\dot{u}_\eta(t) + Q(t), \quad (4.15)$$

$$\theta_\eta(0) = \theta_0. \quad (4.16)$$

We have the following result.

**Lemma 4.2**  $PV_\theta$  has a unique solution  $\theta_\eta$  which satisfies the regularity (4.2). Moreover, there exists  $C > 0$ , such that

$$\|\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|\dot{u}_1(s) - \dot{u}_2(s)\|_V^2 ds. \quad (4.17)$$

**Proof:** The proof of Lemma 4.2 is done using a classical result on first order evolution equation given in [17], as in [5].

We denote  $\theta_{\eta_i} = \theta_i$  and  $\dot{u}_{\eta_i} = \dot{u}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we use (4.15) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \dot{\theta}_1(t) - \dot{\theta}_2(t), \theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t) \right)_{E' \times E} + (K\theta_1(t) - K\theta_2(t), \theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t))_{E' \times E} \\ & = (R\dot{u}_1(t) - R\dot{u}_2(t), \theta_2(t) - \theta_1(t))_{E' \times E}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

We integrate (4.18) with respect to time and we use the coercivity of  $K$  and the Lipschitz continuity of  $R : V \rightarrow E'$  to deduce that (4.17) is satisfied.  $\square$

Finally, we consider the operator  $\Lambda : C(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  defined by

$$\Lambda\eta(t) = \int_0^t M(t-s) \varepsilon(u_\eta(s)) ds - \mathcal{M}\theta_\eta(t). \quad (4.19)$$

Here, for every  $\eta \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ ,  $u_\eta$  and  $\theta_\eta$  represent the displacement field and the temperature field which obtained in Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 respectively. We have the following result.

**Lemma 4.3** *The operator  $\Lambda$  has a unique fixed point  $\eta^* \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  such that  $\Lambda\eta^* = \eta^*$ .*

**Proof:** Let now  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ . We use the notation  $u_{\eta_i} = u_i$ ,  $\dot{u}_{\eta_i} = v_{\eta_i} = \dot{v}_i$  and  $\theta_{\eta_i} = \theta_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Using (3.17), (3.13), (3.20) and (4.19) to deduce that

$$\|\Lambda\eta_1(t) - \Lambda\eta_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq C \left( \int_0^t \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|_V^2 ds + \|\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)\|_E^2 \right) \quad (4.20)$$

since

$$u_i(t) = \int_0^t v_i(s) ds + u_0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

we have

$$\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_V^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|_V^2 ds. \quad (4.21)$$

Moreover, from (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(v_1) - \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(v_2), \varepsilon(v_1) - \varepsilon(v_2))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u_1) - \mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u_2), \varepsilon(v_1) - \varepsilon(v_2))_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & + (\eta_1 - \eta_2, \varepsilon(v_1) - \varepsilon(v_2))_{\mathcal{H}} = j(v_2, v_1 - v_2) - j(v_1, v_1 - v_2). \end{aligned}$$

We use the previous equality, the assumptions (3.15) (a), (3.16) (a), (3.25) and (3.14) to find that

$$\|v_1 - v_2\|_V^2 \leq C \left( \|u_1 - u_2\|_V^2 + \|\eta_1 - \eta_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right).$$

Integrating this inequality with respect to time, we find

$$\int_0^t \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|_V^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \left( \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|_V^2 + \|\eta_1(s) - \eta_2(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right) ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.22)$$

Next, we use (4.21), to deduce

$$\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_V^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|_V^2 ds + C \int_0^t \|\eta_1(s) - \eta_2(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.23)$$

Then, we apply Gronwall's inequality to the previous inequality yields

$$\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_V^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|\eta_1(s) - \eta_2(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Now, it follows from (4.22) that

$$\int_0^t \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|_V^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \|\eta_1(s) - \eta_2(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.24)$$

and from (4.17) and (4.24) we have

$$\|\theta_1(t) - \theta_2(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_0^t \|\eta_1(s) - \eta_2(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds. \quad (4.25)$$



We now conclude from (4.21), (4.24) and (4.25) that

$$\|\Lambda\eta_1(t) - \Lambda\eta_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|\eta_1(s) - \eta_2(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 ds$$

Reiterating this inequality  $m$  times leads to

$$\|\Lambda^m \eta_1 - \Lambda^m \eta_2\|_{C(0,T;\mathcal{H})}^2 \leq \frac{C^m T^m}{m!} \|\eta_1 - \eta_2\|_{C(0,T;\mathcal{H})}^2.$$

For  $m$  sufficiently large,  $\Lambda^m$  is a contraction on the Banach space  $C(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ , and so  $\Lambda$  has a unique fixed point.  $\square$

Now, we have all the ingredients needs to prove Theorem 4.1.

**Proof: Existence.** Let  $\eta^* \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  be the fixed point of  $\Lambda$  defined by (4.18), and let  $u_{\eta^*}$  and  $\theta_{\eta^*}$  the solutions of the problems  $PV_{\eta}$  and  $PV_{\theta}$  respectively for  $\eta = \eta^*$  and denote

$$u = u_{\eta^*}, \quad \dot{u} = \dot{u}_{\eta^*}, \quad \theta = \theta_{\eta^*}, \quad (4.26)$$

Let  $\sigma : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  defined by

$$\sigma(t) = \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)) + \mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u(t)) + \int_0^t M(t-s)\varepsilon(u(t)) ds - \mathcal{M}\theta(t), \quad (4.27)$$

We prove that  $(u, \theta)$  satisfies (3.25) – (3.27) and the regularity (4.1) – (4.2). Indeed, we write (4.4) for  $\eta = \eta^*$  and use (4.26) to obtain (3.25). Indeed, we write (4.4) for  $\eta = \eta^*$  and we use (4.26) to find

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u(t)), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\eta^*(t), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & + j(\dot{u}(t), v) = (f(t), v - \dot{u})_V. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Equality  $\Lambda\eta^* = \eta^*$  combined with (4.18) show that

$$\eta^* = \int_0^t M(t-s)\varepsilon(u) ds - \mathcal{M}\theta. \quad (4.29)$$

We substitute (4.29) in (4.28) to conclude that (3.25) is satisfied.

Now, we write (4.15) for  $\eta = \eta^*$  and use (4.26) to find that (3.26) is also satisfied. Next, (3.27) and the regularities (4.1) – (4.2) follow from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, since  $(u, \theta)$  satisfies (4.1) – (4.2), it follows from (4.27) that

$$\sigma \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \quad (4.30)$$

we choose  $v = \pm\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)^d$  in (3.25), we use (4.27) and (3.22) to obtain

$$\text{Div}\sigma(t) = -f_0(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

then, we use (3.18) and (4.30) to find

$$\sigma \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H}_1).$$

**Uniqueness.** The uniqueness of the solution is a consequence of the uniqueness of the fixed point of the operator  $\Lambda$  defined by (4.18) and the unique solvability of the problems  $PV_{\eta}$  and  $PV_{\theta}$ .  $\square$

### 5. Convergence Results

In this section we study the dependence of the solution to problem  $PV$  when we introduce the perturbation of certain data. We suppose that the assumptions (3.15) – (3.21) satisfy. Moreover, we assume that  $\|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} < \beta_0$ , where  $\beta_0 = \frac{m_A}{C_0^2}$ . Let  $(u, \theta)$  the solution of  $PV$  which obtained by the Theorem 4.1, for every  $\rho > 0$ , let  $M_\rho$  and  $f_\rho$  the perturbations of  $M$  and  $f$ , respectively, which satisfy (3.17) and (3.24).

Under these assumptions, we consider the following variational problem.

**Problem  $PV_\rho$ .** Find a displacement field  $u_\rho : [0, T] \rightarrow V$  and a temperature field  $\theta_\rho : [0, T] \rightarrow E$  such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u_\rho(t)), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + \left( \int_0^t M_\rho(t-s) \varepsilon(u_\rho(t)) ds, \varepsilon(v) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & - (\mathcal{M}\theta_\rho(t), \varepsilon(v))_{\mathcal{H}} + j(\dot{u}_\rho(t), v) = (f_\rho(t), v)_V. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_\rho(t) + K\theta_\rho(t) = R\dot{u}_\rho(t) + Q(t), \quad \forall \varphi, \phi \in W, \quad (5.2)$$

$$u_\rho(0) = u_0, \quad \theta_\rho(0) = \theta_0. \quad (5.3)$$

Assume that

$$\|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} < \frac{m_A}{C_0^2}.$$

We deduce from Theorem 4.1 that for each  $\rho > 0$ , the problem  $PV_\rho$  has a unique solution  $(u_\rho, \theta_\rho)$  satisfying  $u_\rho \in C^1([0, T], V)$  and  $\theta \in W^{1,2}(0, T; E') \cap L^2(0, T; E) \cap C(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ .

Let consider  $M_\rho$ ,  $M$  and  $f_\rho$ ,  $f$  satisfy the following assumptions

$$M_\rho \rightarrow M \text{ in } C(0, T, \mathcal{H}_\infty) \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.4)$$

$$f_\rho \rightarrow f \text{ in } C(0, T, V) \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.5)$$

We have the following convergence result.

**Theorem 5.1** *Assume that (5.4) – (5.5) hold. Then the solution  $(u_\rho, \theta_\rho)$  of the problem  $PV_\rho$  converges to the solution  $(u, \theta)$  of problem  $PV$ .*

$$u_\rho \rightarrow u \text{ in } C^1(0, T; V) \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\theta_\rho \rightarrow \theta \text{ in } C(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ as } \rho \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.7)$$

**Proof:** Let  $\rho > 0$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ , we use  $v = \dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)$  in (5.1) and  $v = \dot{u}(t) - \dot{u}_\rho(t)$  in (3.25), then we additional the tow inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)), \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}} + (\mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u_\rho(t)) - \mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u(t)), \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & + \left( \int_0^t (M_\rho(t-s) \varepsilon(u_\rho(t)) - M(t-s) \varepsilon(u(t))) ds, \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ & - (\mathcal{M}\theta_\rho(t) - \mathcal{M}\theta(t), \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}} - j(\dot{u}(t), \dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)) + j(\dot{u}_\rho(t), \dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)) \\ & = (f_\rho(t) - f(t), \dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Moreover, from (3.15), it follows that for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$

$$(\mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \mathcal{A}\varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)), \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}} \geq m_A \|\dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)\|_V^2. \quad (5.9)$$

Using (3.16), to obtain

$$- (\mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u_\rho(t)) - \mathcal{G}\varepsilon(u(t)), \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)))_{\mathcal{H}} \leq L_G \|u_\rho(t) - u(t)\|_V \|\dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)\|_V, \quad (5.10)$$

Now, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_0^t (M_\rho(t-s)\varepsilon(u_\rho) - M(t-s)\varepsilon(u)) ds, \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \left( \int_0^t M_\rho(t-s)(\varepsilon(u_\rho) - \varepsilon(u)) ds, \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &+ \left( \int_0^t (M_\rho(t-s) - M(t-s))\varepsilon(u) ds, \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)) \right)_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_0^t (M_\rho(t-s)\varepsilon(u_\rho(t)) - M(t-s)\varepsilon(u(t))) ds, \varepsilon(\dot{u}_\rho(t)) - \varepsilon(\dot{u}(t)) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \\ & \max_{s \in [0, T]} \|M_\rho(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \left( \int_0^t \|u_\rho(s) - u(s)\|_V ds \right) \|\dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)\|_V \\ & + \max_{s \in [0, T]} \|M_\rho(s) - M(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \left( \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_V ds \right) \|\dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)\|_V. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

We use the definition of  $j$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} & j(\dot{u}, \dot{u}_\rho - \dot{u}) - j(\dot{u}_\rho, \dot{u}_\rho - \dot{u}) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_3} \beta |\dot{u}_\nu| (\dot{u}_{\rho\nu} - \dot{u}_\nu) da - \int_{\Gamma_3} \beta |\dot{u}_{\rho\nu}| (\dot{u}_{\rho\nu} - \dot{u}_\nu) da \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_3} \beta (|\dot{u}_\nu| - |\dot{u}_{\rho\nu}|) (\dot{u}_{\rho\nu} - \dot{u}_\nu) da \\ &\leq C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} \|\dot{u}_\rho - \dot{u}\|_V^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Finally, we note that

$$(f_\rho(t) - f(t), \dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)) \leq \delta(\rho) \|\dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)\|_V, \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$\delta(\rho) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|f_\rho(t) - f(t)\|_V \quad (5.14)$$

Substituting (5.9), (5.10), (5.11), (5.12) and (5.13) in (5.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( m_{\mathcal{A}} - C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} \right) \|\dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)\|_V &\leq L_{\mathcal{G}} \|u_\rho(t) - u(t)\|_V \\ &+ \max_{s \in [0, T]} \|M_\rho(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \left( \int_0^t \|u_\rho(s) - u(s)\|_V ds \right) \\ &+ \max_{s \in [0, T]} \|M_\rho(s) - M(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \left( \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_V ds \right) \\ &+ c \|\theta_\rho(t) - \theta(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \delta(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.26), (5.2) and (3.20), we have

$$\|\theta_\rho(t) - \theta(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|\dot{u}_\rho(s) - \dot{u}(s)\|_V^2 ds. \quad (5.15)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( m_{\mathcal{A}} - C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)} \right) \|\dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)\|_V &\leq d \left( \|u_\rho(t) - u(t)\|_V + \int_0^t \|u_\rho(s) - u(s)\|_V ds \right) \\ &+ \max_{s \in [0, T]} \|M_\rho(s) - M(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \left( \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_V ds \right) \\ &+ C \int_0^t \|\dot{u}_\rho(s) - \dot{u}(s)\|_V ds + \delta(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

We use the notation

$$\zeta(\rho) = \max_{s \in [0, T]} \|M_\rho(s) - M(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \int_0^T \|u(s)\|_V ds. \quad (5.16)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{u}_\rho(t) - \dot{u}(t)\|_V &\leq \frac{\zeta(\rho)}{m_{\mathcal{A}} - C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)}} + \frac{\delta(\rho)}{m_{\mathcal{A}} - C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)}} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{m_{\mathcal{A}} - C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)}} \int_0^t \|\dot{u}_\rho(s) - \dot{u}(s)\|_V ds, \end{aligned}$$

this inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{u}_\rho - \dot{u}\|_V &\leq \frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{A}} - C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)}} (\zeta(\rho) + \delta(\rho)) \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{m_{\mathcal{A}} - C_0^2 \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_3)}} \int_0^t \|\dot{u}_\rho(s) - \dot{u}(s)\|_V ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using a Gronwall inequality, we find

$$\|\dot{u}_\rho - \dot{u}\|_V \leq c(\zeta(\rho) + \delta(\rho)). \quad (5.17)$$

We integrate (5.17) over  $[0, t]$ , using (4.14), (3.27) and (5.3) we get

$$\|u_\rho - u\|_V \leq c \int_0^t \|\dot{u}_\rho(s) - \dot{u}(s)\|_V ds \leq c(\zeta(\rho) + \delta(\rho)). \quad (5.18)$$

The assumptions (5.5), (5.4) and the definitions (5.14), (5.16) imply

$$\zeta(\rho) \rightarrow 0, \quad \delta(\rho) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.19)$$

We result from (5.18) and (5.19) that (5.6) is satisfied.

We conclude that (5.7) is a consequence of (5.18), (5.15) and (5.19).  $\square$

## References

1. S. Adly, O. Chau and M. Rochdi, *Solvability of a class of thermal dynamical contact problems with subdifferential conditions*, Numerical algebra, Control and optimization, 2 (1), (2012), pp. 91-104, 10.3934/naco.2012.2.91. hal-00683058.
2. A. Amassad, K. L. Kuttler, M. Rochdi, and M. Shillor, *Quasistatic thermoviscoelastic contact problem with slip dependent friction coefficient*, Math, Comput, Model, 36(7-8), 839-854 (2002).
3. M. Campo and J. R. Fernandez, *Numerical analysis of a quasistatic thermoviscoelastic frictional contact problem*, Computational mechanics, (2005), 35 (6), pp.459-469, 10.1007/s00466-004-0635-4, hal-04912121.
4. O. Chau, R. Oujja and M. Rochdi, *A mathematical analysis of dynamical frictional contact model in thermoviscoelasticity*, Discrete and continuous dynamical systems series s Volume 1, Number 1, (2008).
5. O. Chau, and B. Awbi, *Quasistatic thermoviscoelastic frictional contact problem with damped response*, Appl, Anal., 83(6), (2004), 635-648.
6. J. Chen, W. Han and M. Sofonea, *Numerical analysis of a quasistatic problem of sliding frictional contact with wear*, Methods and applications of analysis, DOI: 10.4310/MAA.2000.v7.n5.
7. C. Ciulcu, T. V. Hoarau-Mante and M. Sofonea, *Viscoelastic sliding contact problems with wear*, Mathematical and computer modelling 36 (2002), 861-874.
8. A. D. Rodrigue, J. M. Viano and M. Sofonea, *A class of variational inequalities with Volterra-type term\**, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, Vol. 14, No. 04, pp. 557-577 (2004).
9. L. Selmani and M. Selmani, *Analysis of a viscoelastic contact problem with normal damped response and damage*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 13 (2006), 207-220.
10. M. Selmani, *Frictional contact problem with wear for electro-viscoelastic materials with long memory*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 20 (2013), 461-479.
11. M. Selmani and M. Sofonea, *Viscoelastic frictionless contact problem with adhesion*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Volume 2006, Article ID 36130, Pages 1–22, DOI 10.1155/JIA/2006/36130.
12. M. Selmani, *A dynamic problem with adhesion and damage in electro-viscoelasticity with long-term memory*, JIPAM. Journal of Inequalities in Pure & Applied Mathematics [electronic only], 10(1) (2009), 1-19.
13. M. Selmani, *A frictional contact problem involving piezoelectric materials with long memory*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics 12(3), (2015), 1177-1197.

14. P. Shi and M. Shillor, *Uniqueness and stability of the solution to a thermoelastic contact problem*, Eur. J. Appl. Math. 1 (1990), 371-387.
15. P. Shi, M. Shillor, and X. L. Zou, *Numerical solution to the one-dimensional problems of thermoelastic contact*, Comput. Math. Appl. 22 (1991), 65-78.
16. M. Shillor, M. Sofonea and J. J. Telega, *Models and Analysis of Quasistatic Contact*, Lecture Notes in physics. 655. Springer, Berlin, 2004.
17. M. Sofonea, W. Han and M. Shillor, *Analysis and Approximation of Contact Problems with Adhesion or Damage*, Chapman-Hall/ CRC, Boca Raton, 2006.
18. M. Sofonea and A. Matei, *Mathematical Models in Contact Mechanics*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 398, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
19. M. Sofonea and F. Patrulescu, *A viscoelastic contact problem with adhesion and surface memory effects*, Mathematical modeling and analysis, Volume 19 Number 5, (2014), 607-626.
20. M. Sofonea, A. Rodriguez-Aros and J. M. Viano, *A class of integro-differential variational inequalities with applications to viscoelastic contact*, Mathematical and computer modelling 41 (2005) 1355-1369.
21. M. Sofonea and S. Migorski, *A class of history-dependent variational-hemivariational inequalities*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. (2016), Springer International Publishing, 1021-9722/16/030001-23.

*Nadjet Bensebaa,*

*Department of Mathematics,*

*University of Ferhat Abbas Setif 1,*

*Algeria.*

*E-mail address:* bensebaa\_na@yahoo.fr/ nadjet.bensebaa@univ-stif.dz.

*and*

*Nemira Lebri,*

*Department of Mathematics,*

*University of Ferhat Abbas Setif 1,*

*Algeria.*

*E-mail address:* nemiralebri@yahoo.com