



Applications of Fuzzy Maximal μ -Open sets in Generalized Fuzzy Topology and Fuzzy Quasi Topology

A. Swaminathan and Margaret Sheela

ABSTRACT: This work explores key characteristics of fuzzy maximal μ -open sets in the setting of a generalized fuzzy topological space. A decomposition theorem for fuzzy maximal μ -open sets is presented along with fundamental results regarding their intersections. In addition, a formulation consistent with the fuzzy μ -radical μ -closure is established in the framework of quasi-fuzzy topological spaces other related findings.

Keywords: Fuzzy maximal μ -open, fuzzy μ -radical, fuzzy quasi topology.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Characteristics of Fuzzy μ-Radicals	1
3 Fuzzy μ-Radical and μ-Closure in Fuzzy Quasi Topology	3

1. Introduction

Chang [1] presented fuzzy topology after the discovery of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [7]. The notion of generalized topology proposed by Császár in [3]. Let I^X denote fuzzy space. A fuzzy subcollection μ of I^X is called a generalized fuzzy topology on X if $0 \in \mu$ and $\bigvee \{\xi_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta\} \in \mu$. A fuzzy set $\xi \in \mu$ is called fuzzy μ -open [2]. The complement of fuzzy μ -open set is called fuzzy μ -closed of (X, μ) . A fuzzy set ξ of X , the intersection of all fuzzy μ -closed sets containing ξ is the generalized fuzzy closure of λ and is denoted by $c_\mu(\xi)$. Also for a fuzzy set ξ of X , the union of all fuzzy μ -open sets contained in ξ is the generalized fuzzy interior of ξ and is denoted by $i_\mu(\xi)$. For our better understanding, a fuzzy set ξ of X is fuzzy μ -open (resp. fuzzy μ -closed) if and only if $\xi = i_\mu(\xi)$ (resp. fuzzy $\xi = c_\mu(\xi)$). Also for a fuzzy set ξ of X , we have $c_\mu(\xi) = 1 - i_\mu(\xi)$ [2]. It can be noted that the operators i_μ and c_μ possess both the *idempotence* and *monotonicity* properties. Let $\gamma : I^X \rightarrow I^X$ be an operator on the collection I^X of all fuzzy subsets of X . The operator γ is called idempotent if for every $\xi \in I^X$, $\gamma(\gamma(\xi)) = \gamma(\xi)$ and is called monotonic if for all $\xi, \zeta \in I^X$ with $\xi \leq \zeta$, it holds that $\gamma(\xi) \leq \gamma(\zeta)$. It is known that if μ is a generalized fuzzy topology on X , $\xi \subseteq X$ and $x_\alpha \in X$, then $x_\alpha \in c_\mu(\xi)$ iff $x_\alpha \in \vartheta \in \mu \Rightarrow \vartheta \cap \xi \neq 0$ and also $c_\mu(X \setminus \xi) = X \setminus i_\mu(\xi)$. Moreover $x_\alpha \in i_\mu(\xi)$ if and only if there exists a fuzzy μ -open set λ such that $x_\alpha \in \lambda$ and $\lambda \subseteq \xi$ [2].

The purpose of this study is to explore various properties of fuzzy μ -radicals corresponding to fuzzy maximal μ -open sets. A decomposition theorem for fuzzy maximal μ -open sets is established which is then applied to obtain a sufficient condition for the existence of such sets. In addition, the fuzzy μ -closures of the fuzzy μ -radicals of fuzzy maximal μ -open sets are investigated, leading to the formulation of the law of fuzzy μ -radical μ -closure.

2. Characteristics of Fuzzy μ -Radicals

Definition 2.1 [6] A proper nonempty fuzzy μ -open set $\xi \subseteq X$ is said to be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set if there does not exist any fuzzy μ -open set ζ such that $\xi \subsetneq \zeta \subsetneq X$.

Theorem 2.1 [6] Let ξ and ζ be two fuzzy maximal μ -open sets in X . Then $\xi = \zeta$ or $\xi \cup \zeta = X$.

Definition 2.2 Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_m : m \in \Omega\}$ be a family of fuzzy maximal μ -open sets in a generalized fuzzy topological space (X, μ) . Then $\bigcap \mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m$ is called the fuzzy μ -radical of \mathcal{G} .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54A40, 03E72.

Submitted February 07, 2026. Published May 02, 2026.

Theorem 2.2 Let (X, μ) be a GFTS and let G_m be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for each $m \in \Omega$ where $|\Omega| \geq 2$ and $G_m \neq G_n$ for $m \neq n$. Then

- (i) $X \setminus (\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m) \subseteq G_n$ for any $n \in \Omega$.
- (ii) $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m \neq \emptyset$ for any $n \in \Omega$.

Proof: (i) Let n be any element of Ω . Then by Theorem 2.1, we have $X \setminus G_n \subseteq G_m$ for any element m of Ω with $m \neq n$. Hence $X \setminus G_n \subseteq \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m$. Thus $X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m \subseteq G_n$.

(ii) If $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m = 0$, then by (i) above $X = G_n$. But this contradicts the fact that G_n is a fuzzy maximal μ -open set. Therefore $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m \neq 0$. \square

Corollary 2.1 Let X be a GFTS and let G_m be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for each element m of Ω and $G_m \neq G_n$ for any elements $m, n \in \Omega$ with $m \neq n$. Then $G_m \cap G_n \neq 0$ for any two elements $m, n \in \Omega$ with $m \neq n$.

Proof: This assertion is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2(ii). \square

Theorem 2.3 Let X be a GFTS and let G_m be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for every $m \in \Omega$ with $|\Omega| \geq 2$ and $G_m \neq G_n$ for any distinct $m, n \in \Omega$. Then $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m \not\subseteq G_n$ and $G_n \not\subseteq \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m$ for any $n \in \Omega$.

Proof: Let n be any element of Ω . If $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m \subseteq G_n$, then

$X = \left(X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m \right) \subseteq G_n$ (by Theorem 2.2). Hence $G_m = X$. This contradicts the fact that G_n is a fuzzy maximal μ -open. Now if $G_n \subseteq \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m$, then we have $G_n \subseteq G_m$ for each $m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}$ and hence $G_n = G_m$ for any $m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}$ (as G_n is fuzzy maximal μ -open). Thus, we obtain a contradiction with the fact that $G_m \neq G_n$ for $m \neq n$. \square

Corollary 2.2 Let (X, μ) be a GFTS and G_m be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for each $m \in \Omega$ and $G_m \neq G_n$ for any distinct m, n in Ω . If $\Gamma \subsetneq \Omega$, then $\bigcap_{m \in (\Omega \setminus \Gamma)} G_m \not\subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \Gamma} G_n$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \Gamma} G_n \not\subseteq \bigcap_{m \in (\Omega \setminus \Gamma)} G_m$.

Proof: For $n \in \Gamma$, we have $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma} G_m = \bigcap_{m \in (\Omega \setminus \Gamma) \cup \{n\} \setminus \{n\}} G_m \not\subseteq G_n$ (by Theorem 2.3). Thus $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma} G_m \not\subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \Gamma} G_n$. Now $\bigcap_{n \in \Gamma} G_n = \bigcap_{n \in \Omega \setminus (\Omega \setminus \Gamma)} G_m \not\subseteq \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma} G_m$ and hence $\bigcap_{n \in \Gamma} G_n \not\subseteq \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma} G_m$. \square

Theorem 2.4 Let (X, μ) be a GFTS and G_m be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for each $m \in \Omega$ and $G_m \neq G_n$ for any $m, n \in \Omega$ where $m \neq n$. If $\Gamma \subsetneq \Omega$, then $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m \subsetneq \bigcap_{n \in \Gamma} G_n$.

Proof: It is obvious that $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m = (\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma} G_m) \cap (\bigcap_{n \in \Gamma} G_n) \subsetneq \bigcap_{n \in \Gamma} G_n$ (by Corollary 2.2). \square

Theorem 2.5 (Decomposition Theorem): Let (X, μ) be a GFTS and G_m be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for each $m \in \Omega$ where $|\Omega| \geq 2$ and $G_m \neq G_n$ for all $m \neq n \in \Omega$. Then $G_n = (\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) \cup (X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \{n\}} G_m)$ for any $n \in \Omega$.

Proof: Now $(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) \cup (X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m) = ((\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m) \cap G_n) \cup (X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m)$
 $= ((\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m) \cup (X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m)) \cap (G_m \cup (X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m)) = X \cap (G_n \cup (X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m))$
 $= G_n \cup (X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m) = G_n$ (by Theorem 2.2). \square

As an explanation of Theorem 2.5, an alternate proof of Theorem 2.4 as follows:

Proof: As $0 \neq \Gamma \subsetneq \Omega$, there exists an element ξ of Ω such that $\xi \notin \Gamma$ and an element n of Γ . If $|\Gamma| = 1$, then we have $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m \subseteq G_n$. If $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m = G_n$, then we have $G_n \subseteq G_m$ for any element m of Ω . Since G_m is a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for any element m of Ω , we have $G_n = G_m$ which contradicts our assumption.

Hence, we have $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m \subsetneq G_n$. If $|\Gamma| \geq 2$, then by Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\xi &= (\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) \cup (X \setminus \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{\xi\}} G_m) \\ G_n &= (\bigcap_{\zeta \in \Gamma} G_\zeta) \cup (X \setminus \bigcap_{\zeta \in \Gamma \setminus \{n\}} G_\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

If $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m = \bigcap_{\zeta \in \Gamma} G_\zeta$, then $\bigcap_{\zeta \in \Gamma} G_\zeta = \bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m \subseteq \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{\xi\}} G_m \subseteq \bigcap_{\zeta \in \Gamma} G_\zeta$. Now $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{\xi\}} G_m = \bigcap_{\zeta \in \Gamma} G_\zeta$. So $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{\xi\}} G_m = \bigcap_{\zeta \in \Gamma} G_\zeta \subseteq \bigcap_{\zeta \in \Gamma \setminus \{n\}} G_\zeta$. Therefore $G_\xi \supseteq G_n$ which gives $G_\xi = G_n$ with $\xi \neq n$. This contradicts our assumption. \square

The next Theorem gives a description of fuzzy maximal μ -open sets.

Theorem 2.6 Let (X, μ) be a GFTS and G_m be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for each $m \in \Omega$ with $|\Omega| \geq 2$, $G_m \neq G_n$ for any $m, n \in \Omega$ with $m \neq n$. If $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m = 0$, then $\{G_m : m \in \Omega\}$ is the set of all fuzzy maximal μ -open sets of X .

Proof: Assume if possible G_m be another fuzzy maximal μ -open set of X which is not equal to G_m for any $m \in \Omega$. Then $0 = \bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m = \bigcap_{m \in (\Omega \cup \{\xi\}) \setminus \{\xi\}} G_m$. By Theorem 2.2, we look $\bigcap_{m \in (\Omega \cup \xi)} G_m \neq 0$. This contradicts our assumption. \square

Example 2.1 Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and the fuzzy sets are $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{0}{d}$; $\gamma_2 = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}$; $\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c} + \frac{1}{d}$; We define GFT $\mu = \{0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, 1\}$ on X . Now (X, μ) is a GFTS. As $\bigcap \{\gamma_k : k \in \Delta\} = 0$, we conclude from Theorem 2.6 that all γ_k are fuzzy maximal μ -open sets for any k .

3. Fuzzy μ -Radical and μ -Closure in Fuzzy Quasi Topology

Definition 3.1 A GFT μ is said to be a fuzzy quasi topology (briefly FQT) if for $\xi, \xi' \in \mu \Rightarrow \xi \cap \xi' \in \mu$.

The pair (X, μ) is said to be a FQTS if μ is a FQT on X .

Proposition 3.1 Let μ be a fuzzy quasi topology (FQT) and ξ, ζ be two fuzzy subsets of X . If $\xi \cup \zeta = X$, $\xi \cap \zeta$ is a fuzzy μ -closed set and ξ is a fuzzy μ -open set, then ζ is a fuzzy μ -closed set.

Proof: As $X \setminus \xi \subseteq \zeta$, it follows that $(\xi \cap \zeta) \cup (X \setminus \xi) = (\xi \cup (X \setminus \zeta)) \cap (\zeta \cup (X \setminus \xi)) = \zeta \cup (X \setminus \xi) = \zeta$. Moreover, both $\xi \cap \zeta$ and $X \setminus \xi$ are fuzzy μ -closed. As (X, μ) is a FQTS, we conclude that ζ is fuzzy μ -closed. \square

Proposition 3.2 Let (X, μ) be a GFTS and let for each $m \in \Omega$, G_m be a fuzzy μ -open set for all $m \neq n \in \Omega$, we have $G_m \cup G_n = X$. If $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m$ is a fuzzy μ -closed set, then $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m$ is a fuzzy μ -closed set for any $n \in \Omega$.

Proof: Since $G_m \cup G_n = X$ for any element m of Ω with $m \neq n$, $G_n \cup (\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m) = \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} (G_n \cup G_m) = X$, As by Proposition 3.1, $G_n \cap (\bigcap_{m \in \{n\} \setminus \{n\}} G_m) = \bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m$ is a fuzzy μ -closed set. Hence $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m$ is fuzzy μ -closed for any element n of Ω . \square

Theorem 3.1 Let μ be a FQTS on X and let for each $m \in \Omega$, G_m be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set. If $G_m \neq G_n$ for $m \neq n \in \Omega$ and $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m$ is a fuzzy μ -closed set, then $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m$ is a fuzzy μ -closed set.

Proof: By Theorem 2.2, we obtain $G_m \cup G_n = X$ for any $m, n \in \Omega$ with $m \neq n$. Hence, by Proposition 3.2, the set $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m$ is fuzzy μ -closed. However, the preceding three results do not hold in a GFTS, as demonstrated in the following Example. \square

Example 3.1 Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and the fuzzy sets are $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{0}{d}$; $\gamma_2 = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}$; $\gamma_3 = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{0}{d}$; $\gamma_4 = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c} + \frac{1}{d}$; We define GFT $\mu = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4\}$ on X . Now (X, μ) is a GFTS. Now γ_1 and γ_4 are two fuzzy maximal μ -open sets in X and $\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_4 = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c} + \frac{0}{d}$ is fuzzy μ -closed but γ_1 is not so.

Theorem 3.2 If μ is a FQT on X , then c_μ is fuzzy μ -friendly. In other words for $\xi \subseteq X$ and $\beta \in \mu$, $c_\mu(\xi) \cap \beta \subseteq c_\mu(\xi \cap \beta)$.

We also recall from [6] that for any fuzzy maximal μ -open set ξ in GFTS (X, μ) , either $c_\mu(\xi) = X$ or $c_\mu(\xi) = \xi$.

Theorem 3.3 Let G_m denote the fuzzy maximal μ -open set in a FQTS (X, μ) for each element m of a finite set Ω . If $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) \neq X$, then there exists some $m \in \Omega$ such that $c_\mu(G_m) = G_m$.

Proof: Consider $c_\mu(G_m) = X$ for every $m \in \Omega$. Let n be an arbitrary element of Ω . Since $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m$ is fuzzy μ -open, we obtain $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) = c_\mu\left(\left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m\right) \cap G_n\right) \supseteq \left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m\right) \cap c_\mu(G_n)$, by Theorem 3.2. Therefore, $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) \supseteq \bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m$. Hence, $c_\mu\left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m\right) \subseteq c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m)$. On the other hand, since c_μ is monotone, we also have $c_\mu\left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m\right) \supseteq c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m)$. Thus, $c_\mu\left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \{n\}} G_m\right) = c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m)$. By induction on the elements of Ω , it follows that $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) = c_\mu(G_m) = X$ for all $m \in \Omega$ which contradicts the initial assumption. Hence $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) \neq X$. Consequently, there exists some $m \in \Omega$ such that $c_\mu(G_m) = G_m$. \square

This theorem does not necessarily apply when Ω is infinite as seen in the following example. Furthermore, an additional example illustrates that the outcome is not true in a GFTS.

Example 3.2 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$. The fuzzy sets are $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{0}{c}$; $\beta_2 = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$ and $\beta_3 = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$. We define GFT $\mu = \{0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, 1\}$ on X and (X, μ) is a GFTS. It is easy to see that for fuzzy collection $\{\beta_i : i \in I\}$, we have $c_\mu(\bigcap_{i \in I} \beta_i) \neq X$ for any i .

The fuzzy μ -radical of fuzzy maximal μ -open sets have the following significance property.

Theorem 3.4 (Law of fuzzy μ -radical and fuzzy μ -closure) Let Ω be a finite set and let G_m is a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for each $m \in \Omega$ in FQTS. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$ be a fuzzy subset such that $c_\mu(G_m) = G_m$ for all $m \in \Gamma$ and $c_\mu(G_m) = X$ for all $m \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma$. Then $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) = \bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m$, and also if $\Gamma = \emptyset$, then the right-hand side is X .

Proof: If $\Gamma = \emptyset$, then it holds from Theorem 3.3. If

$\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) = c_\mu\left(\left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma} G_m\right)\right) \supseteq \left(\bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m\right) \cap c_\mu\left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma} G_m\right)$
 $= \bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m \cap X = \bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m$ by Theorem 3.2 and since $\bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m$ is a fuzzy μ -open set. So $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) = c_\mu(c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m)) \supseteq c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m)$. Again since $\left(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m$, then $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) \subseteq c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m)$. Thus $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) = c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m)$. The fuzzy μ -radical $\bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m$ is a fuzzy μ -closed set since G_m is fuzzy μ -closed for any $m \in \Gamma$ by our assumption. Hence we conclude that $c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) = \bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m$. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 3.4, we establish the succeeding Theorem.

Theorem 3.5 Let μ be a FQT on X and G_m be a fuzzy maximal μ -open set for each element $m \in \Omega$ and if $G_m \neq G_n$ for any distinct elements $m, n \in \Omega$. If $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m$ is a fuzzy μ -closed set, then G_m is fuzzy μ -closed for each $m \in \Omega$.

Proof: Assume that Γ be a fuzzy subset of Ω . Then $c_\mu(G_m) = G_m$ for any $m \in \Gamma$ and $c_\mu(G_m) = X$ for any $m \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma$. By assumption, the fuzzy μ -radical $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m$ is a fuzzy μ -closed set. It is evident from Theorem 3.4 that $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$. Then for $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$, $\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m = c_\mu(\bigcap_{m \in \Omega} G_m) = \bigcap_{m \in \Gamma} G_m$ by Theorem 3.4. Hence by Theorem 2.4, we conclude that $\Omega = \Gamma$. \square

The subsequent Example depicts that the above Theorem is not true in GFTS.

Example 3.3 We observe that from Example 3.1, γ_1, γ_2 and γ_4 are three fuzzy maximal μ -open sets in X . It is easy to see that $\bigcap\{\gamma_k : k \in \Delta\} = 0$ is fuzzy μ -closed but γ_k is not fuzzy μ -closed for any k .

References

1. C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, Math. Anal. Appl., 24 ,182-190, (1968).
2. G. P. Chetty, *Generalized Fuzzy Topology*, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24, 91-96, (2008).
3. Á. Császár, *Generalized open sets in generalized topologies*, Acta Math. Hungar., 106, (1-2), 351-357, (2005).
4. A. Swaminathan and S. Sivaraja, *Fuzzy compactness, fuzzy regularity via fuzzy maximal open and fuzzy minimal closed sets*, J. Appl. Math. and Informatics, 40, 1-2, pp. 185-190, (2022).
5. A. Swaminathan and Margaret Sheela, *A covering property with respect to fuzzy generalized preopen sets*, Utilitas Mathematica, 122, (2025).
6. A. Swaminathan and Margaret Sheela, *Fuzzy Maximal μ -open sets and fuzzy minimal μ -closed sets in generalised fuzzy topology*, Utilitas Mathematica, 122, (2025).
7. L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and control, 8, 338-353, (1965).

A. Swaminathan

Department of Mathematics

Government Arts College(Autonomous)

Kumbakonam, Tamil Nadu-612 002, India.

E-mail address: asnathanway@gmail.com

and

Margaret Sheela

Research Scholar

Department of Mathematics

Annamalai University, Annamalinagar

Tamil Nadu-608 002, India.

E-mail address: mshee1836@gmail.com