

(3s.) v. 2026 (44) 2: 1-13. ÌSSN-0037-8712 doi:10.5269/bspm.79146

Generalized Decomposition Integral of Real Functions with Respect to Fuzzy Measures*

Anca Croitoru[†], Alina Iosif and Anna Rita Sambucini

ABSTRACT: In this paper we define a type of generalized Riemann-Lebesgue (decomposition) integral for non-negative real functions with respect to two non-additive set functions. For this integral we present some classical properties.

Key Words: Riemann-Lebesgue integral, fuzzy measure, non-additive set function.

Contents

1	Introduction
2	Preliminaries
3	A Generalized Decomposition integral 3.1 Case A: ν of finite variation
	3.2 Case B: additional assumptions on μ

1. Introduction

Different types of integrals in non-additive or set-valued frameworks have been developed, motivated by challenges in many fields such as economics, game theory, fuzzy logic, and data mining (see [4,33,34,31,24,2,11,25,26,5,3,9,6,19,20,21,18,12,7,8,10,16,23,32,35,36,28,29,1] and the references therein).

In the literature, various generalizations of the classical Riemann and Lebesgue integrals are known. One notable extension, called the Riemann-Lebesgue integral, was introduced by Kadets and Tseytlin in 2000 ([17]) for vector-valued functions with respect to countably additive measures. Comparative studies between the Birkhoff integral and the Riemann-Lebesgue integral have been presented in [30]. More recently, in 2020, Candeloro et al. [7] explored some properties of the Riemann-Lebesgue integral within the non-additive setting.

In this paper, we propose a new type of decomposition integral based on Riemann-Lebesgue integrability for nonnegative real-valued functions with respect to two non-additive set functions. Our approach is inspired by previous works such as [16,35,36,1,27,15,22]. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the topic and reviews some fundamental concepts. In Section 3, we define the generalized decomposition integral for nonnegative functions relative to two non-additive set functions, and we examine key properties such as monotonicity with respect to the set, the integrand, and the set functions; homogeneity; additivity, with respect to the set and the set functions, and transformation rule. Finally concluding remarks are presented.

The development of this generalized integral may open new avenues for applications across various fields: for example, in Economics, this framework can be used to model preferences or utility functions in uncertain environments where traditional additive measures are insufficient. The integral can also be

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 28B20, 28C15, 49J53. Submitted September 24, 2025. Published December 19, 2025

This research supported within the PRIN 2022 PNRR: "RETINA: REmote sensing daTa INversion with multivariate functional modeling for essential climAte variables characterization", funded by the European Union under the Italian National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP) of NextGenerationEU, under the Italian Ministry of University and Research (Project Code: P20229SH29, CUP: J53D23015950001).

Corresponding author.

applied to analyze strategies in cooperative or non-cooperative games where pay-offs or utility functions are non-additive, allowing for more flexible modeling of coalition formation and strategic interactions or it can provide a tool for aggregating fuzzy data, leading to more accurate reasoning in fuzzy inference systems.

Finally, the generalized non-additive integral can be a valuable tool in image processing and analysis, especially when dealing with noisy data. In image reconstruction, for example, pixel intensities or features often come with uncertainty that traditional additive measures may not adequately capture. By using this non-additive integral, it becomes possible to model and aggregate information from different regions or sources. This approach can improve the robustness of image reconstruction, enhance noise reduction, and better preserve important details, leading to higher quality and more reliable images, see [10,11,2].

2. Preliminaries

Denote $\mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$. Let $\mathbb{R}_0^+ = [0, \infty)$ and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Suppose S is a nonempty set, at least countable, and \mathcal{C} a σ -algebra of subsets of S.

For every nonempty set $A \subset S$, let $\mathcal{P}(A)$ be the family of all subsets of A. As usual, let $A^c = S \setminus A$ and let χ_A be the characteristic function of A.

If P and P' are two countable partitions of S, then P' is said to be finer than P,

$$P \le P'$$
 (or $P' \ge P$), if every set of P' is included in some set of P . (2.1)

We will use the symbol $\mathscr{P}(A)$ to denote the family of all countable partitions of A whose elements belong to C; if A = S we will use simply \mathscr{P} .

For a set function $m: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$, the usual definitions as in [7,10,14] are considered. For the sake of completeness, we recall some of them. Throughout the paper we consider set functions m such that $m(\emptyset) = 0$.

Definition 2.1 ([14]) Consider a set function $m: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Let B, C be arbitrary sets in \mathcal{C} . Then m is called:

- **2.1.i)** monotone if $m(B) \leq m(C)$, when $B \subseteq C$;
- **2.1.ii)** fuzzy if m is monotone and $m(\emptyset) = 0$;
- **2.1.iii)** submodular if $m(B \cup C) + m(B \cap C) \le m(B) + m(C)$;
- **2.1.iv)** additive if $m(B \cup C) = m(B) + m(C)$, when $B \cap C = \emptyset$:
- **2.1.v)** subadditive if $m(B \cup C) \le m(B) + m(C)$;
- **2.1.vi)** superadditive if $m(B \cup C) > m(B) + m(C)$.

Definition 2.2 ([7, Definition 4]) Let $m: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a set function.

2.2.i) The variation of m is the set function $\overline{m}: \mathcal{P}(S) \to [0, +\infty]$ defined, for every $B \subset S$, as

$$\overline{m}(B) = \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m(B_i)\},\$$

where the supremum is extended over all finite families of pairwise disjoint sets $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{C}$, with $B_i \subseteq B$, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

2.2.ii) m is said to be of finite variation on C if $\overline{m}(S) < \infty$.

A property (P) holds m-almost everywhere (denoted by m-a.e.) if there exists $E \in \mathcal{C}$, with m(E) = 0, so that the property (P) is valid on $S \setminus E$.

Definition 2.3 ([7, Definition 5]) Let $\nu : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a set function. A vector function $g : S \to X$ is called absolutely (unconditionally respectively) ν -Riemann-Lebesgue integrable (on S) if there exists $a \in X$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\Pi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{P}$, such that for every $\Pi \in \mathscr{P}$, $\Pi = (E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, finer than Π_{ε} , ($\Pi \geq \Pi_{\varepsilon}$ in the sense of (2.1)):

- **2.3.i)** g is bounded on every E_n , with $\nu(E_n) > 0$ and
- **2.3.ii)** for every $s_n \in E_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} g(s_n)\nu(E_n)$ is absolutely (unconditionally respectively) convergent and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} g(s_n) \nu(E_n) - a \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Remark 2.1 We call $a = (A)RL \int_S g d\nu$ the Riemann-Lebesgue integral of g (on S) with respect to ν . This integral was introduced first in [17] in the countably additive case. Obviously if a exists, then it is unique. According to [7], the sets of all absolutely (unconditionally respectively) Riemann-Lebesgue integrable functions on S, are linear spaces. If X is finite dimensional, the two classes coincide and we denote with $RL(\nu, S)$ this class.

Other properties of Riemann-Lebesgue integrable functions in non-additive case can be found in [12,7,10, 13]. Note that, thanks to the definition, the introduced integral which is a decomposition-type integral, does not need the measurability of integrands.

It is also additive, while integrals such as Choquet, Pan, concave or Shilkret are generally only subadditive. On the other hand not all characteristic functions are integrable in this sense and, those that are, do not have in general integral equals to the ν value of the set.

The described definition permits the integration of functions with respect to measures that are not necessarily additive, such as capacities or, more generally, fuzzy measures.

3. A Generalized Decomposition integral

Originally, as highlighted in the Introduction, the integrals with respect to non-additive measures were applied in potential theory and statistical mechanics, and they have evolved into a valuable tool for addressing uncertainty within the frameworks of imprecise probability theory, decision theory, and the analysis of cooperative games with applications extend to fields such as finance, economics, and insurance.

In order to motivate the generalized decomposition integral that will be considered in this section we recall, as an example, that a fundamental challenge in Mathematical Economics involves identifying equilibrium. In [8] a model was considered where the space of agents is partitioned into a large number of sections, each representing an autonomous economic subsystem. Additionally, coalitions may form across members of different sections according to specified rules.

The mathematical framework employed was a product space $X^* := X \times [0,1]$, where each section corresponds to the set $X \times \{y\}$. X denotes a typical section of agents and is equipped with a σ -algebra, while the interval [0,1] was endowed with the standard Lebesgue σ -algebra \mathcal{B} and the Lebesgue measure λ . Within each section the σ -algebra product was considered, along with a fuzzy measure μ_y defined on it. Following this idea we introduced here an integral, based on the Riemann-Lebesgue integrability, for functions defined in $S \times \mathbb{R}^+_0$.

In this setting also \mathbb{R}_0^+ will be associated to a set-function not necessarily additive. Results of this type were also given in [16,35,36,1,27,29] for the Choquet integral.

So, the following condition will be considered:

(H) let (S, \mathcal{C}) , and $(\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathcal{E})$ be two measurable spaces endowed with two σ -algebras and two set-functions $\mu: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $\nu: \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$, both vanishing on the empty set and such that $\{0\} \in \mathcal{E}$.

Let $\mathscr{F}(\mu)$ the family of all μ -measurable functions $f: S \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$. For every $f \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$ and for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$, let

$$E_f^{\alpha} := \{ s \in S : f(s) \ge \alpha \}$$

and $u_{f,\mu}^A: \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be the function defined by

$$u_{f,\mu}^A(\alpha) = \mu(\{s \in A : f(s) \ge \alpha\}) = \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A), \qquad \forall \alpha \ge 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Definition 3.1 We say that a μ -measurable function $f: S \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is (ν, μ) -integrable on $A \in \mathcal{C}$ if $u_{f,\mu}^A$ is ν -RL integrable on \mathbb{R}_0^+ . In this case

$$\int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu) := (RL) \int_{[0, \infty)} u_{f, \mu}^{A} d\nu = (RL) \int_{[0, \infty)} \mu(\{s \in A : f(s) \ge \alpha\}) d\nu(\alpha)$$
(3.2)

is called the generalized decomposition integral of f on A with respect to (ν, μ) .

For every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ let $G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, A)$ be the space of all μ -measurable non-negative functions f such that the integral (3.2), valued on A, is finite. If A = S we denote it with $G_{RL}(\nu, \mu)$.

Remark 3.1 If ν is the Lebesgue measure, then the above integral reduces to the Choquet integral.

Example 3.1

- **3.1.a)** If $\mu(A) = 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and ν is of finite variation, then $\int_S^* f d(\nu, \mu) = 0$.
- **3.1.b)** Suppose that ν is RL-integrable, according to [12, Definition 7]. If f is a constant function, namely $f(s) = c \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, for every $s \in S$, then $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu)$ and

$$\int_{S}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu) = \mu(S) \cdot \nu([0, c]).$$

3.1.c) Let $(\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ with \mathcal{B} the Borel σ -algebra and λ be the Lebesgue measure. Let $(S, \mathcal{C}, \mu) = ([0,1], \mathcal{B}([0,1]), \lambda^2)$. Let $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by f(x) = x; f is measurable. In this case, for every $\alpha \geq 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}([0,1])$, it is

$$u_{f,\mu}^A(\alpha) = \mu(\{x \in A: \, x \geq \alpha\}) = \lambda^2(\{x \in [0,1]: x \geq \alpha\} \cap A).$$

Now, let A = [0, 1]. Then

$$u_{f,\mu}^{[0,1]}(\alpha) = (1-\alpha)^2 \chi_{[0,1]}.$$

In this case the integral coincides with the Riemann one and then

$$\int_{[0,1]}^* f d(\nu,\mu) = \int_0^1 (1-\alpha)^2 d\alpha = \frac{1}{3}.$$

3.1.d) Let $(S, \mathcal{C}, \mu) = (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \mu)$ with $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(A) = 1$ otherwise. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by f(n) = n, as before f is measurable.

Let $(\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$ with \mathcal{B} the Borel σ -algebra and $\nu(E) = 0$ if E is a bounded set and $\nu(E) = 1$ if E is unbounded. In this case, for every $\alpha \geq 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, it is

$$u_{f,\mu}^A(\alpha) = \mu(\{n \in A : f(n) \ge \alpha\}) = \mu(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \ge \alpha\} \cap A) = 1.$$

Now, let $A = \mathbb{N}$, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider P_{ε} a countable partition of \mathbb{R}_0^+ composed by bounded sets, for example

$$P_{\varepsilon} := \{ [n, n+1[, n \in \mathbb{N}] \}.$$

Then, for every partition $P = \{E_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \geq P_{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_{f,\mu}^{\mathbb{N}}(\alpha)\nu(E_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \nu(E_n) = 0$$

and then
$$\int_{\mathbb{N}}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu) = 0.$$

Troughout the section we refere to μ defined on the measurable space (S, \mathcal{C}) and ν to $(\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathcal{E})$ and both satisfies conditions given in (H).

3.1. Case A: ν of finite variation

In this subsection properties of the $G_{RL}(\nu,\mu)$ integral are exposed when ν is of finite variation. We start with a dominating result.

Proposition 3.1 Let $f \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$ be such that $u_{f,\mu}^S$ is bounded. Then $f \in G_{RL}(\nu,\mu)$ -integrable and

$$\int_S^* f \, d(\nu,\mu) \leq \overline{\nu}(\mathbb{R}_0^+) \cdot \sup_{\alpha \geq 0} \, u_{f,\mu}^S(\alpha).$$

Proof: Bounded and measurable functions $u_{f,\mu}^S$ are RL-integrable with respect to ν and the assertion follows by [7, Proposition 1].

Remark 3.2 From Proposition 3.1 it follows that $\mathscr{F}(\mu) \subset G_{RL}(\nu,\mu)$ when μ is fuzzy and ν is of finite variation, since

$$\sup_{\alpha \in [0,\infty)} u_{f,\mu}^S(\alpha) \le \mu(S) < +\infty.$$

The integrability of a function in a measurable set is obtained via the integrability on the whole set S of the product of the function with the characteristic function of the measurable set by Theorem 3.1.

In the subsequent Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 of this subsection we suppose also that $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ be fixed and let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mu) \cap G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, A)$. Then

$$\int_A^* f d(\nu, \mu) = \int_S^* f \chi_A d(\nu, \mu).$$

Proof: By definition

$$\int_A^* f \, d(\nu, \mu) = (\mathrm{RL}) \int_S u_{f, \mu}^A(\alpha) \, d\nu(\alpha),$$

where $u_{f,\mu}^A(\alpha) = \mu(E_f^{\alpha} \cap A)$ for every $\alpha \geq 0$ and

$$\int_{S}^{*} f \chi_{A} d(\nu, \mu) = (RL) \int_{S} u_{f\chi_{A}, \mu}^{S}(\alpha) d\nu(\alpha),$$

where

$$u_{f\chi_A,\mu}^S(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \mu(S) & \text{if } \alpha = 0\\ \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A) & \text{if } \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us observe that $u_{f,\mu}^A(\alpha) = u_{f\chi_A,\mu}^S(\alpha)$ ν -a.e. and

$$\sup_{\alpha \in [0,\infty)} \left| u_{f,\mu}^A(\alpha) - u_{f\chi_A,\mu}^S(\alpha) \right| < +\infty.$$

So we apply [7, Theorem 1] and obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_A^* f \, d(\nu, \mu) &:= & \text{(RL)} \int_S u_{f,\mu}^A(\alpha) \, d\nu(\alpha) = \\ &= & \text{(RL)} \int_S u_{f\chi_A,\mu}^S(\alpha) \, d\nu(\alpha) := \int_S^* f \chi_A \, d(\nu, \mu), \end{split}$$

which concludes the proof.

Moreover the integrability is hereditary on the measurable subsets, as the subsequent Theorem 3.2 shows.

Theorem 3.2 Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is bounded. If $f \in \mathscr{F}(\mu) \cap G_{RL}(\nu, \mu)$ then, for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$, $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, A)$ and

$$\int_A^* f d(\nu,\mu) = \int_S^* f \chi_A d(\nu,\mu).$$

Proof: Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$. According to Definition 3.1, we have to prove that the function $u_{f,\mu}^A(\alpha) = \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A)$ is Riemann-Lebesgue integrable with respect to ν .

Since μ is bounded, then the function $u_{f,\mu}^A$ is bounded too. According to [7, Proposition 1] it results that $u_{f,\mu}^A \in RL(\nu, S)$. Now the conclusion follows by Theorem 3.1.

Finally the integral of a function which is μ -a.e. zero valued is null.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\mu: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a fuzzy measure. Let $f \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$ be such that f = 0 μ -a.e.. Then $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu)$ and

$$\int_{S}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu) = 0.$$

Proof: Suppose f = 0 μ -a.e. and consider $B = \{s \in S; f(s) > 0\}$. Then $\mu(B) = 0$ and f(s) = 0, for every $s \in S \setminus B$. Let $\alpha \ge 0$. If $\alpha = 0$, we have $E_f^0 = \{s \in S; f(s) \ge 0\} = S$ and for every $\alpha > 0$, the set

$$E_f^{\alpha} = \{ s \in S; f(s) \ge \alpha \} \in \mathcal{C} \cap B.$$

Since μ is monotone it results that $\mu(E_f^{\alpha}) = 0$. Therefore,

$$u_{f,\mu}^S(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \mu(S) & \text{ for } \alpha = 0 \\ 0 & \text{ for } \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$, then $u_{f,\mu}^S(\alpha) = 0$ ν -a.e. and it is bounded by $\mu(S)$. By [7, Theorem 2], $u_{f,\mu}^S \in RL(\nu,S)$ and

$$0 = (RL) \int_{S} u_{f,\mu}^{S}(\alpha) d\nu(\alpha) = \int_{S}^{*} f d(\nu,\mu).$$

3.2. Case B: additional assumptions on μ

In this subsection we will introduce additional assumptions on the set function μ in order to obtain some other properties of the G_{RL} integral.

Independently from Theorem 3.3 we can obtain a result of integrability when integrands are equal μ -a.e. imposing assumptions on μ rather than ν .

Proposition 3.2 Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is a fuzzy subadditive measure. Let $f, g \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$ be such that $f = g \ \mu$ -a.e.. If $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu)$, then $g \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu)$ and

$$\int_{S}^{*} f \, d(\nu, \mu) = \int_{S}^{*} g \, d(\nu, \mu).$$

Proof: Let $B = \{s \in S; f(s) \neq g(s)\}$. Then $\mu(B) = 0$ and f(s) = g(s) for every $s \in S \setminus B$. We observe that for every $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$E_f^{\alpha} \subseteq E_g^{\alpha} \cup B, \qquad E_g^{\alpha} \subseteq E_f^{\alpha} \cup B,$$

Since μ is monotone and subadditive, it follows that for every $\alpha \geq 0$ it is

$$\mu(E_f^{\alpha}) = \mu(E_g^{\alpha})$$

and this implies that

$$u_{f,\mu}^S(\alpha) = u_{g,\mu}^S(\alpha).$$

So the conclusion holds.

Proposition 3.3 Let $\mu: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a fuzzy measure and $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mu)$. If f is $G_{RL}(\nu, \mu)$ integrable on the sets $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, with $A \subseteq B$, then

$$\int_A^* f d(\nu, \mu) \le \int_B^* f d(\nu, \mu).$$

Proof: Since $A \subseteq B$, we have $E_f^{\alpha} \cap A \subseteq E_f^{\alpha} \cap B$, for every $\alpha \geq 0$. By the monotonicity of μ it follows that $\mu(E_f^{\alpha} \cap A) \leq \mu(E_f^{\alpha} \cap B)$ for every $\alpha \geq 0$. Applying [7, Theorem 6], it results

$$\int_{A}^{*} f \, d(\nu, \mu) = (RL) \int_{S} u_{f, \mu}^{A} \, d\nu \leq (RL) \int_{S} u_{f, \mu}^{B} \, d\nu = \int_{B}^{*} f \, d(\nu, \mu).$$

The monotonicity of the set-function μ allows also to obtain monotonicity results between integrals and integrands (Theorem 3.4) and between integrals and set-functions (Theorems 3.5 and 3.6).

Theorem 3.4 Let $\mu: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a fuzzy measure and $f_1, f_2 \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$ such that $f_1 \leq f_2$. If $f_1, f_2 \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, A)$, for some $A \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$\int_{A}^{*} f_1 d(\nu, \mu) \le \int_{A}^{*} f_2 d(\nu, \mu).$$

Proof: We observe that $E_{f_1}^{\alpha} \subseteq E_{f_2}^{\alpha}$, for every $\alpha \geq 0$. Then, since μ is monotone we have, for every $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$\mu(E_{f_1}^{\alpha} \cap A) \le \mu(E_{f_2}^{\alpha} \cap A).$$

According to [7, Theorem 6], it follows

$$\int_{A}^{*} f_{1} d(\nu, \mu) = (RL) \int_{S} \mu(E_{f_{1}}^{\alpha} \cap A) d\nu \leq$$

$$\leq (RL) \int_{S} \mu(E_{f_{2}}^{\alpha} \cap A) d\nu = \int_{A}^{*} f_{2} d(\nu, \mu).$$

Remark 3.3 Theorem 3.4 also works in the following hyphotesis: μ is a complete additive measure and $f_1 \leq f_2$ μ -ae.

Theorem 3.5 Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be such that $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ setwise, (namely $\mu_1(E) \leq \mu_2(E)$, for every $E \in \mathcal{C}$) and a function $f \in \mathscr{F}(\mu_i)$, i = 1, 2. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ be such that $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu_i, A)$, for i = 1, 2, then

$$\int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu_1) \le \int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu_2).$$

Proof: By hypothesis, for every $\alpha \geq 0$ we have $\mu_1(E_f^{\alpha} \cap A) \leq \mu_2(E_f^{\alpha} \cap A)$. By [7, Theorem 6], it follows

$$\begin{split} \int_A^* f d(\nu, \mu_1) &= & (\operatorname{RL}) \int_S \mu_1(E_f^\alpha \cap A) \, d\nu \leq \\ &\leq & (\operatorname{RL}) \int_S \mu_2(E_f^\alpha \cap A) d\nu = \int_A^* f d(\nu, \mu_2), \end{split}$$

which finishes the proof.

Theorem 3.6 Let ν_i ,: $\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$, i = 1, 2 be such that $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2$ setwise in \mathcal{E} and $f \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$. If there is $A \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $f \in G_{RL}(\nu_1, \mu, A) \cap G_{RL}(\nu_2, \mu, A)$, then

$$\int_{A}^{*} f \, d(\nu_{1}, \mu) \leq \int_{A}^{*} f \, d(\nu_{2}, \mu).$$

Proof: Since $f \in G_{RL}(\nu_1, \mu, A) \cap G_{RL}(\nu_2, \mu, A)$, then by [7, Theorem 7], it results

$$\int_A^* f \, d(\nu_1, \mu) \quad := \quad (RL) \int_S \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A) \, d\nu_1 \le$$

$$\le \quad (RL) \int_S \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A) d\nu_2 := \int_A^* f \, d(\nu_2, \mu).$$

3.3. Case C: Additivity properties

In this subsection we will prove that the integral is additive with respect to set-functions, proving it separately.

Proposition 3.4 Let μ, ν be as in (H) and $f \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$. If there is $A \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, A)$, then, for every a, b > 0, $f \in G_{RL}(a\nu, b\mu, A)$ and

$$\int_{A}^{*} f d(a\nu, b\mu) = ab \cdot \int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu).$$

Proof: The conclusion follows from [7, Theorem 3] and we have

$$\begin{split} \int_A^* f \, d(a\nu, b\mu) &= & \text{(RL)} \int_{[0,\infty)} b\mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A) \, d(a\nu) = \\ &= & ab \cdot \text{(RL)} \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A) \, d\nu = ab \cdot \int_A^* f d(\nu, \mu). \end{split}$$

Proposition 3.5 Let μ_i , i = 1, 2, be set functions as in (H) and $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mu_i)$, i = 1, 2. If there is $A \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu_i, A)$, i = 1, 2, then $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu_1 + \mu_2, A)$ and

$$\int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu_1 + \mu_2) = \int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu_1) + \int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu, \mu_2).$$

Proof: The G_{RL} integrability of f with respect to $(\nu, \mu_1 + \mu_2)$ on A follows from [7, Theorem 3]; moreover

$$\begin{split} \int_A^* f \, d(\nu, \mu_1 + \mu_2) &= (\mathsf{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} (\mu_1 + \mu_2) (E_f^\alpha \cap A) \, d\nu = \\ &= (\mathsf{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu_1 (E_f^\alpha \cap A) \, d\nu + (\mathsf{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu_2 (E_f^\alpha \cap A) \, d\nu = \\ &= \int_A^* f \, d(\nu, \mu_1) + \int_A^* f \, d(\nu, \mu_2). \end{split}$$

Proposition 3.6 Let ν_i , i = 1, 2, be set functions as in (H) and $f \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ be such that $f \in G_{RL}(\nu_i, \mu, A)$, i = 1, 2, then $f \in G_{RL}(\nu_1 + \nu_2, \mu, A)$ and

$$\int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu_{1} + \nu_{2}, \mu) = \int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu_{1}, \mu) + \int_{A}^{*} f d(\nu_{2}, \mu).$$

Proof: The conclusion holds by [7, Theorem 4] and

$$\begin{split} \int_A^* f \, d(\nu_1 + \nu_2, \mu) &= (\mathsf{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A) d(\nu_1 + \nu_2) = \\ &= (\mathsf{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A) d\nu_1 + (\mathsf{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A) d\nu_2 = \\ &= \int_A^* f \, d(\nu_1, \mu) + \int_A^* f \, d(\nu_2, \mu). \end{split}$$

Finally, using again monotonicity of μ we are able to consider the integrability of the supremum or the infimum of two integrands asking, a priory, the integrability of all the involved functions.

Theorem 3.7 Let μ be a fuzzy submodular measure and $f, g, f \lor g, f \land g \in \mathscr{F}(\mu) \cap G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, A)$ for some $A \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$\int_A^* (f \vee g) \, d(\nu, \mu) + \int_A^* (f \wedge g) \, d(\nu, \mu) \leq \int_A^* f \, d(\nu, \mu) + \int_A^* g \, d(\nu, \mu).$$

Proof: We observe that

$$E_{f\vee g}^{\alpha} = E_f^{\alpha} \cup E_g^{\alpha}$$

10

and

$$E_{f\wedge g}^{\alpha}\subset E_{f}^{\alpha}\cap E_{g}^{\alpha}.$$

According to [7, Theorems 3 and 6], we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_A^* \left(f \vee g \right) d(\nu,\mu) + \int_A^* \left(f \wedge g \right) d(\nu,\mu) = \\ &= (\operatorname{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(E_{f \vee g}^{\alpha} \cap A) \, d\nu + (\operatorname{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(E_{f \wedge g} \cap A) \, d\nu \leq \\ &\leq (\operatorname{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(\left(E_f^{\alpha} \cup E_g^{\alpha} \right) \cap A) \, d\nu + (\operatorname{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(E_f^{\alpha} \cap E_g^{\alpha} \cap A) \, d\nu \leq \\ &\leq (\operatorname{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(E_f^{\alpha} \cap A) \, d\nu + (\operatorname{RL}) \int_{[0,\infty)} \mu(E_g^{\alpha} \cap A) \, d\nu = \\ &= \int_A^* f \, d(\nu,\mu) + \int_A^* g \, d(\nu,\mu). \end{split}$$

For what concernes the additivity with respect to the sets where we integrate, only a partial result is obtained for additive measures.

Theorem 3.8 Let $\mu: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be an additive measure, $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $f \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$. If $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, A \cup B)$, then $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, A) \cap G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, B)$ and

$$\int_{A \cup B}^{*} f \, d(\nu, \mu) = \int_{A}^{*} f \, d(\nu, \mu) + \int_{B}^{*} f \, d(\nu, \mu).$$

Proof: By Theorem 3.2 $f \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, A) \cap G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, B)$. Applying the additivity of μ and [7, Theorem 3], we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{A \cup B}^* f \, d(\nu, \mu) &= & \text{(RL)} \int_{[0, \infty)} \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap (A \cup B)) \, d\nu = \text{(RL)} \int_{[0, \infty)} \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap A) \, d\nu + \\ &+ & \text{(RL)} \int_{[0, \infty)} \mu(E_f^\alpha \cap B) \, d\nu = \int_A^* f \, d(\nu, \mu) + \int_B^* f \, d(\nu, \mu). \end{split}$$

While for integrands, in general the integral of a sum is not the sum of integrals. As an example we can consider two scalar functions that are not comonotonic, $\nu = \lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure and we consider the Choquet integral.

Theorem 3.9 Suppose $\mu: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is a superadditive fuzzy measure. Let $f, g \in \mathscr{F}(\mu)$ be such that $f, g, f + g \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu)$. Then

$$\int_{S}^{*} (f+g)d(\nu,\mu) \ge \int_{S}^{*} fd(\nu,\mu) + \int_{S}^{*} gd(\nu,\mu).$$
 (3.3)

Proof: For every $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$E_f^{\alpha} \cup E_g^{\alpha} \subset E_{f+g}^{\alpha}.$$

Since μ is monotone, then

$$\mu(E_f^{\alpha} \cup E_g^{\alpha}) \le \mu(E_{f+g}^{\alpha}),$$

for every $\alpha > 0$. Applying superadditivity of μ , we have

$$u_{f+g,\mu}^{S}(\alpha) = \mu(E_{f+g}^{\alpha}) \ge \mu(E_{f}^{\alpha}) + \mu(E_{g}^{\alpha}) =$$

= $u_{f,\mu}^{S}(\alpha) + u_{g,\mu}^{S}(\alpha),$

for every $\alpha \geq 0$. Now, the conclusion follows by [7, Theorems 6 and 3-3.c)].

Remark 3.4 However, there are functions for which the inequality (3.3) becomes an equality: let $(S, \mathcal{C}, \mu) = (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \mu)$ and $(\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathcal{E}, \nu) = (\mathbb{R}_0^+, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$ as in Example 3.1.d) and $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$, defined by $f(n) = an, g(n) = bn, \ a, b \in (0, +\infty)$. Then:

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}}^* f \, d(\nu, \mu) = \int_{\mathbb{N}}^* g \, d(\nu, \mu) = \int_{\mathbb{N}}^* (f + g) \, d(\nu, \mu) = 0.$$

Finally we consider an integration by substitution.

Let $T \neq \emptyset$, $\varphi: S \to T$ be a function and $\mathcal{A} = \{E \subset T; \varphi^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Let $\mu \varphi^{-1}$ be the set-function

$$\mu\varphi^{-1}:\mathcal{A}\to\mathbb{R}^+_0,\ \ \text{defined for every }E\in\mathcal{A}\ \ \text{by}\ \ (\mu\varphi^{-1})(E)=\mu(\varphi^{-1}(E)).$$

It is known that \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra of subsets of T and $(\mu \varphi^{-1})(\emptyset) = 0$.

Theorem 3.10 (Transformation Rule) Let T be a nonvoid set, $\varphi: S \to T$ a function, let \mathcal{A} and $\mu\varphi^{-1}$ be defined as above and consider a function $g: T \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Then $g \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu\varphi^{-1}, T)$ if and only if $g \circ \varphi \in G_{RL}(\nu, \mu, S)$. In this case,

$$\int_T^* g \, d(\nu, \mu \varphi^{-1}) = \int_S^* (g \circ \varphi) \, d(\nu, \mu).$$

Proof: For every $\alpha \geq 0$, it holds

$$\varphi^{-1}(E_g^\alpha) = E_{g \circ \varphi}^\alpha,$$

which implies

$$\mu\varphi^{-1}(E_g^\alpha) = \mu(E_{g\circ\varphi}^\alpha).$$

Now, this leads to the integration by substitution.

Conclusion

A type of generalized decomposition integral based on the Riemann-Lebesgue integral is introduced for real-valued functions with respect to two set functions. Several classical properties of this extension are discussed, including monotonicity with respect to the set, the function, and the set functions; homogeneity; additivity concerning the set and the set functions; and a transformation rule.

For future research, we plan to investigate additional properties of this generalized integral, such as various inequalities, convergence results, and comparisons with other types of known integrals. We are also interested in finding general condition to have additivity with respect to the functions.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the unknown referees for their valuable suggestions that improved the quality of the paper.

This research has been accomplished within the UMI Group TAA - "Approximation Theory and Applications", the G.N.AM.P.A. group of INDAM and the University of Perugia.

The last author is a member of the "Centro Interdipartimentale Lamberto Cesari" of the University of Perugia, of Project "RETINA: REmote sensing daTa INversion with multivariate functional modeling for essential climAte variables characterization" (PRIN 2022 PNRR: Project Code: P20229SH29, CUP: J53D23015950001) and of project Gnampa 2024: "DYNAMIChE:DYNAmical Methods: Inverse problems, Chaos and Evolution."

References

- Abbas, J., Mesiar, R., Halaš, R., Bipolar decomposition integrals, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijar.2025.109439, (2025).
- 2. Angeloni L., Bloisi D. D., Burghignoli P., Comite D., Costarelli D., Piconi M., Sambucini A.R., Troiani A., Veneri A., Microwave Remote Sensing of Soil Moisture, Above Ground Biomass and Freeze-Thaw Dynamic: Modeling and Empirical Approaches, Journal of Modern Mathematical Methods, 3 (2), 57-71, (2025).
- 3. Boccuto, A., Riečan, B., The concave integral with respect to Riesz space-valued capacities, Math. Slovaca, 59, 647–660, (2009).
- 4. Boccuto, A., Candeloro, D., Sambucini, A.R., Henstock multivalued integrability in Banach lattices with respect to pointwise non atomic measures, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., 26 (4), 363–383, (2015).
- 5. Bolaños M.J., de Campos L.M., Gonzalez A., Convergence properties of the monotone expectation and its application to the extension of fuzzy measures, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 33, 201–212, (1989).
- 6. Candeloro, D., Croitoru, A. Gavrilut, A., Sambucini, A. R., A multivalued version of the Radon-Nikodým theorem, via the single-valued Gould integral, Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 15 (2), 1–16, (2018).
- 7. Candeloro, D., Croitoru, A. Gavrilut, A., Iosif, A., Sambucini, A. R., Properties of the Riemann-Lebesgue integrability in the non-additive case, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie 2, 69, 577–589, (2020), Doi: 10.1007/s12215-019-00419-y.
- 8. Candeloro, D., Mesiar, R., Sambucini, A. R., A special class of fuzzy measures: Choquet integral and applications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 355, 83 99, (2019).
- 9. Candeloro, D., Sambucini, A. R., Comparison between some norm and order gauge integrals in Banach lattices, PanAmerican Mathematical Journal, 25 (3), 1–16, (2015).
- Costarelli, D., Croitoru, A. Gavrilut, A., Iosif, A., Sambucini, A. R., The Riemann-Lebesgue integral of interval-valued multifunctions, Mathematics, 8, 2250, 1–17, (2020), Doi:10.3390/math8122250.
- 11. Costarelli, D., Sambucini, A. R., A comparison among a fuzzy algorithm for image rescaling with other methods of digital image processing, Constructive Mathematical Analysis, 7 (2), 45–68, (2024).
- 12. Croitoru, A., Gavrilut, A., Iosif, A., Sambucini, A. R., Convergence theorems in interval-valued Riemann-Lebesgue integrability, Mathematics, 10 (3), 450, 1–15, (2022), Doi: 10.3390/math10030450.
- Croitoru, A., Gavrilut, A., Iosif, A., Sambucini, A.R., A Survey on the Riemann-Lebesgue Integrability in Nonadditive Setting, Chapter in Selected Topics on Generalized Integration, Doi: /10.1142/9789819812202_0004, 59-94, World Scientific, (2025).
- 14. Denneberg, D., Non-additive measure and integral, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1994).
- 15. Even, Y., Lehrer, E., Decomposition-integral: unifying Choquet and the concave integrals, Econ Theory, 56, 33–58, (2014).
- 16. Gal S.G., Choquet integral in capacity, Real Analysis Exchange, 43 (2), 263–280, (2018).
- 17. Kadets, V.M.; Tseytlin, L.M., On integration of non-integrable vector-valued functions, Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom., 7, 49–65, (2000).
- Kalita, H., Agarwal, R.P., Hazarika, B., Convergence of ap-Henstock-Kurzweil integral on locally compact spaces, Czech. Math. J., 75, 103–121 (2025), Doi:10.21136/CMJ.2023.0450-22.
- 19. Kawabe, J., A unified approach to the monotone convergence theorem for nonlinear integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 304, 1–19, (2016).
- 20. Kawabe, J., Nonadditive measures and nonlinear integrals-focusing on a theoretical aspect, Sugaku Expositions, 34 (1), 61–92, (2021).
- 21. Kawabe, J., The continuous Choquet integral representation theorems in an abstract setting, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 497, 109115, (2024).
- 22. Li, J., Mesiar, R., Ouyang Y., Šeliga, A., Characterization of decomposition integrals extending Lebesgue integral, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 430, 56-68, (2022).
- 23. Li, J., Wang, Y., Yang, Z., Convergence theorems for Choquet integrals with generalized autocontinuity, Information Sciences, 612, 296–305, (2022).
- Marraffa, V., Sambucini, A.R., Vitali Theorems for Varying Measures, Symmetry, 16 (8), 972, (2024), Doi: 10.3390/sym16080972.
- 25. Marraffa, V., Satco, B., Stieltjes differential problems with general boundary value conditions. Existence and bounds of solutions, Czech. Math. J., 75, 235–255 (2025), Doi: 10.21136/CMJ.2024.0125-23.
- 26. Mawhin, J., The origin and developments of Kurzweil's generalized Riemann integral, Czech. Math. J., 75, 21–45 (2025), Doi: doi.org/10.21136/CMJ.2023.0196-23.
- 27. Mesiar, R., Abbas, J., Li, J., The 2-additive decomposition integrals and their applications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, (2025), Doi: 10.1016/j.fss.2025.109316.

- 28. Li, S., Kawabe, J., Special issue: Nonlinear Mathematics for Uncertainty and its Applications Editorial, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, (2013), Doi: 10.1016/j.ijar.2012.11.008.
- 29. Lv, R., Li, J., Wang, Y., Yang, Z., On entropies of fuzzy sets and nonlinear integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 518, 109513, (2025), Doi: 10.1016/j.fss.2025.109513.
- Potyrala, M., Some remarks about Birkhoff and Riemann-Lebesgue integrability of vector valued functions, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ., 35, 97–106, (2007).
- 31. Precupanu, A.M., Gavrilut, A., Croitoru, A., A fuzzy Gould type integral, Fuzzy Sets Systems, 161, 661–680, (2010).
- 32. Sambucini, A.R., The Choquet integral with respect to fuzzy measures and applications, Math. Slov., 67 (6), 1427–1450, (2017), Doi: 10.1515/ms-2017-0049.
- 33. Stamate C., Croitoru A., Some nonlinear integrals of vector multifunctions with respect to a submeasure, Ch. in Selected Topics on Generalized Integration, World Scientific Publishing Company, 95–121, (2025), Doi: 10.1142/9789819812202_0005.
- 34. Torra, V., Narukawa, Y., Sugeno, M. (Eds.), Non-Additive Measures: Theory and Applications; Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, Springer: Cham, Switzerland, Volume 310, (2014).
- 35. Zhang D., Mesiar R., Pap E., Choquet type integrals for single-valued functions with respect to set-functions and set-multifunctions, Information Sciences, 630, 252–270, (2023).
- Zhang D., Mesiar R., Pap E., Double set-function Choquet integral whit applications, Information Sciences, 677, 120948, (2024).

Anca Croitoru,
Faculty of Mathematics,
University "Alexandru Ioan Cuza",
Bd. Carol I, No. 11, Iaşi, 700506,
ROMANIA,
Orcid ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8180-3590.

Oreia 1D. http://oreia.org/0000-0001-0100-0

E-mail address: croitoru@uaic.ro

and

Alina Iosif,

 $Department\ of\ Computer\ Science,\ Information\ Technology,\ Mathematics\ and\ Physics,$

Petroleum-Gas University of Ploiești,

Bd. Bucureşti, No. 39, Ploieşti 100680,

ROMANIA

Orcid ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0144-8811.

E-mail address: emilia.iosif@upg-ploiesti.ro,

and

 $Anna\ Rita\ Sambucini,$

 $Department\ of\ Mathematics\ and\ Computer\ Sciences,$

University of Perugia,

1, Via Vanvitelli - 06123, Perugia,

ITALY.

Orcid ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0161-8729.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: anna.sambucini@unipg.it}$