Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.
©SPM – E-ISSN-2175-1188
SPM: www.spm.uem.br/bspm

(3s.) **v. 2026 (44) 10** : 1–17.
ISSN-0037-8712
doi:10.5269/bspm.81965

Existence of Solutions for Some Quasilinear Elliptic System with Weight and Measure-Valued Right Hand Side

El Houcine RAMI, Abdelkrim BARBARA and Elhoussine AZROUl

ABSTRACT: We prove the existence of a solution u for the nonlinear elliptic system:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -\operatorname{div}\sigma(x,u,Du) &=& \mu+g(x,u,Du) \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &=& 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{array}$$

where μ is Radon measure on Ω with finite mass and g satisfies some standards continuity and growth conditions. In particular, we show that if the coercivity rate of σ lies in the range $]\frac{s+1}{s},(\frac{s+1}{s})(2-\frac{1}{n})]$ then u is approximately differentiable and the equation holds with Du replaced by $\operatorname{ap} Du$. The proof relies on an approximation of μ by smooth functions f_k and a compactness result for the corresponding solutions u_k. This follows from a detailed analysis of the Young measure $\{\delta_u(x)\otimes\vartheta(x)\}$ generated by the sequence (u_k, Du_k), and the div-curl type inequality $<\vartheta(x),\sigma(x,u,\cdot)>\leq\overline{\sigma}(x)<\vartheta(x),.>$ for the weak limit $\overline{\sigma}$ of the sequence.

Keywords: Nonlinear elliptic system, mesure-valued, young measure, the div-curl type inequality.

Contents

1 Introduction 1
2 Hypothesis 2
3 Some Preliminary Lemmas 4
4 Approximate Solutions and a Priori Bounds 6
5 A Div-Curl Inequality 9
6 Passage to the Limit 9

1. Introduction

We consider the existence and compactness questions for elliptic systems of the form

$$-div\sigma(x,u(x),Du(x)) = \mu+g(x,u,Du) \text{ in } \Omega \tag{1.1}$$

$$u=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \tag{1.2}$$

with measure-valued right hand side $\mu\in M(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)$ on an open, bounded domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n$. And the weight functions ω^* defined by $\omega=\{\omega_{ij},0\leq i\leq n,1\leq j\leq m\}$ with $\omega^*_{ij}=\omega_{ij}^{1-p'}$ on this paper we are interested in the solution u in the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,w,\mathbb{R}^m)$, and estimation of the weak Lebesgues spaces. We assume that σ satisfies the following hypotheses $(H_0)-(H_3)$ and g satisfies G_0-G_1. Here $\mathbb{M}^{m\times n}$ denotes the space of real $m\times n$ matrices equipped with inner product $M:N=M_{ij}N_{ij}$ (we use the usual summation convention) and the tensor product $a\otimes b$ of two vectors $a,b\in\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined to be the matrix $(a_ib_j)_{i,j=1,\ldots,m}$. Let $\omega=\{\omega_{ij}|\ \ 0\leq i\leq n;\ 1\leq j\leq m\}$ the system for weight functions on Ω satisfying:

$$\omega_{ij}\in L^1(\Omega),\quad \omega_{ij}^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \tag{1.3}$$

$$\omega_{ij}^{-s}\in L^1(\Omega) \tag{1.4}$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35J46, 35J62.
Submitted February 13, 2026. Published April 17, 2026

for same s. The Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$ with

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)=\{u\in L^p(\Omega,\overline{\omega}_0,I\!R^m)|\ \overline{\omega}_0=\omega_{0j};\ 1\leq j\leq m;\ \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}\in L^p(\Omega,\omega_{ij});\ 1\leq j\leq m;\ 1\leq i\leq n\}$$

The Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$ equipped with the norm

$$\| u\|^p_{1,p,\omega}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\int_\Omega \omega_{0j}|u_j|^p dx+\sum_{i,j}\int_\Omega \omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u|^p dx.$$

The condition (1.3) we give $(W^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m),\|..\|_{1,p,\omega})$ Banach space and $C_0^\infty(\Omega,I\!R^m)$ subspace of $(W^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$. The space $(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$ is the fermenter of $C_0^\infty(\Omega,I\!R^m)$ in $(W^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$ for the norm $\|..\|^p_{1,p,\omega})$. The condition (1.4), we give

$$(W^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)\hookrightarrow (W^{1,p_s}(\Omega,I\!R^m)\hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega,I\!R^m),\tag{1.5}$$

for all $1\leq r\prec p_s^*$ if $p.s\prec n(s+1)$, and
$\forall r\geq 1$ if $p.s\succ n(s+1)$ with $p_s=\frac{p.s}{s+1}$ and $p_s^*=\frac{n.p.s}{n(s+1)-p.s}$

2. Hypothesis

(H_0) (Continuity) $\sigma:\Omega\times I\!R^m\times I\!M^{m\times n}\longrightarrow I\!M^{m\times n}$ is a Carathéodory function, i.e: $x\longmapsto\sigma(x,u,p)$ is measurable for every (u,p) and $(u,p)\longmapsto\sigma(x,u,p)$ is continuous for almost every $x\in\Omega$.

(H_1) (Coercivity and growth): There exist constants c_1, c_2, $\beta>0$ and $\lambda_1\in L^{p'}(\Omega)$, $\lambda_2\in L^1(\Omega)$, $\lambda_3\in L^{(\frac{p}{\theta})'}(\Omega)$, $0<\theta<p$, $1<q<\frac{p^2}{\theta}$, such that, for all $1\leq r\leq n$, and $1\leq s\leq m$:

$$|\sigma_{rs}(x,u,F)|\leq\beta\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}}[\lambda_1+c_1\sum_{j=1}^{m}\gamma_j^{\frac{1}{p'}}|u_j|^{\frac{q}{p'}}+c_1\sum_{i,j}\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}}|F_{ij}|^{p-1}]$$

$$\sigma(x,u,F):F\geq-\lambda_2-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\lambda_3\gamma_j^{\frac{\theta}{p}}|u_j|^{\frac{q\theta}{p}}+c_2\sum_{i,j}\omega_{ij}|F_{ij}|^p.$$

(H_2) (Monotonicity) σ satisfies one following conditions:

a)- For all $x\in\Omega$, $u\in I\!R^m$ the function $F\longmapsto\sigma(x,u,F)$ is a C^1 and monotone function, which means $(\sigma(x,u,F)-\sigma(x,u,G)):(F-G)\geq 0$, for all $x\in\Omega$, $u\in I\!R^m$, and $F,G\in I\!M^{m\times n}$.

b)- There exist a function $W:\Omega\times I\!R^m\times I\!M^{m\times n}\rightarrow R$ such that: $\sigma(x,u,F)=\frac{\partial W}{\partial F}(x,u,F)$, and the function $F\longmapsto W(x,u,F)$ is a C^1 and convex function.

c)- σ is strictly monotone, i-e; σ is monotone and $(\sigma(x,u,F)-\sigma(x,u,G)):(F-G)=0.$ implies $F=G$.

d)A function $F\longmapsto\sigma(x,u,F)$ is strictly $p-$quasi-monotone, i-e: $\int_{I\!M^{m\times n}}(\sigma(x,u,\lambda)-\sigma(x,u,\overline{\lambda})):(\lambda-\overline{\lambda})d\nu(\lambda)>0$, for all homogeneous $W^{1,p}-gradient$ Young measures ν with center of mass $\overline{\lambda}=<\nu;Id>=\int_{I\!M^{m\times n}}\lambda d\nu(\lambda)$ which are not a single Dirac mass.

(H_3) (structure conditions)

i)- (Angle condition) for all $x\in\Omega$, $u\in I\!R^m$ and $F\in I\!M^{m\times n}$ there holds $\sigma(x,u,F):MF\geq 0$, for all matrix $M\in I\!M^{m\times m}$ of the form $M=Id-a\otimes a$ with $|a|\leq 1$.

ii)- (The sign condition) for all $x\in\Omega$, $u\in I\!R^m$ and $F\in I\!M^{m\times n}$, $\sigma_j(x,u,F):F_j\geq 0$, for all $1\leq j\leq m$ with F_j and σ_j are the cologne j of matrix F and σ.

(H_4) (The Hardy-Type Inequality) There exist $c > 0$, one weighted function $\gamma = (\gamma_j)_{1\leq j\leq m}$, and the parameter $1 < q < \frac{p^2}{\theta}$ (H_1), such that:

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\int_\Omega \gamma_j \mid u_j \mid^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c\left(\sum_{i,j}\int_\Omega \omega_{ij} \mid D_{ij}u \mid^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and the expression $\left(\sum_{i,j}\int_\Omega \omega_{ij} \mid D_{ij}u \mid^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is a norm equivalent to the norm $\|.\|_{1;p:\omega}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$.

(G_0) (Continuity) $g : \Omega \times I\!R^n \longrightarrow I\!R^m$ is Caratheodory function.

(G_1) (Growth) There exist:$b_1 \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$, $C_1, C_1' > 0$ such that $\mid g_j(x,u,F) \mid \leq [b_1(x) + C_1\gamma_j^{\frac{1}{p'}} \mid u_j \mid^{\frac{q}{p'}} + C_1'\sum_{r,s}\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \mid F_{rs} \mid^{p-1}]\omega_{0j}^{\frac{1}{p}}$

Remark 2.1

1. Assumption (H_0) ensures that $\sigma(x,u(x),U(x))$ is measurable on Ω for measurable function $u : \Omega \longrightarrow I\!R^m$ and $U : \Omega \longrightarrow I\!M^{m\times n}$. A typical example for a function σ satisfying (H_0) is $\sigma(x,u,p) = \zeta(x,u,p)p$ with a real valued non-negative function ζ.
2. A serious technical obstacle is that for $p_s \in (1, 2-1/n]$ solutions of the system (1.1) in general do not belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{1,1}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$.

This fact has led to the use of normalized solutions in [2] and generalized entropy solutions in [5] for elliptic equations of the above type .We will use a notion of solution where the weak derivative Du is replaced by the approximate derivative $apDu$. Recall that a measurable function u is said to be approximately differentiable at $x \in \Omega$ if there exists a matrix $F_x \in I\!M^{m\times n}$ such that:
for all $\epsilon \succ 0, \lim\limits_{r\to 0}\dfrac{1}{r^n}meas\{y \in B(x,r) : |u(y) - u(x) - F_x(y-x)| > \epsilon r\} = 0$. We write: $apDu(x) = F_x$.

Definition 2.1 *A measurable function $u : \Omega \longrightarrow I\!R^m$ is called a solution of the system (1.1) if:*

(i) *u is almost everywhere approximately differentiable.*

(ii) *$\eta \circ u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$, for all, $\eta \in C_0^1(I\!R^m,I\!R^m)$.*

(iii) *$\sigma(x,u,apDu) \in L^1(\Omega,I\!M^{m\times n})$;*

(iv) *The equation: $-div\sigma(x,u(x),Du(x)) = \mu + g(x,u,Du)$ holds in the sense of distributions. Moreover we say that u satisfies the boundary condition (1.2) if $\eta \circ u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$, for all, $\eta \in C^1(I\!R^m,I\!R^m) \cap L^\infty(I\!R^m,I\!R^m)$ with $\eta = id$ on $B(0,r)$, for some $r \succ 0$, and $|D\eta(y)| \leq c.(1+|y|)^{-1}$, with $c < \infty$.*

Remark 2.2

1. The conditions in Definition (2.1) (except (ii)) are the weakest possible in order to define the system (1.1) in the sense of distributions. Note that if u is approximately differentiable, then $apDu$ is measurable, so $\sigma(,u,apDu)$ is measurable.
2. The assumption $\eta o u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega,I\!R^m)$ ensures minimal regularity of u. For example, if $\mu = 0$, and $\sigma(x,u,p) = \sigma(p)$ with $\sigma(0) = 0$, then piecewise constant functions u satisfy $apDu = 0$ a,e, but are not admissible solutions. The following theorem is the main result in this paper .

Theorem 2.1 *Let Ω be a bounded, open set. We suppose that the hypotheses $(H_0)-(H_2)-(H_3)$ and the coercivity condition in (H_1) are satisfied and g satisfies $(G_0)-(G_1)$. Let μ denote a $I\!R^m$- valued Radon measure on Ω with finite mass. Then the system (1.1)-(1.2) has a solution u in the sense of definition1, which satisfies the weak Lebesgue space estimate:*

$$\|u\|^*_{L^{t^*_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega,I\!R^m)} + \|apDu\|^*_{L^{t_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega,I\!M^{m\times n})} \leq C, \tag{2.1}$$

with the constant C depends of $|\Omega|, c, c_2,$ and $\parallel \lambda_3 \parallel_{L^{(\frac{p}{\theta})}(\Omega)}$, with $t_{p_s} = \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}$ and $t^*_{p_s} = \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-p_s}$ is the Sobolev exposed of t_{p_s}. If $c_2 = 0$ the right hand side of (1.3) reduces to $C(c_1)\left\|\mu^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right\|_M$.

Remark 2.3

1. If $p_s > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$, then $t_{p_s} > 1$ and $Du \in L^1(\Omega, M^{m\times n})$.
2. If $p \succ n$ one can replace the $L^{s,\infty}$-norm of u in (1.3) by the C^0, β-norm with $\beta = 1 - \frac{n}{p}$. For $p = q = n$ it is an open question whether $Du \in L^{n,\infty}$. See Section 7 [4] for the (weaker) inclusion $u \in BMO_{loc}$.
3. The exponent in (1.3) are optimal as can be seen from the nonlinear Green's function $G_p(x) = c\,|x|^{\frac{-n}{s^*}}$ for the p-Laplace equation: $-div(|Du|^{p-2}\,Du) = \delta_0$ in $I\!R^m$, $n \geq 3$. In particular, $L^{s,\infty}$ cannot be replaced by L^s. with $(L^{s,\infty})$, is a Laurent space.
4. The pointiest monotonicity condition can be replaced by a weaker integrated version, called quasi-monotonicity,
   The Key point in the proof of the theorem, is the div-curl inequality for the Young measure $\{\{\vartheta_x\}_{x\epsilon\Omega}\}$ generated by a sequence Du_k of gradients of approximate solutions. Together with the identity. (1.5):
   $apDu(x) =< \vartheta_x, Id >$. The div-curl inequality implies easily that $\sigma(.,u_k,Du_k)$ converges weakly in L^1 to $\sigma(.,u,apDu)$. (1.5) is a consequence of general properties of young measures if $p_s > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ since in this case Du_k is bounded in L^s for some $s > 1$. If $1 < p_s \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ one only has the weaker bounds.

3. Some Preliminary Lemmas

In this section, we will also use the Young measures, and Inequality div-curl for assume the convergence of subsequence $u_k \longrightarrow u$ in measure and for almost every subsequence, with u is approximately differentiable, and $apDu =< \nu_x, id >$, ν_x is the Young measures generated by a sequence Du_k.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $u_{k:} : \Omega \longrightarrow I\!R^m$ a sequence of measurable functions such that:*

$$\sup_{k\in I\!N}\int_\Omega |u_k|^s dx < +\infty \quad \textit{for some } s > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

We suppose that for each $\alpha > 0$ the sequence of truncated functions $\{T_\alpha(u_k)\}_{k\in I\!N}$ is precompact in $L^1(\Omega, I\!R^m)$. Then there exists a measurable function u on Ω such that for a subsequence $u_k \longrightarrow u$ in measure.

Proof
Choose a subsequence of $\{u_k\}$ (not relabeled) which generates a Young measure $\{\vartheta_x\}_{x\in\Omega}$. By 3.1 and Theorem (Young, Tartar, Ball) the measure ν_x are probability measure for almost every a $x \in \Omega$ and $T_\alpha(u_k) \longrightarrow v_\alpha =< \nu_x; T_\alpha >$, weakly in $L^1(\Omega, I\!R^m)$ and in fact strongly since $T_\alpha(u_k)$ is precompact in L^1. Consequently there exists a subsequence such that: $T_\alpha(u_{k_l}) \longrightarrow v_\alpha$ almost uniformly, i-e:

$$T_\alpha(u_{k_l}) \longrightarrow v_\alpha \quad \text{uniformly up to a set of arbitrary small measure.} \tag{3.2}$$

Let $M_\alpha = \{x \in \Omega : |v_\alpha(x)\,| < \alpha\}$. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists a set E_ϵ of measure $\text{meas}(E_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ and an index $l_0(\epsilon; \delta)$ such that: $|T_\alpha(u_{k_l})| < |v_\alpha(x)| + \delta$ for all $x \in M_\alpha \setminus E_\epsilon$ and all $l > l_0$.

It follows that $u_{k_l}(x) \longrightarrow v_\alpha(x)$ for almost every$x \in M_\alpha \setminus E_\epsilon$ consider first $x \in M_\beta;\ \ \beta < \alpha$ and then the union over $\beta < \alpha$). Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary it follows that $\nu_x = \delta_{v_\alpha}(x)$ for almost every $x \in M_\alpha$ In view of the Ball's theorem it suffices to show that $\cup M_\alpha$ has full measure. Now clearly $M_\alpha \subset M_\beta$ for $\alpha < \beta$ since $T_\beta(u_{k_l}) \longrightarrow T_\beta(v_\alpha) = v_\alpha$ almost everywhere in M_α and therefore$v_\alpha = v_\beta$ on M_α. By (3.2) there exists for each $\epsilon > 0$ a set E_ϵ, and an index $l_0(\epsilon, \alpha)$ such that meas $(E_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ and $\mid u_{k_l} \mid \geq \mid T_\alpha(u_{k_l}) \mid \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ on $(\Omega \setminus E_\epsilon) \setminus M_\alpha$ for all $l \geq l_0$. In view of (3.2) this implies meas $((\Omega \setminus E_\epsilon) \setminus M_\alpha) \leq \frac{c}{\alpha^s}\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$ we deduce meas$(\Omega \setminus \cup M_\alpha)$=$\lim_{\alpha \longrightarrow \infty} meas(\Omega \setminus M_\alpha) = 0$ □

Lemma 3.2 *Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\Omega| < \infty$ and $u_k \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Suppose that there exist $p > 1$ and $s > 0$ such that:*

$$\sup_k \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u_k|^p dx \leq c(\alpha) < \infty,\ \ \forall \alpha > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

and $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_\Omega |u_k|^s dx \leq c < \infty$. Then there exist a subsequence u_{k_j} and a measurable function $u : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $u_{k_j} \longrightarrow u$ in measure. Moreover u is for almost every $x \in \Omega$ approximately differentiable, for all $\eta \in C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ there holds $\eta \circ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. if $u_k \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ then $\eta \circ u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ provided that $\eta = id$ on $B(0, r)$ for some $r > 0$.

Proof
Choose

$$(u_k)_\alpha = \begin{cases} u_k & \text{if} \quad |u_k| \leq \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad |u_k| > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

For the hypotheses:

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} (u_k)_\alpha|^p dx = \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u_k|^p dx \leq c(\alpha) < \infty.$$

Then, $(u_k)_\alpha \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega, w, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and for (1.5), (H_4) and $|D|u|| \leq |Du|$ we have:

$$\int_\Omega |DT_\alpha(|u_k|)|^{p_s} dx = \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} |D|u_k|)|^{p_s} dx \leq$$

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} (u_k)_\alpha|^p dx \leq c(\alpha) < +\infty$$

Hence by the compact Sobolev embedding $W_s^{1,p_s}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^{p_s}(\Omega)$, we have $\{T_\alpha(|u_k|)\}$ is precompact in $L^1(\Omega)$. And, if $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 3\alpha), \mathbb{R}^m)$ a symmetric radial such that $\eta = id$ on $B(0, 2\alpha)$, then by (1.3) and (3.3): $\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(\eta(u_k))|^p dx = \sum_{ij} \int_{|u_k| \leq \alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(u_k)|^p dx + \sum_{i,j} \int_{\alpha < |u_k| \leq 2\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(id)|^p dx + \sum_{i,j} \int_{2\alpha < |u_k| \leq 3\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(\eta(u_k))|^p dx \leq c(\alpha) + c \sum_{i,j} \parallel \omega_{ij} \parallel_{L^1_{loc}(\Omega)} + c < \infty$. Then, by (1.5), $\eta(u_k)$ is precompact in $L^{p_s}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and as in Lemma 8 [2], there exist a measurable function $u : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $u_k \longrightarrow u$ in measure, with $u(x) =< \vartheta_x, id >$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and u is approximately differentiable because $\eta(u_k) \rightharpoonup \eta(u)$ in $W^{1,P}(\Omega, \omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $apDu = ap(\eta \circ u)$.□

Lemma 3.3 *Let u_k be as in Lemma (3.2) with $p \succ 1$. Then the Young measure ϑ_x generated by (a subsequence of) Du_k has the following properties:*

(a) *ϑ_x is a probability measure for almost every $x \in \Omega$.*

(b) *ϑ_x has finite p_s-th moment for almost every $x \in \Omega$, i-e $\int_{M^{m \times n}} \mid \lambda \mid^{p_s} d\vartheta_x(\lambda)$ is finite for almost every $x \in \Omega$.*

(c) *ϑ_x satisfies $< \vartheta_x, id >= apDu(x)$ almost everywhere in Ω.*

(d) *ϑ_x is a homogeneous $W^{1,P_s}-$ gradient young measure for almost every $x \in \Omega$.*

Proof Let $\widetilde{\vartheta_x}$ denote the Young measure generated by (a subsequence of) the sequence $\{(u_k, Du_k)\}$. By Lemma 3.2 we have :

$$\widetilde{\vartheta_x} = \delta_{u(x)} \otimes \vartheta_x.$$

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B(0,2\alpha),\ I\!R^m)$, $\eta = Id$ on $B(0,\alpha)$, and let ϑ^η be the Young measure generated by

$$D(\eta \circ u_k) = (D\eta)(u_k)Du(x),$$

then ϑ^η is a probability measure, has finite $p-$th moment and

$$< \vartheta^\eta, Id >= (D(\eta \circ u))(x) = D\eta(u(x))apDu(x).$$

It follows for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M^{m\times n})$, that:

$$\varphi(D(\eta \circ u_k)) \rightharpoonup < \vartheta^\eta, \varphi >= \int_{M^{m\times n}} \varphi(\lambda) d\vartheta_x^\eta(\lambda).$$

Based on the proof (3.2), we have $\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega |\omega_{ij} D_{ij}(\eta \circ u_k)|^p dx \prec \infty$, and by (1.5) $\sup_{k\in I\!N} \int_\Omega |D(\eta \circ u_k)|^{p_s} dx \prec \infty$, and the (Ball's Theorem, proof lemma 9 [2]) we conclude: (a)-(b)-(c)-and (d) □

4. Approximate Solutions and a Priori Bounds

We introduce the following approximating problems:

$$-div\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) = f_k + g(x, u_k, Du_k)\ in\,\Omega. \tag{4.1}$$

$$u_k = 0\ \ on\,\partial\Omega. \tag{4.2}$$

With $f_k \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \omega^*, I\!R^m) \cap L^1(\Omega, I\!R^m)$ and $f_k \rightharpoonup^* \mu\ in\ M(\Omega, I\!R^m)$ such that: $\| f_k \|_{L^1(\Omega, I\!R^m)} \leq \| \mu \|_{M(\Omega, I\!R^m)}$. By [7] and [6], and using the assumptions: (H_0), (H_1),(H_2), (H_4),G_0 and G_0, the problem (4.1)-(4.2) has a solution u_k with $u_k \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, I\!R^m)$ u_k is the subsequence approximates solutions of (1.1)-(1.2). The results of theorems (2.1) is the consequence of the following proposition:

Proposition 4.1 *Let, $f \in L^1(\Omega, I\!R^m)$ and σ satisfies (H_0), the coercivity of $(H_1) - (H_3)$ and g satisfies $(G_0) - (G_1)$. If $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, I\!R^m)$ is a solution of:*

$$-div\sigma(x, u, Du) = f + g \quad\ in\,\Omega. \tag{4.3}$$

in the sense of distributions. Then

$$u \in L^{t^*_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega, I\!R^m), \qquad Du \in L^{t_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega, I\!R^m)$$

and

$$\| u \|^*_{L^{t^*_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega, I\!R^m)} + \| Du \|^*_{L^{t_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega, M^{m\times n})}$$

$$\leq C\Big(|\Omega|, \| \lambda_1 \|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \| \lambda_3 \|_{L^{(\frac{p}{\theta})'}(\Omega)}, \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega; I\!R^m)}, \| b_1 \|_{L^{p'}}\Big) \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u|\leq\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u|^p dx \leq M\alpha + L, \quad \forall \alpha \succ 0,$$

M and L are the constants dependents on:

$$\| \lambda_1 \|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \| \lambda_3 \|_{L^{(\frac{p}{\theta})'}(\Omega)}; \| f \|_{L^1(\Omega, I\!R^m)}, c_2, \| b_1 \|_{L^{p'}}$$

Proof i)- We suppose the condition of l'angle in (H_3). Let $\alpha \succ 0$. Testing $T_\alpha(u)$ in (4.3) and we use the coercivity condition in (H_1), the growth condition in (G_1), the Hardy type inequality in (H_4) and Hölder inequality, we have:

$$c_2.\sum_{i,j}\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u|^p dx \leq \alpha \parallel f \parallel_{L^1(\Omega,I\!R^m)} +\alpha\sum_j(\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{0j})^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\parallel b_1 \parallel_{L^{p'}} + \left(\sum_j\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\gamma_j \mid u_j\mid^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\right)$$
$$+\parallel \lambda_2 \parallel_{L^1(\Omega)} +c\parallel \lambda_3 \parallel_{L\left(\frac{p}{\theta}\right)'}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\gamma_j \mid u_j\mid^q dx\right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \tag{4.4}$$

Choose :

$$(u)_\alpha = \begin{cases} u & \text{if} \quad |u| \leq \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad |u| > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$ because $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega,\omega,I\!R^m)$ and by Hardy-Type inequality:

$$\sum_j^m\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\gamma_j|u_j|^q dx = \sum_j^m\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\gamma_j|(u_\alpha)_j|^q dx$$

$$\leq c.\left(\sum_{i,j}\int_\Omega\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u_\alpha|^p dx\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

$$\leq c.\left(\sum_{i;j}\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u_\alpha|^p dx\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

By (4.4)

$$c_2.\left(\sum_{ij}\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u|^p dx\right) \leq \alpha \parallel f \parallel_{L^1(\Omega,I\!R^m)} +\alpha\sum_j(\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{0j})^{\frac{1}{p}}(\parallel b_1 \parallel_{L^{p'}} +c')$$

$$+\parallel \lambda_2 \parallel_{L^1(\Omega)} +c\parallel \lambda_3 \parallel_{L\left(\frac{p}{\theta}\right)'}.\left(\sum_{i,j}\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u|^p dx\right)^{\frac{\theta q}{p^2}}$$

and $\frac{\theta q}{p^2} \prec 1$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{ij}\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u|^p dx\right) \leq c.\left(\alpha \parallel f \parallel_{L^1(\Omega,I\!R^m)} +\alpha\sum_j(\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{0j})^{\frac{1}{p}}(\parallel b_1 \parallel_{L^{p'}} +c') + \parallel \lambda_2 \parallel_{L^1(\Omega)}\right) \leq M\alpha + L, \tag{4.5}$$

with $L = L(c, \parallel \lambda_2 \parallel_{L^1(\Omega)}, c\parallel \lambda_3 \parallel_{L\left(\frac{p}{\theta}\right)'})$ and $M = M(c_1, c_2 \parallel \lambda_3 \parallel, \parallel f \parallel_{L^1(\Omega,I\!R^m)})$, we choose:
$u^\alpha = min(|u|,\alpha)$, then by $|D|u|| \leq |Du|$

$$\int_\Omega|Du^\alpha|^{p_s}dx = \int_{|u|\leq\alpha}|D|u||^{p_s}dx + 0 \leq \int_{|u|\leq\alpha}|Du|^{p_s}dx = \int_\Omega|Du_\alpha|^{p_s}dx$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i,j}\int_\Omega\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u_\alpha|^p dx\right)^{\frac{p_s}{p}} = \left(\sum_{i,j}\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u|^p dx\right)^{\frac{p_s}{p}}$$

And by (4.5), and $p_s \leq p$, we have:

$$\int_\Omega|Du^\alpha|^{p_s}dx \leq c.(\alpha \parallel f \parallel_{L^1(\Omega,I\!R^m)} +\alpha\sum_j(\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{0j})^{\frac{1}{p}}(\parallel b_1 \parallel_{L^{p'}} +c') + \parallel \lambda_2 \parallel_{L^1(\Omega)}). \tag{4.6}$$

By (1.5) and (4.6), we have:

$$\int_\Omega |u^\alpha|^{p_s^*}dx \leq c.\left(\int_\Omega |Du^\alpha|^{p_s}dx\right)^{\frac{p_s^*}{p}} \leq c.\left(\alpha \parallel f\parallel_{L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)} +\alpha\sum_j(\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{0j})^{\frac{1}{p}}(\parallel b_1\parallel_{L^{p'}} +c') + \parallel \lambda_2\parallel_{L^1(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{p_s^*}{p}} \tag{4.7}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{|u|}(\alpha) = \alpha^{-p_s^*}\textstyle\int_{|u|\succ\alpha}\alpha^{p_s^*}dx \leq \alpha^{-p_s^*}\int_{|u|\succ\alpha}|u^\alpha|^{p_s^*}dx\\ \leq c.\alpha^{-p_s^*}\left(\alpha\parallel f\parallel_{L^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)} +\alpha\textstyle\sum_j(\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{0j})^{\frac{1}{p}}(\parallel b_1\parallel_{L^{p'}} +c') + \parallel\lambda_2\parallel_{L^1(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{p_s^*}{p}}\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

and we continue in the same way as in a case that is non-degenerated [2] by replacing p by p_s as well as

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\|u\|^*_{L^{t^*_{p_s}},\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m) & = & \sup_{\alpha\succ 0}\alpha|\lambda_{|u|}(\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{t^*_{p_s}}}\\ & \leq & |\Omega| + \sup_{\alpha\succ 1}\alpha|\lambda_{|u|}(\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{t^*_{p_s}}}\\ & \leq & |\Omega| + c.(\parallel f\parallel^{\frac{1}{p_s-1}}_{L^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)}, \parallel b_1\parallel_{L^1}, \parallel\lambda_2\parallel^{\frac{1}{p_s-1}}_{L^1(\Omega)})\end{array}$$

i-e:

$$\|u\|^*_{L^{t^*_{p_s}},\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m) \leq c.\left(|\Omega|, \parallel b_1\parallel_{L^1}, \parallel\lambda_2\parallel_{L^1(\Omega)}, \parallel\lambda_3\parallel_{L^{(\frac{p}{\theta})'}_{(\Omega)}}; c_2, \parallel f\parallel_{L^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^m)}\right), \tag{4.9}$$

on the other hen, by using ($p_s \leq p$) and thinks to 1.5, we obtain:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\lambda_{|Du|}(s) & \leq & s^{-p_s}\displaystyle\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}|Du|^{p_s}dx + \lambda_{|u|}(\alpha)\\ & = & s^{-p_s}\displaystyle\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}|Du_\alpha|^{p_s}dx + \lambda_{|u|}(\alpha)\\ & \leq & s^{-p_s}\left(\displaystyle\sum_{i,j}\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u_\alpha|^p dx\right) + \lambda_{|u|}(\alpha)\\ & \leq & s^{-p_s}\left(\displaystyle\sum_{i,j}\int_{|u|\leq\alpha}\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u|^p dx\right) + \lambda_{|u|}(\alpha)\end{array}$$

By4.5 and 4.8:

$$\lambda_{|Du|}(s) \leq c.\left(\max(\frac{\alpha}{s^{p_s}}, \frac{1}{s^{p_s}}) + \max(\alpha^{-p_s^*}, \alpha^{\frac{p_s^*}{p_s}-p_s^*})\right)$$

or $-t^*_{p_s} = \frac{p_s^*}{p_s} - p_s^*$, so as in [3]

$$\parallel Du\parallel^*_{L^{t_{p_s}},\infty(\Omega,M^{m\times n})} \leq c.\left(|\Omega|, \parallel\lambda_2\parallel_{L^1(\Omega)}, \parallel\lambda_3\parallel_{L^{(\frac{p}{\theta})'}_{(\Omega)}}, c_2, \parallel b_1\parallel_{L^1}, \parallel f\parallel_{L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)}\right). \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.5)-(4.9) and (4.10), we obtain the result of the proposition (4.1) in case i). ii)-Suppose the condition (of l'angle in H_3): Let $S_\alpha(y) = (T_\alpha(y_1); T_\alpha(y_2); ....T_\alpha(y_m))$, $y\in\mathbb{R}^m$,the cubic truncation, we have $Ds_\alpha(y) = Id$ if $|y|_{max} = \max_{1\leq i\leq m}|y_i| \leq \alpha$, in the same way as in i)- by testing $S_\alpha(u)$ in (4.3). Then $\int_\Omega \sigma(x,u,Du) : D(S_\alpha(u))dx = \int_\Omega f.S_\alpha(u)dx + \int_\Omega g.S_\alpha(u)dx$
or

$$\int_\Omega \sigma(x,u,Du) : D(S_\alpha(u))dx = \sum_{i=1}^m {}_{|u_i|\leq\alpha}\sigma_i(x,u,Du) : Du_i dx$$

$$\geq \int_{|u|=\max_{1\leq j\leq m}(|u_j|)}\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i(x,u,Du) : Du_i dx$$

and like $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sigma_i(x,u,Du):Du_i dx=\sigma(x,u,Du):Du$. By the coercivity condition in (H_1) and the Hölder Inequality we obtain:

$$c_2.\sum_{i,j}\int_{|u|\le\alpha}\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u|^p dx \;\le\; \sqrt{m}.\alpha(\parallel f \parallel_{L^1(\Omega;I\!R^m)})+\alpha\sum_j(\int_{|u|\le\alpha}\omega_{0j})^{\frac{1}{p}}(\parallel b_1\parallel_{L^{p'}}+c')+\parallel \lambda_2 \parallel_{L^1(\Omega)}$$
$$+c.\parallel\lambda_3\parallel_{L^{(\frac{p}{\theta})'}(\Omega)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\int_{|u|\le\alpha}\gamma_j|u_j|^q dx\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

and we continue in the same way as in i), this completes the proof of the proposition (6) □

5. A Div-Curl Inequality

The result of this section is the key ingredient for the proof that one can pass to the limit in the equation (4.1) for the solution $\{u_k\}_{k\in I\!N}$ of approximating problems. Since it is independent of the differential equation we state it a more general form using only the hypotheses (5.1)-(5.8) below:

$$\sigma;\tau:\Omega\times I\!R^m\times M^{m\times n}\longrightarrow M^{m\times n}, \tag{5.1}$$

is a Carathéodory function.

$$\sigma \text{ and } \tau \text{ satisfing one of the fellowing conditions:} \tag{5.2}$$

(i) $\sigma(x,u,F):MF\ge 0$, $\tau(x,u,F):MF\ge 0$; $M=Id-b\otimes b\in M^{m\times n}$, with $|b|\le 1$.

(ii) $\sigma_j(x;u;F):F_j\ge 0$, and $\tau_j(x,u,F):F_j\ge 0$; $1\le j\le m$, σ_j, τ_j and F_j is the j^{eme} colonnes of $\sigma,\ \tau,\ F$.

$$u_k\in W^{1;1}(\Omega,I\!R^m) \text{ and there exists an } s\ge 0 \text{ such that } \int_\Omega |\, Du_k\,|^s\, dx\le c \text{ uniformly in } k \tag{5.3}$$

$$\text{The sequence } \sigma_k(x)=\sigma(x,u_k,Du_k) \text{ is equiintegrale.} \tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{gathered}\text{The sequence } u_k \text{ converges in measure to some function } u,\\ \text{and } u \text{ is almost everywhere approximately differentiable.}\end{gathered} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\text{The sequence } f_k=-div(\sigma_k+\tau_k)-\mu \text{ is bounded in } L^1(\Omega,I\!R^m). \tag{5.6}$$

$$\begin{gathered}D_{ij}u_k\in L^r_{loc}(\Omega,\omega_{ij},M^{m\times n}) \text{ and } (\sigma_k+\tau_k)\in L^{r'}_{loc}(\Omega,\omega^*,M^{m\times n}),\ \text{for some}\\ 1\le r\prec\infty \text{ and } 1\le i\le n,\ 1\le j\le m.\end{gathered} \tag{5.7}$$

$$\text{The sequence } \tau_k(x)=\tau[x](x,u,Du_k) \text{ converges to weakly to 0 in } L^1(\Omega,M^{m\times n}). \tag{5.8}$$

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose (5.1)-(5.8). Then (after passage to a subsequence) the sequence σ_k converges weakly i $L^1(\Omega,M^{m\times n})$ and the weak limit $\overline{\sigma}$ is given by $\overline{\sigma}(x)=<\nu_x;\sigma(x,u(x),\ .\ >$. Moreover the following inequality holds:*

$$\int_{M^{m\times n}},\sigma(x,u(x),\lambda):\lambda d\nu_x(\lambda)\le\overline{\sigma}(x):apDu(x) \textit{ for a.e. } x\in\Omega. \tag{5.9}$$

Proof See [3] □

6. Passage to the Limit

Proposition 6.1 *Suppose that the sequence $(u_k)_{k\in I\!N}$ satisfies the hypotheses (5.1)-(5.7), (H_2) and that the Young measure ν generated by the sequence $(Du_k)_{k\in I\!N}$ satisfies: a)-c) and d)- in lemma (3.3). Then the sequence (σ_k) is weakly converge in $L^1(\Omega,M^{m\times n})$, with $\overline{\sigma}$ is the limit and $\overline{\sigma(x)}=<\nu_x,u(x),apDu(x)>$. If in H_2 b)- c)-or d)-holds, $\sigma(x,u_k,Du_k)\to\sigma(x,u,apDu)$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega,M^{m\times n})$.*
In the cases (c) and (d) it follows addition that $Du_k\to apDu$ in measure.

Proof See [3].

Proof of the theoreme2.1

For using the results of proposition (6.1): we assume that (5.1)-(5.7) and the Young measure ν_x generated by the sequence Du_k satisfies :(i), (ii) and (iii) in Lemma(3.3), for the approximate systems (4.1)-(4.2). By the proposition 6.1, with $u_k \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w, I\!R^m)$, we have: $\| u_k \|_{L^{t^*_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega,I\!R^m)} \leq c.\left(|\Omega|, \| \lambda_2 \|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \| \lambda_1 \|_{L^{p'}}, \| \lambda_3 \|_{L^{\left(\frac{p}{\theta}\right)'}(\Omega)}, c_2, \| \mu \|_{M(\Omega,\omega^*,I\!R^m)}\right)$, and

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k|\leq\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u_k|^p dx \leq M\alpha + L < \infty. \tag{6.1}$$

By $L^{t^*_{p_s},\imath\infty}(\Omega, I\!R^m) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega, I\!R^m)$ for all $1 \prec p \prec t^*_{p_s}$, then

$$\| u_k \|_{L^p(\Omega,I\!R^m)} \leq c < \infty. \tag{6.2}$$

Now

- (5.1) is (H_0)
- (5.2) is (H_3)
- (5.3): $u_k \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, I\!R^m) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,p_s}(\Omega, I\!R^m)$ with $p_s > 1$, then $u_k \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, I\!R^m)$. Moreover, by the proposition

$$\| Du_k \|_{L^{t_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega,I\!R^m)} \leq c.\left(|\Omega|, \| \lambda_2 \|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \lambda_1 \|_{L^{p'}}, \| \lambda_3 \|_{L^{\left(\frac{p}{\theta}\right)'}(\Omega)}, c_2, \| \mu \|_{M(\Omega,\omega^*,I\!R^m)}\right)$$

  hence

$$\| Du_k \|_{L^s(\Omega,M^{m\times n})} \leq c > \infty, \ \forall\ 1 < s < t_{p_s}$$

  with $\sup_{k\in I\!N} \int_\Omega |Du_k|^s dx < \infty$.

- (5.4): Let A a measurable in Ω, by (H_1) and Hölder we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)| dx \quad &\leq \quad c \left(\sum_{r,s} \int_\Omega \omega_{rs} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} . \Bigg\{ \| \lambda_1 \|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} + \\ &\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \int_\Omega \gamma_j |(u_k)_j|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \left(\sum_{i;j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

  and with (1.5) and (1.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)| dx \quad &\leq \quad c \left(\sum_{r,s} \| \omega_{rs} \|^{\frac{1}{p}}_{L^1_{loc}(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\times \left\{ \| \lambda_1 \|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} + \| u_k \|^{\frac{q}{pp'}}_{1,p,\omega} + \| u_k \|^{\frac{p}{p'}}_{1,p,\omega} \right\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- (5.5): By (6.1) and (6.2) and Lemma (3.2).
- (5.6): $\| f_k \|_{L^1(\Omega,I\!R^m)} \leq \| \mu \|_{M(\Omega,\omega^*,I\!R^m)}$. And By (G_1) we have

$$\int_\Omega | g(x, u_k, Du_k) | dx \leq < \infty (by Holder and G_1)$$

- (5.7): $\forall \varepsilon \succ 0$ and $x_0 \in \Omega$ $\int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} |D_{ij}u_k|^p \omega_{ij} dx \leq \| u_k \|_{1,p,\omega}^p < \infty$. And by (H_3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} |\sigma_{rs}(x,u_k,Du_k)|^{p'} \omega_{rs}^* dx &= \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} |\sigma_{rs}(x,u_k,Du_k)|^{p'} \omega_{rs}^{1-p'} dx \\
&\leq c. \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} w^{1-p'+\frac{p'}{p}} \left[|\lambda_1|^{p'} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_j |(u_k)_j|^q + \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_k|^p \right] dx \\
&\leq c \left(\| \lambda_1 \|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)}^{p'} + \| u_k \|_{1,p,w}^{\frac{q}{p}} + \| u_k \|_{1,p,\omega}^{p} \right) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the proposition (6.1) $\sigma(x,u_k,Du_k) \longrightarrow \sigma(x,u,apDu)$ in $L^1(\Omega, I\!M^{m\times n})$ and $\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, I\!R^m)$; $D\varphi \in L^\infty(\Omega, I\!M^{m\times n})$ hence:

$$\int_\Omega \sigma(x,u_k,Du_k) : D\varphi dx \longrightarrow \int_\Omega \sigma(x,u,apDu) : D\varphi dx$$

i.e:

$$-div\sigma(x,u_k,apDu_k) \longrightarrow -div\sigma(x,u,apDu)$$

In the sense of distributions. Moreover, since $u_k \to u$ in measure, it follows that (at least for a subsequence) $u_k \to u$ almost everywhere and hence that $g(x,u_k,Du_k) \to g(x,u,Du)$ almost everywhere from the continuity condition (G_0). Since $g(x,u_k,Du_k)$ is equi-integrable by the growth condition in G_1 and the uniform bounded 6.1- 6.2, we may infer that $g(x,u_k,Du_k) \to g(x,u,Du)$ in $L^1(\Omega, I\!R^m)$ by the Vitali's converge theorem. On the other hand $f_k \overset{*}{\longrightarrow} \mu$ in $L^1(\Omega, I\!R^m)$. Then $\int_\Omega f_k.\varphi dx \longrightarrow \int_\Omega \mu.\varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, I\!R^m)$ so μ is the solution in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, I\!R^m)$ of the system:
$-div\sigma(x,u,apDu) = \mu$ in Ω
$u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$
to show the estimation (2.1), we take the function η in $C_0^1(B(0,2\alpha), I\!R^m)$; $\eta = Id$ in $B(0,\alpha)$ and $|D\eta| \leq c$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}\eta(u_k)|^p dx &= \sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij} |(D_{ij}\eta)(u_k)|^p |Du_k\|^p dx \\
&\leq c^p. \sum_{ij} \int_{|u_k|\leq\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_k)| dx + c. \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k|\leq 2\alpha} \omega_{ij} D_{ij}u_k|^p dx \\
&\leq c.c(\alpha) + c.c(2\alpha) < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to (6.1).
Now, we have $\eta(u_k) \longrightarrow \eta(u)$, for every $x \in \Omega$ because η is C^∞. Then $\eta(u_k) \rightharpoonup \eta(u)$, in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega, I\!R^m)$, and $apDu = apD(\eta \circ u)$ on $\{|u| \prec \alpha\}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}(\eta \circ u)|^p dx &\leq \liminf_{k\longrightarrow\infty} \int_\Omega w |D(\eta \circ u_k)|^p dx \\
&\leq \liminf_{k\longrightarrow\infty} \sum_{ij} \int_{|u_k|\leq 2\alpha} |D_{ij}\eta(u_k)|^p |D_{ij}u_k| \omega_{ij} dx \\
&\leq\ \leq c. \liminf_{k\longrightarrow\infty} \int_{|u_k|\leq 2\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_k)|^p dx \\
&\leq c.c(2\alpha) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_{|u|\leq\alpha} \omega_{ij} |apDu|^p dx = \sum_{i,j} \int_{|u_k|\leq 2\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D(\eta \circ u)|^p dx < \infty,$$

in the same as in the proof of the proposition (6) by replacing u_k by u and f_k by μ, we obtain the estimation (2.1) and this completes the proof of the theorem 2.1 □

Case: $0 < \theta < \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}$ (the general case) The idea is to consider the regularized problems:

$$-div\phi_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) = \mu \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{6.3}$$

$$u_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \tag{6.4}$$

With

$$\phi_{\varepsilon,r,s}(x, u, F) = \sigma_{rs}(x, u, F) + \varepsilon\beta \left(\sum_{ij} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2} \right) \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} F_{rs},$$

$\forall 1 \le r \le n$, $\forall 1 \le s \le m$ with $s > n+1$, and $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, we have $p < s$, then $s' < p'$, and $(\frac{s}{\theta})' < (\frac{p}{\theta})'$. Moreover $\exists c > 0$ which doesn't depend on p, s, such that $\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} \le c.\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{s}}$ $\forall$ $1 \le r \le n$ and $1 \le s \le m$.
By (H_1) for σ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_{\varepsilon,r,s}(x, u, F)| &\le \beta'.|\omega_{rs}|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\lambda_1 + \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{p'}} |u_j|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^\theta \right] \\ &\quad + \varepsilon\beta\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\textstyle\sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-1} \right) \left(\theta < \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1} < n(s-1) \right) \\ &\le \ \le \beta'\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\lambda_1 + \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{p'}} |u_j|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

And $p < s$, then $\frac{1}{p'} < \frac{1}{s'}$ and like $\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} \le c.\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{s}}$, then:
$|\phi_{\varepsilon,r,s}(x, u, F)| \le \beta'.|\omega_{rs}|^{\frac{1}{s}} \left[\lambda_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{s'}} |u_j|^{\frac{q}{s'}} + \sum_{ij} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{s'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-1} \right]$, and by (H_3), we conclude that

$$\phi_\varepsilon(x, u, F) : F = \sigma(x, u, F) : F + \varepsilon \sum_{i,j,r,s} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2} F_{ij}.F_{rs}$$

$\ge -\lambda_2 - \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_3 \gamma_j^{\frac{q}{s}}.|u_j|^{\frac{q\alpha}{s}} + \varepsilon \sum_{ij} \omega_{ij} |F_{ij}|^s$.
On the other hand, $0 < \alpha < p-1 < s-1$, $1 < q < \frac{p^2}{\alpha} < \frac{s^2}{\alpha'}$, $\lambda_1 \in L^{p'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s'}(\Omega)$, and $\lambda_3 \in L^{(\frac{p}{\alpha})'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{(\frac{s}{\alpha})'}(\Omega)$ and as σ_ε verifies the conditions of the structures (of l'angle and sign), the strict monotony, the s-quasi monotonous with regard to F is a C^1 monotony in relation with F or accepting a convex potential because: $F \longrightarrow \varepsilon\beta \left(\sum_{ij} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2} \right) \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} F_{rs}$ verify them as well, hence σ_ε verifies the hypotheses $(H_0) - (H_5)$, for the regularized problems (6.3)-(6.4), thus for the previous case, $\theta = s-1$, of theorem 2.1, there exists a solution, $u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,s}(\Omega, \omega, I\!R^m)$, of the system (6.3)-(6.4). Now showing that the conditions: i), ii) and iii), of lemma (3.3), and the hypotheses (5.1)-(5.8) of the div-curl inequality are verified for u_ε with order s in the place of p.
We suppose the condition of l'angle verifying that ϕ_ε by testing, $T_\alpha(u_\varepsilon)$ $\alpha \succ 0$ in (5.3)-(5.4), we get: $\int_\Omega \phi_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) : DT_\alpha(u_\varepsilon)dx = \int_\Omega f.T_\alpha(u_\varepsilon)dx$, so

$$\int_{|u_\varepsilon| \le \alpha} \sigma(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) : Du_\varepsilon dx + \int_{|u_\varepsilon| > \alpha} \frac{\alpha}{|u_\varepsilon|} \sigma_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) : \left(Id - \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \otimes \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \right) Du_\varepsilon dx$$

$$+\varepsilon\beta \int_{|u_\varepsilon| \le \alpha} \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs} u_\varepsilon|^2 dx$$

$$+\varepsilon\beta \int_{|u_\varepsilon| > \alpha} \sum_{ij} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs} D_{rs} u_\varepsilon \left(Id - \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \otimes \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \right)$$

$$\leq \alpha. \parallel f \parallel_{L^1(\Omega,I\!\!R^m)} .$$

since

$$\sum_{rs} |D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} D_{rs}u_\varepsilon \left(Id - \frac{\alpha}{|u_\varepsilon|} (\frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|} \otimes \frac{u_\varepsilon}{|u_\varepsilon|}) \right) \geq 0$$

so

$$\int_{|u_\varepsilon|\leq\alpha} \sigma(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) : Du_\varepsilon dx \leq \alpha \parallel f \parallel_{L^1(\Omega,I\!\!R^m)} .$$

And by the coercivity condition of σ in (H_1) and Hölder inequality, we get as in the proof of the proposition

$$\sum_{ij} \int_{|u_\varepsilon|\leq\alpha} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq M'\alpha + L', \tag{6.5}$$

And the following a priori estimation:

$$\parallel u_\varepsilon \parallel^*_{L^{t^*_{p_s};\infty}(\Omega,I\!\!R^m)} + \parallel Du_\varepsilon \parallel^*_{L^{t_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega,I\!\!R^{m\times n})} < c < \infty, \tag{6.6}$$

and by the injection $L^{\beta',\infty} \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha'}$ $,\forall 0 < \alpha' < \beta'$, then $\forall$, $0 < r < t^*_{p_s}$, $\forall 0 < p < t_{p_s}$

$$\parallel u_\varepsilon \parallel_{L^r(\Omega,I\!\!R^m)} + \parallel Du_\varepsilon \parallel_{L^p(\Omega,I\!\!M^{m\times n})} + \parallel Du_\varepsilon \parallel^*_{L^{t_{p_s},\infty}(\Omega,I\!\!M^{m\times n})} < \infty. \tag{6.7}$$

We suppose that the condition of the sign is verify.
As in the same way in the proof of the proposition (6), we test $S_\alpha(u_\varepsilon)$ in (6.3)-(6.4), we obtain (6.5) and (6.7).
Starting with verifying that i), ii) et iii) of lemma (3.3) and the hypotheses (5.1) and(5.7) for σ_ε. By (6.5)and(6.7), the points i), ii) et iii) are a direct consequence of lemma(3.2) and lemma (3.3). On the other hand:
-(5.1): for σ is (H_0) and $\tau_{rs}(x, u, F) = \varepsilon\beta \left(\sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2} \right) .\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} F_{rs}$ is a Carathéodory function, because $x \longmapsto \omega_{ij}(x)$, is measurable, so σ_ε is a Cathéodory function.
-(5.2)

(i) $\phi_\varepsilon(x, u, F) : MF = \sigma(x, u, F) : MF + \left(\sum_{rs} (\varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-2}) \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} F_{rs} \right) (MF)_{rs} \geq 0,$

with $M = Id - a \otimes a$ and $|a| \leq 1$.

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{rs}(x, u, F).F_j &= \sigma_j(x, u, F) : F_j + \tau_j(x, u, F).F_j \\ &= \sigma_j(x, u, F) : F_j + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \varepsilon\beta \left(\sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |F_{ij}|^{s-1} \right) .w_{lj}^{\frac{1}{p}} |F_{lj}|^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$\forall 1 \leq j \leq m.$

-(5, 3): $u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,s}(\Omega, \omega, I\!\!R^m) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,s_s}(\Omega, I\!\!R^m)$, $s_s > 1$, so $u_\varepsilon \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, I\!\!R^m)$, and by (6.7) $\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \int_\Omega |Du_\varepsilon|^p dx < \infty$, $\forall$, $0 < p < t_{p_s}$.
(4.5): $\sigma(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon)$ is equi-integrable as previously $\forall\ \Omega' \subset \Omega$, measurable, we have:

$$\int_{\Omega'} |\sum_{i,j} (\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2}) \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs}u_\varepsilon| dx$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega'} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} dx \right)$$

$$\leq c. \sum_{ij} \int_{\Omega'} \omega_{ij} |D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^s dx \leq c. \parallel u_\varepsilon \parallel^s_{1,s,w}.$$

-(5.5): by (6.7) and the lemma (3.2).
-(5.6): by (6.3), $-div(\sigma_l+\tau_k)-\mu=0$, with $\mu\in M(\Omega,I\!R^m)$ is bounded in $L^1(\Omega,I\!R^m)$.
-(5.7): $\forall\ \varepsilon>0$ and $x_0\in\Omega$, by the growth condition of σ_ε and previously with s in the place of p, $\int_{B(x_{\tilde{A}}\ ,\varepsilon)}|\sigma_\varepsilon(x,u_\varepsilon,Du_\varepsilon)|^s\omega^*_{rs}dx<\infty$
and
-(5.8): $\int_{B(x_{\tilde{A}}\ ,\varepsilon)}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^s\omega_{rs}dx<\parallel u_\varepsilon\parallel^\varepsilon_{1,s,w}<\infty$.
Testing that u_ε in (6.3)-(6.4)

$$\varepsilon\beta\int_\Omega\left(\sum_{ij}\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2}\right)\left(\sum_{rs}\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}}|D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2\right)dx \\ \leq\parallel u_\varepsilon\parallel_{L^\infty(\Omega,I\!R^m)}\parallel\mu\parallel_{M(\Omega,\omega^*,I\!R^m)} \tag{6.8}$$

We have $W_0^{1,s}(\Omega,w,I\!R^m)\hookrightarrow W_0^{1,s_s}(\Omega,I\!R^m)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega,I\!R^m)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\parallel u_\varepsilon\parallel_{L^\infty(\Omega,I\!R^m)} &\leq c.\left(\sum_{i,j}\int_\Omega\omega_{ij}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^s dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\\ &\leq c.\left(\sum_{i,j}\int_\Omega\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2}\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p}}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\\ &\leq c(\int_\Omega(\sum_{i,j}\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2}).(\sum_{r,s}\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}}|D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2dx)^{\frac{1}{s}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Thanks to (6.8) and (6.9), we have

$$\int_\Omega\sum_{ij}\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2}\sum_{rs}\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}}|D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2dx$$

$\leq\frac{c.\|\mu\|_{M(\Omega,\omega^*,I\!R^m)}}{\varepsilon}\left(\int_\Omega(\sum_{i,j}\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2}).(\sum_{rs}\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}}|D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2dx\right)$ So:

$$\left(\int_\Omega(\sum_{i,j}\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2}).(\sum_{r,s}\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}}|D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2dx\right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\leq\frac{c\parallel\mu\parallel_M}{\varepsilon},$$

which mean that

$$\left(\int_\Omega(\sum_{i,j}\omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}}|D_{ij}u_\varepsilon|^{s-2}).(\sum_{r,s}\omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}}|D_{rs}u_\varepsilon|^2dx\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\leq\frac{c\parallel\mu\parallel_M}{\varepsilon}, \tag{6.10}$$

and

$$\parallel u_\varepsilon\parallel_{L^\infty(\Omega,I\!R^m)}\leq c.\left(c.\frac{\parallel\mu\parallel_M}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}. \tag{6.11}$$

On the other hand and $\forall\ 1 < p < \frac{s}{s-1}$, can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\| \epsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |F_{rs}| \ \|_{L^{\frac{s}{s-1}}(\Omega, I\!\!M^{m\times n})} \\
&\leq \varepsilon^{\frac{s}{s-1}} \left(\int_\Omega |\sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |^{\frac{s}{s-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \\
&\leq c\varepsilon^{\frac{s}{s-1}} \left(|\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |^{\frac{s}{s-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \\
&\leq c.\varepsilon^{\frac{s}{s-1}} \left(\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{s}{(s-1)p}} |D_{rs} u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \right) < \infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

thanks to (6.10). Now, since $u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,s}(\Omega, \omega, I\!\!R^m) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,s_s}(\Omega, I\!\!R^m) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,p_s}(\Omega, I\!\!R^m)$, so by testing $T_\alpha(u_\varepsilon)$ in (6.3)-(6.4), we obtain as in the proof of the proposition (4.1)

$$
\| Du_\varepsilon \|^*_{L^{\frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1},\infty}(\Omega, I\!\!M^{m\times n})} \leq c. \tag{6.12}
$$

By the Hölder inequality for the exponent a with a and ξ are the solutions of systems:

$$
\begin{cases} a'\xi = \tau & > \quad \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1} \\ a\left((s-1)\rho - \xi\right) & = \quad s \end{cases}
$$

a given system accepting the solution when $\rho < \frac{s}{s-1}$. So

$$
\int_\Omega |\varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p}} |^\rho dx
$$

$$
\leq c. \int_\Omega \varepsilon^\rho \left(\sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{\rho}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{(s-1)\rho - \xi} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{\rho}{p}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^\xi \right)^\rho dx
$$

$$
\leq c.\varepsilon^\rho \left(\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij}^{a\rho} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{a((s-1)\rho - \xi)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} . \left(\int_\Omega |Du_\varepsilon|^{a'\xi} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{a'}}
$$

$$
\leq c.\varepsilon^\rho \left(\sum_{i,j} \int_\Omega \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \sum_{r,s} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} |D_{rs} u_\varepsilon|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} . \| Du_\varepsilon \|^{\frac{\tau}{a}}_{L^\tau(\Omega, I\!\!M^{m\times n})} .
$$

And by the injection: $L^{\frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}} \hookrightarrow L^\tau \qquad \forall \tau > \frac{n(p_s-1)}{n-1}$ and thanks to (6.10)-(6.12), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega |\varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p}} |^\rho dx \quad &\leq \quad c.\varepsilon^\rho \left(\frac{c\|\mu\|_M}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{s}{(s-1)a}} .c^{\frac{\tau}{a}} \\
&\leq \quad c.c^{\frac{\tau}{a}} \varepsilon^{\frac{a((s-1)\rho - s))}{a(s-1)}} \\
&\leq \quad c.c^{\frac{\tau}{a}} \varepsilon^{\frac{a\xi}{a(s-1)}} \\
&\leq \quad c.c^{\frac{\tau}{a}} .\varepsilon^{\frac{\xi}{s-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\frac{\xi}{s-1} > 0$. Hence

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \longrightarrow} \| \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs} u_\varepsilon \|_{L^\rho(\Omega, I\!\!M^{m\times n})} = 0, \quad \forall \rho < \frac{s}{s-1}.
$$

In particular for $\rho = 1$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \longrightarrow} \int_\Omega |\varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-1} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs} u_\varepsilon| dx = 0,$$

which mean that

$$\tau[\varepsilon]\,(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \sum_{i,j}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0$$

in $L^1(\Omega, I\!M^{m\times n})$.
As well as by the proposition 6.1, $div\sigma(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon)$ converges to $div\sigma(x, u, apDu)$, in the sense of the distributions, and as

$$\tau[\varepsilon]\,(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}^{\frac{1}{p'}} |D_{ij} u_\varepsilon|^{s-2} \omega_{rs}^{\frac{1}{p}} D_{rs} u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0,$$

in $L^1(\Omega, I\!M^{m\times n})$. Then $div\sigma_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon)$ converge to $div\sigma(x, u, apDu)$ in the sense of distributions, i-e: u is the solution of the system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} -div\sigma(x, u, apDu) & = & \mu \qquad \in \Omega \\ u & = & 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

In the same way as in the case of $\theta = p - 1$, we have

$$\int_{|u|\leq\alpha} |apDu|^s dx < c(\alpha) < \infty \text{ and } p < s.$$

So we conclude as in the proof of the proposition 6.1, in order to get the estimation of theorem (2.1). This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

1. Hungerbuhler. N, *Quasilinear elliptic systems in divergence form with weak monotonicity*, New York J. Math. 5 (1999), 83–90.
2. Hungerbuhler. N, G. Dolzmann, S. Muller, *Nonlinear elliptic systems with measure-valued right hand side*, Math. Z. 226 (1997), 545–574.
3. Fabien Augsburger, *Young measures and quasilinear systems in divergence form with weak monotonicity*, Thesis n° 1448, University Press, Fribourg, 2004.
4. P. Lions, F. Murat, *Solutions renormalisées d'équations elliptiques*, to appear.
5. P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre, J. Vasquez, *An L^1 theory on existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 37 (1995), 16–26.
6. Rami. E, Azroul. E, Ellekhlifi. M, *Quasilinear degenerated elliptic system in divergence form with mild monotonicity in weighted Sobolev spaces*, Afrika Matematika (2019), 1–16.
7. Rami. E, Barbara. A, Azroul. E, *Existence of a weak solution of some quasilinear elliptic system in a weighted Sobolev space*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT), 66(2), Feb 2020.

El Houcine Rami,
Laboratory L2MASI, Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz,
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University,
B.P 1796 Atlas, Fez, Morocco.
E-mail address: `ramielhoucine@gmail.com`

and

Abdelkrim Barbara,
Laboratory L2MASI, Department of Mathematics,

Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz,
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University,
B.P 1796 Atlas, Fez, Morocco.
E-mail address: abdelkrim.barbara@usmba.ac.ma

and

Elhoussine Azroul,
Laboratory L2MASI, Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz,
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University,
B.P 1796 Atlas, Fez, Morocco.
E-mail address: elhoussine.azroul@gmail.com