



Applications of the Neutrosophic Poisson Distribution to Biunivalent Functions Involving Chebyshev Polynomials and q -Derivative Operator

Nandini P., Annapoorna S., Dileep L., N. L. Sharma and Latha S.

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we use the q -derivative operator to present a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk associated with generalised neutrosophic Poisson distribution series and Chebyshev polynomials. We obtain the bounds of the initial two coefficients and the Fekete Szego problem for this class.

Keywords: Analytic functions, univalent and Bi-univalent functions, neutrosophic Poisson distribution, Chebyshev polynomials, Fekete-Szegő inequality, q -derivative operator.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Main Results	5
3 Concluding Remarks and Observations	8

1. Introduction

We denote by \mathcal{A} the collection of functions, which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1.1)$$

which satisfies the normalized condition $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. We also denote by \mathcal{S} the sub-collection of the set \mathcal{A} consisting of functions which are univalent (one-one) in \mathbb{D} . The Koebe one-quarter theorem [10] asserts that the image of \mathbb{D} under each univalent function f in \mathcal{S} contains a disk of radius $1/4$. According to this, every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse map f^{-1} , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad \{z \in \mathbb{D}\}$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad \left\{ |w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right\},$$

where

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{D} if both f and its inverse f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{D} . Let Σ stand for the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{D} given by (1.1). For more basic results one may refer to [16, 2, 8, 12] and the results by Srivastava et al. [25, 26].

With a view to recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions f and g be analytic in \mathbb{D} . We say that the function f is subordinate to g if there exists a Schwarz function w , which is analytic in \mathbb{D} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1 (z \in \mathbb{D})$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. This subordination relation is denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

It is well known that, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{D} , then (see [18])

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D}).$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C50, 30C45.

Submitted October 13, 2025. Published June 05, 2026.

For $q \in (0, 1)$, the Jackson q -derivative of a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by (see [14,15])

$$D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} & \text{for } z \neq 0, \\ f'(0) & \text{for } z = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

From (1.3), we have

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}$. If $q \rightarrow 1^-$, then $[n]_q \rightarrow n$.

In this paper, we used Chebyshev polynomials and it play a considerable role in numerical analysis. We know that the Chebyshev polynomials are four kinds. The most of books and research articles related to specific orthogonal polynomials of Chebyshev family contain essentially results of Chebyshev polynomials of first and second kinds $T_n(t)$ and $U_n(t)$ and their numerous uses in different applications, see [9,11,17,20]. The Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds are orthogonal for $t \in [-1, 1]$ and defined as follows:

Definition 1.1 The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are defined by the following recurrence relation:

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(t) &= 1, & T_1(t) &= t, \\ T_{n+1}(t) &= 2tT_n(t) - T_{n-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

The first few of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are

$$T_2(t) = 2t^2 - 1, \quad T_3(t) = 4t^3 - 3t, \quad T_4(t) = 8t^4 - 8t^2 + 1, \dots \quad (1.5)$$

The generating function for the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, $T_n(t)$, is given by:

$$F(z, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(t) z^n = \frac{1 - tz}{1 - 2tz + z^2} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Definition 1.2 The Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind are defined by the following recurrence relation:

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(t) &= 1, & U_1(t) &= 2t, \\ U_{n+1}(t) &= 2tU_n(t) - U_{n-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

The first few of the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind are

$$U_2(t) = 4t^2 - 1, \quad U_3(t) = 8t^3 - 4t, \quad U_4(t) = 16t^4 - 12t^2 + 1, \dots \quad (1.6)$$

The generating function for the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, $U_n(t)$, is given by:

$$H(z, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n(t) z^n = \frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds are connected by the following relations:

$$\frac{dT_n(t)}{dt} = nU_{n-1}(t); \quad T_n(t) = U_n(t) - tU_{n-1}(t); \quad 2T_n(t) = U_n(t) - U_{n-2}(t).$$

A variable x is said to have Poisson distribution if it takes the values $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with probabilities

$$e^{-m}, \quad m \frac{e^{-m}}{1!}, \quad m^2 \frac{e^{-m}}{2!}, \quad m^3 \frac{e^{-m}}{3!}, \dots$$

respectively, where m is called the parameter. Thus

$$P(x = r) = \frac{m^r e^{-m}}{r!}, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Recently, Porwal [21] introduced a power series whose coefficients are probabilities of Poisson distribution

$$K(m, z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} z^n \quad \text{for } m > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (1.7)$$

We note that, by ratio test, the radius of convergence of the above series is infinity.

The concept of neutrosophic theory was introduced by Smarandache in 1995. This new field of philosophy is a generalisation of both intrinsic and fuzzy logic. Fuzzy logic is a new branch of philosophy that provides a new foundation for dealing with issues that have indeterminate data (see [23,1,24,13] for neutrosophic numbers) and the references therein. The application of neutrosophic crisp sets theory with the classical probability distributions particularly Poisson distribution, Exponential distribution and uniform distribution, which opens way for handling problems involving the classical distributions and at the same time contain data not specified accurately. Neutrosophic Poisson distribution of a discrete variable X is a classical Poisson distribution of x , but its parameter is imprecise. For example, m can be set with two or more elements. A variable x is said to be a neutrosophic Poisson distribution if it takes values $0, 1, 2, \dots$ the probability $e^{-m_N}, \frac{m_N e^{-m_N}}{1!}, \frac{m_N^2 e^{-m_N}}{2!}, \dots$ respectively and m_N is called distribution parameters which are equal to the expected values and the variance. Hence,

$$NP(x = k) = e^{-m_N} \frac{(m_N)^k}{k!}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

That is

$$NE(x) = NV(x) = m_N$$

and $N = d + I$ (where d is determinate part and I is an indeterminate part) is a neutrosophic statistical number (see [23]). Now we modify (1.7) as follows

$$K(m_N, z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m_N)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m_N} z^n. \quad (1.8)$$

We define a linear operator by

$$I_{m_N} f(z) \equiv K(m_N, z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(m_N)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m_N} a_n z^n \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $*$ denote the convolution (or Hadamard product) of two series.

This linear operator possesses an elegant structure combining exponential generating functions and combinatorial coefficients, with the factor e^{-m_N} suggesting a connection to the Poisson distribution. This form enables the construction of new sub classes of analytic and bi-univalent functions, facilitating the study of coefficient estimates, growth, and distortion properties. The operator's formulation allows for meaningful subordination conditions and links to probabilistic and geometric function theory, thereby enriching the analytical framework and offering deep insight into the behavior of complex functions.

Motivated by earlier studies on bi-univalent functions [12,22,27] and present investigation of bi-univalent functions associated with various polynomials and neutrosophic poisson distribution as well as by many recent works on the Fekete-Szegő functional and other coefficient estimates (see [3,19]). In this work we present and investigate a new subclass $\mathfrak{N}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \beta, m_N, t, q)$ of the function class Σ involving q -derivative operator related with Neutrosophic-poisson distribution and Chebyshev polynomials.

Definition 1.3 For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $t \in (0, 1]$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, m_N, t)$ if it satisfies the subordinations

$$(1 - \alpha) \left[\beta \frac{(z(I_{m_N} f(z)))'}{(I_{m_N} f(z))'} + (1 - \beta) \frac{z(I_{m_N} f(z))'}{I_{m_N} f(z)} \right] + \alpha \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)z(I_{m_N} f(z))' + \beta z(z(I_{m_N} f(z)))'}{(1 - \beta)I_{m_N} f(z) + \beta z(I_{m_N} f(z))'} \right] \\ \prec \frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} =: H(z, t)$$

and

$$(1 - \alpha) \left[\beta \frac{(w(I_{m_N} g(w)))'}{(I_{m_N} g(w))'} + (1 - \beta) \frac{w(I_{m_N} g(w))'}{I_{m_N} g(w)} \right] + \alpha \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)w(I_{m_N} g(w))' + \beta w(w(I_{m_N} g(w)))'}{(1 - \beta)I_{m_N} g(w) + \beta w(I_{m_N} g(w))'} \right] \\ \prec \frac{1}{1 - 2tw + w^2} =: H(w, t)$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2).

The q -analogue to the function class $\mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, m_N, t)$ is provided in the following manner:

Definition 1.4 For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < q < 1$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, m_N, t, q)$ if it satisfies the subordinations

$$(1 - \alpha) \left[\beta \frac{D_q(zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z)))}{D_q(I_{m_N} f(z))} + (1 - \beta) \frac{zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z))}{I_{m_N} f(z)} \right] + \alpha \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z)) + \beta zD_q(zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z)))}{(1 - \beta)I_{m_N} f(z) + \beta zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z))} \right] \\ \prec H(z, t)$$

and

$$(1 - \alpha) \left[\beta \frac{D_q(wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w)))}{D_q(I_{m_N} g(w))} + (1 - \beta) \frac{wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w))}{I_{m_N} g(w)} \right] + \alpha \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w)) + \beta wD_q(wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w)))}{(1 - \beta)I_{m_N} g(w) + \beta wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w))} \right] \\ \prec H(w, t)$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2).

Using the suitably fixed parameters α and β , we state the following subclasses :

Definition 1.5 For $\alpha = 0$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < q < 1$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\beta, m_N, t, q)$ if it satisfies the subordination

$$\left[\beta \frac{D_q(zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z)))}{D_q(I_{m_N} f(z))} + (1 - \beta) \frac{zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z))}{I_{m_N} f(z)} \right] \prec \frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} =: H(z, t)$$

and

$$\left[\beta \frac{D_q(wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w)))}{D_q(I_{m_N} g(w))} + (1 - \beta) \frac{wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w))}{I_{m_N} g(w)} \right] \prec \frac{1}{1 - 2tw + w^2} =: H(w, t)$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2).

Definition 1.6 For $\alpha = 1$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < q < 1$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{B}_\Sigma(\beta, m_N, t, q)$ if it satisfies the subordination

$$\left[\frac{(1 - \beta)zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z)) + \beta zD_q(zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z)))}{(1 - \beta)I_{m_N} f(z) + \beta zD_q(I_{m_N} f(z))} \right] \prec \frac{1}{1 - 2tz + z^2} =: H(z, t)$$

and

$$\left[\frac{(1 - \beta)wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w)) + \beta wD_q(wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w)))}{(1 - \beta)I_{m_N} g(w) + \beta wD_q(I_{m_N} g(w))} \right] \prec \frac{1}{1 - 2tw + w^2} =: H(w, t).$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2).

Definition 1.7 For $\alpha = 0$ or 1 , $\beta = 0$, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < q < 1$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{S}_\Sigma(m_N, t, q)$ if it satisfies the subordinations

$$\left[\frac{zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z))}{I_{m_N}f(z)} \right] \prec \frac{1}{1-2tz+z^2} =: H(z, t)$$

and

$$\left[\frac{wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w))}{I_{m_N}g(w)} \right] \prec \frac{1}{1-2tw+w^2} =: H(w, t).$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2)

Definition 1.8 For $\alpha = 0$ or 1 , $\beta = 1$, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < q < 1$, a function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{K}_\Sigma(m_N, t, q)$ if it satisfies the subordinations

$$\left[\frac{D_q(zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)))}{D_q(I_{m_N}f(z))} \right] \prec \frac{1}{1-2tz+z^2} =: H(z, t)$$

and

$$\left[\frac{D_q(wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)))}{D_q(I_{m_N}g(w))} \right] \prec \frac{1}{1-2tw+w^2} =: H(w, t)$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is given by (1.2).

2. Main Results

In this section, we determine the certain coefficient estimates and the Fekete–Szegő-type inequalities for functions in the class $\mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, m_N, t, q)$.

Theorem 2.1 For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < q < 1$, let $f \in \mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, m_N, t, q)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2t\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{\left\{ \frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} (q+q^2)[1+\beta(q+q^2)] - \varphi(m_N, q) \right\} 4t^2 + q^2 m_N^2 e^{-m_N} [1+\beta q]^2}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4t}{m_N^2 e^{-m_N} (q+q^2)[1+\beta(q+q^2)]} + \frac{8t^2}{m_N^2 e^{-m_N} q^2 [1+\beta q]^2}.$$

where

$$\varphi(m_N, q) = qm_N^2 e^{-m_N} ((1-\alpha)[1+\beta(q^2+2q)] + (\alpha+q)(1+\beta q)^2) \quad (2.1)$$

Proof: Let $f \in \mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, m_N t, q)$. Then there are two analytic functions $u, v : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$(1-\alpha) \left[\beta \frac{D_q(zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)))}{D_q(I_{m_N}f(z))} + (1-\beta) \frac{zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z))}{I_{m_N}f(z)} \right] + \alpha \left[\frac{(1-\beta)zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)) + \beta zD_q(zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)))}{(1-\beta)I_{m_N}f(z) + \beta zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z))} \right] = H(u(z), t)$$

and

$$(1-\alpha) \left[\beta \frac{D_q(wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)))}{D_q(I_{m_N}g(w))} + (1-\beta) \frac{wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w))}{I_{m_N}g(w)} \right] + \alpha \left[\frac{(1-\beta)wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)) + \beta wD_q(wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)))}{(1-\beta)I_{m_N}g(w) + \beta wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w))} \right] = H(v(w), t),$$

where the analytic functions u and v are given by

$$u(z) = u_1 z + u_2 z^2 + u_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$v(w) = v_1 w + v_2 w^2 + v_3 w^3 + \dots, \quad (2.3)$$

with $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ and $\max\{|u(z)|, |v(w)|\} < 1$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$.

Or, equivalently, that

$$(1-\alpha) \left[\beta \frac{D_q(zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)))}{D_q(I_{m_N}f(z))} + (1-\beta) \frac{zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z))}{I_{m_N}f(z)} \right] + \alpha \left[\frac{(1-\beta)zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)) + \beta zD_q(zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)))}{(1-\beta)I_{m_N}f(z) + \beta zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z))} \right] = 1 + U_1(t)u(z) + U_2(t)u^2(z) + \dots \quad (2.4)$$

$$(1 - \alpha) \left[\beta \frac{D_q(wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)))}{D_q(I_{m_N}g(w))} + (1 - \beta) \frac{wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w))}{I_{m_N}g(w)} \right] + \alpha \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)) + \beta wD_q(wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)))}{(1 - \beta)I_{m_N}g(w) + \beta wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w))} \right] \\ = 1 + U_1(t)v(w) + U_2(t)v^2(w) + \dots \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5), we have

$$(1 - \alpha) \left[\beta \frac{D_q(zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)))}{D_q(I_{m_N}f(z))} + (1 - \beta) \frac{zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z))}{I_{m_N}f(z)} \right] \\ + \alpha \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)) + \beta zD_q(zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z)))}{(1 - \beta)I_{m_N}f(z) + \beta zD_q(I_{m_N}f(z))} \right] \quad (2.6) \\ = 1 + U_1(t)u_1z + [U_1(t)u_2 + U_2(t)u_1^2]z^2 \dots$$

and

$$(1 - \alpha) \left[\beta \frac{D_q(wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)))}{D_q(I_{m_N}g(w))} + (1 - \beta) \frac{wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w))}{I_{m_N}g(w)} \right] + \alpha \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)) + \beta wD_q(wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w)))}{(1 - \beta)I_{m_N}g(w) + \beta wD_q(I_{m_N}g(w))} \right] \\ = 1 + U_1(t)v_1w + [U_1(t)v_2 + U_2(t)v_1^2]w^2 + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

It is well known that, if

$$\max\{|u(z)|, |v(w)|\} < 1, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{D},$$

then

$$|u_j| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |v_j| \leq 1 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.8)$$

Now, by comparing the corresponding coefficients in (2.6) and (2.7) and after some simplification, we get

$$m_N e^{-m_N} q [1 + \beta q] a_2 = U_1(t) u_1, \quad (2.9)$$

$$q \frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} \{1 + \beta(q + q^2)\} [2]_q a_3 - q m_N^2 e^{-m_N} \{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \beta(q^2 + 2q)) + \alpha(1 + \beta q)^2\} a_2^2 \quad (2.10) \\ = U_1(t) u_2 + U_2(t) u_1^2,$$

$$-m_N e^{-m_N} q [1 + \beta q] a_2 = U_1(t) v_1 \quad (2.11)$$

$$q \frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} [1 + \beta(q + q^2)] [2]_q (2a_2^2 - a_3) - q m_N^2 e^{-m_N} \{(1 - \alpha)(1 + \beta(q^2 + 2q)) + \alpha(1 + \beta q)^2\} a_2^2 \quad (2.12) \\ = U_1(t) v_2 + U_2(t) v_1^2.$$

It follows from (2.9) and (2.11)

$$u_1 = -v_1 \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$2m_N^2 e^{-m_N} q^2 [1 + \beta q]^2 a_2^2 = (U_1(t))^2 (u_1^2 + v_1^2). \quad (2.14)$$

If we add (2.10) and (2.12), we get

$$2q \left\{ \frac{m_N^2}{2!} e^{-m_N} [1 + \beta(q + q^2)] [2]_q - m_N^2 e^{-m_N} \{(1 - \alpha)[1 + \beta(q^2 + 2q)] + \alpha(1 + \beta q)^2\} \right\} a_2^2 \quad (2.15) \\ = U_1(t)(u_2 + v_2) + U_2(t)(u_1^2 + v_1^2).$$

We substitute the value of $u_1^2 + v_1^2$ from (2.14) into the right-hand side of (2.15), it reduce the following relation

$$2q \left\{ \frac{m_N^2}{2!} e^{-m_N} [1 + \beta(q + q^2)] [2]_q - m_N^2 e^{-m_N} \{(1 - \alpha)[1 + \beta(q^2 + 2q)] + \alpha(1 + \beta q)^2\} \right\} (U_1(t))^2 a_2^2 \\ - 2m_N^2 e^{-m_N} q^2 (1 + \beta q)^2 U_2(t) a_2^2 = (U_1(t))^3 (u_2 + v_2),$$

it is equivalent to

$$a_2^2 = \left[\frac{(U_1(t))^3(u_2 + v_2)}{2q \left\{ \frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} [1 + \beta(q + q^2)][2]_q - m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} ((1 - \alpha)[1 + \beta(q^2 + 2q)] + \alpha[1 + \beta q^2]) \right\} (U_1(t))^2 - 2q^2 m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} [1 + \beta q]^2 U_2(t)} \right] \quad (2.16)$$

From (2.16), we use the relations (1.6) and (2.8) and obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2t\sqrt{2t}}{\sqrt{\left\{ \frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)] - \varphi(m_N, q) \right\} 4t^2 + q^2 m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} [1 + \beta q]^2}}$$

where $\varphi(m_N, q)$ is given by (2.1). Now, we subtract (2.12) from (2.10) and get the following relation

$$m_N^2 e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)](a_3 - a_2^2) = U_1(t)(u_2 - v_2) + U_2(t)(u_1^2 - v_1^2). \quad (2.17)$$

From (2.17), we use the relations that (2.13) and (2.14) and obtain

$$a_3 = \frac{U_1(t)(u_2 - v_2)}{m_N^2 e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)]} + \frac{(U_1(t))^2(u_1^2 + v_1^2)}{m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} q^2 [1 + \beta q]^2}.$$

Thus by applying (1.6), we obtain

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4t}{m_N^2 e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)]} + \frac{8t^2}{m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} q^2 [1 + \beta q]^2}.$$

□

In the next theorem, we present the Fekete-Szegő inequality for the class $\mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, m_N, t, q)$.

Theorem 2.2 For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $t \in (0, 1]$, $0 < q < 1$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be in the class $\mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, m_N, t, q)$. Then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2t}{\frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)]}; & |\mu - 1| \leq \aleph \\ \frac{8t^3 |\mu - 1|}{\left\{ \frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)] - \varphi(m_N, q) \right\} 4t^2 + q^2 m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} [1 + \beta q]^2}; & |\mu - 1| \geq \aleph. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\aleph = \frac{\left| \frac{m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} q^2 [1 + \beta q]^2}{4t^2} + \frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)] - \varphi(m_N, q) \right|}{\frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)]}$$

Proof: It follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{U_1(t)(u_2 - v_2)}{m_N^2 e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)]} + (1 - \mu) a_2^2 \\ &= \frac{U_1(t)(u_2 - v_2)}{m_N^2 e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)]} \\ &+ \left[\frac{(U_1(t))^3(u_2 + v_2)(1 - \mu)}{2q \left\{ \frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} [1 + \beta(q + q^2)][2]_q - m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} ((1 - \alpha)[1 + \beta(q^2 + 2q)] + \alpha[1 + \beta q^2]) \right\} (U_1(t))^2 - 2q^2 m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} [1 + \beta q]^2 U_2(t)} \right] \\ &= \frac{U_1(t)}{2} \left[\left(\vartheta(\mu, t) + \frac{1}{\frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)]} \right) u_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\vartheta(\mu, t) - \frac{1}{\frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N} (q + q^2)[1 + \beta(q + q^2)]} \right) v_2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\vartheta(\mu, t) = \frac{(1 - \mu)4t^2}{\left\{ \frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N(q+q^2)}[1 + \beta(q+q^2)] - \varphi(m_N, q) \right\} 4t^2 + q^2 m_N^2 e^{-m_N^2} [1 + \beta q]^2}.$$

Then, in view (1.6), we conclude that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2t}{\frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N(q+q^2)}[1+\beta(q+q^2)]}; & 0 \leq \vartheta(\mu, t) \leq \frac{1}{\frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N(q+q^2)}[1+\beta(q+q^2)]} \\ 2t|\vartheta(\mu, t)|; & |\vartheta(\mu, t)| \geq \frac{1}{\frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N(q+q^2)}[1+\beta(q+q^2)]}. \end{cases}$$

□

Taking $\mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.2, we led to the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1 For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $t \in (0, 1]$, $0 < q < 1$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be in the class $\mathfrak{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \beta, m_N, t, q)$. Then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2t}{\frac{m_N^2}{2} e^{-m_N(q+q^2)}[1 + \beta(q+q^2)]}.$$

3. Concluding Remarks and Observations

In the present paper, we obtained the upper bounds of initial Taylor coefficients of a new class of bi-univalent functions connected with the Neutrosophic Poisson distribution and Chebyshev polynomials. Also, we discussed the Fekete-Szegő inequality. Further, by fixing $\alpha = 0$, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, and $\beta = 1$, we can state the above results for function classes given by the definitions 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, and 1.8.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referees for their careful review and valuable feedback, which have contributed to the improvement of this manuscript.

References

1. I. T. Awolere and A.T. Oladipo, Application of neutrosophic poisson probability distribution series for certain subclass of analytic univalent function, *TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math.*, **13(3)**, (2023), 1042-1052.
2. D. A. Brannan and T.S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Stud. Univ. Babe,s-Bolyai Math.*, **31**, (1986), 70-77.
3. S. Bulut, Coefficient estimates for a subclass of meromorphic bi-univalent functions defined by subordination, *Stud. Univ. Babe,s-Bolyai Math.*, **65**, (2020), 57-66.
4. Nanjundan Magesh and Serap Bulut, Chebyshev polynomial coefficient estimates for a class of analytic bi-univalent functions related to pseudo-starlike functions, *Afrika Matematika*, **29**, 203-209, 2018.
5. Serap Bulut, Nanjundan Magesh and Vittalrao Kupparao Balaji, Initial bounds for analytic and bi-univalent functions by means of Chebyshev polynomials, *Journal of Classical Analysis*, **Vol.11**, Number 1 (2017), 83-89.
6. Murat Caglar, Halit Orhan and Muhammet Kamali, Fekete-Szegő Problem for a Subclass of Analytic Functions Associated with Chebyshev Polynomials, *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, **(3s.)v. 2022 (40)**:1-6.
7. Şahsene Altınkaya & Sibel Yalçın, Poisson Distribution Series for Analytic Univalent Functions, *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, **Vol. 12**, pages 1315-1319, (2018).
8. E. Deniz, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions, *J. Class. Anal.*, **2**, (2013), 49-60.
9. E. H. Doha, The first and second kind Chebyshev coefficients of the moments of the general order derivative of an infinitely differentiable function, *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, **51**, (1944), 21-35.
10. P.L. Duren, Univalent functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, *Springer- Verlag, New york*, (1983).
11. J. Dziok, R.K. Raina and J. Sokol, Application of Chebyshev polynomials to classes of analytic functions, *CR Acad. Sci.*, **353**, (2015), 433-438.
12. B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **24**, (2011), 1569-1573.
13. A. M. Gbolagade, I. T. Awolere, O. Adeyemo, and A. T. Oladipo, Application of the neutrosophic poisson distribution series on the harmonic subclass of analytic functions using the Salagean derivative operator, *NSWA*, **23**, (2024).

14. F.H. Jackson, On q-functions and a certain difference operator, *Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinb.*, **46**, (1908), 253-281.
15. F.H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals, *J. Appl. Math.*, **41 (15)**, (1910), 193-203.
16. M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **18**, (1967), 63-68.
17. J. Mason, Chebyshev polynomial approximations for the L-membrane eigenvalue problem, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **15 (1)**, (1967), 172-186.
18. S. S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations theory and applications, *Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **225**, (2000).
19. G. Murugusundaramoorthy, H.O. Guney, and K. Vijaya, Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of Biprestarlike functions associated with the Gegenbauer polynomial, *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.*, **32**, (2022), 5-15.
20. H. Özlem Güney and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, New classes of pseudo-type bi-univalent functions, *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas*, **114 (65)**, (2020).
21. S. Porwal, An application of a Poisson distribution series on certain analytic functions, *J. Complex Anal.*, (2014), 1-3.
22. Qing-Hua Xu, Ying Chun, Gui, and H.M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **25**, (2012), 990-994.
23. Rafif Alhabib, Moustafa Mzher Ranna, Haitham Farah and A. A. Salama, Some neutrosophic probability distributions, *Neutrosophic Sets Syst.*, **22**, (2018), 30-37.
24. S. Santhiya, and K. Thilagavathi, Applications of the neutrosophic poisson distribution for bi-univalent functions involving the modified caputo's derivative operator, *Fractal fract.*, **7 (1)**, (2023).
25. H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra, and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **23**, (2010), 1188-1192.
26. H. M. Srivastava, A.K. Wanas, and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, A certain family of bi-univalent functions associated with the Pascal distribution series based upon the Horadam polynomials, *Surv. Math. Appl.*, **16**, (2021), 193-205.
27. Q.H. Xu, H.G. Xiao and H.M. Srivastava, A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218**, (2012), 11461-11465.

P. Nandini,
 Department of mathematics,
 JSS Academy of Technical Education, Srinivaspura
 Bengaluru - 560 060
 INDIA.
 E-mail address: pnandinimaths@gmail.com

and

Annapoorna S.,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Vidyavardhaka College of Engineering, Gokulam 3rd stage,
 Mysore-570002 ,
 India.
 E-mail address: Annapoorna.S@vvce.ac.in

and

Dileep L.,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Vidyavardhaka College of Engineering, Gokulam 3rd stage,
 Mysore-570002 ,
 India.
 E-mail address: dileepL84@vvce.ac.in

and

N. L. Sharma,

Department of Mathematics
Gati Shakthi Vishwavidyalaya
Vadodara, Gujarat-390 004
India.
E-mail address: nlsharma@gsv.ac.in

and

Latha S.,
Department of Mathematics
Former Professor, Yuvaraja's College
University of Mysore
Mysore - 570 005
INDIA.
E-mail address: drlatha@gmail.com