



Coupled Fixed Point Theorem in G_{JS} -Metric Space

D. Srilatha and V. Kiran

ABSTRACT: This article aims at constructing a coupled fixed point theorem in a new metric space known as G_{JS} - metric space through the process of generalization. The process is initialized by proving a lemma and the main result establishes the existence and uniqueness of coupled fixed point which in turn is supported by an example.

Keywords: G_{JS} -metric space, complete G_{JS} -metric space, coupled fixed point.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Preliminaries	1
3 Main Results	2
4 Conclusion	4

1. Introduction

Out of wide range of applications of fixed point theory in non-linear analysis [2, 9, 3, 4], coupled fixed point theorems are one of the most interesting concepts introduced by Guo and Lakshmikantham [5]. Coupled fixed point theorems can be generalized through various metric spaces [8, 6, 10, 1, 12, 11]. The main aim of this article is to generalize a coupled fixed point theorem through G_{JS} -metric space [12]. In this article, we establish a theorem in which a map satisfying certain conditions on a complete G_{JS} -metric space has a coupled fixed point in it. This is the generalization of coupled fixed point result given by Kushal Roy, Mantu Saha and Ismat Beg [7] through G_{JS} -metric space. We also establish here that there exists only one such fixed point satisfying the given conditions. A suitable example is provided to support the theorem.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 Assume that E is a non-empty set and $G_{JS}: E^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a mapping fulfilling the following conditions:

- ($G_{JS}1$) $G_{JS}(\chi, \psi, \xi) = 0$ if and only if $\chi = \psi = \xi$.
- ($G_{JS}2$) $0 < G_{JS}(\chi, \chi, \psi)$ for all $\chi, \psi \in E$ with $\chi \neq \psi$.
- ($G_{JS}3$) $G_{JS}(\chi, \chi, \psi) < G_{JS}(\chi, \psi, \xi)$ for all $\chi, \psi, \xi \in E$ with $\psi \neq \xi$.
- ($G_{JS}4$) $G_{JS}(\chi, \psi, \xi) = G_{JS}(\sigma(\chi, \psi, \xi))$ for all $\chi, \psi, \xi \in E$ where $\sigma(\chi, \psi, \xi)$ is a permutation of the set $\{\chi, \psi, \xi\}$ and
- ($G_{JS}5$) there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for $(\chi, \psi, \xi) \in E^3$ and $\langle \chi_n \rangle \in \mathcal{G}(G_{JS}, E, \chi)$,

$$G_{JS}(\chi, \psi, \xi) \leq c \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{JS}(\chi_n, \psi, \xi)$$

where $\mathcal{G}(G_{JS}, E, \chi) = \left\{ \langle \chi_n \rangle \subset E : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{JS}(\chi_n, \chi, \chi) = 0 \right\}$

Then, the mapping G_{JS} is called a G_{JS} - metric on E and the pair (E, G_{JS}) is called a G_{JS} -metric space.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54E35.

Submitted March 03, 2026. Published June 19, 2026.

Definition 2.2 Let (E, G_{JS}) be a G_{JS} -metric space. A sequence $\langle \chi_n \rangle$ in E is said to be **G_{JS} -convergent** to $\chi \in E$ if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G_{JS}(\chi, \chi_n, \chi_m) = 0$.

That is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_{JS}(\chi, \chi_n, \chi_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$.

Here, ' χ ' is called the limit of the sequence $\langle \chi_n \rangle$ and we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_n = \chi$ or $\chi_n \rightarrow \chi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.3 Let (E, G_{JS}) be a G_{JS} -metric space. A sequence $\langle \chi_n \rangle$ is called **G_{JS} -Cauchy** if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $r, s, t \geq N$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_{JS}(\chi_r, \chi_s, \chi_t) < \varepsilon$, that is $G_{JS}(\chi_r, \chi_s, \chi_t) \rightarrow 0$ as $r, s, t \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.4 A G_{JS} -metric space is said to be **G_{JS} -complete** if every G_{JS} -Cauchy sequence in E is G_{JS} -convergent in E .

Definition 2.5 Let E be a non-empty set and $\mu : E^2 \rightarrow E$ be a map. An element $(\chi, \psi) \in E^2$ is called a **coupled fixed point** of μ if $\mu(\chi, \psi) = \chi$ and $\mu(\psi, \chi) = \psi$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $p = \sup \{p_\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha \geq 1\} < \infty$. For any $M > 0$, if $p_\alpha \leq M$ for $\alpha \geq 1$ then $p \leq M$.

Proof: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find $t \geq 1$ such that $p < p_t + \frac{1}{n} \leq M + \frac{1}{n}$.

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $p \leq M$. □

Note 1 For $(\chi_0, \psi_0) \in E^2$ and $\mu : E^2 \rightarrow E$, we define

$$\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu, (\chi_0, \psi_0)) = \{G_{JS}(\mu^i(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^i(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^j(\chi_0, \psi_0)) : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu, (\psi_0, \chi_0)) = \{G_{JS}(\mu^i(\psi_0, \chi_0), \mu^i(\psi_0, \chi_0), \mu^j(\psi_0, \chi_0)) : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1 Assume that (E, G_{JS}) is a G_{JS} -metric space which is G_{JS} -complete and a map $\mu : E^2 \rightarrow E$ fulfils the condition,

$$G_{JS}(\mu(\chi, \psi), \mu(\chi, \psi), \mu(\alpha, \beta)) \leq \lambda \max \{G_{JS}(\chi, \chi, \alpha), G_{JS}(\psi, \psi, \beta)\} \quad (3.1)$$

for every $\chi, \psi, \alpha, \beta \in E, \lambda \in [0, 1)$.

If we can find (χ_0, ψ_0) in E^2 such that $\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu, (\chi_0, \psi_0)) < \infty$ and $\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu, (\psi_0, \chi_0)) < \infty$, then μ has coupled fixed point in E .

Proof:

We denote,

$$\mu^2(\chi_0, \psi_0) = \mu(\mu(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu(\psi_0, \chi_0)),$$

$$\mu^2(\psi_0, \chi_0) = \mu(\mu(\psi_0, \chi_0), \mu(\chi_0, \psi_0))$$

and

$$\mu^3(\chi_0, \psi_0) = \mu(\mu^2(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^2(\psi_0, \chi_0)),$$

$$\mu^3(\psi_0, \chi_0) = \mu(\mu^2(\psi_0, \chi_0), \mu^2(\chi_0, \psi_0)).$$

Proceeding in a similar manner, we get

$$\mu^{n+1}(\chi_0, \psi_0) = \mu(\mu^n(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^n(\psi_0, \chi_0)), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mu^{n+1}(\psi_0, \chi_0) = \mu(\mu^n(\psi_0, \chi_0), \mu^n(\chi_0, \psi_0)). \quad (3.3)$$

Now for $i, j \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and using (3.1),

$$G_{JS}(\mu^{n+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n+j}(\chi_0, \psi_0))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= G_{JS} \left(\begin{array}{l} \mu(\mu^{n-1+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n-1+i}(\psi_0, \chi_0)), \\ \mu(\mu^{n-1+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n-1+i}(\psi_0, \chi_0)), \\ \mu(\mu^{n-1+j}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n-1+j}(\psi_0, \chi_0)) \end{array} \right) \\
&\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G_{JS}(\mu^{n-1+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n-1+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n-1+j}(\chi_0, \psi_0)), \\ G_{JS}(\mu^{n-1+i}(\psi_0, \chi_0), \mu^{n-1+i}(\psi_0, \chi_0), \mu^{n-1+j}(\psi_0, \chi_0)) \end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $t \geq 0$ and $i, j \geq 1$, let

$$\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^{t+1}, (\chi_0, \psi_0)) = \sup \{G_{JS}(\mu^{t+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{t+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{t+j}(\chi_0, \psi_0))\}$$

$$\text{and } \Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^{t+1}, (\psi_0, \chi_0)) = \sup \{G_{JS}(\mu^{t+i}(\psi_0, \chi_0), \mu^{t+i}(\psi_0, \chi_0), \mu^{t+j}(\psi_0, \chi_0))\}.$$

Then, $G_{JS}(\mu^{n+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n+i}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n+j}(\chi_0, \psi_0)) \leq \lambda \max \{\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\chi_0, \psi_0)), \Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\psi_0, \chi_0))\}$.

Since for any $t \geq 1$, $\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^{t+1}, (\chi_0, \psi_0)) \leq \Delta(G_{JS}, \mu, (\chi_0, \psi_0)) < \infty$ and from Lemma (2.1), we get

$$\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^{n+1}, (\chi_0, \psi_0)) \leq \lambda \max \{\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\chi_0, \psi_0)), \Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\psi_0, \chi_0))\} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^{n+1}, (\psi_0, \chi_0)) \leq \lambda \max \{\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\chi_0, \psi_0)), \Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\psi_0, \chi_0))\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $M_n = \max \{\Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\chi_0, \psi_0)), \Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\psi_0, \chi_0))\}$.

Then (3.4) becomes,

$$M_{n+1} \leq \lambda M_n \leq \lambda^2 M_{n-1} \cdots \leq \lambda^n M_1.$$

As $M_1 < \infty$, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n+1} = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = 0$, showing that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\chi_0, \psi_0)) = 0 = \Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\psi_0, \chi_0)).$$

Now for $1 \leq n < m$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we observe that

$G_{JS}(\mu^n(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^n(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^m(\chi_0, \psi_0)) \leq \Delta(G_{JS}, \mu^n, (\chi_0, \psi_0)) \rightarrow 0$, proving that $\langle \mu^n(\chi_0, \psi_0) \rangle$ is G_{JS} -Cauchy in E .

As E is G_{JS} -complete, we can find $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in E$ in such a way that

$$\mu^n(\chi_0, \psi_0) \rightarrow \xi_1 \text{ and } \mu^n(\psi_0, \chi_0) \rightarrow \xi_2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, using equation (3.1), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{JS}(\mu(\xi_1, \xi_2), \mu(\xi_1, \xi_2), \mu^n(\chi_0, \psi_0)) &= G_{JS}(\mu(\xi_1, \xi_2), \mu(\xi_1, \xi_2), \mu(\mu^{n-1}(\chi_0, \psi_0), \mu^{n-1}(\psi_0, \chi_0))) \\
&\leq \lambda \max \{G_{JS}(\xi_1, \xi_1, \mu^{n-1}(\chi_0, \psi_0)), G_{JS}(\xi_2, \xi_2, \mu^{n-1}(\psi_0, \chi_0))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.6), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{JS}(\mu(\xi_1, \xi_2), \mu(\xi_1, \xi_2), \mu^n(\chi_0, \psi_0)) = 0, \text{ showing that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^n(\chi_0, \psi_0) = \mu(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1.$$

Therefore, $\mu(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1$.

In a similar manner, we can prove that $\mu(\xi_2, \xi_1) = \xi_2$.

Hence μ has (ξ_1, ξ_2) as its coupled fixed point in E .

To prove the uniqueness of coupled fixed point of μ , assume that (ξ_1, ξ_2) and (ξ_1^*, ξ_2^*) are coupled fixed points of μ such that $G_{JS}(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_1^*) < \infty$ and $G_{JS}(\xi_2, \xi_2, \xi_2^*) < \infty$.

Then, $\mu(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1$, $\mu(\xi_2, \xi_1) = \xi_2$ and $\mu(\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*) = \xi_1^*$, $\mu(\xi_2^*, \xi_1^*) = \xi_2^*$.

Now, $G_{JS}(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_1^*) = G_{JS}(\mu(\xi_1, \xi_2), \mu(\xi_1, \xi_2), \mu(\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*))$

$$\leq \lambda \max \{G_{JS}(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_1^*), G_{JS}(\xi_2, \xi_2, \xi_2^*)\}. \quad (3.7)$$

Also, $G_{JS}(\xi_2, \xi_2, \xi_2^*) = G_{JS}(\mu(\xi_2, \xi_1), \mu(\xi_2, \xi_1), \mu(\xi_2^*, \xi_1^*))$

$$\leq \lambda \max \{G_{JS}(\xi_2, \xi_2, \xi_2^*), G_{JS}(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_1^*)\}. \quad (3.8)$$

Let $M^* = \max \{G_{JS}(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_1^*), G_{JS}(\xi_2, \xi_2, \xi_2^*)\}$.

Then from (3.7) and (3.8) we get, $M^* \leq \lambda M^*$.

Since $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, the above inequality is possible only when $M^* = 0$.

This implies $G_{JS}(\xi_1, \xi_1, \xi_1^*) = 0$ and $G_{JS}(\xi_2, \xi_2, \xi_2^*) = 0$.

Therefore $\xi_1 = \xi_1^*$ and $\xi_2 = \xi_2^*$.

Hence $(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*)$, proving that (ξ_1, ξ_2) is the one and only one coupled fixed point of μ in E . \square

Example 3.1 Let $E = [0, 1]$. We know that $G_{JS} : E^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by,

$G_{JS}(\xi, \psi, \chi) = |\xi - \psi| + |\psi - \chi| + |\xi - \chi|$ for all $\chi, \psi, \xi \in E$ is a G_{JS} - metric on E .

Taking $\mu(\chi, \psi) = \frac{(\chi + \psi)^2}{2}$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, we can easily check that inequality (3.1) holds and every $(\chi, \chi) \in E^2$ is a coupled fixed point of μ in E .

4. Conclusion

In this article, we established a theorem which states that a map admits a coupled fixed point in G_{JS} - metric space which is G_{JS} - complete if it satisfies certain conditions. We also proved such a coupled fixed point is unique. A suitable example is provided to support the theorem.

References

1. Aydi H, A. H., *Some Coupled Fixed Point Results on Partial Metric Spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences. 11, DOI:10.1155/2011/647091, (2011).
2. Bucur Amelia, B.A. , *About Applications of the Fixed Point Theory*. Sci. Bull. Ser. F. Informat. Econom. Cibernet. 1, 13-17, DOI: 10.1515/bsaft-2017-0002, (2017).
3. Chang S.S, Ch.S.S. , Cho Y J, Ch. Y.J. ,Huang N J, H.N.J., *Coupled fixed point theorems with applications*. J. Korean Math. Soc. 33, 575-585, <https://jkms.kms.or.kr/journal/view.html?uid=1258> , (1996).
4. Younis M, Y.M., Y. Gnana Bhaskar T,G.B.T., Lakshmikantham V,L.V., *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, JNonlinear Anal 65(7), 1379-1393. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2005.10.017> , (2006).
5. Guo D,G.D., Lakshmikantham V, L.V., *Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications*, Nonlinear Anal.5, 623-632, DOI:10.1016/0362-546X 90077-0, (1987).
6. Khomdram B,K.B., Rohen Y,R.Y., Singh T.C, S.T.G., *Coupled fixed point theorems in G_b -metric space satisfying some rational contractive conditions*, SpringerPlus.5, 1261. DOI:10.1186/s40064-016-2925-7, (2016).
7. Kushal Roy,K.R., Mantu Saha, M.S., and Ismat Beg,I.B., *Fixed Point of Contractive Mappings of Integral Type over an SJS-Metric Space*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics. 52(2), 267-280, DOI: 10.5556/j.tkjm, (2021).
8. Mirmostafae AK,M.AK., *Coupled Fixed Points for Mappings on a b-Metric Space with a Graph* Matemacki Vesnik. 69(3), 214-225, (2017).
9. Ravi P Agarwal,R.P.A., Maria Meehan, M.M., and Donal O'ReganD.O., *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. <https://assets.cambridge.org/97805218/02505/sample/9780521802505ws.pdf>, (2031).
10. Sabetghadam F, S.F., Masiha H.P, M.H.P., and Sanatpour A.H,S.A.H., *Some Coupled Fixed Point Theorems in Cone Metric Spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 8, DOI: 10.1155/2009/125426, (2009).
11. Shatanawi W, S.W., *Coupled Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized Metric Spaces*, Coupled Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized Metric Spaces. 40(3), 441-447, DOI: 10.15672/hujms.7760.101439. (2011).
12. Srilatha D,S.D., Kiran V,K.V., *A Study on Triple Fixed Point Results in G_{JS} - Metric Space*, Mathematics and Statistics. 11(5), 767-777, DOI: 10.13189/ms.2023.110502, (2023).
13. Tanusri Senapati,T.S., and Dey L.K, D.L.K., *A New Approach of Coupled Fixed Point Results on JS-Metric Space*, Mathematics and Statistics. 17, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1606.05970, (2017).

D. Srilatha,

Government Degree College for Women, Gajwel, Siddipet, India

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-9424-6064>

E-mail address: srilatha0813@gmail.com

and

V. Kiran,

Department of Mathematics,

Osmania University, Hyderabad, India.

ORCID ID:<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2678-5796>

E-mail address: kiranmathou@gmail.com